

# ON AN INEQUALITY OF BOMBIERI

D. C. PEASLEE and W. A. COPPEL

(Received 24 August 1967)

Bieberbach's conjecture, proposed in 1916 and still unsolved, states that if  $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$  is holomorphic and univalent in the disc  $|z| < 1$  then  $|a_n| \leq n$  for each  $n \geq 2$ , with equality for some  $n$  only if  $f(z)$  is the Koebe function

$$k(z) = z/(1-z)^2 = z + 2z^2 + 3z^3 + \dots$$

or is obtained from this function by a rotation. Very recently Bombieri has succeeded in showing that if  $f(z)$  is sufficiently close to the Koebe function, then  $\Re a_n \leq n$  with equality only if  $f(z) = k(z)$ . This had previously been proved by Garabedian, Ross and Schiffer [3] for even values of  $n$ .

In the announcement [1] Bombieri proves this result for functions  $f_\varepsilon(z)$  depending analytically on a parameter  $\varepsilon$  with  $f_0(z) = k(z)$ . The basis of the proof is the fact that the quadratic form

$$(1) \quad R_N = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n(N-n)x_n^2 + 2 \sum_{m+n < N} (N-m-n)x_m x_n$$

is positive definite, and Bombieri deduces this from the new integral inequality

$$(2) \quad \int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)f^2(x)dx + 2 \iint_{x+y \geq 0} (x+y)f(x)f(y)dx dy \geq 0,$$

valid for all  $f(x) \in L^2(-1, 1)$ . In the present paper a different proof of the inequality (2) is given, using Legendre polynomials. Moreover it is shown that equality holds only if  $f(x) = 0$  almost everywhere. The positive definiteness of the quadratic form  $R_N$  is also proved directly, without recourse to integrals, by using polynomials which are orthogonal over a finite set.

**THEOREM.** *If  $f(x) \in L^2(-1, 1)$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2$ , then*

$$J(\lambda) = \int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)f^2(x)dx + \lambda \iint_{x+y \geq 0} (x+y)f(x)f(y)dx dy \geq 0,$$

*with equality only if  $f(x) = 0$  almost everywhere. If  $\lambda < 0$  or  $\lambda > 2$  there exist functions  $f(x) \in L^2(-1, 1)$  for which  $J(\lambda) < 0$ .*

Put

$$F(x) = - \int_x^1 f(\xi)d\xi.$$

Since  $F(x)$  is absolutely continuous, it has a convergent expansion

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \bar{P}_n(x),$$

where

$$\bar{P}_n(x) = \left(\frac{2n+1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} P_n(x)$$

is the normalised Legendre polynomial and

$$a_n = \int_{-1}^1 F(x) \bar{P}_n(x) dx.$$

By integrating by parts and using the differential equation for the Legendre polynomials we obtain

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2) f(x) \bar{P}'_n(x) dx = n(n+1) a_n.$$

In particular,

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2) \bar{P}'_m(x) \bar{P}'_n(x) dx = n(n+1) \delta_{mn}.$$

Thus the polynomials  $\bar{P}'_n(x)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) form an orthogonal system with respect to the weight function  $w(x) = 1-x^2$ . Since the interval is finite, this system is complete. Therefore we have the Parseval relation

$$J_1 \equiv \int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2) f^2(x) dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+1) a_n^2.$$

On the other hand, by integrating by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \iint_{x+y \geq 0} (x+y) f(x) f(y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 x f(x) \int_{-x}^1 f(y) dy dx + \int_{-1}^1 f(x) \int_{-x}^1 y f(y) dy dx \\ &= - \int_{-1}^1 x f(x) F(-x) dx + F(x) \int_{-x}^1 y f(y) dy \Big|_{-1}^1 + \int_{-1}^1 F(x) x f(-x) dx \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 x [F(x) f(-x) - f(x) F(-x)] dx \\ &= - \int_{-1}^1 x [F(x) F(-x)]' dx \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 F(x) F(-x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

since  $F(1) = 0$ . Since  $\bar{P}_n(-x) = (-1)^n \bar{P}_n(x)$  it follows from the Parseval relation for the system of Legendre polynomials that

$$J_2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n a_n^2.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(\lambda) &= J_1 + \lambda J_2 \\
 &= \lambda a_0^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n(n+1) + \lambda(-1)^n] a_n^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $J(\lambda) \geq 0$  if  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2$ . Moreover if  $J(\lambda) = 0$  and  $0 \leq \lambda < 2$  then  $a_n = 0$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) and hence  $f(x) = 0$  a.e. If  $J(\lambda) = 0$  and  $\lambda = 2$  then  $a_0 = 0$  and  $a_n = 0$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). The vanishing of  $a_n$  for  $n \geq 2$  implies that  $f(x)$  is constant a.e. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 2^{\frac{1}{2}} a_0 &= \int_{-1}^1 F(x) dx \\
 &= - \int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx - \int_{-1}^1 x f(x) dx \\
 &= - \int_{-1}^1 (1+x) f(x) dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

this constant must be 0.

Now write

$$h_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{2n+1}{n(n+1)} \approx 2 \log N \quad \text{for } N \rightarrow \infty$$

and let  $f(x)$  be the polynomial defined by taking

$$a_n = \begin{cases} (2n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}/n(n+1) & \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq N, \\ 0 & \text{for } n > N. \end{cases}$$

Since  $F(1) = 0$  we have

$$a_0 = - \sum_{n=1}^N (2n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} a_n = -h_N.$$

Hence

$$J(\lambda) = h_N + \lambda[h_N^2 + O(1)].$$

If  $\lambda < 0$  it follows that  $J(\lambda) < 0$  for sufficiently large  $N$ . On the other hand, if we define  $a_n$  in the same way as before for  $n \geq 2$  but take

$$a_1 = -3^{-\frac{1}{2}}(h_N - \frac{3}{2}),$$

then  $a_0 = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(\lambda) &= \frac{2}{3}(h_N - \frac{3}{2})^2 + h_N - \frac{3}{2} + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^N (-1)^n a_n^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{3}(2-\lambda)(h_N - \frac{3}{2})^2 + h_N - \frac{3}{2} + \lambda O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $\lambda > 2$  it follows that  $J(\lambda) < 0$  for sufficiently large  $N$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

To prove the positive definiteness of the quadratic form  $R_N$  directly, without using the inequality (2), we need the analogues of the Legendre polynomials for a discrete variable. Čebyšev has defined, for each positive

integer  $N$ , a finite sequence of polynomials  $t_n(x)$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ ) with the following properties (see [2]):

(i)  $t_n(x)$  has degree  $n$  in  $x$ ,

(ii) Orthogonality

$$(3) \quad \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} t_m(x)t_n(x) = \beta_n \delta_{mn},$$

where

$$\beta_n = N(N^2-1^2)(N^2-2^2) \cdots (N^2-n^2)/(2n+1),$$

(iii) Symmetry

$$(4) \quad t_n(N-1-x) = (-1)^n t_n(x),$$

(iv) Difference equation

$$(5) \quad \Delta[x(x-N)\Delta t_n(x-1)] - n(n+1)t_n(x) = 0.$$

We will also require an orthogonality property of the first differences  $\Delta t_n(x)$ . By partial summation we get for  $m, n = 1, \dots, N-1$

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} x(x-N)\Delta t_m(x-1)\Delta t_n(x-1) &= - \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} t_m(x)\Delta[x(x-N)\Delta t_n(x-1)] \\ &= -n(n+1) \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} t_m(x)t_n(x) \\ &= -n(n+1)\beta_n \delta_{mn}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f(x)$  be the uniquely determined polynomial of degree  $< N-1$  such that  $f(n-1) = x_n$  ( $n = 1, \dots, N-1$ ). There exists a unique polynomial  $F(x)$  of degree  $< N$  such that  $F(0) = 0$  and

$$f(x) = \Delta F(x) = F(x+1) - F(x).$$

We can write

$$(7) \quad F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n \beta_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} t_n(x)$$

with suitable coefficients  $a_n$ . Then

$$(8) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} a_n \beta_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Delta t_n(x).$$

We wish to evaluate the sum

$$\begin{aligned} S(\lambda) &= S_1 + \lambda S_2 \\ &= \sum_{x=1}^{N-1} x(N-x)f^2(x-1) + \lambda \sum_{\substack{x+y < N \\ x, y > 0}} (N-x-y)f(x-1)f(y-1). \end{aligned}$$

By (8) and (6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_1 &= \sum_{m,n=1}^{N-1} a_m a_n \beta_m^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{x=1}^{N-1} x(N-x) \Delta t_m(x-1) \Delta t_n(x-1) \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n(n+1) a_n^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $F(x)$  and by partial summation

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_2 &= \sum_{x=1}^{N-2} (N-x)f(x-1) \sum_{y=1}^{N-1-x} f(y-1) - \sum_{x=1}^{N-2} f(x-1) \sum_{y=1}^{N-1-x} yf(y-1) \\
 &= \sum_{x=1}^{N-2} (N-x)f(x-1)F(N-1-x) - \sum_{x=1}^{N-2} (N-1-x)f(N-2-x)F(x) \\
 &= \sum_{x=0}^{N-2} (N-1-x)[f(x)F(N-2-x) - f(N-2-x)F(x)] \\
 &= \sum_{x=1}^{N-1} x[f(N-1-x)F(x-1) - f(x-1)F(N-1-x)] \\
 &= - \sum_{x=1}^{N-1} x \Delta [F(x-1)F(N-x)] \\
 &= \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} F(x)F(N-1-x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the symmetry property (4) and the orthogonality property (3),

$$S_2 = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (-1)^n a_n^2.$$

Thus

$$S(\lambda) = \lambda a_0^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} [n(n+1) + \lambda(-1)^n] a_n^2.$$

Hence  $S(\lambda) \geq 0$  if  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$ , with equality only when  $a_0 = 0$  and  $a_n = 0$  ( $2 \leq n \leq N-1$ ). The vanishing of  $a_n$  for  $n \geq 2$  implies that  $f(x)$  is a constant. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_0 &= N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} F(x) \\
 &= N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} (N-1-x)f(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $f(x) \equiv 0$ . In particular, for  $\lambda = 2$ , this shows that the quadratic form  $R_N$  is positive definite.

[*Added in proof*: The complete proof of Bombieri's contribution to the Bieberbach conjecture has now appeared in *Inventiones Math.* 4 (1967), 26–27.]

### References

- [1] E. Bombieri, 'Sulla seconda variazione della funzione di Koebe', *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* 22 (1967), 25–32.
- [2] A. Erdélyi, et al., *Higher transcendental functions* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953), Vol. 2, p. 223.
- [3] P. R. Garabedian, G. G. Ross and M. Schiffer, 'On the Bieberbach conjecture for even  $n$ ', *J. Math. Mech.* 14 (1965), 975–989.

Departments of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics  
Institute of Advanced Studies  
The Australian National University  
Canberra, A.C.T.