Serum metabolomics study of nutrient metabolic variations in chronic heat-stressed broilers

Zhuang Lu¹, Xiaofang He¹, Bingbing Ma¹, Lin Zhang¹, Jiaolong Li¹, Yun Jiang², Guanghong Zhou¹ and Feng Gao¹*

¹Key Laboratory of Animal Origin Food Production and Safety Guarantee of Jiangsu Province, Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center of Meat Production and Processing, Quality and Safety Control, College of Animal Science and Technology, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, People's Republic of China ²Ginling College, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210097, People's Republic of China

(Submitted 29 August 2017 - Final revision received 15 January 2018 - Accepted 17 January 2018)

Abstract

To investigate the effects of heat stress on broiler metabolism, we assigned 144 broilers to normal control (NC), heat stress (HS) or pair-fed (PF) groups and then monitored the effects using growth performance, carcass characteristics, biochemical assays and GC-MS-based metabolomics. The up-regulation of cloacal temperature confirmed that our experiment was successful in inducing chronic heat stress. The average daily gain and average daily feed intake of the HS group were significantly lower than those of the NC group, by 28·76 and 18·42%, respectively (P < 0.001), whereas the feed:gain ratio was significantly higher, by 14·49% (P = 0.003), and heat stress also increased leg proportion (P = 0.027) and intramuscular fat proportion (P < 0.001) and decreased breast proportion (P = 0.009). When comparing the HS and NC groups and HS and PF groups, our metabolomics approach identified seventy-eight and thirty-four metabolites, respectively, with significantly different levels (variable importance in the projection values >1 and P < 0.05). The greater feed:gain ratio of the HS group was significantly positively correlated with the leg, abdominal fat, subcutaneous fat and intramuscular fat proportion and levels of some free amino acids (proline, L-cysteine, methionine and threonine) but was negatively correlated with breast proportion and levels of some NEFA (stearic acid, arachidonic acid, palmitic acid and oleic acid). These findings indicated that the heat-stressed broilers were in negative energy balance and unable to effectively mobilise fat, thereby resulting in protein decomposition, which subsequently affected growth performance and carcass characteristics.

Key words: Broilers: Chronic heat stress: GC-MS: Growth performance: Metabolomics

Environmental changes have important impacts on all species, and broilers seem to be particularly sensitive to temperatureassociated environmental challenges, especially heat stress. It has been suggested that modern broiler genotypes produce more body heat, as a result of their greater metabolic activity $^{(1)}$, and most broiler production occurs in tropical and subtropical regions⁽²⁾, where chronic heat stress seriously affects the broiler industry. Chronic heat stress can decrease the feed intake of broilers, increase their maintenance requirements and induce a negative energy balance. In addition, heat-exposed chickens have been reported to exhibit lower growth rates and feed efficiency and greater fat deposition than pair-fed birds exposed to thermoneutrality⁽³⁾. When considering their state of negative energy balance, the decreased growth and increased fat deposition observed in heat-exposed chickens seems contradictory and suggests that heat-stressed broilers initiate some

special post-absorptive metabolic changes⁽³⁾. However, the metabolic changes of heat-exposed broilers are intricate and multifactorial, and the regulatory mechanisms remain unclear.

Metabolomics provides a powerful platform for identifying small molecular metabolites in biological samples (biofluids or tissues) using high-throughput approaches^(4,5). The identification and integrative analysis of these metabolites can facilitate the characterisation of metabolism at the molecular and cellular levels and comprehensively reveal the change rule and related mechanisms of the biosystem affected by internal or external stimulating conditions⁽⁶⁾. Thus, this technology is expected to provide novel insight into the effects of heat stress on the metabolism of broilers. Among the methods used in metabolomics, GC-MS has been used extensively, owing to its high resolution and detection sensitivity, for metabolic profiling in a variety of biological samples⁽⁷⁾. However, the metabolomics of

* Corresponding author: F. Gao, fax +86 25 8439 5314, email gaofeng0629@sina.com

Abbreviations: ADFI, average daily feed intake; ADG, average daily gain; F:G, feed:gain ratio; HS, heat stress; NC, normal control; OPLS-DA, orthogonal projections to latent structures discriminant analyses; PF, pair-fed; VIP, variable importance in the projection.

birds remains poorly understood, and most metabolomics studies have been focused on mammals.

The aim of the present study was to characterise the serum metabolic profile of heat-stressed broilers and to investigate the change rule and related regulatory mechanisms using GC-MS techniques, biochemical validation and multivariate data analysis. The study also aimed to elucidate the relationships between growth performance, carcass composition and metabolic changes in heat-stressed broilers.

Methods

NS British Journal of Nutrition

Birds, husbandry and experimental design

All experimental procedures involving the use of animals were approved by the Animal Care Committee of Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, P.R. China. In all, 200 newly hatched male broilers (Arbor Acres) were obtained from a commercial hatchery and raised in battery brooders. From 1 to 21 d of age, the birds received commercial standard diets and husbandry.

At 22 d of age, 144 chicks with similar body weights were selected and randomly allocated to three different environmentcontrolled chambers. After 7 d of acclimation, the birds were separated equally into three groups, with six cages per group and eight chickens per cage. The normal control (NC) group was kept at 22°C ambient temperature (thermoneutrality) and provided ad libitum access to feed, whereas the heat stress (HS) group was reared at 32°C and provided ad libitum access to feed. Meanwhile, the pair-fed (PF) group was maintained at 22°C and provided with feed amounts that were equal to the those consumed by the HS group on the previous day. More specifically, the average feed intake was measured for the HS group each day, and this exact amount was offered to the PF group on the following day. The PF group was designed to understand the effects of heat stress on performance, carcass and serum metabolites independent of the reduction in feed intake. The relative humidity of all the chambers was maintained at $55 \pm 5\%$, and all birds received the same feed, a common commercial grower feed (19% crude protein and 13.20 MJ metabolisable energy/kg) and *ad libitum* access to water.

Data collection and sampling

Daily feed intake was measured at 09.00 hours, and the live weights of the broilers were recorded at 28, 35 and 42 d of age. The average daily gain (ADG), average daily feed intake (ADFI) and feed:gain (F:G) ratio were calculated on a per-cage basis. After 2 h, 3 d, 7 d and 14 d of heat exposure, the cloacal temperatures of two randomly selected birds from each cage were measured at 10.00 hours using a rectal probe. The probes were inserted approximately 50 mm beyond the cloacal sphincter and allowed to equilibrate for a minute. At 42 d of age, 36 birds were selected (two from each cage), and a blood sample was drawn from the wing vein of each bird. Serum was obtained by centrifugation at 4000 g for 10 min at 4°C and was stored at -80°C. Immediately after the blood samples were taken, the broilers were killed by cervical dislocation and exsanguination.

Dissection procedure

After being plucked mechanically, the broiler carcasses were weighed, and the bilateral breast muscles and legs were removed, according to the standard method of dissection⁽⁸⁾. Abdominal fat, including the fat tissues surrounding the proventriculus and gizzard and those lying against the inside abdominal wall and around the cloaca, was collected⁽⁹⁾, and the subcutaneous fat of the leg was removed and weighed as described previously⁽⁹⁻¹¹⁾. In brief the subcutaneous fat, which included the skin and associated subcutaneous fat of the thigh and drumstick and the fat associated with the sartorius muscle, were removed carefully by lifting the skin and slowly scraping the undersurface with a scalpel⁽¹⁰⁾; the fat associated with the sartorius muscle was removed carefully using forceps⁽¹¹⁾. After removing all dissectible fat depots, a muscle sample was taken from the right thigh of each specimen and frozen, in order to measure the intramuscular fat(10). Later, the samples were thawed, and the total lipid contents of the samples were determined gravimetrically after extraction⁽¹²⁾. Dissected fat, breast muscle and legs were weighed, and the proportions of breast muscle, legs and abdominal fat in the carcasses and the proportions of subcutaneous fat in the leg and intramuscular fat in thigh muscle were calculated.

Sample preparation

The serum samples stored at -80°C were thawed at room temperature and prepared as previously described⁽¹³⁾, but with some modifications. In brief, 80 µl of each serum sample was added to a 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube that contained 10 µl 2-chloro-L-phenylalanine (0.3 mg/ml in methanol; Hengchuang Biotech), an internal quantitative standard, and vortexed for 10 s. Subsequently, 240 µl of an ice-cold 2:1 (v:v) mixture of methanol and acetonitrile was added to each tube, and the tubes were then vortexed for 1 min, ultrasonicated at ambient temperature (25-28°C) for 5 min, and chilled at -20°C for 10 min. The resulting extracts were centrifuged at 12000 rpm at 4°C for 10 min. A quality control (QC) sample was prepared by mixing aliquots of all the samples into a pooled sample. Aliquots (250 µl) of the supernatants were transferred to individual glass sampling vials, vacuum-dried at room temperature, mixed with 80 µl methoxylamine hydrochloride (15 mg/ml in pyridine), vortexed vigorously for 2 min, and then incubated at 37°C. After 90 min, 80 µl N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA+TMCS 99:1) and 20 µl n-hexane (CNW Technologies GmbH) was added to each mixture, and the mixtures were vortexed vigorously for 2 min and derivatised at 70°C for 60 min. The samples were kept at ambient temperature (25-28°C) for 30 min before GC-MS analysis.

GC-MS analysis

The derivatised serum samples were analysed using an Agilent 7890B GC system coupled to an Agilent 5977A MSD system (Agilent Technologies Inc.), and a DB-5MS fused-silica capillary column $(30 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm} \times 0.25 \text{ µm})$; Agilent J & W Scientific) was used to separate the derivatives. He (>99.999%) was used as the carrier gas, at a constant flow rate of 1 ml/min, and the

773

injector temperature was maintained at 260°C. The injection volume was 1 µl by splitless mode, and the solvent delay time was 5 min. The column temperature was increased from 50 to 125°C at a rate of 15°C/min, increased to 210°C at a rate of 5°C/min, increased to 270°C at a rate of 10°C/min, increased to 305°C at a rate of 20°C/min, and then kept at 305°C for 5 min. The MS quadrupole temperature was set to 150°C, and the ion source temperature was set to 230°C. The collision energy was 70 eV. Mass data were acquired using a full-scan mode (m/z 50–450). The QC sample was injected at regular intervals (every twelve samples) throughout the analytical run, in order to assess repeatability.

Biochemical assays

The serum concentrations of uric acid (no. C012) and NEFA (no. A042) were measured using commercial diagnostic kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Data processing and analyses

Statistical analyses of cloacal temperature, growth performance, carcass composition and serum biochemical parameters were performed using SPSS 19.0 (SPSS Inc.). The data were checked for normal distribution and homogeneity of variance using the Shapiro–Wilk and Levene's tests, respectively. Data that were normally distributed and exhibited homogeneity of variance were analysed using one-way ANOVA and Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test. Data were expressed as means and standard deviations, and significance was set at P < 0.05.

ChemStation (version E.02.02.1431; Agilent) was used to convert the file format of the raw data to common data format, and ChromaTOF (version 4.34; LECO) was used to analyse the data. The metabolites were identified qualitatively from the NIST and Fiehn databases. Normalised data were imported into the SIMCA software package (14.0; Umetrics), and after mean-centring and unit variance scaling, both principal component analysis (PCA) and orthogonal projections to latent structures discriminant analyses (OPLS-DA) were performed to visualise differences in the metabolism of the experimental groups. The Hotelling's T2 region, which is drawn as an ellipse in the score plots of the models, defines the 95% CI of the modelled variation. The overall quality of the model for the data sets was assessed using cumulative R^2Y , which is defined as the proportion of variance in Y explained by the predictive component of the model, and cumulative Q^2 , which is defined as the class prediction ability of the model obtained by the cross-validation default method (7-fold cross-validation). Higher R^2Y (cum) and Q^2 (cum) values indicate better separation between the lines. Variable importance in the projection (VIP) ranks the overall contribution of each variable to the OPLS-DA model, so variables with VIP values of >1 were considered relevant for group discrimination. Differential metabolites were selected based on the combination of statistically significant VIP values and P values from a two-tailed Student's t test of the normalised peak areas, that is, metabolites with VIP values of >1 and P values of <0.05, and were further identified and performed functional enrichment analysis using Metabolites Biological Role (MBROLE, http://csbg.cnb.csic.es/mbrole2), according to Javier *et al.*⁽¹⁴⁾.

Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated to determine correlations among growth performance, carcass composition and differential metabolites of the NC and HS groups.

Results

Cloacal temperature

As shown in Fig. 1, cloacal temperature of the HS group was significantly higher than that of the two other groups at all four different points in time (P=0.002, 0.031, 0.009 and 0.023 at 2 h, 3 d, 7 d and 14 d of heat exposure, respectively; Fig. 1), and there was no significant difference in cloacal temperature of the NC and PF groups.

Growth performance

Table 1 summarises the growth performance parameters of the broilers. Constant heat stress decreased the growth performance of the broilers. The ADG and ADFI of the HS group were significantly lower than those of the NC group, by 28.76 and 18.42%, respectively (P < 0.001), and the F:G ratio was significantly higher, by 14.49% (P = 0.003). In addition, the ADG of the HS group was significantly lower than that of the PF group based on the same feed intake, by 9.64% (P = 0.020), and the F:G ratio of the HS group was significantly higher than that of the PF group, by 9.87% (P = 0.026). Although the ADG of the NC group was higher than that of the PF group (P < 0.001), there was no significant difference in F:G ratios of the two groups (P = 0.303).

Carcass characteristics

The proportions of breast muscle, leg and abdominal fat in the broiler carcasses, as well as the proportions of subcutaneous fat and intramuscular fat in the broiler legs and thigh muscle, respectively, are shown in Table 2. Heat stress significantly increased the leg (P = 0.027) and intramuscular fat proportions (P < 0.001), when compared with the NC group, and



Fig. 1. Effects of heat exposure duration on the cloacal temperature of broilers. Values are means (*n* 6), and standard deviations represented by error bars. —, normal control; —, heat stress (HS); _, , pair-fed. * Significant difference between the HS group and the other two groups (P=0.002, 0.031, 0.009 and 0.023 at 2 h, 3 d, 7 d and 14 d of heat exposure, respectively).

Table 1. Effects of heat exposure on the growth performance of broilers (Mean values and standard deviations)

Items	NC		HS		PF		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Р
ADG (g/bird perd) ADFI (g/bird perd) F:G (g/g)	84·73 ^a 180·74 ^a 2·14 ^b	4·30 3·35 0·11	60·36 ^c 147·45 ^b 2·45 ^a	4·38 4·12 0·20	66·80 ^b 148·65 ^b 2·23 ^b	4·20 0·80 0·15	< 0.001 < 0.001 0.009

NC, normal control group; HS, heat stress group; PF, pair-fed group; ADG, average daily gain; ADFI, average daily feed intake; F:G, feed:gain ratio. ^{a,b,c} Mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (*P*<0.05).

Table 2. Effects of heat exposure on the carcass characteristics of broilers (Mean values and standard deviations)

Items	NC		HS		PF			
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Р	
g/100 g of carcass								
Breast	23.44 ^a	0.43	21.72 ^b	0.91	22.28 ^{a,b}	1.38	0.025	
Leg	26·29 ^b	0.43	27.23 ^a	0.57	27.30 ^a	0.89	0.032	
Abdominal fat	2.35 ^{a,b}	0.19	2.71 ^a	0.40	1.99 ^b	0.26	0.003	
a/100 a of lea								
Subcutaneous fat	12.93 ^{a,b}	1.13	13.41 ^a	0.61	12·08 ^b	0.35	0.028	
a/100 a of thigh muscle								
Intramuscular fat	0·34 ^b	0.01	0.49 ^a	0.04	0.32 ^b	0.02	< 0.001	

NC, normal control group; HS, heat stress group; PF, pair-fed group.

^{a,b} Mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (P<0.05).





significantly decreased the breast proportion (P = 0.009). There was a tendency for on the proportion of abdominal fat to increase in the HS group compared with the NC group (P = 0.056). In addition, the proportions of abdominal fat (P = 0.001), subcutaneous fat (P = 0.009) and intramuscular fat (P < 0.001) of the HS group were significantly higher than those of the PF group.

Metabolomics profiling of samples

Representative GC-MS total ion chromatograms chromatograms of samples from the NC, HS and PF groups are shown in Fig. 2. In total, 680 valid peaks and 257 metabolites were identified in the serum samples.

Principal component analysis

Fig. 3 shows PCA score plots that represent the distribution of all the serum samples. There was an obvious separation between the NC group and the other two groups. All the samples in the score plots of the samples were within the 95% Hotelling T^2 ellipse, and there were no significant differences among the three QC samples.

Orthogonal supervised pattern recognition

The OPLS-DA revealed a clear separation between the HS and NC groups (Fig. 4(a)), as well as between the HS and PF groups (Fig. 4(b)). The parameters of the HS v. NC model, R^2Y (cum) = 0.972 and Q^2 (cum) = 0.695, indicated that 97.2% of the samples (data records) fit the established discriminant



mathematic model and that the prediction accuracy of the model was 69.5%. Similarly, the parameters of the HS *v*. PF model, R^2Y (cum)=1 and Q^2 (cum)=0.928, indicated that 100% of the samples (data records) fit the established discriminant mathematic model and that the prediction accuracy of the model was 92.8%. The OPLS-DA models indicate that heat stress significantly affects serum metabolic pattern.

Significantly different metabolites analysis

In total, seventy-eight and thirty-four metabolites with significantly different levels (VIP>1 and P < 0.05) in the HS and groups and in the HS and PF groups, respectively, were identified, and the differences were expressed as fold-change values to indicate the specific variable quantity of the HS group when compared with the NC or PF groups. Of the seventy-eight metabolites that differed significantly between the HS and NC groups, forty-six and thirty-two had higher and lower concentrations in the HS group than in the NC group, respectively (Table 3), and of the thirty-four metabolites that differed significantly between the HS and eleven had higher and lower concentrations in the HS group than in the S group than in the HS group than in the PF group, respectively (Table 4).



Fig. 3. Principal component analysis (PCA) based on the GC-MS spectra of quality control (\bigtriangledown) samples and metabolites in serum samples obtained from the normal control (\bigcirc), heat stress (\blacksquare) and pair-fed (\land) groups.

Metabolite set enrichment analysis

Fig. 5 shows the twelve most-representative metabolic pathways related to the discriminating metabolites identified by the two individual OPLS-DA models (Tables 3 and 4). The most-impacted pathways that were identified by the discriminating metabolites between the HS and NC groups were the glycine, serine and threonine metabolism, glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism, taurine and hypotaurine metabolism, biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids, thiamine metabolism, aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis, butanoate metabolism, arginine and proline metabolism, phenylalanine metabolism, fatty acid biosynthesis, cysteine and methionine metabolism and citrate (TCA) cycling pathways. The most-responsible pathways identified by the discriminating metabolites between the HS and PF groups were the citrate (TCA) cycling, tyrosine metabolism, alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism, methane metabolism, butanoate metabolism, glyoxylate and dicarboxvlate metabolism, neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction, phenylalanine metabolism, primary bile acid biosynthesis, insulin signalling pathway, gap junction and ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter pathways. Many of the pathways were related to amino acid metabolism (including glycine, serine and threonine metabolism, arginine and proline metabolism, phenylalanine metabolism, cysteine and methionine metabolism, tyrosine metabolism, alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism) and energy substance metabolism (including biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids, fatty acid biosynthesis and TCA cycle).

Correlation analysis

Fig. 6 reveals a range of correlation coefficients for the metabolites and parameters, ranging from 1.0 (maximum positive correlation) to -1.0 (maximum anticorrelation), with 0 indicating no correlation. The F:G ratio was significantly and positively correlated with leg, abdominal fat, subcutaneous fat, intramuscular fat proportions and was negatively correlated with breast proportion. Both ADG and ADFI were inversely correlated with the parameters of carcass characteristics to F:G ratio. There were positive correlations between F:G ratio and leg, abdominal fat and intramuscular fat proportions and the levels of



Fig. 4. Score plot of orthogonal projections to latent structures discriminant analyses (OPLS-DA) derived from the GC-MS profiles of serum samples obtained from the heat stress (HS) group *v*. the normal control (NC) group (a) or the HS group *v*. the pair-fed (PF) group (b). The descriptive and predictive performance characteristics of the models are $R^2Y(\text{cum}) = 0.972$ and $Q^2(\text{cum}) = 0.695$ for the HS group *v*. the NC group; $R^2Y(\text{cum}) = 1$ and $Q^2(\text{cum}) = 0.928$ for the HS group *v*. the PF group. a: \bullet , NC; \blacksquare , HS; \flat : \blacksquare , HS; \blacklozenge , PF.

775

NS British Journal of Nutrition

776

Table 3. Metabolites with significantly different levels in the serum of heat stress (HS) and normal control (NC) broilers

Pyruvic acid 5-15 174 1-15 0-011 1 Citrulline 5-57 157 127 0-004 1 3-Aminoisobutyric acid 5-85 102 2-14 <0-001 1 2-Aminoisobutyric acid 5-85 102 2-11 <0-001 1 2-Aminoisobutyric acid 6-07 86 1-45 <0-001 1 2-Aminoisobutyric acid 6-29 66 1-46 <0-001 1 3-hydroxybutyric acid 6-34 145 1-09 0-018 1 M-methyloalanine 6-46 141 1.74 <0-001 1 e-Caprolactam 6-84 170 1.6 0-001 1 e-Ketoisocaproic acid 7-55 155 1.39 0-001 1 E-Ketoisocaproic acid 7-58 174 1.22 0-005 1 Norleucine 7-84 158 1.28 0-001 1 Phenolamine 7-78 174 1.22 <th>Metabolites</th> <th>RT (min)</th> <th>Quant Mass</th> <th>VIP</th> <th>Ρ</th> <th>Change</th>	Metabolites	RT (min)	Quant Mass	VIP	Ρ	Change
Citrulline 5-7 157 127 0.004 1 1-Metnylhydatolin 5.81 192 1.48 0.001 1 2-Aminosbutyric acid 5.85 102 2.11 <0.001	Pvruvic acid	5.15	174	1.15	0.011	
1-Methylhydantoin 5.81 192 1.48 <0.001 3-Aminoisobutyric acid 5.85 102 2.11 <0.001 Butyraldehyde 6.01 145 1.34 0.015 Oxalic acid 6.07 86 1.46 <0.001 3-hydroxybutyric acid 6.29 66 1.96 <0.001 3-hydroxybutyric acid 6.29 66 1.96 <0.001 3-hydroxybutyric acid 6.34 145 1.09 0.018 Arenty function acid 6.44 141 1.74 <0.001 c-Caprolactam 6.84 170 1.6 0.001 a-Ketoisocaproic acid 6.97 102 1.9 <0.001 a-Ketoisocaproic acid 6.97 102 1.9 <0.001 a-Ketoisocaproic acid 6.97 102 1.9 <0.001 a-Ketoisocaproic acid 7.55 105 1.39 0.001 Benzois acid 7.55 105 1.39 0.001 Ehanolamine 7.78 174 1.22 0.005 Benzois acid 7.55 105 1.39 0.001 Ehanolamine 7.78 174 1.22 0.005 Benzois acid 8.27 142 1.75 <0.001 Phylol 8.25 78 1.58 <0.001 Phylol 8.25 78 1.58 <0.001 Froline 8.40 174 1.05 0.028 <i>Trans</i> -4-hydroxy-t-proline 8.53 158 1.21 0.011 a-Glyceine acid 8.75 190 1.09 0.023 Pipeoclinic acid 8.75 129 1.001 1-Hydroxyanthraquinone 9.20 2.81 1.83 <0.001 1-Hydroxyanthraquinone 9.33 1.44 1.45 0.017 Acatolo 1.022 2.19 1.04 0.036 Acatolo 1.13 2.13 0.004 Thymine 1.011 113 1.15 0.006 Acatoberzyloxy-t-leucine 10.44 77 1.56 <0.001 Aminomalonic acid 11.33 2.18 1.14 0.002 Aminomalonic acid 13.47 170 1.27 0.005 Aminomalonic acid 13.47 170 1.27 0.005 Aminomalonic acid 13.47 170 1.27 0.005 Aminomalonic acid 13.47 170 1.27 0.001 Acteologutaric acid 13.47 170 1.27 0.001 Acteologutaric acid 13.47 170 1.27 0.001 Acteologutaric acid 13.47 170 1.27 0.001 Adhatoic acid 13.47 170 1.27 0.001 Adhatoic acid 14.41 114 1.31 0.002 Aminomalonic acid 14.41 114 1.31 0.002 Aminomalonic acid 14.41 114 1.31 0.002 Acteologutaric acid 14.47 1.70 1.27 0.001 A	Citrulline	5.57	157	1.27	0.004	† 1
3-Aminolsobutyric acid 5-85 102 2-11 <001 1 2-Aminoethanethiol 5-98 203 2-26 <0-001 1 Butyraldehyde 6-01 145 1.34 0-015 1 Dxalic acid 6-07 86 1.45 0-002 1 Gutaraldehyde 6-26 96 1.46 <0-001 1 3-hydroxybutyric acid 6-29 66 1.96 <0-001 1 Benzylsuccinic acid 6-34 145 1.09 0-018 1 N-methyl-0-alainine 6-45 130 1.26 0-031 1 Itaconic acid 6-46 141 1.74 <0-001 1 a-Caprolactam 6-84 170 1.6 0-001 1 a-CAetolsocaproic acid 6-97 102 1.9 <0-001 1 Urea 7.35 190 1.07 0-025 1 Benzyls acid 7-55 105 1.39 0-001 1 Urea 7.81 174 1.22 0-005 1 Norleucine 7.84 158 1.28 0-005 1 Photol 8-25 78 1.54 0-001 1 Phenylacetaldehyde 8-31 193 1.27 <0-001 1 Glycine 8-40 174 1.05 0-028 1 Trans-4-hydroxy-t-proline 8-53 158 1.21 0-011 1 Sicotinoy(glycine 8-94 208 1.91 <0-001 1 Nicotinoy(glycine 10-40 1.92 2.19 1.04 0-036 1 Norvaline 9-38 1.44 1.45 0-017 1 Nicotinoy(glycine 10-40 9.71 2.56 <0-001 1 Acetol 10-22 2.19 1.04 0-036 1 Norvaline 9-38 1.44 1.45 0-017 1 Nicotinoy(glycine 10-41 97 1.56 <0-001 1 Malonamide 10-75 172 2.02 0-001 1 Malonamide 10-75 172 2.02 0-001 1 Malonamide 12-31 1.88 1.44 0-006 1 Nethymaleamic acid 12-25 170 1.82 0-001 1 Nethymaleamic acid 13-36 1.33 0.16 0-023 1 Nethymaleamic acid 13-37 1.70 1.27 0.005 1 Aminomalonic acid 13-47 170 1.27 0.005 1 Nethymaleamic acid 13-47 170 1.27 0.005 1 Nethymaleamic acid 13-47 170 1.27 0.005 1 Aminomalonic acid 13-47 170 1.27 0.005 1 Nethymaleamic acid 13-47 1.70 1.	1-Methylhydantoin	5.81	192	1.48	<0.001	\downarrow
2-Aminoethanethiol 5-98 203 2.26 <0-001 Butyraldehyde 6-01 145 1.34 0-015 Oxalic acid 6-07 86 1.45 0-002 Glutaraldehyde 6-26 96 1.46 <0-001 Benzylsuccinic acid 6-34 145 1.09 0-018 Armethyl-u-alanine 6-45 130 1.26 0-031 Haconic acid 6-46 141 1.74 <0-001 e-Caprolactam 6-84 170 1.6 0-001 e-Caprolactam 6-84 170 1.6 0-001 e-Caprolactam 7.55 105 1.39 0-001 Urea 7.35 105 1.39 0-001 Ehanolamine 7.78 174 1.22 0-005 Norleucine 7.84 158 1.28 0-005 Norleucine 7.84 158 1.28 0-005 Norleucine 7.84 158 1.28 0-005 Phytol 8-25 78 1.58 <0-001 Phytol 8-25 78 0.001 Phytol 8-25 78 0.001 Phytol 8-27 142 1.75 <0-001 Phytol 8-27 142 1.75 <0-001 Phytol 8-27 142 1.75 <0-001 Phytol 8-28 1.58 1.21 0.011 0-Glyceric acid 8-75 190 1.09 0.023 Imicctinoviglycine 8-94 208 1.91 <0-001 1-Hydroxyanthraquinone 9-20 281 1.83 <0-001 1-Hydroxyanthraquinone 9-20 281 1.83 <0-001 1-Hydroxyanthraquinone 9-73 219 1.23 0-004 Thymine 10-01 113 1.15 0-006 1-Abetol 10-22 219 1.04 0-036 Carbobenzyloxy-1-leucine 10-44 97 1.56 <0-001 1-S-Methoxytryptamine 10-60 174 1.61 <0-001 1-Abinomopropane 11-13 243 1.36 0-002 Aminomalonic acid 11-33 218 1.14 0-006 1-Thymine 10-11 13 1.15 0-006 Aminomalonic acid 12-26 170 1.82 <0-001 1-Bytexplinet acid 13-47 170 1.27 0-005 Aminomalonic acid 13-47 170 1.27 0-005 1-Cysteine 13-05 218 1.45 <0-001 1-Abithiorine 14-31 142 1.46 <0-001 1-Abithiorine 14-31 142 1.46 <0-001 1-Abithiorine 14-31 142 1.46 <0-001 1-Abithiorine 14-34 1.78 0-005 1-Abithiorine 14-34 1.77 0.127 0.005 1-Abithydroxyhippuric acid 14-84 1.31 0-005 1-Abi	3-Aminoisobutyric acid	5.85	102	2.11	<0.001	1
Butyratidehyde 6-01 145 1-34 0-015 1 Oxalic acid 6-07 86 1-46 <0-001 1 3-hydroxybutyric acid 6-29 66 1-96 <0-001 1 Benzylsuccinic acid 6-34 145 1-09 0-018 1 Avmethyl-oL-alanine 6-45 130 1-26 0-031 1 Itaconic acid 6-87 102 1-9 <0-001 1 e-Caprolactam 6-84 170 1-6 0-001 1 Ethanolamine 7-78 174 1-22 0-005 1 Ethanolamine 7-78 174 1-22 0-005 1 Phytol 8-25 78 1-58 <0-001 1 Proline 7-84 158 1-28 0-005 1 Phenylacetaldehyde 8-31 193 1-27 <0-001 1 Froline 8-27 142 1-75 <0-001 1 Proline 8-27 142 1-75 <0-001 1 Froline 8-27 142 1-75 <0-001 1 Phenylacetaldehyde 8-31 193 1-27 <0-001 1 Disctinoylglycine 8-94 208 1-91 <0-001 1 Nicotinoylglycine 8-94 208 1-91 <0-001 1 1-Hydroxyn-thraquinone 9-73 219 1-23 0-004 1 Thronine 9-73 219 1-23 0-004 1 Thronine 9-73 219 1-23 0-004 1 Thronine 10-01 113 1-15 0-006 1 Acetol 10-22 219 1-04 0-036 1 Carbobenzyloxy-L-leucine 10-44 97 1-56 <0-001 1 J-5-Methoxytrytamine 10-60 174 1-61 <0-001 1 Mainomaidon 10-75 172 2-02 <0-001 1 1-3-Diaminopropane 11-13 243 3-004 1 Thronine 12-31 128 1-24 0-001 1 Threonine 12-31 128 1-34 0-006 1 Arminomalonic acid 11-33 218 1-14 0-006 1 Threonine 12-31 128 1-24 0-001 1 Threonine 12-31 128 1-24 0-001 1 D-6-Methoxytrytamine 10-60 174 2-1 <0-001 1 Mainomalonic acid 12-25 170 1-82 <0-001 1 Threonine 12-31 128 1-24 0-001 1 D-7-5 Hethoxytrytamine 12-66 1-53 <0-001 1 D-7-bythine 12-34 156 1-34 0-002 1 Arminomalonic acid 12-25 170 1-82 <0-001 1 D-7-bythine 12-34 156 1-34 0-002 1 Threotic acid 13-67 170 1-27 0-005 1 3-Phenyllactic acid 14-74 291 1-44 0-004 1 D-7-bythine 14-71 128 1-44 0-004 1 Benzoin 14-78 243 1-69 <0-001 1 D-7-bythine 14-71 128 1-46 <0-001 1 D-7-bythine 14-71 128 1-46 0-001 1 Benzoin 14-78 243 1-69 <0-001 1 Benzoin 14-78 243 1-64 0-001 1 Benzoin 14-78 243 1-64 0-001 1 Benzo	2-Aminoethanethiol	5.98	203	2.26	<0.001	1
Oxalic acid 6-07 86 1-45 0-002 1 3-hydroxybutyric acid 6-29 66 1-96 <0-001	Butyraldehyde	6.01	145	1.34	0.015	Ļ
Glutanuenye 620 90 1440 COUT 1 Avmethyl-ou-alanine 645 130 1.26 0.031 1 Maconica acid 6.46 141 1.74 <0.001	Oxalic acid	6.07	06	1.45	0.002	↓ ^
Barylsuccinic acid 632 109 0.018 1 N-methyl-Du-alanine 645 130 1-26 0.031 1 taconic acid 646 141 1.74 <0.001	3-bydroxybutyric acid	6.20	90 66	1.96	<0.001	1
Diversity of the second seco	Benzylsuccinic acid	6.34	145	1.09	0.018	↓ ↑
taconic acid 646 141 1.74 <0001	<i>N</i> -methyl-pL-alanine	6.45	130	1.26	0.031	1
e-Caprolactam 6-84 170 1-6 0.001 1 a-Ketolsocaproic acid 6-97 102 1-9 <0.001	Itaconic acid	6.46	141	1.74	<0.001	Ť
a-Ketoisocaproic acid 6-97 102 1.9 <0.001	ϵ -Caprolactam	6.84	170	1.6	0.001	1 1
Urea 7.35 190 1.07 0.025 1 Benzoic acid 7.55 105 1.39 0.001 1 Benzoic acid 7.78 174 1.22 0.005 1 Norleucine 7.84 158 1.28 0.005 1 Proline 8.27 142 1.75 <0.001	a-Ketoisocaproic acid	6.97	102	1.9	<0.001	1
Benzoic acid 7.55 105 1.39 0.001 ↑ Ethanolamine 7.78 174 1.22 0.005 ↑ Norleucine 7.84 158 1.28 0.005 ↑ Phytol 8.25 78 1.58 <0.001	Urea	7.35	190	1.07	0.025	1
Ethanolamine 7.78 174 1.22 0.005 1 Norleucine 7.84 158 1.28 0.005 1 Phytol 8.25 78 1.58 0.001 1 Proline 8.27 142 1.75 0.001 1 Phenylacetaldehyde 8.31 193 1.27 0.001 1 Denylacetaldehyde 8.31 193 1.27 0.001 1 Denylacetaldehyde 8.31 158 1.21 0.011 1 DeGlyceric acid 8.75 190 1.09 0.023 1 Pipecolinic acid 8.81 156 1.74 0.001 1 Nicotinoylglycine 8.94 208 1.91 0.001 1 1-Hydroxyanthraquinone 9.20 281 1.83 0.001 1 Norvaline 9.73 219 1.23 0.004 1 Threonine 9.73 219 1.23 0.004 1 Threonine 9.73 219 1.23 0.004 1 Thymine 10.01 113 1.15 0.006 1 Acetol 10.22 219 1.04 0.036 1 Carbobenzyloxy-L-leucine 10.44 97 1.56 0.001 1 J.3-Diaminopropane 11.13 243 1.36 0.002 1 Aminomalonic acid 11.33 218 1.14 0.006 1 Threitol 11.93 206 1.53 0.001 1 Putrescine 12.31 128 1.24 0.001 1 Putrescine 12.34 1.56 0.001 1 Methionine 12.31 128 1.24 0.001 1 Putrescine 12.34 1.56 0.001 1 Threonica caid 11.33 218 1.14 0.006 1 Threitol 11.93 206 1.53 0.001 1 Putrescine 12.06 1.74 2.1 0.001 1 Putrescine 12.06 1.74 2.1 0.001 1 Carbobenzylaxy-L-leucine 10.44 97 0.156 0.001 1 Putrescine 12.34 1.56 0.001 1 Putrescine 13.05 218 1.45 0.001 1 Putrescine 13.05 2.18 1.45 0.001 1 Putrescine 14.31 1.42 1.46 0.001 1 Putrescine 14.43 1.44 1.41 1.31 0.005 1 Putrescine 14.77 2.103 1.17 0.011 1 Putrescine 14.78 243 1.69 0.001 1 Putrescine 14.77 2.20 1.47 0.011 1 Putrescine 14.77 2.20 1.47 0.011 1 Putrescine 14.74 2.19 1.44 0.001 1 Putrescie 14.74 2.19 1.44 0.001 1 Putrescine 14.75	Benzoic acid	7.55	105	1.39	0.001	1
Norleucine 7.84 158 1.28 0.005 ↑ Phytol 8.25 78 1.58 <0.001	Ethanolamine	7.78	174	1.22	0.005	Ļ
Prytol 8-25 78 1-58 <0-001	Norleucine	7.84	158	1.28	0.005	Î
Florine 627 142 1.73 CUO01 1 Glycine 8:40 174 1.05 0.028 1 Glycine 8:40 174 1.05 0.028 1 D-Glyceric acid 8:75 190 1.09 0.023 1 Pipecolinic acid 8:81 156 1.74 <0.001	Province	8.25	140	1.58	<0.001	T ↑
Thenylackadenyla 8-40 174 1.05 0.001 Trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline 8-53 158 1.21 0.011 p-Glyceric acid 8-75 190 1.09 0.023 1 Pipecolinic acid 8-81 156 1.74 <0.001	Phonylacetaldebyde	0.27 8.31	142	1.27	<0.001	 ↑
Big and the second se	Glycine	8.40	174	1.05	0.028	1
D-Glyceric acid 8.75 190 1.09 0.023 ↑ Pipecolinic acid 8.81 156 1.74 <0.001	<i>Trans</i> -4-hvdroxy-L-proline	8.53	158	1.21	0.011	*
Pipecolinic acid 8.81 156 1.74 <0.001 ↑ Nicotinoylglycine 8.94 208 1.91 <0.001	D-Glyceric acid	8.75	190	1.09	0.023	Ť
Nicotinoylglycine 8.94 208 1.91 <0.001 ↑ 1-Hydroxyanthraquinone 9.20 281 1.83 <0.001	Pipecolinic acid	8.81	156	1.74	<0.001	↑
1-Hydroxyanthraquinone 9-20 281 1.83 <0-001	Nicotinoylglycine	8.94	208	1.91	<0.001	1
Norvaline 9.38 144 1.45 0.017 ↑ Threonine 9.73 219 1.23 0.004 ↑ Thymine 10.01 113 1.15 0.006 ↑ Acetol 10.22 219 1.04 0.036 ↑ Carbobenzyloxy-L-leucine 10.44 97 1.56 <0.001	1-Hydroxyanthraquinone	9.20	281	1.83	<0.001	1
Threonine 9.73 219 1.23 0.004 ↑ Thymine 10.01 113 1.15 0.006 ↑ Acetol 10.22 219 1.04 0.036 ↑ Carbobenzyloxy-L-leucine 10.44 97 1.56 <0.001	Norvaline	9.38	144	1.45	0.017	1
Inymine 10.01 113 1.15 0.006 ↑ Acetol 10.22 219 1.04 0.036 ↑ Carbobenzyloxy-L-leucine 10.44 97 1.56 <0.001	Threonine	9.73	219	1.23	0.004	1
Acelon10-222191-040-036Carbobenzyloxy-L-leucine10-44971-56<0-001	Inymine	10.01	113	1.15	0.006	Î
Darboen 2/03/PC-reactine 10-47 10-74 1-61 <0001 1 Malonamide 10-75 172 2:02 <0:001	Carbobanzyloxyleucine	10.22	219	1.56	-0.001	
Malonamide 10.75 171 10.76 0001 1 1,3-Diaminopropane 11.13 243 1.36 0.002 ↓ Aminomalonic acid 11.33 218 1.14 0.006 ↓ Threitol 11.93 206 1.53 <0.001	5-Methoxytryntamine	10.60	174	1.61	<0.001	↓
1.3-Diaminopropane11.132431.360.002 \downarrow Aminomalonic acid11.332181.140.006 \downarrow Threitol11.932061.53<0.001	Malonamide	10.75	172	2.02	<0.001	* ↑
Aminomalonic acid11.332181.14 0.006 \downarrow Threitol11.932061.53 <0.001 \uparrow Putrescine12.061742.1 <0.001 \downarrow N-ethylmaleamic acid12.251701.82 <0.001 \uparrow Methionine12.311281.24 0.002 \downarrow Threonic acid12.802061.56 <0.001 \downarrow L-Cysteine13.052181.45 <0.001 \uparrow 2-Hydroxy-2-phenylacetic13.262812.07 <0.001 \uparrow acid a a 13.47 170 1.27 0.005 \downarrow 3-Phenyllactic acid13.611931.16 0.023 \uparrow Glutamic acid14.742191.44 <0.004 \uparrow Benzoin14.782431.69 <0.001 \uparrow 3-Hydroxyphenylacetic acid14.792811.48 0.002 \uparrow o -Hydroxyhippuric acid14.881931.17 0.011 \uparrow Dodecanol15.642431.68 0.002 \uparrow 3 -Hydroxyhippuric acid18.941571.21 0.006 \downarrow Allantoic acid19.721461.35 0.004 \uparrow Benzoin14.782431.68 0.002 \uparrow a -Hydroxyhippuric acid14.88193 1.17 0.011 \uparrow Dodecanol15.642431.68 0.002 \downarrow	1,3-Diaminopropane	11.13	243	1.36	0.002	Ļ
Threitol 11.93 206 1.53 <0.001	Aminomalonic acid	11.33	218	1.14	0.006	Ļ
Putrescine12.061742.1 <0.001 \downarrow <i>N</i> -ethylmaleamic acid12.251701.82 <0.001 \uparrow Methionine12.311281.24 0.004 \uparrow Oxoproline12.311281.24 0.002 \downarrow Threonic acid12.802061.56 <0.001 \downarrow L-Cysteine13.052181.45 <0.001 \uparrow 2-Hydroxy-2-phenylacetic13.262812.07 <0.001 \uparrow acid	Threitol	11.93	206	1.53	<0.001	1
N-ethylmaleamic acid 12:25 170 $1.82 < <0.001$ ↑ Methionine 12:31 128 1.24 0.004 ↑ Oxoproline 12:31 128 1.24 0.002 ↓ Threonic acid 12:80 206 $1.56 < <0.001$ ↓ $-Cysteine$ 13:05 218 $1.45 < <0.001$ ↑ $2-Hydroxy-2$ -phenylacetic 13:26 281 $2.07 < <0.001$ ↑ acid $acid$ $acid$ 13.47 170 $1.27 $ 0.005 ↓ 3-Phenyllactic acid 13:61 193 1.16 0.023 ↑ Ornithine 14:31 142 $1.46 < <0.001$ ↑ Glutamic acid 14:74 219 1.44 0.004 ↑ Benzoin 14:78 243 $1.69 < <0.001$ ↑ J-Hydroxyhenylacetic acid 14:79 281 1.48 0.002 ↑ o-Hydroxyhippuric acid 14:88 193 1.17 0.011 ↑ Dodecanol 15:64 243 1.68	Putrescine	12.06	174	2.1	<0.001	\downarrow
Methionine 12.31 128 1.24 0.004 ↑ Oxoproline 12.34 156 1.34 0.002 ↓ Threonic acid 12.80 206 1.56 <0.001	N-ethylmaleamic acid	12.25	170	1.82	<0.001	1
Oxoproline12.341561.340.002 \downarrow Threonic acid12.802061.56<0.001	Methionine	12.31	128	1.24	0.004	1 L
Infection acid12-802061-36 < 0.001 \downarrow L-Cysteine13-052181-45 < 0.001 \uparrow 2-Hydroxy-2-phenylacetic13-262812.07 < 0.001 \uparrow acidacid13-611931-16 0.023 \uparrow 3-Phenyllactic acid13-611931-16 0.023 \uparrow Ornithine14-311421-46 < 0.001 \uparrow Glutamic acid14-742191-44 0.004 \uparrow Benzoin14-782431-69 < 0.001 \uparrow 3-Hydroxyphenylacetic acid14-782431-68 0.002 \uparrow o-Hydroxyhippuric acid14-881931.17 0.011 \uparrow Dodecanol15-642431-68 0.002 \uparrow 3,6-Anhydro-D-galactose17-112061.72 < 0.001 \downarrow Synephrine17-671281-46 0.001 \uparrow Fructose17.721031.17 0.011 \uparrow Glucose18-941571.21 0.006 \downarrow Allantoic acid29.962991.75 < 0.001 \downarrow palmitic acid23.491921.42 0.002 \downarrow Uric acid23.491921.42 0.002 \downarrow Uric acid23.491921.42 0.002 \downarrow Isoxanthopterin24.75731.33 0.034 \uparrow	Oxoproline	12.34	156	1.34	0.002	Ļ
Lecysterile13:032181:43 < 0.001 2-Hydroxy-2-phenylacetic13:262812.07 < 0.001 acid13:471701:27 0.005 \downarrow 3-Phenyllactic acid13:611931:16 0.023 \uparrow Ornithine14:311421:46 < 0.001 \uparrow Glutamic acid14:411141:31 0.005 \downarrow Tartaric acid14:742191:44 0.004 \uparrow Benzoin14:782431:69 < 0.001 \uparrow 3-Hydroxyhenylacetic acid14:782431:68 0.002 \uparrow o-Hydroxyhippuric acid14:881931:17 0.011 \uparrow Dodecanol15:642431:68 0.002 \uparrow 3,6-Anhydro-D-galactose17:112061:72 < 0.001 \downarrow Synephrine17:671281:46 0.001 \uparrow Fructose17:721031:17 0.011 \uparrow Glucose18:941571:21 0.006 \downarrow Allantoic acid29:721461:35 0.004 \downarrow Erythrose20:451891:14 < 0.001 \downarrow Multic acid23:491921:42 0.002 \downarrow Uric acid23:491921:42 0.002 \downarrow Isoxanthopterin24:75731:33 0.034 \uparrow		12.80	200	1.20	<0.001	↓ ^
acid13.471701.270.005 \downarrow a-Ketoglutaric acid13.471701.270.005 \downarrow 3-Phenyllactic acid13.611931.160.023 \uparrow Ornithine14.311421.46<0.001	2-Hydroxy-2-phenylacetic	13.26	210	2.07	<0.001	 ↑
a-Ketoglutaric acid 13.47 170 1.27 0.005 \downarrow 3-Phenyllactic acid 13.61 193 1.16 0.023 \uparrow Ornithine 14.31 142 1.46 <0.001 \uparrow Glutamic acid 14.41 114 1.31 0.005 \downarrow Tartaric acid 14.74 219 1.44 0.004 \uparrow Benzoin 14.78 243 1.69 <0.001 \uparrow 3-Hydroxyphenylacetic acid 14.78 243 1.68 0.002 \uparrow 0 -Hydroxyhippuric acid 14.88 193 1.17 0.011 \uparrow Dodecanol 15.64 243 1.68 0.002 \uparrow $3,6$ -Anhydro-D-galactose 17.11 206 1.72 <0.001 \downarrow Synephrine 17.67 128 1.46 0.001 \uparrow Fructose 17.72 103 1.17 0.016 \downarrow Allantoic acid 19.72 146 1.35 0.004	acid	10 20	201	207	0001	I
3-Phenyllactic acid 13.61 193 1.16 0.023 \uparrow Ornithine 14.31 142 1.46 <0.001	a-Ketoglutaric acid	13.47	170	1.27	0.005	Ļ
Ornithine 14.31 142 1.46 <0.001 \uparrow Glutamic acid 14.41 114 1.31 0.005 \downarrow Tartaric acid 14.74 219 1.44 0.004 \uparrow Benzoin 14.78 243 1.69 <0.001	3-Phenyllactic acid	13.61	193	1.16	0.023	1
Glutamic acid 14.41 114 1.31 0.005 ↓ Tartaric acid 14.74 219 1.44 0.004 ↑ Benzoin 14.78 243 1.69 <0.001 ↑ 3-Hydroxyphenylacetic acid 14.79 281 1.48 0.002 ↑ 3 -Hydroxyphenylacetic acid 14.79 281 1.48 0.002 ↑ 0 -Hydroxyhippuric acid 14.88 193 1.17 0.011 ↑ Dodecanol 15.64 243 1.68 0.002 ↑ 3,6-Anhydro-D-galactose 17.11 206 1.72 <0.001 ↓ Synephrine 17.67 128 1.46 0.001 ↓ Fructose 17.72 103 1.17 0.011 ↑ Glucose 18.94 157 1.21 0.004 ↓ Allantoic acid 19.72 146 1.35 0.001 ↓ Orotic acid 20.96 299 1.75 <0.001 ↓ Palmitic acid 23.49 <td>Ornithine</td> <td>14.31</td> <td>142</td> <td>1.46</td> <td><0.001</td> <td>1</td>	Ornithine	14.31	142	1.46	<0.001	1
Tartaric acid 14.74 219 1.44 0.004 \uparrow Benzoin 14.78 243 1.69 <0.001 \uparrow 3 -Hydroxyphenylacetic acid 14.79 281 1.48 0.002 \uparrow o -Hydroxyhippuric acid 14.88 193 1.17 0.011 \uparrow Dodecanol 15.64 243 1.68 0.002 \uparrow $3,6$ -Anhydro-D-galactose 17.11 206 1.72 <0.001 \downarrow Synephrine 17.72 103 1.17 0.011 \uparrow Fructose 17.72 103 1.17 0.011 \uparrow Glucose 18.94 157 1.21 0.006 \downarrow Allantoic acid 19.72 146 1.35 0.004 \uparrow Erythrose 20.45 189 1.14 <0.001 \downarrow Orotic acid 20.96 299 1.75 <0.001 \downarrow Palmitic acid 23.49 192 1.42 0.002 \downarrow Uric acid 23.65 141 1.78 0.005 \uparrow Methyl octadecanoate 24.08 97 1.06 <0.001 \downarrow Isoxanthopterin 24.75 73 1.33 0.034 \uparrow	Glutamic acid	14.41	114	1.31	0.005	\downarrow
Benzoin 14.78 243 1.69 <0.001	Tartaric acid	14.74	219	1.44	0.004	1
3-Hydroxypnenylacetic acid 14.79 281 1.48 0.002 o-Hydroxyhippuric acid 14.88 193 1.17 0.011 \uparrow Dodecanol 15.64 243 1.68 0.002 \uparrow 3,6-Anhydro-D-galactose 17.11 206 1.72 <0.001 \downarrow Synephrine 17.67 128 1.46 0.001 \uparrow Fructose 17.72 103 1.17 0.011 \uparrow Glucose 18.94 157 1.21 0.006 \downarrow Allantoic acid 19.72 146 1.35 0.004 \uparrow Erythrose 20.45 189 1.14 <0.001 \downarrow Orotic acid 20.96 299 1.75 <0.001 \downarrow Palmitic acid 23.49 192 1.42 0.002 \downarrow Uric acid 23.65 141 1.78 0.005 \uparrow Methyl octadecanoate 24.08 97 1.06 <0.001 \downarrow Isoxanthopterin 24.75 73 <t< td=""><td>Benzoin</td><td>14.78</td><td>243</td><td>1.69</td><td><0.001</td><td>1 1</td></t<>	Benzoin	14.78	243	1.69	<0.001	1 1
D-Hydroxynippunc acid 14-88 193 1-17 0-011 \uparrow Dodecanol 15-64 243 1-68 0-002 \uparrow 3,6-Anhydro-D-galactose 17-11 206 1-72 <0-001	3-Hydroxyphenylacetic acid	14.79	281	1.48	0.002	Î
Double control13.6424.51.000.00013,6-Anhydro-D-galactose17.11206 1.72 <0.001 \downarrow Synephrine17.67128 1.46 0.001 \uparrow Fructose17.72103 1.17 0.011 \uparrow Glucose18.94157 1.21 0.006 \downarrow Allantoic acid19.72146 1.35 0.004 \uparrow Erythrose20.45189 1.14 <0.001 \downarrow Orotic acid20.96299 1.75 <0.001 \downarrow Palmitic acid22.84145 1.64 <0.001 \downarrow Uric acid23.49192 1.42 0.005 \downarrow Methyl octadecanoate24.0897 1.06 <0.001 \downarrow Isoxanthopterin24.7573 1.33 0.034 \uparrow	Dedecanel	14.88	193	1.69	0.002	Ť
All antoice 17.67 128 1.46 0.001 \uparrow Fructose 17.72 103 1.17 0.011 \uparrow Glucose 18.94 157 1.21 0.006 \downarrow Allantoic acid 19.72 146 1.35 0.004 \uparrow Erythrose 20.45 189 1.14 <0.001	3 6-Anhydro-D-galactose	17.11	206	1.72	<0.002	1
Fructose17.72103 1.17 0.011 \uparrow Glucose18.94157 1.21 0.006 \downarrow Allantoic acid19.72146 1.35 0.004 \uparrow Erythrose20.45189 1.14 <0.001 \downarrow Orotic acid20.96299 1.75 <0.001 \uparrow Palmitic acid22.84145 1.64 <0.001 \downarrow <i>myo</i> -Inositol23.49192 1.42 0.005 \uparrow Uric acid23.65141 1.78 0.005 \uparrow Methyl octadecanoate24.0897 1.06 <0.001 \downarrow Isoxanthopterin24.7573 1.33 0.034 \uparrow	Synephrine	17.67	128	1.46	0.001	≁ ↑
Glucose 18.94 157 1.21 0.006 \downarrow Allantoic acid 19.72 146 1.35 0.004 \uparrow Erythrose 20.45 189 1.14 <0.001 \downarrow Orotic acid 20.96 299 1.75 <0.001 \downarrow Palmitic acid 22.84 145 1.64 <0.001 \downarrow myo-Inositol 23.49 192 1.42 0.002 \downarrow Uric acid 23.65 141 1.78 0.005 \uparrow Methyl octadecanoate 24.08 97 1.06 <0.001 \downarrow Isoxanthopterin 24.75 73 1.33 0.034 \uparrow	Fructose	17.72	103	1.17	0.011	ŕ
Allantoic acid 19.72 146 1.35 0.004 \uparrow Erythrose 20.45 189 1.14 <0.001 \downarrow Orotic acid 20.96 299 1.75 <0.001 \uparrow Palmitic acid 22.84 145 1.64 <0.001 \downarrow myo-Inositol 23.49 192 1.42 0.002 \downarrow Uric acid 23.65 141 1.78 0.005 \uparrow Methyl octadecanoate 24.08 97 1.06 <0.001 \downarrow Isoxanthopterin 24.75 73 1.33 0.034 \uparrow	Glucose	18.94	157	1.21	0.006	Ļ
Erythrose 20.45 189 1.14 <0.001 \downarrow Orotic acid 20.96 299 1.75 <0.001 \uparrow Palmitic acid 22.84 145 1.64 <0.001 \downarrow myo-Inositol 23.49 192 1.42 0.002 \downarrow Uric acid 23.65 141 1.78 0.005 \uparrow Methyl octadecanoate 24.08 97 1.06 <0.001 \downarrow Isoxanthopterin 24.75 73 1.33 0.034 \uparrow	Allantoic acid	19.72	146	1.35	0.004	1
Orotic acid 20.96 299 1.75 <0.001 \uparrow Palmitic acid 22.84 145 1.64 <0.001 \downarrow myo-Inositol 23.49 192 1.42 0.002 \downarrow Uric acid 23.65 141 1.78 0.005 \uparrow Methyl octadecanoate 24.08 97 1.06 <0.001 \downarrow Isoxanthopterin 24.75 73 1.33 0.034 \uparrow Kvotorphin 25.24 144 1.66 <0.001 \downarrow	Erythrose	20.45	189	1.14	<0.001	\downarrow
Palmitic acid 22.84 145 1.64 <0.001 \downarrow myo-Inositol 23.49 192 1.42 0.002 \downarrow Uric acid 23.65 141 1.78 0.005 \uparrow Methyl octadecanoate 24.08 97 1.06 <0.001 \downarrow Isoxanthopterin 24.75 73 1.33 0.034 \uparrow Kvotorphin 25.24 144 1.66 <0.001 \downarrow	Orotic acid	20.96	299	1.75	<0.001	1
myo-inositoi 23:49 192 1.42 0.002 \downarrow Uric acid 23:65 141 1.78 0.005 \uparrow Methyl octadecanoate 24:08 97 1.06 <0.001	Palmitic acid	22.84	145	1.64	<0.001	Ļ
One actio 23-65 141 1.78 0.005 \uparrow Methyl octadecanoate 24-08 97 1.06 <0.001 \downarrow Isoxanthopterin 24-75 73 1.33 0.034 \uparrow Kvotorphin 25-24 144 1.66 <0.001 i	myo-Inositol	23.49	192	1.42	0.002	Ļ
Isoxanthopterin 24.75 73 1.33 0.034 ↑ Kvotorphin 25.24 144 1.66 <0.001 ↓	Mothyl octadocanosto	23.05	141	1.06	0.005	Ť
Kvotorphin 25.24 144 1.66 <0.001	Isovanthonterin	24·00 24.75	97 72	1.33	0.02/	↓ ↑
	Kyotorphin	25.24	144	1.66	<0.001	Ļ

Table 3. Continued

Metabolites	RT (min)	Quant Mass	VIP	Р	Change
	. ,				
Oleic acid	25.24	97	1.49	0.002	\downarrow
Elaidic acid	25.32	97	1.36	<0.001	\downarrow
Stearic acid	25.56	145	1.73	<0.001	\downarrow
5-Hydroxyindole-2-	26.23	159	1.32	0.024	1
carboxylic acid					
Arachidonic acid	26.88	92	1.59	<0.001	\downarrow
Mucic acid	27.37	97	1.34	<0.001	\downarrow
Tetracosane	28.18	71	1.04	0.001	↓
Lactobionic acid	28.22	204	1.01	0.022	↑
Diglycerol	29.36	132	1.16	0.007	1 1
Maltose	29.91	204	1.89	0.007	Ļ
a-Tocopherol	32.54	208	1.45	<0.001	Ļ
Cholic acid	34.29	243	1.61	0.01	↑

RT, retention time; VIP, variable importance in the projection; Change, ratio of mean peak area of the HS group to the mean peak area of the NC group; \uparrow , metabolites with higher concentrations in the HS group than in the NC group with values >1; \downarrow , metabolites with lower concentrations in the HS group than in the NC group with values <1.

Table 4. Metabolites with significantly	different levels in the serum of heat
stress (HS) and pair-fed (PF) broilers	

Metabolites	RT (min)	Quant Mass	VIP	Ρ	Change
2 Amina athan athial	E 00	202	2.02	0.000	
2-Aminoeunaneunioi	0.90 6.15	203	2.03	0.002	↓ 1
A-Aminobutyne acid	6.24	142	1.43	0.030	↓ ^
	6.07	143	0.71	<0.0012	1
Succipio acid	7.97	1/7	2.71	0.005	↓ ↑
Ethanolamino	7.78	147	2.4	0.003	1
Phytol	8.25	79	1.07	0.030	1
Glucino	8.40	174	2.02	0.006	1
Uracil	8.00	113	2.02	0.000	1
Thymino	10.01	113	2.00	0.024	1
Phosphomycin	11.07	71	1.92	0.002	1
Dibydroxyacetone	11.30	173	1.16	0.025	1
-Malic acid	11.70	100	1.80	0.023	↓ ↑
	12.06	174	2.5	<0.001	 ↑
Indole-3-acetamide	12.57	98	1.78	0.013	1
Threonic acid	12.80	206	1.62	0.017	↓
N-methyl-i -qlutamic acid	12.90	146	1.3	0.033	↓
Renzoin	14.78	243	1.78	0.007	↓ ↑
3-Hydroxyphenylacetic acid	14.79	281	1.74	0.026	† ↑
Hypoxanthine	18.11	281	2.29	0.003	↑
Glucose	18.94	157	2.13	0.001	Ļ
Mannitol	20.66	206	1.87	0.036	Ļ
Orotic acid	20.96	299	1.59	<0.001	Ļ
Xanthine	22.26	281	1.99	0.02	, ↑
Phloroglucinol	23.57	172	1.01	0.019	Ļ
3-Hydroxypyridine	23.81	243	1.54	0.008	↑
Iminodiacetic acid	25.82	232	1.63	0.016	1
Noradrenaline	26.20	174	1.63	0.016	1
Hexadecane	26.69	85	1.66	0.012	1
cholesterol	32.70	81	1.94	0.01	1
cholestan-3beta-ol	32.81	81	2.41	0.003	1
Cholic acid	34.29	243	1.13	0.037	1
Palmitoleic acid	34.35	311	2	0.007	1
β-Sitosterol	34.63	105	1.24	0.044	1

RT, retention time; VIP, variable importance in the projection; Change, ratio of mean peak area of the HS group to the mean peak area of the PF group; ↑, metabolites with higher concentrations in the HS group than in the PF group with values >1; ↓, metabolites with lower concentrations in the HS group than in the PF group with values <1.



Fig. 5. Metabolite set enrichment analysis was performed using all of the discriminating metabolites identified by the two individual orthogonal projections to latent structures discriminant analyses models. The most representative twelve metabolic pathways were obtained from the heat stress group v the normal control group (a) or the heat stress group v. the pair-fed group (b). The ordinate represents -log(P). Bars that exceed the blue and red dotted lines indicate pathways with P values of <0.05 and <0.01, respectively. ABC, ATP-binding cassette.

proline, 1-cysteine, ornithine, methionine, citrulline, threonine, uric acid and pyruvic acid, whereas ADG, ADFI and breast proportion were negatively correlated with those metabolites. However, ADG, ADFI and breast proportion were positively correlated with stearic acid, arachidonic acid, palmitic acid, oleic acid, glutamic acid, α -ketoglutaric acid, glucose and glycine, whereas the F:G ratio and the leg, abdominal fat and intramuscular fat proportions were negatively correlated with those metabolites, except for leg proportion, which was positively correlated with glycine. Meanwhile, the subcutaneous fat proportion was positively correlated with proline, threonine and uric acid and negatively correlated with stearic acid, arachidonic acid, palmitic acid, oleic acid, glutamic acid and glycine.

Serum biochemistry

The serum concentration of uric acid was significantly higher in the HS group than in the NC group (P < 0.001) or PF group (P=0.003), and the concentration of uric acid in the PF group was significantly higher than that in the NC group (P < 0.001; Fig. 7(A)). The serum concentration of NEFA in the PF group was significantly higher than that in other two groups (P < 0.001), and the concentration of NEFA in the NC group was significantly higher than that in the HS group (P = 0.041; Fig. 7(B)).

Discussion

In the present study, finishing period (from 28 to 42 d of age) broiler chickens, which are more sensitive to heat stress than https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114518000247 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Z. Lu et al.



Proline

Stearic acid



778

Fig. 6. Heat map based on Pearson's correlations of growth performance, carcass composition and some important perturbed metabolites related to amino acid and energy metabolism in heat stress and normal control broilers. The colour scale represents Pearson's correlation coefficients, with red and blue representing positive and negative correlations, respectively. ADG, average daily gain; ADFI, average daily feed intake; F:G, feed:gain ratio.



Fig. 7. Effects of heat stress on the serum concentrations of uric acid and NEFA in broilers. (A) Comparison of uric acid levels (P < 0.001). (B) Comparison of NEFA levels (P < 0.001). Values are means (n 6), and standard deviations represented by error bars. NC, normal control group; HS, heat stress group; PF, pair-fed group. ^{a,b,c} Mean values with unlike letters were significantly different (P < 0.05).

younger birds⁽¹⁵⁾, were used to establish a chronic heat stress model. Chronic heat exposure significantly increased the cloacal temperatures of the broilers, regardless of the duration of heat exposure, which reflected their inability to sufficiently dissipate heat, thereby demonstrating the plausibility of the chronic heat stress model⁽¹⁶⁾. In general, chronic heat exposure impairs the feed intake, growth rate and feed efficiency of broilers and causes huge economic losses for the poultry industry^(17,18). Indeed, the present study demonstrated that chronic heat stress reduces broiler feed intake, as reported by Rosa *et al.*⁽¹⁹⁾, even though some studies have suggested that

broilers reduce feed consumption to avoid heat production^(20,21), and the ADG of the HS group was significantly lower than that of the PF group based on the same feed intake, which clearly demonstrates that the body weight gain of the chronic heat-stressed broiler was reduced by reductions in both feed consumption and efficiency. These results are consistent with those of Lu *et al.*⁽²²⁾. The present study also demonstrated that chronic heat stress significantly changed the carcass composition of the broilers. Compared with the NC group, chronic heat exposure decreased the proportion of breast muscle and increased the proportion of leg, which was also reported by Zhang et al.⁽²³⁾ and Temim et al.⁽²⁴⁾. The HS broilers also had higher abdominal fat, subcutaneous fat and intramuscular fat deposition than the other two groups, a finding that is consistent with the results of Geraert *et al.*⁽³⁾, Ain Baziz *et al.*⁽⁹⁾ and Lu et al.⁽²⁵⁾. Broilers exposed to high-temperature environments often exhibit excessive fat deposition, which is not desired by most consumers⁽²⁶⁾ and is generally experienced as a problem when producing broilers in tropical and subtropical regions⁽²⁷⁾

1.0

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0.0

-0.1

-0.2

-0.3

-0.4

-0.5

-0.6

-0.7

-0.8

-0.9

-1.0

0.0

To gain better insight into the post-absorptive metabolic changes caused by heat stress, we developed a GC-MS method to analyse the endogenous metabolites in broiler serum. To our knowledge, this is the first study to systematically identify metabolites that are expressed differently in the serum of broilers that have been chronically heat stressed. The results of PCA and OPLS-DA indicated that there were significant differences in the serum metabolites of the HS and NC groups, as well as between the HS and PF groups. More specifically,

779

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114518000247 Published online by Cambridge University Press

comparing the metabolites of the HS and NC groups indicated that heat stress altered the levels of seventy-eight metabolites (Table 3), many of which are involved in pathways for metabolising amino acids, including glycine, serine and threonine metabolism, arginine and proline metabolism, phenylalanine metabolism, cysteine and methionine metabolism. The glucose levels in the serum of heat-stressed broilers were reduced, which suggests that the broilers were in a negative energy balance⁽²⁸⁾, whereas the uric acid levels in the serum of the heat-stressed broilers were significantly increased, which is consistent with our biochemical validation. These results combined with the decreased proportion of breast suggest that protein may be involved in decomposition for energy, which is similar to the work of Zuo *et al.*⁽²⁹⁾. This could explain why the serum levels of some protein amino acids (e.g. proline, L-cysteine, methionine and threonine) were higher in the heat-stressed broilers, even though feed intake had been significantly reduced. The serum levels of glycine, however, declined in the HS group, possibly owing to intensive uric acid metabolism, as the synthesis of one mole of uric acid requires one mole of glycine⁽³⁰⁾. In addition, the levels of two non-protein amino acids (ornithine and citrulline) were elevated in the HS group, possibly owing to their special functions in stress relief and thermoregulation^(31,32). Serum levels of four fatty acids (stearic acid, arachidonic acid, palmitic acid and oleic acid) were lower in the serum of broilers in the HS group, which is in line with the findings of Wang *et al.*⁽³³⁾. Similarly, the results of serum biochemistry also showed that the serum concentration of NEFA in the HS group were significantly lower than that of other two groups. Under normal conditions, when an animal is in a negative energy balance, the export of NEFA from adipose tissue is promoted by increasing lipolysis. However, heat stress models have demonstrated that heat-stressed animals do not typically exhibit increased serum levels of NEFA, despite reduced feed intake and loss of body

weight^(34,35). In rodents, heat stress has been reported to reduce lipolytic rates *in vivo* and lipolytic enzyme activity *in vitro*⁽³⁶⁾. which may explain the lack of elevated NEFA in heatstressed animals. Moreover, two metabolites (pyruvic acid and α -ketoglutaric acid) are involved in the citrate cycle (TCA cycle) pathway and have opposite trends. Pyruvic acid is generated through glycolysis in the cytoplasm, whereas α -ketoglutaric acid is an intermediate product of citrate cycle reactions in the mitochondria. Previous studies have shown that chronic heat stress reduces metabolic oxidation capacity and increases glycolysis to generate energy⁽³⁷⁾, which may be related to mitochondrial dysfunction caused by heat stress⁽³⁸⁾. Comparing the metabolites of the HS and PF groups indicated that heat stress altered the levels of thirty-four metabolites (Table 4), many of which are involved in energy metabolism and regulation pathways, such as the citrate cycle (TCA cycle), neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction and insulin signalling pathways. Chronic heat exposure could motivate the sympathetic-adrenal medullar axle⁽³⁹⁾ and elevate the level of noradrenaline, which enhances animal energy metabolism, in order to cope with dramatic changes in environmental conditions⁽⁴⁰⁾, which is consistent with our results. In the present study, heat exposure enhanced the serum levels of noradrenaline and two citrate cycle intermediates (succinic acid and L-malic acid), which suggests that the heat-stressed broilers reinforced their energy metabolism via nervous-humoral regulation, in order to mitigate heat stress. Simultaneously, the glucose levels in the serum of the HS group were reduced, which suggests that the broilers consumed too much energy to break blood glucose homoeostasis. Due to the reduction of fatty acid oxidation under chronic heat stress, heat-stressed animals become increasingly dependent on glucose for their energy needs⁽⁴¹⁾. It is interesting that the variation in the serum levels of glycine and palmitoleic acid were exactly the opposite between the HS group v, the PF group and the HS



Fig. 8. Schematic overview of some important metabolites and major metabolic pathways related to amino acid and energy metabolism in heat-stressed broilers. ↑, heat stress (HS) group *v*. normal control (NC) group up-regulation; ↓, HS group *v*. NC group down-regulation; ↑, HS group *v*. pair-fed (PF) group up-regulation; ↓, HS group *v*. PF group down-regulation.

group *v*. the NC group, and the mechanism that underlies this phenomenon requires further investigation. A schematic diagram of some perturbed metabolic pathways is shown in Fig. 8.

Correlation analysis indicated that, when the HS group was compared with the NC group, the F:G ratio was positively correlated with abdominal fat proportion, subcutaneous fat proportion and intramuscular fat proportion and was negatively correlated with breast proportion, which suggests that the reduction in feed efficiency in the HS group is related to the excessive fat deposition and protein degradation, which is in line with the findings of Zeferino *et al.*⁽⁴²⁾. The finding that uric</sup>acid content was positively correlated with the F:G ratio and negatively correlated with breast proportion supports this idea. However, the leg proportion was also positively correlated with the F:G ratio, which suggests that the decomposition of protein for energy production mainly occurred in the breast muscles. Consistent with the findings of He et al.⁽⁴³⁾, present study found that NEFAs (stearic acid, arachidonic acid, palmitic acid and oleic acid) were negatively correlated with abdominal fat proportion, subcutaneous fat proportion, intramuscular fat proportion and F:G ratio, which suggests that the reduced feed efficiency was also related to the suppression of lipolysis throughout the body.

In summary, the chronic heat-stressed broilers were in negative energy balance and unable to effectively mobilise fat for energy production, instead resorting to protein decomposition, which might be the main mechanism that negatively influenced the broilers' growth performance and carcass characteristics. Furthermore, the present study also found that the observed protein decomposition mainly occurred in the breast muscles. To investigate this mechanism, we detected the cloacal temperature, growth performance, carcass characteristics and serum metabolites and performed a number of statistical tests using the LSD method with a threshold of $\alpha = 0.05$ for each test, which might enhance the probability of false positive in statistics meaning, and further validation at the biochemical and genetic levels may still be needed in the following study.

Acknowledgements

This study was financially supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFD0500501) and Three Agricultural Projects of Jiangsu Province (SXGC 2017281).

We are grateful to Sifa Dai and Hong Hu for their assistance during the animal experiment.

The authors responsibilities were as follows: Z. L., G. Z. and F. G. designed the research; Z. L., X. H., B. M., L. Z., J. L. and Y. J. performed the research; Z. L. analysed data and wrote the paper; all authors read, edited and approved the final manuscript.

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Deeb N & Cahaner A (2002) Genotype-by-environment interaction with broiler genotypes differing in growth rate. 3. Growth rate and water consumption of broiler progeny from weight-selected versus nonselected parents under normal and high ambient temperatures. *Poult Sci* **81**, 293–301.

- Windhorst HW (2006) Changes in poultry production and trade worldwide. World Poult Sci J 62, 585–602.
- Geraert PA, Padilha JCF & Guillaumin S (1996) Metabolic and endocrine changes induced by chronic heat exposure in broiler chickens: Growth performance, body composition and energy retention. *Br J Nutr* **75**, 195–204.
- He Q, Kong X, Wu G, *et al.* (2009) Metabolomic analysis of the response of growing pigs to dietary L-arginine supplementation. *Amino Acids* 37, 199–208.
- Bujak R, Struck-Lewicka W, Markuszewski MJ, et al. (2015) Metabolomics for laboratory diagnostics. J Pharm Biomed Anal 113, 108–120.
- Patti GJ, Yanes O & Siuzdak G (2012) Innovation: metabolomics: the apogee of the omics trilogy. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio* 13, 263–269.
- 7. Li H, Ni Y, Su M, *et al.* (2007) Pharmacometabonomic phenotyping reveals different responses to xenobiotic intervention in rats. *J Proteome Res* **6**, 1364–1370.
- 8. Jensen JF (1984) *Method of Dissection of Broiler Carcasses and Description of Parts.* Cambridge: Papworth's Pendragon Press.
- 9. Ain Baziz H, Geraert PA, Padilha JCF, *et al.* (1996) Chronic heat exposure enhances fat deposition and modifies muscle and fat partition in broiler carcasses. *Poult Sci* **75**, 505–513.
- Ricard FH, Leclercq B & Touraille C (1983) Selecting broilers for low or high abdominal fat: distribution of carcass fat and quality of meat. *Br Poult Sci* 24, 511–516.
- 11. Bochno R, Michalik D & Murawska D (2004) The use of modified skin slice with subcutaneous fat and carcass weight without this slice for prediction of meatiness and fatness in broiler chickens. *Arch Geflugelkd* **68**, 218–222.
- Folch J, Lees M & Sloane Stanley GH (1957) A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipides from animal tissue. *J Biol Chem* 226, 497–509.
- Ren W, Yin J, Gao W, et al. (2015) Metabolomics study of metabolic variations in enterotoxigenic Escherichia coliinfected piglets. RSC Adv 5, 59550–59555.
- Javier LI, Florencio P & Mónica C (2016) MBROLE 2.0 functional enrichment of chemical compounds. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44, W201–W204.
- Geraert PA, Padilha JCF & Guillaumin S (1996) Metabolic and endocrine changes induced by chronic heat exposure in broiler chickens: biological and endocrinological variables. *Br J Nutr* **75**, 205–216.
- Edgar JL, Nicol CJ, Pugh CA, *et al.* (2013) Surface temperature changes in response to handling in domestic chickens. *Physiol Behav* 119, 195–200.
- 17. Slimen IB, Najar T, Ghram A, *et al.* (2016) Heat stress effects on livestock: molecular, cellular and metabolic aspects, a review. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl)* **100**, 401–412.
- Lara LJ & Rostagno MH (2013) Impact of heat stress on poultry production. *Animals* 3, 356–369.
- Rosa PS, Faria Filho DE, Dahlke F, *et al.* (2007) Performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens with different growth potential and submitted to heat stress. *Braz J Poult Sci* 9, 181–186.
- Koh K & Macleod MG (1999) Effects of ambient temperature on beat increment of feeding and energy retention in growing broilers maintained at different food intakes. *Br Poult Sci* 40, 511–516.
- Song Z, Liu L, Sheikhahmadi A, *et al.* (2012) Effect of heat exposure on gene expression of feed intake regulatory peptides in laying hens. *J Biomed Biotechnol* **4-5**, 484869.
- Lu Q, Wen J & Zhang H (2007) Effect of chronic heat exposure on fat deposition and meat quality in two genetic types of chicken. *Poult Sci* 86, 1059–1064.

781

- 23. Zhang ZY, Jia GQ, Zuo JJ, *et al.* (2012) Effects of constant and cyclic heat stress on muscle metabolism and meat quality of broiler breast fillet and thigh meat. *Poult Sci* **91**, 2931–2937.
- 24. Temim S, Chagneau AM, Peresson R, *et al.* (2000) Chronic heat exposure alters protein turnover of three different skeletal muscles in finishing broiler chickens fed 20 or 25% protein diets. *J Nutr* **130**, 813–819.
- 25. Lu Z, He X, Ma B, *et al.* (2017) Chronic heat stress impairs the quality of breast-muscle meat in broilers by affecting redox status and energy-substance metabolism. *J Agric Food Chem* **65**, 11251–11258.
- Han J, Li L, Wang D, *et al.* (2016) (-)-Hydroxycitric acid reduced fat deposition via regulating lipid metabolism-related gene expression in broiler chickens. *Lipids Health Dis* 15, 1–13.
- Wu GQ, Deng XM, Li JY, *et al.* (2006) A potential molecular marker for selection against abdominal fatness in chickens. *Poult Sci* 85, 1896–1899.
- Baumgard LH & Rhoads RP (2013) Effects of heat stress on postabsorptive metabolism and energetics. *Annu Rev Anim Biosci* 1, 311–337.
- 29. Zuo J, Xu M, Abdullahi YA, *et al.* (2016) Constant heat stress reduces skeletal muscle protein deposition in broilers. *J Sci Food Agric* **95**, 429–436.
- Baker DH (2009) Advances in protein-amino acid nutrition of poultry. *Amino Acids* 37, 29–41.
- Monti JM & Jantos H (2004) Effects of L-arginine and SIN-1 on sleep and waking in the rat during both phases of the lightdark cycle. *Life Sci* **75**, 2027–2034.
- 32. Suenaga R, Yamane H, Tomonaga S, *et al.* (2008) Central L-arginine reduced stress responses are mediated by L-ornithine in neonatal chicks. *Amino Acids* **35**, 107–113.
- 33. Wang X, Lin H, Song Z, et al. (2010) Dexamethasone facilitates lipid accumulation and mild feed restriction improves fatty acids oxidation in skeletal muscle of broiler

chicks (Gallus gallus domesticus). Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol **151**, 447–454.

- 34. Pearce SC, Gabler N, Ross JW, *et al.* (2013) The effects of heat stress and plane of nutrition on metabolism in growing pigs. *J Anim Sci* **91**, 2108–2118.
- Rhoads RP, Baumgard LH, Suagee JK, *et al.* (2013) Nutritional interventions to alleviate the negative consequences of heat stress. *Adv Nutr* 4, 267–276.
- Torlinska T, Banach R, Paluszak J, *et al.* (1987) Hyperthermia effect on lipolytic processes in rat blood and adipose tissue. *Acta Physiol Pol* 38, 361–366.
- 37. Azad MAK, Kikusato M, Maekawa T, et al. (2010) Metabolic characteristics and oxidative damage to skeletal muscle in broiler chickens exposed to chronic heat stress. Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol 155, 401–406.
- 38. Akbarian A, Michiels J, Degroote J, *et al.* (2016) Association between heat stress and oxidative stress in poultry; mitochondrial dysfunction and dietary interventions with phytochemicals. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol* **7**, 1–14.
- Horowitz M & Meiri U (1993) Mechanism of heat acclimation induced bradycardia in the sand rat. J Basic Clin Physiol Pharmacol 4, 37–46.
- Yunianto VD, Higuchi K, Ohtsuka A, *et al.* (1998) Effect of environmental temperature on plasma levels of catecholamines in pair-fed broiler chickens. *Jpn Poult Sci* **35**, 1–8.
- Lin H, Du R, Gu XH, *et al.* (2000) A study on the plasma biochemical indices of heat-stressed broilers. *Asian-Australas* J Anim Sci 13, 1210–1218.
- 42. Zeferino CP, Komiyama CM, Pelicia VC, *et al.* (2016) Carcass and meat quality traits of chickens fed diets concurrently supplemented with vitamins C and E under constant heat stress. *Animal* **10**, 163–171.
- He S, Zhao S, Dai S, *et al.* (2015) Effects of dietary betaine on growth performance, fat deposition and serum lipids in broilers subjected to chronic heat stress. *Anim Sci J* 86, 897–903.