

ON MULTIPLIERS WITH UNCONDITIONALLY CONVERGING FOURIER SERIES

GREGORY F. BACHELIS AND LOUIS PIGNO

Let G be a compact abelian group with dual group Γ . For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, let $M_p^q(\Gamma)$ denote the Banach space of complex-valued functions on Γ which are multipliers of type (p, q) and $m_p^q(\Gamma)$ the subspace of compact multipliers.

Grothendieck [10; 11] has proven that a function in $L^p(G)$, $1 \leq p < 2$, has an unconditionally converging Fourier series in $L^p(G)$ if and only if it is in $L^2(G)$, and Helgason [12] has proven that the derived algebra of $L^p(G)$, $1 \leq p < 2$, is $L^2(G)$. Using these results we show in § 2 that a multiplier of type (p, q) , $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $1 \leq q \leq 2$, has an unconditionally converging Fourier series in $M_p^q(\Gamma)$ if and only if it is in $m_p^2(\Gamma)$ (Theorem 2.1), and that, for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2$, the derived algebra of $M_p^q(\Gamma)$ is $M_p^2(\Gamma)$ (Theorem 2.2). Statements equivalent to the above are also given. Thus, by a result of the first author and John Gilbert [2] the derived algebra of $M_p^q(\Gamma)$ is the double dual of the (p, q) multipliers with unconditionally converging Fourier series. This last result is valid for $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ (Remark 2.5) and is in contrast to the situation for $L^p(G)$, where the unconditionally converging Fourier series coincide with the derived algebra [1] and form a reflexive Banach space [2].

Figà-Talamanca and Gaudry [7] have given an example of an element of $C_0(\mathbf{Z})$ (i.e., a function on the integers vanishing at infinity) which is also in $M_p^2(\mathbf{Z})$ but not in $m_p^p(\mathbf{Z})$, where $1 < p < 2$. In § 3 we show that the absolute value of this function gives that

$$m_p^2(\mathbf{Z}) \subsetneq M_p^2(\mathbf{Z}) \cap m_p^q(\mathbf{Z}), \quad 1 < p \leq q < 2,$$

and that

$$m_p^2(\mathbf{Z}) \not\supset L^s(\Gamma)^\wedge \cap M_p^2(\mathbf{Z}), \quad 1 < p < 2, \quad 1 \leq s < 2p/(3p - 2)$$

The first inequality is due to Haskell Rosenthal.

1. Preliminaries. We use freely the notation and basic results in Rudin's book [17]. We use without reference fundamental facts about multipliers as presented in Edward's book [6]. The fact that results are stated there only for $G = \mathbf{T}$ (the circle group) should cause the reader no difficulty.

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$. A complex-valued function φ defined on Γ is said to be a *multiplier* of type (p, q) if it determines an operator from $L^p(G)$ to

Received May 21, 1971 and in revised form, October 14, 1971.

$L^q(G)$, T_φ , given by

$$(T_\varphi f)^\wedge = \varphi \hat{f} \quad (f \in L^p(G)).$$

Let $M_p^q(\Gamma)$ denote the set of multipliers of type (p, q) . Then $M_p^q(\Gamma)$ is a Banach space where the norm $\|\cdot\|_{(p,q)}$ of the multiplier φ is defined to be the norm of the multiplier operator T_φ . We denote by $m_p^q(\Gamma)$ the set of compact multipliers of type (p, q) , that is, the set of $\varphi \in M_p^q(\Gamma)$ for which the corresponding operator T_φ is compact. The set $m_p^q(\Gamma)$ is a closed subspace of $M_p^q(\Gamma)$.

We have:

1.1 LEMMA. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty, 1 \leq q < \infty$. Then*

(i) $m_p^q(\Gamma)$ is the closure of $C_c(\Gamma)$ in $M_p^q(\Gamma)$. If $\varphi \in m_p^q(\Gamma)$ then φ can be approximated in $M_p^q(\Gamma)$ by functions in $C_c(\Gamma)$ with supports contained in that of φ .

(ii) (cf. Hormander [14]). If $p \leq q$, then $M_p^q(\Gamma)$ is a commutative semi-simple Banach algebra whose maximal ideal space contains Γ . The set $m_p^q(\Gamma)$ is a closed ideal in $M_p^q(\Gamma)$ whose maximal ideal space equals Γ .

Proof. (i) See [2, Theorem 3.1] or [8, Theorem 4.2.2]. We note that $\varphi \in C_c(\Gamma)$ if and only if T_φ is given by convolution with a trigonometric polynomial.

(ii) If $p \leq q$, then $L^q(G) \subset L^p(G)$ and $\|\cdot\|_q \geq \|\cdot\|_p$. From this it follows that $M_p^q(\Gamma)$ is a commutative Banach algebra under pointwise multiplication. Its maximal ideal space contains Γ , since $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi(\gamma)$ is a multiplicative linear functional. In particular, $M_p^q(\Gamma)$ is semi-simple. Since $C(G)^\wedge \subset m_p^q(\Gamma) \subset C_0(\Gamma)$, it follows that the maximal ideal space of $m_p^q(\Gamma)$ is Γ . Since $M_p^q(\Gamma) \subset M_p^p(\Gamma)$, it follows from operator theory that $m_p^q(\Gamma)$ is an ideal in $M_p^q(\Gamma)$.

We next discuss the notions of unconditional convergence and the derived algebra.

1.2 Definition. Let $\{J\}$ denote the collection of finite subsets of Γ , directed by inclusion, and let $\chi_J \in C_c(\Gamma)$ denote the characteristic function of J . If $\varphi \in M_p^q(\Gamma)$, we say that φ has an unconditionally converging Fourier series if

$$\lim_{\{J\}} \|\varphi \chi_J - \varphi\|_{(p,q)} = 0.$$

The motivation for this terminology is as follows: If $\varphi = \hat{f}$ for some $f \in L^1(G)$ then $T_\varphi(g) = f * g$, and the operator corresponding to $\varphi \chi_J$ is convolution by the trigonometric polynomial, $\sum_{\gamma \in J} \hat{f}(\gamma) \gamma$. Thus φ has an unconditionally converging Fourier series, in our terminology, if and only if the Fourier series for f converges unconditionally to f in the (p, q) -multiplier norm; that is,

$$\lim_{\{J\}} \sup_{\|g\|_p \leq 1} \left\| \sum_J \hat{f}(\gamma) \hat{g}(\gamma) \gamma - f * g \right\|_q = 0.$$

For basic facts on unconditional convergence, the reader is referred to Day's book [4]. It is straightforward to verify that the set of elements of $M_p^q(\Gamma)$ or

$m_p^q(\Gamma)$ with an unconditionally converging Fourier series is a Banach space with the norm given by

$$\|\varphi\|_s = \sup_J \|\varphi\chi_J\|_{(\varphi,q)}$$

and that, for such φ ,

$$\lim_{\{J\}} \|\varphi - \varphi\chi_J\|_s = 0.$$

1.3 *Definition* (Helgason [12]). If A is a commutative semi-simple Banach algebra with maximal ideal space \mathcal{M} , define the *derived algebra*, A_0 , to be the set of $x \in A$ such that

$$\sup_{y \in A} \frac{\|xy\|}{\|\tilde{y}\|_\infty} \equiv \|x\|_0 < \infty,$$

where \tilde{y} denotes the Gelfand transform of y , so that

$$\|\tilde{y}\|_\infty = \sup\{|\tilde{y}(M)| : M \in \mathcal{M}\}.$$

If $q \leq p$, and $A = M_p^q(\Gamma)$ or $m_p^q(\Gamma)$, one verifies that A_0 is a Banach algebra and that $\|\cdot\|_0 \geq \|\cdot\|_{(\varphi,q)}$.

Let $S^p(G)$ denote the set of functions in $L^p(G)$ with unconditionally converging Fourier series in $L^p(G)$. In § 2 we will make use of the following results.

1.4 THEOREM. *Let $1 \leq p < 2$.*

- (i) (Helgason [12]) $L^p(G)_0 = L^2(G)$.
- (ii) (Grothendieck [10; 11]) $S^p(G) = L^2(G)$.
- (iii) (Grothendieck [11]) *If φ is a complex-valued function on Γ such that $\epsilon\varphi \in M(G)$ for all ϵ with $\epsilon(\gamma) = \pm 1$, then $\varphi \in l^2(\Gamma)$.*

Part (iii) is a generalization of a theorem of Littlewood. For related results, see also [12, Theorem 10; 18, V(8.13); 5].

2. Multipliers which have an unconditionally converging Fourier series or are in the derived algebra. We first give several equivalent conditions for a multiplier to have an unconditionally converging Fourier series.

2.1 THEOREM. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $1 \leq q \leq 2$, and let φ be a complex-valued function on Γ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $\varphi \in M_p^q(\Gamma)$ and has an unconditionally converging Fourier series.
- (ii) $\varphi \in m_p^2(\Gamma)$.
- (iii) $a\varphi \in m_p^q(\Gamma)$ for all $a \in l^\infty(\Gamma)$.
- (iv) $\epsilon\varphi \in m_p^q(\Gamma)$ for all ϵ with $\epsilon(\gamma) = \pm 1$.

Proof. (i) implies (ii). Let S denote the set of elements in $M_p^q(\Gamma)$ with an unconditionally converging Fourier series, and let $R \subset M_p^q(\Gamma)$ denote the set of compact multipliers from $L^p(G)$ to $S^q(G)$, with norm $\|\cdot\|_R$. Since $S^q(G) = L^2(G)$, $R = m_p^2(\Gamma)$. We will show that $R = S$, and hence (ii) follows.

If $\psi \in C_c(\Gamma)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_R &= \sup_{\|f\|_p \leq 1} \|T_\psi f\|_{S^q} \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_p \leq 1} \sup_J \left\| \sum_J \psi(\gamma) \hat{f}(\gamma) \gamma \right\|_q \\ &= \sup_J \|\psi \chi_J\|_{(p,q)} \\ &= \|\psi\|_S. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_c(\Gamma)$ is dense in each of the spaces R and S , $R = S$.

(ii) implies (iii). If $a \in l^\infty(\Gamma) = M_2^2(\Gamma)$, then

$$a\varphi \in m_p^2(\Gamma)M_2^2(\Gamma) \subset m_p^2(\Gamma) \subset m_p^q(\Gamma).$$

(iii) implies (iv) is immediate.

(iv) implies (i). Choosing $\epsilon(\gamma) = 1$ for all γ , we have that $\varphi \in m_p^q(\Gamma)$. Let Γ_1 denote the support of φ and let

$$B = \{\psi \in m_p^q(\Gamma) : \psi(\gamma) = 0, \gamma \notin \Gamma_1\}.$$

Then B is a Banach space. It follows from Lemma 1.1 (i) that Γ_1 is countable and that B is separable.

Let $\Gamma_1 = (\gamma_n)$ and define $(b_n) \subset B$, $(\beta_n) \subset B^*$ by

$$b_n(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1, & \gamma = \gamma_n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta_n(\psi) = \psi(\gamma_n), \psi \in B, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then (b_n, β_n) is a biorthogonal sequence in B , and (β_n) is total. Condition (iv) implies that, given a sequence (a_n) , with $a_n = 0$ or 1 , there exists $\psi \in B$ such that $\beta_n(\psi) = a_n \beta_n(\varphi)$. Thus by [3, Theorem 1], $\sum_n \beta_n(\varphi) b_n$ converges unconditionally to φ in B . But this is precisely the statement that

$$\lim_{\{J\}} \|\varphi \chi_J - \varphi\|_{(p,q)} = 0.$$

We now give conditions equivalent to a multiplier being in the derived algebra.

2.2 THEOREM. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $1 \leq q \leq 2$, and let φ be a complex-valued function on Γ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $\varphi \in M_p^2(\Gamma)$.
- (ii) $a\varphi \in m_p^q(\Gamma)$ for all $a \in C_0(\Gamma)$.
- (iii) $a\varphi \in M_p^q(\Gamma)$ for all $a \in C_0(\Gamma)$.
- (iv) $a\varphi \in M_p^q(\Gamma)$ for all $a \in l^\infty(\Gamma)$.
- (v) $\epsilon\varphi \in M_p^q(\Gamma)$ for all ϵ with $\epsilon(\gamma) = \pm 1$.

If $p \leq q$, then the above are equivalent to

- (vi) φ is in the derived algebra of $M_p^q(\Gamma)$.

Proof. “(i) implies (ii)” and “(i) implies (iv)” both follow in a manner similar to “(ii) implies (iii)” of Theorem 2.1.

“(ii) implies (iii)” and “(iv) implies (v)” are immediate.

(iii) implies (i). If $f \in L^p(G)$, then $a\varphi^{\hat{f}} \in L^q(G)^\wedge$ for all $a \in C_0(\Gamma)$. Thus, by [12, Theorem 2],

$$\varphi^{\hat{f}} \in (L^q(G)_0)^\wedge = l^2(\Gamma),$$

so $\varphi \in M_p^2(\Gamma)$.

“(v) implies (i)” follows as above, using Theorem 1.4 (iii).

(i) implies (vi). Let $\psi \in M_p^q(\Gamma)$ and let $\tilde{\psi}$ denote the Gelfand transform of ψ . Then $\|\psi\|_\infty \leq \|\tilde{\psi}\|_\infty$.

If $f \in L^p(G)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\varphi\psi}f\|_q &\leq \|\varphi\psi^{\hat{f}}\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\psi\|_\infty \|\varphi^{\hat{f}}\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\psi}\|_\infty \|\varphi\|_{(p,2)} \|f\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

so $\|\varphi\psi\|_{(p,q)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{(p,2)} \|\tilde{\psi}\|_\infty$. Thus $\varphi \in M_p^q(\Gamma)_0$.

(vi) implies (iii). If $a \in C_c(\Gamma)$, then $\|\tilde{a}\|_\infty = \|a\|_\infty$ so

$$\|a\varphi\|_{(p,q)} \leq \|\varphi\|_0 \|\tilde{a}\|_\infty = \|\varphi\|_0 \|a\|_\infty.$$

Since $C_c(\Gamma)$ is dense in $C_0(\Gamma)$, this implies that $a \rightarrow a\varphi$ is a bounded operator from $C_0(\Gamma)$ to $M_p^q(\Gamma)$. Thus (iii) holds.

From Theorems 2.1, 2.2, and [12, Theorem 2] the following corollary is immediate:

2.3 COROLLARY. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $1 \leq q \leq 2$. Then:*

(i) *An element $\varphi \in m_p^q(\Gamma)$ has an unconditionally converging Fourier series if and only if $\varphi \in m_p^2(\Gamma)$.*

(ii) *If $p \leq q$, then the derived algebra of $m_p^q(\Gamma)$ is $M_p^2(\Gamma) \cap m_p^q(\Gamma)$.*

2.4 Remark. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $q > 2$, let $M(p, S^q)$ denote the set of (p, q) multipliers φ for which $T_\varphi(L^p) \subset S^q$, and let $m(p, S^q)$ denote the subspace for which T_φ is compact as an operator into S^q . Then the results of this section all hold, with $M_p^2(\Gamma)$ replaced by $M(p, S^q)$ and $m_p^2(\Gamma)$ replaced by $m(p, S^q)$. The proofs are identical, since all properties of $L^2(G)$ used above are valid for $S^q(G)$ as well. (See [1] and [2] for details about S^q .)

2.5 Remark. Let $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$. Since $L^2(G)$, $L^p(G)$, and $S^q(G)$ are reflexive homogeneous Banach spaces, by [2, Theorem 3.8] $m_p^2(\Gamma)^{**} = M_p^2(\Gamma)$ and $m(p, S^q)^{**} = M(p, S^q)$. In view of Theorems 2.1, 2.2, and the above Remark, this means that, in every case, the derived algebra of $M_p^q(\Gamma)$ is the double dual of the (p, q) multipliers with unconditionally converging Fourier series.

Let $1 < p \leq q < 2$, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. Now $M_p^2(\Gamma) \not\subset C_0(\Gamma)$, since the characteristic function of a $\Lambda_{p'}$ set is in $M_p^2(\Gamma)$ [13, Theorem 37.9]. In addition, $m_p^q(\Gamma) \subset C_0(\Gamma)$. Thus

$$m_p^q(\Gamma) \cap M_p^2(\Gamma) \neq M_p^2(\Gamma) = m_p^2(\Gamma)^{**},$$

so Corollary 2.3 shows that the derived algebra of $m_p^q(\Gamma)$ is not the double dual of the compact (p, q) multipliers with unconditionally converging Fourier series. The example of the next section shows that for $G = \mathbf{T}$, the derived algebra does not coincide with the unconditionally converging compact (p, q) multipliers either, that is,

$$m_p^2(\mathbf{Z}) \subsetneq m_p^q(\mathbf{Z}) \cap M_p^2(\mathbf{Z}), \quad 1 < p \leq q < 2.$$

3. An example. We now give an example of a multiplier on \mathbf{Z} which helps clarify the relationship between some of the spaces mentioned in the previous section. Throughout we assume that $1 < p < 2$ and that $r = 2p/(2 - p)$.

For $n = 0, 1, \dots$ define ψ_n on \mathbf{Z} by

$$\psi_n(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{n/r}}, & k = 2^n, 2^n + 1, \dots, 2^{n+1} - 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and let

$$\psi(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n(k), \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

The following proposition is due to Haskell Rosenthal.

3.1 PROPOSITION. *The function ψ is in $M_p^2(\mathbf{Z}) \cap m_p^q(\mathbf{Z})$, $p \leq q < 2$, but not in $m_p^2(\mathbf{Z})$.*

Proof. Let φ be the example constructed in [7, Theorem B]. Then $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbf{Z}) \cap M_p^p(\mathbf{Z})$ but $\varphi \notin m_p^p(\mathbf{Z})$. Thus $\varphi \notin m_p^2(\mathbf{Z})$. The proof of Theorem B shows that φ is actually in $M_p^2(\mathbf{Z})$ and that $\psi = |\varphi|$. Since $\psi = a\varphi$ and $\varphi = b\psi$, where a and b are both sequences of absolute value one, it is clear that $\psi \in M_p^2(\mathbf{Z})$ and that $\psi \notin m_p^2(\mathbf{Z})$.

It remains to show that $\psi \in m_p^q(\mathbf{Z})$, $p \leq q < 2$. By Interpolation Theory (see e.g. [15, p. 36]) it is enough to show that $\psi \in m_p^p(\mathbf{Z})$. Let μ_n denote the characteristic function of $\{2^n, \dots, 2^{n+1} - 1\}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Since $p > 1$, the M. Riesz and Littlewood-Paley Theorems [17, p. 217; 18, p. 224] imply that (μ_n) is a uniformly bounded sequence in $M_p^p(\mathbf{Z})$. Thus

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n/r}} \|\mu_n\|_{(p,p)} < \infty.$$

Now

$$\psi_n = \frac{1}{2^{n/r}} \mu_n,$$

so $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n$ converges to ψ in $M_p^p(\mathbf{Z})$. Since each $\psi_n \in C_c(\mathbf{Z})$, $\psi \in m_p^p(\mathbf{Z})$.

Let $1/s = 1 + 1/q - 1/p$. Then Young's Inequality states that $L^s * L^p \subset L^q(\mathbf{T})$. Hence $L^s(\mathbf{T})^\wedge \subset M_p^q(\mathbf{Z})$, and since the trigonometric polynomials are dense in $L^s(\mathbf{T})$, $L^s(\mathbf{T})^\wedge \subset m_p^q(\mathbf{Z})$. In particular, if $s = r' =$

$2p/(3p - 2)$, then $q = 2$, so that $L^s(\mathbf{T})^\wedge \subset m_p^2(\mathbf{Z})$. Hence $\psi \notin L^s(\mathbf{T})^\wedge$. However, we do have:

3.2 PROPOSITION. *If $1 \leq s < 2p/(3p - 2)$, then $\psi \in L^s(\mathbf{T})^\wedge$, and hence $M_p^2(\mathbf{Z}) \cap L^s(\mathbf{T})^\wedge \not\subset m_p^2(\mathbf{Z})$.*

Proof. Let

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \frac{1}{2^{n/r}} e^{ikx}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

We will show that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \|f_n\|_s < \infty$. Hence $\sum_{n=0}^\infty f_n$ converges in $L^s(\mathbf{T})$ to (say) f , and $\hat{f} = \psi$. Whence the conclusion follows.

Now

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^{n/r}} \{e^{i2^n x} D_{2^n}(x) - e^{i2^{n+1} x}\}$$

where $D_N(x)$ denotes the N -th Dirichlet kernel. Since $p < 2$ we may assume $s > 1$. Thus $\|D_n\|_s = O(N^{1/s'})$, and hence

$$\|f_n\|_s = O\left(\frac{(2^n)^{1/s'}}{2^{n/r}}\right) = O(2^{n(1/s' - 1/r)}).$$

Since $s < 2p/(3p - 2) = r', s' > r$, so

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{n(1/s' - 1/r)} < \infty.$$

Thus $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \|f_n\|_s < \infty$.

3.3 Remark. Results analogous to those of this section hold when Γ is an infinite discrete torsion group of bounded order (see [7, Theorem D; 9, p. 92; 16]).

For Γ a discrete abelian group, Γ_1 a subgroup of Γ , and $1 \leq p \leq q$, let

$$i(\varphi)(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \varphi(\gamma), & \gamma \in \Gamma_1 \\ 0, & \gamma \notin \Gamma_1 \end{cases} \quad (\varphi \in M_p^q(\Gamma_1)),$$

and let $r(\varphi) = \varphi|_{\Gamma_1}$, $\varphi \in M_p^q(\Gamma)$. Then i maps $M_p^q(\Gamma_1)$ into $M_p^q(\Gamma)$ and r maps $M_p^q(\Gamma)$ into $M_p^q(\Gamma_1)$ [9, Lemma 4.6]. Since $i(C_c(\Gamma_1)) \subset C_c(\Gamma)$, $r(C_c(\Gamma)) \subset C_c(\Gamma_1)$, and i and r are continuous, we see that $i(m_p^q(\Gamma_1)) \subset m_p^q(\Gamma)$ and $r(m_p^q(\Gamma)) \subset m_p^q(\Gamma_1)$. Since ri is the identity on $M_p^q(\Gamma_1)$, this means that $\varphi \in m_p^q(\Gamma_1)$ if and only if $i\varphi \in m_p^q(\Gamma)$. Thus if Γ contains \mathbf{Z} or an infinite torsion group of bounded order, then results analogous to those of this section also hold for Γ .

REFERENCES

1. G. F. Bachelis, *On the ideal of unconditionally convergent Fourier series in $L_p(G)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1971), 309-312.
2. G. F. Bachelis and J. E. Gilbert, *Banach spaces of compact multipliers and their dual spaces* (to appear in Math. Z.).

3. G. F. Bachelis and H. P. Rosenthal, *On unconditionally converging series and biorthogonal systems in a Banach space*, Pacific J. Math. 37 (1971), 1–5.
4. M. M. Day, *Normed linear spaces* (Academic Press, New York, 1962).
5. R. E. Edwards, *Changing signs of Fourier coefficients*, Pacific J. Math. 15 (1965), 463–475.
6. ——— *Fourier series: a modern introduction*, Vol. II (Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1967).
7. A. Figà-Talamanca and G. Gaudry, *Multipliers of L^p which vanish at infinity*, J. Functional Analysis 7 (1971), 475–486.
8. G. Gaudry, *Quasi-measures and multiplier problems*, Ph.D. thesis, Australian National University, Canberra, 1965.
9. ——— *Bad behavior and inclusion results for multipliers of type (p, q)* , Pacific J. Math. 35 (1970), 83–93.
10. A. Grothendieck, *Resultats nouveaux dans la theorie des operations lineaires.*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris (1954), 577–579.
11. ——— *Resume de la theorie metrique des produits tensoriels topologiques*, Bol. Soc. Mat. São Paulo 8 (1956), 1–79.
12. S. Helgason, *Multipliers of Banach algebras*, Ann. of Math. 64 (1956), 240–254.
13. E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Vol. II (Springer, New York, 1970).
14. L. Hormander, *Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces*, Acta Math. 104 (1960), 93–140.
15. J.-L. Lions and J. Peetre, *Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 19 (1964), 5–68.
16. J. Peyriere and R. Spector, *Sur les multiplicateurs radiaux de $L^p(G)$, pour un groupe abelien localement compact totalement discontinu*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A 269 (1969), 973–974.
17. W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups* (Interscience, New York, 1962).
18. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Vol. II (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1959).

*Kansas State University,
Manhattan, Kansas*