

# Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society

---

---

VOL. 177

JULY 2024

PART 1

---

---

*Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* (2024), **177**, 1–9 © The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Cambridge Philosophical Society. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. 1

doi: [10.1017/S0305004124000100](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004124000100)

First published online 12 July 2024

## Differential forms on universal $K3$ surfaces

BY SHOUHEI MA<sup>†</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152–8551, Japan.*

*e-mail: [ma@math.titech.ac.jp](mailto:ma@math.titech.ac.jp)*

*(Received 14 August 2023; accepted 20 March 2024)*

### *Abstract*

We give a vanishing and classification result for holomorphic differential forms on smooth projective models of the moduli spaces of pointed  $K3$  surfaces. We prove that there is no nonzero holomorphic  $k$ -form for  $0 < k < 10$  and for even  $k > 19$ . In the remaining cases, we give an isomorphism between the space of holomorphic  $k$ -forms with that of vector-valued modular forms ( $10 \leq k \leq 18$ ) or scalar-valued cusp forms (odd  $k \geq 19$ ) for the modular group. These results are in fact proved in the generality of lattice-polarisation.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14J28 (Primary); 14J15, 11F55 (Secondary)

---

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{F}_{g,n}$  be the moduli space of  $n$ -pointed  $K3$  surfaces of genus  $g > 2$ , i.e., primitively polarised of degree  $2g - 2$ . It is a quasi-projective variety of dimension  $19 + 2n$  with a natural morphism  $\mathcal{F}_{g,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_g$  to the moduli space  $\mathcal{F}_g$  of  $K3$  surfaces of genus  $g$ , which is generically a  $K3^n$ -fibration. In this paper we study holomorphic differential  $k$ -forms on a smooth projective model of  $\mathcal{F}_{g,n}$ . They do not depend on the choice of a smooth projective model, and thus are fundamental birational invariants of  $\mathcal{F}_{g,n}$ . We prove a vanishing result for about half of the values of the degree  $k$ , and for the remaining degrees give a correspondence with modular forms on the period domain.

<sup>†</sup>Supported by KAKENHI 21H00971 and 20H00112.

Our main result is stated as follows.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{g,n}$  be a smooth projective model of  $\mathcal{F}_{g,n}$  with  $g > 2$ . Then we have a natural isomorphism:*

$$H^0(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{g,n}, \Omega^k) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & 0 < k \leq 9 \\ M_{\wedge^k, k}(\Gamma_g) & 10 \leq k \leq 18 \\ 0 & k > 19, k \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ S_{19+m}(\Gamma_g, \det) \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}_{n,m} & k = 19 + 2m, 0 \leq m \leq n \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here  $\Gamma_g$  is the modular group for  $K3$  surfaces of genus  $g$ , which is defined as the kernel of  $O^+(L_g) \rightarrow O(L_g^\vee/L_g)$  where  $L_g = 2U \oplus 2E_8 \oplus \langle 2 - 2g \rangle$  is the period lattice of  $K3$  surfaces of genus  $g$ . In the second case,  $M_{\wedge^k, k}(\Gamma_g)$  stands for the space of vector-valued modular forms of weight  $(\wedge^k, k)$  for  $\Gamma_g$  (see [4]). In the last case,  $S_{19+m}(\Gamma_g, \det)$  stands for the space of scalar-valued cusp forms of weight  $19 + m$  and determinant character for  $\Gamma_g$ , and  $\mathcal{S}_{n,m}$  stands for the right quotient  $\mathfrak{S}_n/(\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-m})$ , which is a left  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -set. Theorem 1.1 is actually formulated and proved in the generality of lattice-polarisation (Theorem 2.6).

In the case of the top degree  $k = 19 + 2n$ , namely for canonical forms, the isomorphism (1.1) is proved in [2]. Theorem 1.1 is the extension of this result to all degrees  $k < 19 + 2n$ . The spaces in the right-hand side of (1.1) can also be geometrically explained as follows. In the case  $k \leq 18$ ,  $M_{\wedge^k, k}(\Gamma_g)$  is identified with the space of holomorphic  $k$ -forms on a smooth projective model of  $\mathcal{F}_g$ , pulled back by  $\mathcal{F}_{g,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_g$ . In the case  $k = 19 + 2m$ ,  $S_{19+m}(\Gamma_g, \det)$  is identified with the space of canonical forms on  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{g,m}$ , and the tensor product  $S_{19+m}(\Gamma_g, \det) \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}_{n,m}$  is the direct sum of pullback of such canonical forms by various projections  $\mathcal{F}_{g,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{g,m}$ . Therefore Theorem 1.1 can be understood as a kind of classification result which says that except for canonical forms, there are essentially no new differential forms on the tower  $(\mathcal{F}_{g,n})_n$  of moduli spaces. In fact, this is how the proof proceeds.

The space  $S_l(\Gamma_g, \det)$  is nonzero for every sufficiently large  $l$ , so the space  $H^0(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{g,n}, \Omega^k)$  for odd  $k \geq 19$  is typically nonzero (at least when  $k$  is large). On the other hand, it is not clear at present whether  $M_{\wedge^k, k}(\Gamma_g) \neq 0$  or not in the range  $10 \leq k \leq 18$ . This is a subject of study in the theory of vector-valued orthogonal modular forms.

The isomorphism (1.1) in the case  $k = 19 + 2m$  is an  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -equivariant isomorphism, where  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  acts on  $H^0(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{g,n}, \Omega^k)$  by its permutation action on  $\mathcal{F}_{g,n}$ , while it acts on  $S_{19+m}(\Gamma_g, \det) \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}_{n,m}$  by its natural left action on  $\mathcal{S}_{n,m}$ . Therefore, taking the  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -invariant part, we obtain the following simpler result for the unordered pointed moduli space  $\mathcal{F}_{g,n}/\mathfrak{S}_n$ , which is birationally a  $K3^{[n]}$ -fibration over  $\mathcal{F}_g$ .

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *Let  $\overline{\mathcal{F}_{g,n}/\mathfrak{S}_n}$  be a smooth projective model of  $\mathcal{F}_{g,n}/\mathfrak{S}_n$ . Then we have a natural isomorphism:*

$$H^0(\overline{\mathcal{F}_{g,n}/\mathfrak{S}_n}, \Omega^k) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & 0 < k \leq 9 \\ M_{\wedge^k, k}(\Gamma_g) & 10 \leq k \leq 18 \\ 0 & k > 19, k \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ S_{19+m}(\Gamma_g, \det) & k = 19 + 2m, 0 \leq m \leq n \end{cases} \quad .$$

The universal K3 surface  $\mathcal{F}_{g,1}$  is an analogue of elliptic modular surfaces ([6]), and the moduli spaces  $\mathcal{F}_{g,n}$  for general  $n$  are analogues of the so-called Kuga varieties over modular curves ([7]). Starting with the case of elliptic modular surfaces [6], holomorphic differential forms on the Kuga varieties have been described in terms of elliptic modular forms: [7] for canonical forms, and [1] for the case of lower degrees (somewhat implicitly). Theorem 1.1 can be regarded as a K3 version of these results.

As a final remark, in view of the analogy between universal K3 surfaces and elliptic modular surfaces, invoking the classical fact that elliptic modular surfaces have maximal Picard number ([6]) now raises the question if  $H^{k,0}(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{g,n}) \oplus H^{0,k}(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{g,n})$  is a sub  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure of  $H^k(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$ . This is independent of the choice of a smooth projective model  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{g,n}$ .

The rest of this paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 2.1 we compute a part of the holomorphic Leray spectral sequence associated to a certain type of  $K3^n$ -fibration. This is the main step of the proof. In Section 2.2 we study differential forms on a compactification of such a fibration. In Section 2.3 we deduce (a generalised version of) Theorem 1.1 by combining the result of Section 2.2 with some results from [2–5]. Sometimes we drop the subscript  $X$  from the notation  $\Omega_X^k$  when the variety  $X$  is clear from the context.

## 2. Proof

### 2.1. Holomorphic Leray spectral sequence

Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$  be a smooth family of K3 surfaces over a smooth connected base  $B$ . In this subsection  $X$  and  $B$  may be analytic. We put the following assumption:

*Condition 2.1.* In a neighbourhood of every point of  $B$ , the period map is an embedding.

This is equivalent to the condition that the differential of the period map

$$T_b B \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^{2,0}(X_b), H^{1,1}(X_b))$$

is injective for every  $b \in B$ , where  $X_b$  is the fiber of  $\pi$  over  $b$ .

For a natural number  $n > 0$  we denote by  $X_n = X \times_B \cdots \times_B X$  the  $n$ -fold fiber product of  $X$  over  $B$ , and let  $\pi_n : X_n \rightarrow B$  be the projection. We denote by  $\Omega_{\pi_n}$  the relative cotangent bundle of  $\pi_n$ , and  $\Omega_{\pi_n}^p = \wedge^p \Omega_{\pi_n}$  for  $p \geq 0$  as usual.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$  be a K3 fibration satisfying Condition 2.1. Then we have a natural isomorphism:*

$$(\pi_n)_* \Omega_{X_n}^k \simeq \begin{cases} \Omega_B^k & k \leq \dim B \\ 0 & k > \dim B, \quad k \not\equiv \dim B \pmod{2} \\ K_B \otimes (\pi_n)_* \Omega_{\pi_n}^{2m} & k = \dim B + 2m, \quad 0 \leq m \leq n \end{cases}$$

This assertion amounts to a partial degeneration of the holomorphic Leray spectral sequence. Recall ([8, section 5.2]) that  $\Omega_{X_n}^k$  has the holomorphic Leray filtration  $L^\bullet \Omega_{X_n}^k$  defined by

$$L^l \Omega_{X_n}^k = \pi_n^* \Omega_B^l \wedge \Omega_{X_n}^{k-l},$$

whose graded quotients are naturally isomorphic to

$$\text{Gr}_L^l \Omega_{X_n}^k = \pi_n^* \Omega_B^l \otimes \Omega_{\pi_n}^{k-l}.$$

This filtration induces the holomorphic Leray spectral sequence

$$(E_r^{l,q}, d_r) \Rightarrow E_\infty^{l+q} = R^{l+q}(\pi_n)_* \Omega_{X_n}^k$$

which converges to the filtration

$$L^l R^{l+q}(\pi_n)_* \Omega_{X_n}^k = \text{Im}(R^{l+q}(\pi_n)_* L^l \Omega_{X_n}^k \rightarrow R^{l+q}(\pi_n)_* \Omega_{X_n}^k).$$

By [8, proposition 5.9], the  $E_1$  page coincides with the collection of the Koszul complexes associated to the variation of Hodge structures for  $\pi_n$ :

$$(E_1^{l,q}, d_1) = (\mathcal{H}^{k-l, l+q} \otimes \Omega_B^l, \bar{\nabla}). \tag{2.1}$$

Here  $\mathcal{H}^{*,*}$  are the Hodge bundles associated to the fibration  $\pi_n: X_n \rightarrow B$ , and

$$\bar{\nabla}: \mathcal{H}^{*,*} \otimes \Omega_B^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{*-1, *+1} \otimes \Omega_B^{*+1}$$

are the differentials in the Koszul complexes (see [8, section 5.1.3]). For degree reasons, the range of  $(l, q)$  in the  $E_1$  page satisfies the inequalities

$$0 \leq l \leq \dim B, \quad 0 \leq k - l \leq 2n, \quad 0 \leq l + q \leq 2n.$$

The first two can be unified:

$$\max(0, k - 2n) \leq l \leq \min(\dim B, k), \quad 0 \leq l + q \leq 2n. \tag{2.2}$$

We calculate the  $E_1$  to  $E_2$  pages on the edge line  $l + q = 0$ .

LEMMA 2.3. *The following holds:*

- (1)  $E_1^{l,-l} = 0$  when  $l \leq \min(\dim B, k)$  with  $l \not\equiv k \pmod 2$ ;
- (2)  $E_2^{l,-l} = 0$  when  $l < \min(\dim B, k)$ ;
- (3) For  $l_0 = \min(\dim B, k)$  we have  $E_1^{l_0, -l_0} = E_2^{l_0, -l_0} = \dots = E_\infty^{l_0, -l_0}$ .

*Proof.* By (2.1), we have  $E_1^{l,-l} = \mathcal{H}^{k-l, 0} \otimes \Omega_B^l$ . By the Künneth formula, the fiber of  $\mathcal{H}^{k-l, 0}$  over a point  $b \in B$  is identified with

$$H^{k-l, 0}(X_b^n) = \bigoplus_{(p_1, \dots, p_n)} H^{p_1, 0}(X_b) \otimes \dots \otimes H^{p_n, 0}(X_b), \tag{2.3}$$

where  $(p_1, \dots, p_n)$  ranges over all indices with  $\sum_i p_i = k - l$  and  $0 \leq p_i \leq 2$ .

(1) When  $k - l$  is odd, every index  $(p_1, \dots, p_n)$  in (2.3) must contain a component  $p_i = 1$ . Since  $H^{1, 0}(X_b) = 0$ , we see that  $H^{k-l, 0}(X_b^n) = 0$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{H}^{k-l, 0} = 0$  when  $k - l$  is odd.

(3) Let  $l_0 = \min(\dim B, k)$ . By the range (2.2) of  $(l, q)$ , we see that for every  $r \geq 1$  the source of  $d_r$  that hits  $E_r^{l_0, -l_0}$  is zero, and the target of  $d_r$  that starts from  $E_r^{l_0, -l_0}$  is also zero. This proves our assertion.

(2) Let  $l < \min(\dim B, k)$ . In view of (1), we may assume that  $l = k - 2m$  for some  $m > 0$ . By (2.2), the source of  $d_1$  that hits  $E_1^{l,-l}$  is zero. We shall show that  $d_1: E_1^{l,-l} \rightarrow E_1^{l+1,-l}$  is

injective. By (2.1), this morphism is identified with

$$\bar{\nabla}: \mathcal{H}^{2m,0} \otimes \Omega_B^l \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{2m-1,1} \otimes \Omega_B^{l+1}. \tag{2.4}$$

By the Künneth formula as in (2.3), the fibers of the Hodge bundles  $\mathcal{H}^{2m,0}, \mathcal{H}^{2m-1,1}$  over  $b \in B$  are respectively identified with

$$H^{2m,0}(X_b^n) = \bigoplus_{|\sigma|=m} H^{2,0}(X_b)^{\otimes \sigma}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H^{2m-1,1}(X_b^n) &= \bigoplus_{|\sigma'|=m-1} \bigoplus_{i \notin \sigma'} H^{2,0}(X_b)^{\otimes \sigma'} \otimes H^{1,1}(X_b) \\ &= \bigoplus_{|\sigma|=m} \bigoplus_{i \in \sigma} H^{2,0}(X_b)^{\otimes \sigma - \{i\}} \otimes H^{1,1}(X_b). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

In (2.5),  $\sigma$  ranges over all subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  consisting of  $m$  elements, and  $H^{2,0}(X_b)^{\otimes \sigma}$  stands for the tensor product of  $H^{2,0}(X_b)$  for the  $j$ th factors  $X_b$  of  $X_b^n$  over all  $j \in \sigma$ . The notations  $\sigma', \sigma$  in (2.6) are similar, and  $H^{1,1}(X_b)$  in (2.6) is the  $H^{1,1}$  of the  $i$ th factor  $X_b$  of  $X_b^n$ .

Let us write  $V = H^{2,0}(X_b)$  and  $W = (T_b B)^\vee$  for simplicity. The homomorphism (2.4) over  $b \in B$  is written as

$$\bigoplus_{|\sigma|=m} \left( V^{\otimes \sigma} \otimes \wedge^l W \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in \sigma} V^{\otimes \sigma - \{i\}} \otimes H^{1,1}(X_b) \otimes \wedge^{l+1} W \right). \tag{2.7}$$

By [8, lemma 5.8], the  $(\sigma, i)$ -component

$$V^{\otimes \sigma} \otimes \wedge^l W \rightarrow V^{\otimes \sigma - \{i\}} \otimes H^{1,1}(X_b) \otimes \wedge^{l+1} W \tag{2.8}$$

factorises as

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\otimes \sigma} \otimes \wedge^l W &\rightarrow V^{\otimes \sigma - \{i\}} \otimes H^{1,1}(X_b) \otimes W \otimes \wedge^l W \\ &\rightarrow V^{\otimes \sigma - \{i\}} \otimes H^{1,1}(X_b) \otimes \wedge^{l+1} W, \end{aligned}$$

where the first map is induced by the adjunction  $V \rightarrow H^{1,1}(X_b) \otimes W$  of the differential of the period map for the  $i$ th factor  $X_b$ , and the second map is induced by the wedge product  $W \otimes \wedge^l W \rightarrow \wedge^{l+1} W$ . By linear algebra, this composition can also be decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\otimes \sigma} \otimes \wedge^l W &\rightarrow V^{\otimes \sigma - \{i\}} \otimes V \otimes W^\vee \otimes \wedge^{l+1} W \\ &\rightarrow V^{\otimes \sigma - \{i\}} \otimes H^{1,1}(X_b) \otimes \wedge^{l+1} W, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where the first map is induced by the adjunction  $\wedge^l W \rightarrow W^\vee \otimes \wedge^{l+1} W$  of the wedge product, and the second map is induced by the adjunction  $V \otimes W^\vee \rightarrow H^{1,1}(X_b)$  of the differential of the period map. By our initial Condition 2.1, the second map of (2.9) is injective. Moreover, since  $l + 1 \leq \dim W$  by our assumption, the wedge product  $\wedge^l W \times W \rightarrow \wedge^{l+1} W$  is nondegenerate, so its adjunction  $\wedge^l W \rightarrow W^\vee \otimes \wedge^{l+1} W$  is injective. Thus the first map of (2.9) is also injective. It follows that (2.8) is injective. Since the map (2.7) is the direct sum of its  $(\sigma, i)$ -components, it is injective. This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.3.

We can now complete the proof of Proposition 2.2.

*Proof of Proposition 2.2.* By Lemma 2.3 (2), we have  $E_\infty^{l,-l} = 0$  when  $l < l_0 = \min(\dim B, k)$ . Together with Lemma 2.3 (3), we obtain

$$(\pi_n)_* \Omega_{X_n}^k = E_\infty^0 = E_\infty^{l_0, -l_0} = E_1^{l_0, -l_0}.$$

When  $k \leq \dim B$ , we have  $l_0 = k$ , and  $E_1^{l_0, -l_0} = \Omega_B^k$  by (2.1). When  $k > \dim B$ , we have  $l_0 = \dim B$ , and  $E_1^{l_0, -l_0} = \mathcal{H}^{k-\dim B, 0} \otimes K_B$  by (2.1). When  $k - \dim B$  is odd, this vanishes by Lemma 2.3 (1).

In the case  $k = \dim B + 2m$ , the vector bundle  $\mathcal{H}^{2m, 0} \otimes K_B = (\pi_n)_* \Omega_{\pi_n}^{2m} \otimes K_B$  can be written more specifically as follows. For a subset  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  with cardinality  $|\sigma| = m$ , we denote by  $X_\sigma \simeq X_m$  the fiber product of the  $i$ th factors  $X \rightarrow B$  of  $X_n \rightarrow B$  over all  $i \in \sigma$ . We denote by

$$X_n \xrightarrow{\pi_\sigma} X_\sigma \xrightarrow{\pi_\sigma} B$$

the natural projections. The Künneth formula (2.5) says that

$$(\pi_n)_* \Omega_{\pi_n}^{2m} \simeq \bigoplus_{|\sigma|=m} \pi_*^\sigma K_{\pi^\sigma}.$$

Combining this with the isomorphism

$$\pi_*^\sigma K_{X_\sigma} \simeq K_B \otimes \pi_*^\sigma K_{\pi^\sigma} \tag{2.10}$$

for each  $X_\sigma$ , we can rewrite the isomorphism in the last case of Proposition 2.2 as

$$(\pi_n)_* \Omega_{X_n}^{\dim B + 2m} \simeq \bigoplus_{|\sigma|=m} \pi_*^\sigma K_{X_\sigma}. \tag{2.11}$$

2.2. Extension over compactification

Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$  be a K3 fibration as in Section 2.1. We now assume that  $X, B$  are quasi-projective and  $\pi$  is a morphism of algebraic varieties. We take smooth projective compactifications of  $X_n, X_\sigma, B$  and denote them by  $\bar{X}_n, \bar{X}_\sigma, \bar{B}$  respectively.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *We have*

$$H^0(\bar{X}_n, \Omega^k) \simeq \begin{cases} H^0(\bar{B}, \Omega^k) & k \leq \dim B \\ 0 & k > \dim B, \quad k \not\equiv \dim B \pmod 2 \\ \bigoplus_\sigma H^0(\bar{X}_\sigma, K_{\bar{X}_\sigma}) & k = \dim B + 2m, \quad 0 \leq m \leq n \end{cases}$$

*In the last case,  $\sigma$  ranges over all subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $|\sigma| = m$ . The isomorphism in the first case is given by the pullback by  $\pi_n : X_n \rightarrow B$ , and the isomorphism in the last case is given by the direct sum of the pullbacks by  $\pi_\sigma : X_n \rightarrow X_\sigma$  for all  $\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion in the case  $k > \dim B$  with  $k \not\equiv \dim B \pmod 2$  follows directly from the second case of Proposition 2.2. Next we consider the case  $k \leq \dim B$ . We may assume that  $\pi_n : X_n \rightarrow B$  extends to a surjective morphism  $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow \bar{B}$ . Let  $\omega$  be a holomorphic  $k$ -form on  $\bar{X}_n$ . By the first case of Proposition 2.2, we have  $\omega|_{X_n} = \pi_n^* \omega_B$  for a holomorphic

$k$ -form  $\omega_B$  on  $B$ . Since  $\omega$  is holomorphic over  $\bar{X}_n$ ,  $\omega_B$  is holomorphic over  $\bar{B}$  as well by a standard property of holomorphic differential forms. (Otherwise  $\omega$  must have pole at the divisors of  $\bar{X}_n$  dominating the divisors of  $\bar{B}$  where  $\omega_B$  has pole.) Therefore the pullback  $H^0(\bar{B}, \Omega^k) \rightarrow H^0(\bar{X}_n, \Omega^k)$  is surjective.

Finally, we consider the case  $k = \dim B + 2m, 0 \leq m \leq n$ . Let  $\omega$  be a holomorphic  $k$ -form on  $\bar{X}_n$ . By (2.11), we can uniquely write  $\omega|_{X_n} = \sum_{\sigma} \pi_{\sigma}^* \omega_{\sigma}$  for some canonical forms  $\omega_{\sigma}$  on  $X_{\sigma}$ .

*Claim 2.5.* For each  $\sigma$ ,  $\omega_{\sigma}$  is holomorphic over  $\bar{X}_{\sigma}$ .

*Proof.* We identify  $X_n$  with the fiber product  $X_{\sigma} \times_B X_{\tau}$  where  $\tau = \{1, \dots, n\} - \sigma$  is the complement of  $\sigma$ . We may assume that this fiber product diagram extends to a commutative diagram of surjective morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{X}_n & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\tau}} & \bar{X}_{\tau} \\ \pi_{\sigma} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi^{\tau} \\ \bar{X}_{\sigma} & \xrightarrow{\pi^{\sigma}} & \bar{B} \end{array}$$

between smooth projective models. We take an irreducible subvariety  $\tilde{B} \subset \bar{X}_{\tau}$  such that  $\tilde{B} \rightarrow \bar{B}$  is surjective and generically finite. Then  $\pi_{\tau}^{-1}(\tilde{B}) \subset \bar{X}_n$  has a unique irreducible component dominating  $\tilde{B}$ . We take its desingularisation and denote it by  $Y$ . By construction  $\pi_{\sigma}|_Y: Y \rightarrow \bar{X}_{\sigma}$  is dominant (and so surjective) and generically finite. On the other hand, for any  $\sigma' \neq \sigma$  with  $|\sigma'| = m$ , the projection  $\pi_{\sigma'}|_Y: Y \dashrightarrow X_{\sigma'}$  is not dominant. Indeed, such  $\sigma'$  contains at least one component  $i \in \tau$ , so if  $Y \dashrightarrow X_{\sigma'}$  was dominant, then the  $i$ th projection  $Y \dashrightarrow X$  would be also dominant, which is absurd because it factorises as  $Y \rightarrow \tilde{B} \subset \bar{X}_{\tau} \dashrightarrow X$ .

We pullback the differential form  $\omega = \pi_{\sigma}^* \omega_{\sigma} + \sum_{\sigma' \neq \sigma} \pi_{\sigma'}^* \omega_{\sigma'}$  to  $Y$  and denote it by  $\omega|_Y$ . Since  $\omega$  is holomorphic over  $\bar{X}_n$ ,  $\omega|_Y$  is holomorphic over  $Y$ . Since  $\pi_{\sigma'}^* \omega_{\sigma'}|_Y$  is the pullback of the canonical form  $\omega_{\sigma'}$  on  $X_{\sigma'}$  by the non-dominant map  $Y \dashrightarrow X_{\sigma'}$ , it vanishes identically. Hence  $\pi_{\sigma}^* \omega_{\sigma}|_Y = \omega|_Y$  is holomorphic over  $Y$ . Since  $\pi_{\sigma}|_Y: Y \rightarrow \bar{X}_{\sigma}$  is surjective, this implies that  $\omega_{\sigma}$  is holomorphic over  $\bar{X}_{\sigma}$  as before.

The above argument will be clear if we consider over the generic point  $\eta$  of  $B$ : we restrict  $\omega$  to the fiber of  $(X_{\eta})^n \rightarrow (X_{\eta})^{\tau}$  over the geometric point  $\tilde{B}$  of  $(X_{\eta})^{\tau}$  over  $\eta$ .

By Claim 2.5, the pullback

$$(\pi_{\sigma}^*)_{\sigma}: \bigoplus_{|\sigma|=m} H^0(\bar{X}_{\sigma}, K_{\bar{X}_{\sigma}}) \rightarrow H^0(\bar{X}_n, \Omega^{\dim B + 2m})$$

is surjective. It is also injective as implied by (2.11). This proves Proposition 2.4.

### 2.3. Universal K3 surface.

Now we prove Theorem 1.1, in the generality of lattice-polarisation. Let  $L$  be an even lattice of signature  $(2, d)$  which can be embedded as a primitive sublattice of the K3 lattice  $3U \oplus 2E_8$ . We denote by

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ \mathbb{C}\omega \in \mathbb{P}L_{\mathbb{C}} \mid (\omega, \omega) = 0, (\omega, \bar{\omega}) > 0 \}^+$$

the Hermitian symmetric domain associated to  $L$ , where  $+$  means a connected component.

Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$  be a smooth projective family of  $K3$  surfaces over a smooth quasi-projective connected base  $B$ . We say ([3]) that the family  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$  is *lattice-polarised with period lattice  $L$*  if there exists a sub local system  $\Lambda$  of  $R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$  such that each fiber  $\Lambda_b$  is a hyperbolic sublattice of the Néron-Severi lattice  $NS(X_b)$  and the fibers of the orthogonal complement  $\Lambda^\perp$  are isometric to  $L$ . Then we have a period map

$$\mathcal{P} : B \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{D}$$

for some finite-index subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $O^+(L)$ . By Borel’s extension theorem,  $\mathcal{P}$  is a morphism of algebraic varieties.

Let us put the assumption

$$\mathcal{P} \text{ is birational and } -\text{id} \notin \Gamma. \tag{2.12}$$

For such a family  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ , if we shrink  $B$  as necessary, then  $\mathcal{P}$  is an open immersion and Condition 2.1 is satisfied. For example, the universal  $K3$  surface  $\mathcal{F}_{g,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_g$  for  $g > 2$  restricted over a Zariski open set of  $\mathcal{F}_g$  satisfies this assumption with  $L = L_g$  and  $\Gamma = \Gamma_g$  (see Section 1 for these notations).

As in Section 1, we denote by  $M_{\wedge^k,k}(\Gamma)$  the space of vector-valued modular forms of weight  $(\wedge^k, k)$  for  $\Gamma$ ,  $S_l(\Gamma, \det)$  the space of scalar-valued cusp forms of weight  $l$  and character  $\det$  for  $\Gamma$ , and  $\mathcal{S}_{n,m} = \mathfrak{S}_n / (\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-m})$ .

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$  be a lattice-polarised  $K3$  family with period lattice  $L$  of signature  $(2, d)$  with  $d \geq 3$  and monodromy group  $\Gamma$  satisfying (2.12). Then we have an  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -equivariant isomorphism*

$$H^0(\bar{X}_n, \Omega^k) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & 0 < k < d/2 \\ M_{\wedge^k,k}(\Gamma) & d/2 \leq k < d \\ 0 & k > d, k - d \notin 2\mathbb{Z} \\ S_{d+m}(\Gamma, \det) \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}_{n,m} & k = d + 2m, 0 \leq m \leq n \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* When  $k \leq d$ , we have  $H^0(\bar{X}_n, \Omega^k) \simeq H^0(\bar{B}, \Omega^k)$  by Proposition 2.4. Then  $\bar{B}$  is a smooth projective model of the modular variety  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{D}$ . By a theorem of Pommerening [5], the space  $H^0(\bar{B}, \Omega^k)$  for  $k < d$  is isomorphic to the space of  $\Gamma$ -invariant holomorphic  $k$ -forms on  $\mathcal{D}$ , which in turn is identified with the space  $M_{\wedge^k,k}(\Gamma)$  of vector-valued modular forms of weight  $(\wedge^k, k)$  for  $\Gamma$  (see [4]). The vanishing of this space in  $0 < k < d/2$  is proved in [4, theorem 1.2] in the case when  $L$  has Witt index 2, and in [4, theorem 1.5 (1)] in the case when  $L$  has Witt index  $\leq 1$ .

The vanishing in the case  $k > d$  with  $k \not\equiv d \pmod{2}$  follows from Proposition 2.4. Finally, we consider the case  $k = d + 2m, 0 \leq m \leq n$ . By Proposition 2.4, we have a natural  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$H^0(\bar{X}_n, \Omega^{d+2m}) \simeq \bigoplus_{|\sigma|=m} H^0(\bar{X}_\sigma, K_{\bar{X}_\sigma}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  permutes the subsets  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Here note that the stabiliser of each  $\sigma$  acts on  $H^0(\bar{X}_\sigma, K_{\bar{X}_\sigma})$  trivially by (2.10). Therefore, as an  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -representation, the right-hand side can be written as



$$H^0(\bar{X}_m, K_{\bar{X}_m}) \otimes \left( \bigoplus_{|\sigma|=m} \mathbb{C}\sigma \right) \simeq H^0(\bar{X}_m, K_{\bar{X}_m}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}_{n,m}.$$

Finally, we have  $H^0(\bar{X}_m, K_{\bar{X}_m}) \simeq S_{d+m}(\Gamma, \det)$  by [3, theorem 3.1].

*Remark 2.7.* The case  $k \geq d$  of Theorem 2.6 holds also when  $d = 1, 2$ . We put the assumption  $d \geq 3$  for the requirement of the Koecher principle from [5]. Therefore, in fact, only the case  $(d, k) = (2, 1)$  with Witt index 2 is not covered.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. B. GORDON. Algebraic cycles and the Hodge structure of a Kuga fiber variety. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **336**(2) (1993), 933–947.
- [2] S. MA. Mukai models and Borchers products. To appear in *Amer. J. Math.* ArXiv:1909.03946.
- [3] S. MA. Kodaira dimension of universal holomorphic symplectic varieties. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **21**(6) (2022), 1849–1866.
- [4] S. MA. Vector-valued orthogonal modular forms. To appear in *Mem. Eur. Math. Soc.* ArXiv:2209.10135.
- [5] K. POMMERENING. Die Fortsetzbarkeit von Differentialformen auf arithmetischen Quotienten von hermiteschen symmetrischen Räumen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **356** (1985), 194–220.
- [6] T. SHIODA. On elliptic modular surfaces. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **24** (1972), 20–59.
- [7] V. V. SHOKUROV. Holomorphic differential forms of higher degree on Kuga’s modular varieties. *Math. USSR-Sb.* **30**(1) (1976), 119–142.
- [8] C. VOISIN. *Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry II.* (Cambridge University Press, 2003).