

## A QUASI-TRAPEZOID INEQUALITY FOR DOUBLE INTEGRALS

N. S. BARNETT<sup>1</sup>, S. S. DRAGOMIR<sup>1</sup> and C. E. M. PEARCE<sup>2</sup>

(Received 22 January 1999; revised 6 October 2000)

### Abstract

A quasi-trapezoid inequality is derived for double integrals that strengthens considerably existing results. A consonant version of the Grüss inequality is also derived. Applications are made to cubature formulæ and the error variance of a stationary variogram.

### 1. Introduction

Although important for applications, numerical integration in two or more dimensions is still a much less developed area than its one-dimensional counterpart, which has been worked on intensively. For some interesting recent commentary, see Sloan [8]. Even the traditional integration of polynomial forms over rectilinear regions translates in higher dimensions to problems with some complications (*cf.* Rathod and Govinda Rao [6]).

Central to questions of numerical integration in one dimension are Ostrowski's theorem and inequalities of trapezoid type. For a compendious treatment of the latter see Mitrinović *et al.* [5] and the references therein. Recently new versions of some of the classical tools have been developed for a two-dimensional context.

Suppose  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$  is integrable on  $[a, b] \times [c, d]$  and for  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $y \in [c, d]$  set

$$f^\dagger(x, y) := \int_a^b \int_c^d f(s, t) ds dt + (b-a)(d-c)f(x, y) \\ - (b-a) \int_c^d f(x, t) dt - (d-c) \int_a^b f(s, y) ds.$$

<sup>1</sup>School of Communications and Informatics, Victoria University of Technology, PO Box 14428, Melbourne City MC, VIC 8001, Australia. E-mail address: {neil, sever}@matilda.vu.edu.au

<sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide SA 5005, Australia. E-mail address: cpearce@maths.adelaide.edu.au

© Australian Mathematical Society 2003, Serial-fee code 1446-1811/03

Barnett and Dragomir [1] have proved the following two-dimensional theorem of Ostrowski type.

**THEOREM A.** *If  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$  is continuous on  $[a, b] \times [c, d]$  and  $f''_{x,y} = \partial^2 f / \partial x \partial y$  exists on  $(a, b) \times (c, d)$  and is bounded, that is,*

$$\|f''_{s,t}\|_{\infty} := \sup_{(x,y) \in (a,b) \times (c,d)} \left| \frac{\partial^2 f(x,y)}{\partial x \partial y} \right| < \infty,$$

then for any  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $y \in [c, d]$

$$|f^{\dagger}(x, y)| \leq \left[ \frac{(b-a)^2}{4} + \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2}\right)^2 \right] \left[ \frac{(d-c)^2}{4} + \left(y - \frac{c+d}{2}\right)^2 \right] \|f''_{s,t}\|_{\infty}. \quad (1.1)$$

Here and subsequently it is implicit that  $f''_{s,t}$  is integrable on  $[a, b] \times [c, d]$ .

An interesting particular case, which is in fact the best inequality we can obtain from (1.1), is the ‘quasi-midpoint’ inequality

$$|f^{\dagger}((a+b)/2, (c+d)/2)| \leq (1/16)(b-a)^2(d-c)^2 \|f''_{s,t}\|_{\infty}.$$

The first two authors have applied (1.1) to cubature formulæ in [1] and to the analysis of variograms in [2].

Define the functional

$$\begin{aligned} f^* &:= [f^{\dagger}(a, c) + f^{\dagger}(a, d) + f^{\dagger}(b, c) + f^{\dagger}(b, d)] / 4 \\ &= \int_a^b \int_c^d f(s, t) dt ds + \frac{f(a, c) + f(a, d) + f(b, c) + f(b, d)}{4} (b-a)(d-c) \\ &\quad - (d-c) \int_a^b \frac{f(s, c) + f(s, d)}{2} ds - (b-a) \int_c^d \frac{f(a, t) + f(b, t)}{2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

When Theorem A applies, we have

$$|f^{\dagger}(a, c)| \leq (1/4)(b-a)^2(d-c)^2 \|f''_{s,t}\|_{\infty}$$

and similarly for  $f^{\dagger}(a, d)$ ,  $f^{\dagger}(b, c)$  and  $f^{\dagger}(b, d)$ , so that

$$|f^*| \leq (1/4)(b-a)^2(d-c)^2 \|f''_{s,t}\|_{\infty}.$$

In this article we show that a much stronger result holds, namely the following.

**THEOREM 1.** *Under the conditions of Theorem A,*

$$|f^*| \leq \frac{1}{16} (b-a)^2(d-c)^2 \|f''_{s,t}\|_{\infty}.$$

This we establish in Section 2, where it is shown that it follows from an appropriate double-integral identity. In Section 3 we derive a conformable inequality of Grüss type and in Section 4 apply our ideas to cubature formulæ. We conclude in Section 5 with an application to bounds on the error variance of a continuous stream with stationary variogram.

### 2. Integral identities

First we derive a useful ancillary result.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$  and  $\beta_1 < \beta_2$  and that  $\partial^2 f / \partial s \partial t$  is integrable on  $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] \times [\beta_1, \beta_2]$ . If either  $(\alpha, \alpha') = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  or  $(\alpha', \alpha) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  and similarly for  $\beta, \beta'$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} \int_{\beta_1}^{\beta_2} (s - \alpha)(t - \beta) f''_{s,t} dt ds \\ &= (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)(\beta_2 - \beta_1) f(\alpha', \beta') - (\beta_2 - \beta_1) \int_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} f(s, \beta') ds \\ & \quad - (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) \int_{\beta_1}^{\beta_2} f(\alpha', t) dt + \int_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} \int_{\beta_1}^{\beta_2} f(s, t) dt ds. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. This is immediate from a repeated integration by parts.

We now proceed to our main double-integral identity.

THEOREM 2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem A,*

$$f^* = \int_a^b \int_c^d \left( s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \left( t - \frac{c+d}{2} \right) f''_{s,t}(s, t) dt ds. \tag{2.1}$$

PROOF. Take  $x \in [a, b]$ ,  $y \in [c, d]$  and apply Lemma 1 with the four choices

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha, \beta) \\ &= (a, x, c, y, a, c), (a, x, y, d, a, d), (x, b, y, d, b, d), (x, b, c, y, b, c). \end{aligned}$$

Addition of the resultant identities yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \int_c^d p(x, s) q(y, t) f''_{s,t} dt ds \\ &= (d - c)(b - a) f(x, y) - (d - c) \int_a^b f(s, y) ds \\ & \quad - (b - a) \int_c^d f(x, t) dt + \int_a^b \int_c^d f(s, t) dt ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p(x, s)$  is defined as  $s - a$  if  $s \in [a, x]$  and as  $s - b$  if  $s \in (x, b]$ , whilst  $q(y, t)$  is  $t - c$  if  $t \in [c, y]$  and  $t - d$  if  $t \in (y, d]$ .

We now make the four choices

$$(x, y) = (a, c), (b, c), (a, d), (b, d)$$

and add again to derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \int_c^d [p(a, s) + p(b, s)][q(c, t) + q(d, t)]f''_{s,t}(s, t) dt ds \\ &= 4 \int_a^b \int_c^d f(s, t) dt ds \\ & \quad + [f(a, c) + f(a, d) + f(b, c) + f(b, d)](b - a)(d - c) \\ & \quad - 2(d - c) \int_a^b [f(s, c) + f(s, d)] ds - 2(b - a) \int_c^d [f(a, t) + f(b, t)] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$p(a, s) + p(b, s) = 2s - (a + b), \quad q(c, t) + q(d, t) = 2t - (c + d),$$

this is equivalent to the desired identity.

Our theorem provides

$$|f^*| \leq \int_a^b \int_c^d \left| s - \frac{a + b}{2} \right| \left| t - \frac{c + d}{2} \right| f''_{s,t}(s, t) dt ds$$

and a simple calculation yields

$$\int_\alpha^\beta \left| u - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \right| du = \frac{(\beta - \alpha)^2}{4}. \tag{2.2}$$

Theorem 1 follows as an immediate corollary.

### 3. An inequality of Grüss type

The well-known Grüss inequality (see for example Mitrinović *et al.* [4, p. 296]) states that if  $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  are integrable on  $[a, b]$  and

$$\varphi \leq f(x) \leq \Phi, \quad \gamma \leq g(x) \leq \Gamma \quad \text{for all } s \in [a, b],$$

then

$$|I| \leq \frac{1}{4}(b - a)^2(\Gamma - \gamma)(\Phi - \varphi),$$

where

$$I := (b - a) \int_a^b f(x)g(x) dx - \int_a^b f(x) dx \int_a^b g(x) dx.$$

Moreover, the constant 1/4 is best possible.

We establish a closely related result.

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose  $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  are continuous on  $[a, b]$ , differentiable on  $(a, b)$  and with bounded derivatives. Put*

$$\|f'\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in (a,b)} |f'(t)| < \infty, \quad \|g'\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in (a,b)} |g'(t)| < \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |I + [f(a) - f(b)][g(a) - g(b)](b - a)^2/4| \\ & \leq \frac{(b - a)^2}{2} [\|f - f(a)\|_\infty \|g - g(a)\|_\infty + \|f - f(b)\|_\infty \|g - g(b)\|_\infty] \\ & \quad + \frac{(b - a)^4}{16} \|f'\|_\infty \|g'\|_\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

**PROOF.** Define  $h : [a, b]^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $h(s, t) = [f(s) - f(t)][g(s) - g(t)]$ . We have

$$h(a, a) + h(a, b) + h(b, a) + h(b, b) = 2[f(b) - f(a)][g(b) - g(a)]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b [h(s, a) + h(s, b)] ds \\ & = \int_a^b [h(a, s) + h(b, s)] ds \\ & = \int_a^b \{ [f(s) - f(a)][g(s) - g(a)] + [f(b) - f(s)][g(b) - g(s)] \} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\frac{\partial^2 h(s, t)}{\partial s \partial t} = -f'(s)g'(t) - f'(t)g'(s),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \int_a^b \left( s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \left( t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \frac{\partial^2 h(s, t)}{\partial s \partial t} dt ds \\ & = -2 \int_a^b \left( s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) f'(s) ds \int_a^b \left( t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) g'(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence applying Theorem 2 to  $h$  on  $[a, b] \times [a, b]$  provides

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \int_a^b [f(s) - f(t)][g(s) - g(t)] ds dt + \frac{[f(b) - f(a)][g(b) - g(a)]}{2} (b - a)^2 \\ & = (b - a) \int_a^b \{ [f(s) - f(a)][g(s) - g(a)] + [f(b) - f(s)][g(b) - g(s)] \} ds \\ & \quad - 2 \int_a^b \left( s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) f'(s) ds \int_a^b \left( t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) g'(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \int_a^b [f(s) - f(t)][g(s) - g(t)] ds dt = I,$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} I + \frac{[f(b) - f(a)][g(b) - g(a)]}{4} (b - a)^2 \\ = \frac{b - a}{2} \int_a^b \{ [f(s) - f(a)][g(s) - g(a)] + [f(b) - f(s)][g(b) - g(s)] \} ds \\ - \int_a^b \left( s - \frac{a + b}{2} \right) f'(s) ds \int_a^b \left( t - \frac{a + b}{2} \right) g'(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the left-hand side of (3.1) is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} (b - a)^2 [ \|f - f(a)\|_\infty \|g - g(a)\|_\infty + \|f(b) - f\|_\infty \|g(b) - g\|_\infty ] \\ + \|f'\|_\infty \|g'\|_\infty \left[ \int_a^b \left| s - \frac{a + b}{2} \right| ds \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

The desired result follows from (2.2).

#### 4. Application to cubature formulæ

Take arbitrary divisions  $I_n : a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n = b$  of  $[a, b]$  and  $J_m : c = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_{m-1} < y_m = d$  of  $[c, d]$  and set  $h_i := x_{i+1} - x_i$  ( $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$ ) and  $l_j := y_{j+1} - y_j$  ( $j = 0, \dots, m - 1$ ). Define

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{i,j} := h_i \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} f \left( \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}, t \right) dt + l_j \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f \left( s, \frac{y_j + y_{j+1}}{2} \right) ds \\ - h_i l_j f \left( \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}, \frac{y_j + y_{j+1}}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Barnett and Dragomir [1] considered a quasi-midpoint rule for double integrals given by

$$C_M(f, I_n, I_m) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \eta_{i,j}$$

and proved that provided the integrals involved exist and  $\|f''_{s,t}\|_\infty$  is finite, then

$$\int_a^b \int_c^d f(s, t) ds dt = C_M(f, I_n, J_m) + R_M(f, I_n, J_m),$$

where the remainder satisfies

$$|R_M(f, I_n, J_m)| \leq \frac{1}{16} \|f''_{s,t}\|_\infty \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i^2 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} l_j^2.$$

We are now able to establish a quasi-trapezoid formula. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{i,j} := & h_i \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} \left[ \frac{f(x_i, t) + f(x_{i+1}, t)}{2} \right] dt + l_j \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \left[ \frac{f(s, y_j) + f(s, y_{j+1})}{2} \right] ds \\ & - h_i l_j \left[ \frac{f(x_i, y_j) + f(x_i, y_{j+1}) + f(x_{i+1}, y_j) + f(x_{i+1}, y_{j+1})}{4} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and define

$$C_T(f, I_n, J_m) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \xi_{i,j}.$$

Then we have the following result.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $f : [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem A. Then we have the cubature formula*

$$\int_a^b \int_c^d f(s, t) ds dt = C_T(f, I_n, J_m) + R_T(f, I_n, J_m),$$

where the remainder term satisfies

$$|R_T(f, I_n, J_m)| \leq \frac{1}{16} \|f''_{s,t}\|_\infty \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i^2 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} l_j^2. \tag{4.1}$$

**PROOF.** Applying Theorem 1 to the interval  $[x_i, x_{i+1}] \times [y_j, y_{j+1}]$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$  and  $j = 0, \dots, m - 1$  gives

$$\left| \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} f(s, t) dt ds - \xi_{i,j} \right| \leq \frac{1}{16} h_i^2 l_j^2 \|f''_{s,t}\|_\infty.$$

Summing over  $i$  from 0 to  $n - 1$  and  $j$  from 0 to  $m - 1$  and using the generalized triangle inequality yields the desired inequality (4.1).

**REMARK 1.** Set

$$\nu(h) := \max \{h_i : i = 0, \dots, n - 1\}, \quad \mu(l) := \max \{l_j : j = 0, \dots, m - 1\}.$$

Then since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i^2 \leq \nu(h) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i = (b - a)\nu(h)$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} l_j^2 \leq \mu(l) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} l_j = (d - c)\mu(l),$$

the right-hand side of (4.1) is bounded above by

$$\frac{1}{16} \|f''_{s,t}\|_{\infty} (b - a) (d - c)\nu(h)\mu(l),$$

which is of order two precision.

### 5. The error variance of a continuous stream with stationary variogram

Suppose  $(X(t))$  is a continuous-time stochastic process, possibly nonstationary. Typically  $(X(t))$  represents a continuous-stream industrial process such as is common in many areas of the chemical industry. In [3], the authors considered  $X(t)$  as defining the quality of a product at time  $t$ . The paper was concerned with issues related to sampling the stream with a view to estimating the mean quality  $\bar{X}$  characteristic of the flow over the interval  $[0, d]$ . The sampling location  $t$  is said to be optimal if it minimizes the estimation error variance

$$E \left[ (\bar{X} - X(t))^2 \right], \quad 0 < t < d.$$

In [3] it was shown that for constant stream flows, the optimal sampling point is the midpoint of  $[0, d]$  for the situation where the process variogram

$$V(u) = \frac{1}{2} E [(X(t) - X(t + u))^2],$$

$$V(0) = 0, \quad V(-u) = V(u), \quad u \in [-d, d]$$

is stationary. We remark that variogram stationarity is not equivalent to process stationarity.

In this paper we use Theorem 1 to give an approximation of the estimation error variance  $E[(\bar{X} - X(t))^2]$  for  $t = d$ .

From [3], it can be shown using an identity given in [7] that

$$E \left[ (\bar{X} - X(t))^2 \right] = -\frac{1}{d^2} \int_0^d \int_0^d V(v - u) du dv$$

$$+ \frac{2}{d} \left\{ \int_0^t V(u) du + \int_0^{d-t} V(u) du \right\}. \tag{5.1}$$

Suppose  $V$  is continuous on  $[-d, d]$ , twice differentiable on  $(-d, d)$  and has bounded second derivative  $V''$  bounded on that interval. It is shown in [2] that from

(1.1) it is possible to get the bound

$$E \left[ (\bar{X} - X(t))^2 \right] \leq \left[ \frac{1}{4} + \frac{(t - d/2)^2}{d^2} \right]^2 d^2 \|V''\|_\infty \tag{5.2}$$

for all  $t \in [0, d]$ .

The best inequality we can get from (5.2) is for  $t = t_0 = d/2$  when we have the bound

$$E \left[ (\bar{X} - X(d/2))^2 \right] \leq \frac{d^2}{16} \|V''\|_\infty.$$

For  $t = d$ ,

$$E \left[ (\bar{X} - X(d))^2 \right] \leq \frac{d^2}{4} \|V''\|_\infty.$$

This can be complemented as follows.

Put  $f(s, t) = V(s - t)$ ,  $a = c = 0$  and  $b = d$  in Theorem 1 to get

$$\left| \int_0^d \int_0^d V(s - t) ds dt + \frac{V(0) + V(-d) + V(d) + V(0)}{4} d^2 - d \int_0^d \frac{V(-t) + V(d-t)}{2} dt - d \int_0^d \frac{V(s) + V(s-d)}{2} ds \right| \leq \frac{d^4}{16} \|V''\|_\infty. \tag{5.3}$$

Since  $V(0) = 0$  and  $V(-d) = V(d)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^d \frac{V(-t) + V(d-t)}{2} dt &= \int_0^d \frac{V(s) + V(s-d)}{2} ds \\ &= \int_0^d \frac{V(t) + V(d-t)}{2} dt = \int_0^d V(u) du \end{aligned}$$

and by (5.3)

$$\left| \int_0^d \int_0^d V(s - t) ds dt - 2d \int_0^d V(u) du + \frac{V(d)}{2} d^2 \right| \leq \frac{d^4}{16} \|V''\|_\infty. \tag{5.4}$$

But, by the identity (5.1), we deduce that

$$\int_0^d \int_0^d V(s - t) ds dt - 2d \int_0^d V(u) du = -d^2 E \left[ (\bar{X} - X(d))^2 \right].$$

Consequently, by (5.4), we get

$$\left| E \left[ (\bar{X} - X(d))^2 \right] - \frac{V(d)}{2} \right| \leq \frac{d^2}{16} \|V''\|_\infty$$

which gives an approximation for  $E[(\bar{X} - X(d))^2]$  in terms of  $V(d)$ .

Note that for small  $d$  the approximation is accurate and is of order two precision.

### References

- [1] N. S. Barnett and S. S. Dragomir, "An Ostrowski type inequality for double integrals and applications to cubature formulae", *Soochow J. Math.*, to appear.
- [2] N. S. Barnett and S. S. Dragomir, "A note on bounds for the estimation error variance of a continuous stream with stationary variogram", *J. KSIAM* **2** (1998) 49–56.
- [3] N. S. Barnett, I. S. Gomm and L. Armour, "Location of the optimal sampling point for the quality assessment of continuous streams", *Austral. J. Stat.* **37** (1995) 145–152.
- [4] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić and A. M. Fink, *Classical and new inequalities in analysis* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1993).
- [5] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić and A. M. Fink, *Inequalities for functions and their integrals and derivatives* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1994).
- [6] H. T. Rathod and H. S. Govinda Rao, "Integration of trivariate polynomials over linear polyhedra in Euclidean three-dimensional space", *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B* **39** (1998) 355–385.
- [7] I. W. Saunder, G. K. Robinson, T. Lwin and R. J. Holmes, "A simplified variogram method for the estimation error variance in sampling from continuous stream", *Internat. J. Mineral Processing* **25** (1989) 175–198.
- [8] I. H. Sloan, "Multiple integration is intractable but not hopeless", *ANZIAM J.* **42** (2000) 3–8.