

POLYNOMIALS ON BANACH SPACES WHOSE DUALS ARE  
ISOMORPHIC TO  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$

RAFFAELLA CILIA, MARIA D'ANNA AND JOAQUÍN M. GUTIÉRREZ

We prove that the dual of a Banach space  $E$  is isomorphic to an  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$  space if and only if, for a fixed integer  $m$ , every  $m$ -homogeneous 1-dominated polynomial on  $E$  is nuclear. This extends a result for linear operators due to Lewis and Stegall. The same techniques used for this result allow us to prove that, if every  $m$ -homogeneous integral polynomial between two Banach spaces is nuclear, then every integral (linear) operator between the same spaces is nuclear.

The following result is proved in [8], for (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b), and in [14], for (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c):

**THEOREM 1.** *Given a Banach space  $E$ , the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) *the dual of  $E$  is isomorphic to  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$  for some set  $\Gamma$ ;*
- (b) *every absolutely summing operator on  $E$  is nuclear;*
- (c) *every absolutely summing and compact operator on  $E$  is nuclear.*

In this paper we extend it to the polynomial case, proving that the dual of a Banach space  $E$  is an  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$  space if and only if, for a fixed integer  $m$ , every  $m$ -homogeneous 1-dominated polynomial on  $E$  is nuclear, if and only if every  $m$ -homogeneous 1-dominated and compact polynomial on  $E$  is nuclear.

The same techniques allow us to prove that, for a fixed integer  $m$  and Banach spaces  $E, F$ , if every  $m$ -homogeneous integral polynomial from  $E$  into  $F$  is nuclear, then every integral operator from  $E$  into  $F$  is nuclear.

Throughout,  $E$  and  $F$  denote Banach spaces,  $E^*$  is the dual of  $E$ , and  $B_E$  stands for its closed unit ball. By  $\mathbb{N}$  we represent the set of all natural numbers and by  $\mathbb{K}$  the scalar field (real or complex). By an operator we always mean a linear bounded mapping between Banach spaces. Given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{P}(^mE, F)$  the space of all  $m$ -homogeneous (continuous) polynomials from  $E$  into  $F$ , and by  $\mathcal{L}(^mE, F)$  the space

---

Received 29th January, 2004

This work was performed during a six-month visit of the first author to the Universidad Complutense de Madrid thanks to a grant of the Italian C.N.R. The second named author was supported in part by M.U.R.S.T. (Italy). The third named author was supported in part by Dirección General de Investigación, BFM 2003–06420 (Spain).

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/04 \$A2.00+0.00.

of all  $m$ -linear (continuous) mappings from  $E \times \overset{(m)}{E} \times E$  into  $F$ . Recall that to each  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^m E, F)$  we can associate a unique symmetric  $\widehat{P} \in \mathcal{L}({}^m E, F)$  so that

$$P(x) = \widehat{P}(x, \overset{(m)}{,} x) \quad (x \in E).$$

For the general theory of polynomials on Banach spaces, we refer to [5] and [11].

Given  $1 \leq r < \infty$ , a polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^m E, F)$  is  $r$ -dominated (see, for example, [9, 10]) if there exists a constant  $k > 0$  such that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n \subset E$ , we have

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|P(x_i)\|^{r/m} \right)^{m/r} \leq k \sup_{x^* \in B_{E^*}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x^*(x_i)|^r \right)^{m/r}.$$

For  $m = 1$ , we obtain the absolutely  $r$ -summing operators. We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{as}({}^m E, F)$  the space of all 1-dominated polynomials from  $E$  into  $F$ .

A polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^m E, F)$  is nuclear [5, Definition 2.9] if it can be written in the form

$$P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [x_i^*(x)]^m y_i \quad (x \in E)$$

where  $(x_i^*) \subset E^*$  and  $(y_i) \subset F$  are sequences such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i^*\|^m \|y_i\| < \infty.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_N({}^m E, F)$  the space of all nuclear  $m$ -homogeneous polynomials from  $E$  into  $F$ .

The following definition of integral polynomial was given in [2] and extends the one given in [12] for multilinear functionals.

We say that a polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^m E, F)$  is integral if there exists a constant  $C \geq 0$  such that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all families  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n \subset E$  and  $(f_i^*)_{i=1}^n \subset F^*$ , we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n \langle P(x_i), f_i^* \rangle \right| \leq C \sup_{x^* \in B_{E^*}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n [x^*(x_i)]^m f_i^* \right\|_{F^*}.$$

By  $\mathcal{P}_I({}^m E, F)$  we denote the space of all  $m$ -homogeneous integral polynomials from  $E$  into  $F$ . Easily, for  $m = 1$ , we obtain the (Grothendieck) integral operators [4, page 232]. A definition of integral polynomial, using an integral expression, has been given in [15]. This definition is equivalent to ours (see [2, Proposition 2.2] and [15, Proposition 2.6]).

We say that  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^m E, F)$  is compact if  $P(B_E)$  is relatively compact in  $F$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_K({}^m E, F)$  the space of all compact polynomials from  $E$  into  $F$ .

We use the notation  $\overset{m}{\otimes} E := E \otimes \overset{(m)}{,} \otimes E$  for the  $m$ -fold tensor product of  $E$ ,  $\overset{m}{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} E := E \otimes_{\varepsilon} \overset{(m)}{,} \otimes_{\varepsilon} E$  for the  $m$ -fold injective tensor product of  $E$ , and  $\overset{m}{\otimes}_{\pi} E$  for the

$m$ -fold projective tensor product of  $E$  (see [4] for the theory of tensor products). By  $\bigotimes_s^m E := E \otimes_s \binom{m}{s} \otimes_s E$  we denote the  $m$ -fold symmetric tensor product of  $E$ , that is, the set of all elements  $u \in \bigotimes_s^m E$  of the form

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j \otimes \binom{m}{s} \otimes x_j \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}, x_j \in E, 1 \leq j \leq n).$$

By  $\bigotimes_{\varepsilon, s}^m E$  we denote the closure of  $\bigotimes_s^m E$  in  $\bigotimes_{\varepsilon}^m E$ . Analogously,  $\bigotimes_{\pi, s}^m E$  is the closure of  $\bigotimes_s^m E$  in  $\bigotimes_{\pi}^m E$ . For symmetric tensor products, we refer to [6]. For simplicity, we write  $\bigotimes_s^m x := x \otimes \binom{m}{s} \otimes x$ .

We use the following notation for spaces of operators from  $E$  into  $F$ :  $\mathcal{AS}(E, F)$  for the space of all absolutely summing operators,  $\mathcal{I}(E, F)$  for the space of all integral operators,  $\mathcal{N}(E, F)$  for the space of all nuclear operators, and  $\mathcal{K}(E, F)$  for the space of all compact operators. The definitions may be seen in [3, 4].

We shall use the fact [4, page 232] that an operator  $T : E \rightarrow F$  is integral if and only if the functional  $\tilde{T} : E \otimes_{\varepsilon} F^* \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ , given by  $\tilde{T}(x \otimes f^*) = \langle T(x), f^* \rangle$  for  $x \in E, f^* \in F^*$ , is well-defined and continuous.

For  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^m E, F)$ , let

$$\bar{P} : \bigotimes_s^m E \rightarrow F$$

be the linearisation of  $P$ , given by

$$\bar{P} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j \otimes \binom{m}{s} \otimes x_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j P(x_j)$$

for all  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}, x_j \in E (1 \leq j \leq n)$ .

It is shown in [2] that  $P$  is integral if and only if  $\bar{P} : \bigotimes_{\varepsilon, s}^m E \rightarrow F$  is well-defined and integral.

**PROPOSITION 2.** Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and Banach spaces  $E, F$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}_{as}(^m E, F) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_N(^m E, F)$ . Then,  $\mathcal{AS}(E, F) = \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ .

**PROOF:** We only have to prove that  $\mathcal{AS}(E, F) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(E, F)$  since the other inclusion is always true.

Let  $T \in \mathcal{AS}(E, F)$ . For every index  $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$ , there are operators

$$j_i : \bigotimes_{\pi, s}^i E \rightarrow \bigotimes_{\pi, s}^{i+1} E \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_i : \bigotimes_{\pi, s}^{i+1} E \rightarrow \bigotimes_{\pi, s}^i E$$

such that  $\pi_i \circ j_i$  is the identity map on  $\bigotimes_{\pi,s}^i E$  [1, p. 168].

Let  $\delta_m : E \rightarrow \bigotimes_{\pi,s}^m E$  be the polynomial given by  $\delta_m(x) = \bigotimes_{\pi,s}^m x$  ( $x \in E$ ). Consider the polynomial

$$P := T \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi_2 \circ \dots \circ \pi_{m-1} \circ \delta_m : E \rightarrow F$$

Using that  $T$  is absolutely summing, it is shown in [2, Proposition 3.1] that  $P$  is 1-dominated so, by our hypothesis, it is nuclear. It follows that there exist sequences  $(x_n^*) \subset E^*$  and  $(y_n) \subset F$  such that

$$P(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [x_n^*(x)]^m y_n \quad (x \in E)$$

with

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n^*\|^m \|y_n\| < \infty.$$

Now, for every  $n$ , we consider the  $m$ -homogeneous polynomial of finite type  $P_n = (x_n^*)^m$ . By the isomorphism  $\mathcal{P}(^m E) \simeq \left(\bigotimes_{\pi,s}^m E\right)^*$ , we associate to  $P_n$  a functional  $\Phi_n \in \left(\bigotimes_{\pi,s}^m E\right)^*$  such that

$$\Phi_n \left( \sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_j \left( \bigotimes_{\pi,s}^m x_j \right) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_j \Phi_n \left( \bigotimes_{\pi,s}^m x_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_j P_n(x_j)$$

for every  $\sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_j \left( \bigotimes_{\pi,s}^m x_j \right) \in \bigotimes_{\pi,s}^m E$ . So we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T \circ \pi_1 \circ \dots \circ \pi_{m-1}) \left( \sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_j \left( \bigotimes_{\pi,s}^m x_j \right) \right) &= \sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_j (T \circ \pi_1 \circ \dots \circ \pi_{m-1} \circ \delta_m)(x_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_j P(x_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_j \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [x_n^*(x_j)]^m y_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_j [x_n^*(x_j)]^m y_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_n \left( \sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_j \left( \bigotimes_{\pi,s}^m x_j \right) \right) y_n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(T \circ \pi_1 \circ \dots \circ \pi_{m-1})(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_n(u) y_n \quad \text{for all } u \in \bigotimes_{\pi,s}^m E.$$

Moreover, there is  $k > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\Phi_n\| \|y_n\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k \|P_n\| \|y_n\| = k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n^*\|^m \|y_n\| < \infty.$$

So  $T \circ \pi_1 \circ \dots \circ \pi_{m-1}$  is nuclear and this implies that

$$T = T \circ \pi_1 \circ \dots \circ \pi_{m-1} \circ j_{m-1} \circ \dots \circ j_1$$

is nuclear. □

Obvious modifications in the proof of Proposition 2 yield:

**PROPOSITION 3.** Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and Banach spaces  $E, F$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}_{as}({}^mE, F) \cap \mathcal{P}_K({}^mE, F) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_N({}^mE, F)$ . Then  $\mathcal{AS}(E, F) \cap \mathcal{K}(E, F) = \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ .

Easily,  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^mE, F)$  is nuclear if and only if there are  $(\lambda_i) \in \ell_1$  and bounded sequences  $(x_i^*) \subset E^*, (y_i) \subset F$  such that

$$P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i [x_i^*(x)]^m y_i \quad (x \in E).$$

The following simple result will be needed:

**PROPOSITION 4.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{N}(E, F)$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{P}({}^mF, G)$ . Then  $P := Q \circ T \in \mathcal{P}({}^mE, G)$  is nuclear.

PROOF: There are  $(\lambda_i) \in \ell_1$  and bounded sequences  $(x_i^*) \subset E^*, (y_i) \subset F$  such that

$$T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i x_i^*(x) y_i \quad (x \in E).$$

Hence, by the polarisation formula [11, Theorem 1.10],

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= Q\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i x_i^*(x) y_i\right) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_m} x_{i_1}^*(x) \cdots x_{i_m}^*(x) \widehat{Q}(y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_m}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{m m!}} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_m} \sum_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1} \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_m [(\varepsilon_1 x_{i_1}^* + \cdots + \varepsilon_m x_{i_m}^*)(x)]^m \widehat{Q}(y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_m}) \end{aligned}$$

from which the result follows. □

It is proved in [9, Proposition 3.1] that a polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^mE, F)$  is  $r$ -dominated if and only if there are a constant  $C \geq 0$  and a regular Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $B_{E^*}$  (endowed with the weak-star topology) such that

$$(1) \quad \|P(x)\| \leq C \left[ \int_{B_{E^*}} |\varphi(x)|^r d\mu(\varphi) \right]^{m/r} \quad (x \in E).$$

The next result is stated in [12, Theorem 14] for the multilinear, scalar-valued case, and in [13, Proposition 3.6] for the vector-valued case. It will be needed in Theorem 6. Following the referee’s suggestion, for the sake of completeness, we include the proof which is an easy modification of [7, 3.2.4].

**THEOREM 5.** *A polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^mE, F)$  is  $r$ -dominated if and only if there are a Banach space  $G$ , an absolutely  $r$ -summing operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, G)$  and a polynomial  $Q \in \mathcal{P}({}^mG, F)$  such that  $P = Q \circ T$ .*

**PROOF:** Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^mE, F)$  be  $r$ -dominated. Then there is a regular Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $B_{E^*}$  such that the inequality (1) holds. Let  $T_0 : E \rightarrow L_r(B_{E^*}, \mu)$  be given by  $T_0(x)(\varphi) := \varphi(x)$  for all  $x \in E$  and  $\varphi \in B_{E^*}$ . Clearly,  $T_0$  is linear. Moreover,

$$\|T_0(x)\| = \left[ \int_{B_{E^*}} |\varphi(x)|^r d\mu(\varphi) \right]^{1/r} \leq \|x\|.$$

Let  $G$  be the closure of  $T_0(E)$  in  $L_r(B_{E^*}, \mu)$ . Let  $T : E \rightarrow G$  be given by  $T(x) := T_0(x)$ . Then  $T$  is linear and, by [3, Theorem 2.12], absolutely  $r$ -summing. Define  $Q_0 : T_0(E) \rightarrow F$  by  $Q_0(T_0(x)) := P(x)$ . Using the inequality (1), we have:

$$\|P(x)\| \leq C \left[ \int_{B_{E^*}} |\varphi(x)|^r d\mu(\varphi) \right]^{m/r} = C \|T_0(x)\|^m,$$

so  $Q_0$  is a continuous  $m$ -homogeneous polynomial. Let  $Q : G \rightarrow F$  be its extension to  $G$ . Then,  $P = Q \circ T$ .

The converse is shown in [10, Theorem 10]. □

We can now give the polynomial characterisation of Banach spaces whose duals are isomorphic to  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *Given a Banach space  $E$ , the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $E^*$  is isomorphic to  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$  for some set  $\Gamma$ ;
- (b) for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and every Banach space  $F$ , we have  $\mathcal{P}_{as}({}^mE, F) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_N({}^mE, F)$ ;
- (c) there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every Banach space  $F$  we have  $\mathcal{P}_{as}({}^mE, F) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_N({}^mE, F)$ ;
- (d) there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every Banach space  $F$  we have  $\mathcal{P}_{as}({}^mE, F) \cap \mathcal{P}_K({}^mE, F) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_N({}^mE, F)$ .

**PROOF:** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Suppose  $E^* \simeq \ell_1(\Gamma)$ . Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{as}({}^mE, F)$ . By Theorem 5, there are a Banach space  $G$ , an operator  $T \in \mathcal{AS}(E, G)$ , and a polynomial  $Q \in \mathcal{P}({}^mG, F)$  such that  $P = Q \circ T$ . By Theorem 1,  $T$  is nuclear. By Proposition 4,  $P$  is nuclear.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d) are obvious.

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). It is enough to apply Proposition 3 and Theorem 1. □

The same techniques used above allow us to prove the following result:

**PROPOSITION 7.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be Banach spaces and let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}_1(mE, F) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_N(mE, F)$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}(E, F) = \mathcal{N}(E, F)$ .*

PROOF: Let  $T \in \mathcal{I}(E, F)$ . With the operators

$$\pi_i : \bigotimes_{\pi, s}^{i+1} E \longrightarrow \bigotimes_{\pi, s}^i E$$

used in the proof of Proposition 2, we construct the polynomial

$$P := T \circ \pi_1 \circ \dots \circ \pi_{m-1} \circ \delta_m : E \longrightarrow F,$$

where  $\delta_m : E \rightarrow \bigotimes_{\pi, s}^m E$  is the canonical polynomial. We shall prove that  $P$  is integral, equivalently, that  $\bar{P} : \bigotimes_{\varepsilon, s}^m E \rightarrow F$  is well-defined and integral. Easily, the operators  $\pi_i$  are also continuous when the spaces are endowed with the  $\varepsilon$ -norm. Since  $\bar{P} = T \circ \pi_1 \circ \dots \circ \pi_{m-1}$ , we have that  $\bar{P}$  is well-defined on  $\bigotimes_{\varepsilon, s}^m E$ . Since  $T$  is integral,  $\bar{P}$  is integral as well.

By our hypothesis,  $P$  is nuclear and then, as in the last part of the proof of Proposition 2,  $T$  is nuclear too. So we are done.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. Blasco, 'Complementation in spaces of symmetric tensor products and polynomials', *Studia Math.* **123** (1997), 165–173.
- [2] R. Cilia, M. D'Anna and J. M. Gutiérrez, 'Polynomial characterization of  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -spaces', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **275** (2002), 900–912.
- [3] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow and A. Tonge, *Absolutely summing operators*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **43** (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [4] J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector measures*, Math. Surveys Monographs **15** (American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977).
- [5] S. Dineen, *Complex analysis on infinite dimensional spaces*, Springer Monographs in Math. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999).
- [6] K. Floret, 'Natural norms on symmetric tensor products of normed spaces', *Note Mat.* **17** (1997), 153–188.
- [7] S. Geiß, *Ideale multilinearer Abbildungen*, (Diplomarbeit, Jena, 1984).
- [8] D.R. Lewis and C. Stegall, 'Banach spaces whose duals are isomorphic to  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$ ', *J. Funct. Anal.* **12** (1973), 177–187.
- [9] M.C. Matos, 'Absolutely summing holomorphic mappings', *An. Acad. Brasil Ciênc* **68** (1996), 1–13.
- [10] Y. Meléndez and A. Tonge, 'Polynomials and the Pietsch domination theorem', *Math. Proc. Roy. Irish Acad.* **99A** (1999), 195–212.
- [11] J. Mujica, *Complex Analysis in Banach Spaces*, Math. Studies **120**, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1986.

- [12] A. Pietsch, 'Ideals of multilinear functionals (designs of a theory)', in *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Operator Algebras, Ideals, and their Applications in Theoretical Physics (Leipzig, 1983)*, (H. Baumgärtel, G. Lasner, A. Pietsch and A. Uhlmann, Editors), Teubner-Texte Math. **67** (Teubner, Leipzig, 1984), pp. 185–199.
- [13] B. Schneider, 'On absolutely  $p$ -summing and related multilinear mappings', *Wiss. Z. Brandenburg. Landeshochsch.* **35** (1991), 105–117.
- [14] C. Stegall, 'Banach spaces whose duals contain  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$  with applications to the study of dual  $L_1(\mu)$  spaces', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **176** (1973), 463–477.
- [15] I. Villanueva, 'Integral mappings between Banach spaces', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **279** (2003), 56–70.

Dipartimento di Matematica  
Facoltà di Scienze  
Università di Catania  
Viale Andrea Doria 6  
95100 Catania  
Italy  
e-mail: cilia@dmi.unict.it

Dipartimento di Matematica  
Facoltà di Scienze  
Università di Catania  
Viale Andrea Doria 6  
95100 Catania  
Italy  
e-mail: danna@dipmat.unict.it

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada  
ETS de Ingenieros Industriales  
Universidad Politécnica de Madrid  
C. José Gutiérrez Abascal 2  
28006 Madrid  
Spain  
e-mail: jgutierrez@etsii.upm.es