

ON PRESERVATION OF SOME PARTIAL ORDERINGS UNDER SHOCK MODELS

SUBHASH C. KOCHAR, * *University of Iowa*

Abstract

Singh and Jain (1989) have proved some preservation results for partial orderings of life distributions assuming that shocks occur according to a homogeneous Poisson process. It is shown that their results hold under less restrictive conditions.

TP₂ FUNCTIONS; NON-HOMOGENEOUS POISSON PROCESS

1. Introduction

Recently Singh and Jain (1989) have proved some interesting results on certain partial orderings of life distributions of two devices subjected to similar shocks occurring according to a homogeneous Poisson process. In this note it is shown that their results hold under more general shock models. We use their notation and terminology.

Theorem 1. Let shocks occur according to a counting process such that $P[N(t) = k]$ is TP₂ on $R \times N^0$, where $N^0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then the results (i), (ii) and (v) of Theorem 2.1 of Singh and Jain (1989) continue to hold.

Proof. It follows from Karlin (1968), p. 17, that if $\phi_1(t, k)$ is TP₂ on $R \times N^0$ and $\phi_2(k, \Theta)$ is TP₂ on $N^0 \times R$, then

$$\sum_k \phi_1(t, k) \phi_2(k, \Theta) \text{ is TP}_2 \text{ on } R \times R.$$

Let

$$\phi_1(t, k) = P[N(t) = k], \quad \phi_2(k, 1) = c_k, \quad \phi_2(k, 2) = b_k$$

where

$$b_k = p_k, \bar{P}_k \text{ and } \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} P_i \text{ for parts (i), (ii) and (iii),}$$

and

$$c_k = q_k, \bar{Q}_k \text{ and } \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} Q_i \text{ for parts (i), (ii) and (iii), respectively.}$$

The assumed conditions are equivalent to saying that $\phi_2(k, \Theta)$ is TP₂ on $N^0 \times \{1, 2\}$. Hence the result.

Corollary 2. Let shocks occur according to a non-homogeneous Poisson process with a non-decreasing mean value function $m(t)$. Then the conclusions of Theorem 1 continue to hold.

Received 16 October 1989; revision received 20 March 1990.

* Postal address: Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA.

Proof. Since the function $\phi_1(t, k) = \exp(-m(t)) [m(t)]^k/k!$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is TP_2 when $m(t)$ is non-decreasing, the proof follows from the above theorem.

Theorem 2.1 of Singh and Jain is thus a particular case of this corollary. Parts (iii) and (iv) of their Theorem 2.1 hold without any restrictions on the shock models.

References

- SINGH, H. AND JAIN, K. (1989) Preservation of some partial orderings under Poisson shock models. *Adv. Appl. Prob.* **21**, 713–716.
- KARLIN, S. (1968) *Total Positivity*, Vol. I. Stanford University Press.