

COINCIDENCES AND FIXED POINTS IN  
LOCALLY  $G$ -CONVEX SPACES

P.J. WATSON

A new coincidence point theorem is proved for a pair of multivalued mappings operating between  $G$ -convex spaces. From this theorem, a generalisation of the classical Fan-Glicksberg fixed point theorem is established.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years many researchers have been interested in various notions of convexity on topological spaces which do not rely on a linear structure of the underlying space. The first work in this direction may be Aronszajn and Panitchpakdi [1] where the authors introduced a convexity structure on metric spaces; *hyperconvex* metric spaces. Subsequently this property has been found to be important in the study of nonexpansive mappings, see [6, 14, 15].

Some time later Horvath [9, 10, 11] defined a convexity structure in topological spaces and proved several important results in the theory of nonlinear analysis. The structure determining convexity in this space is a multivalued monotone operator mapping the finite subsets to contractible subsets of the topological space. Note that a contractible set in a topological space is one in which the identity map, restricted to the set in question, is homotopic to a constant map. This structure replaces the convex hull in vector spaces. Such a space has since been called an  $H$ -convex space (or simply  $H$ -space) by Bardaro and Ceppitelli [2] where amongst other results, a KKM type theorem is established.

The so-called  $G$ -convex spaces were introduced in [12] to allow for a convexity structure that need not have contractible values. These spaces generalise the notion of  $H$ -convexity (see Definition 1 below) as well as hyperconvexity. We refer to [18, 6] for further discussion on the relations between these concepts of convexity.

This study examines the existence of coincidence points for multivalued operators acting between different  $G$ -convex spaces. The first result, Lemma 1, is a fixed point result for the composition of a single valued continuous function and a multivalued operator with  $G$ -convex values. A selection theorem proved in [16], Theorem 2.1 below, is fundamental

---

Received 17th September, 1998

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/99 \$A2.00+0.00.

in the proof, and its use replaces linear approximation arguments used when the ambient space is linear (see for example [8, Lemma 2]). From this, a coincidence point theorem is proved and then a fixed point theorem which generalises the classical Fan-Glicksberg fixed point theorem. This study concludes with a fixed point theorem in which the compactness condition on the space is relaxed.

It should be noted that Yuan [18] has generalised the Fan-Glicksberg fixed point theorem for multifunctions with acyclic values, and in Ding and Tarafdar [4], a coincidence point theorem has been proved (in  $H$ -spaces) for a pair of multifunctions, one of which has acyclic values. The emphasis of this work is to study multifunctions with  $G$ -convex values instead of acyclic values. Therefore the results established here are proved by different means and they do not compare with the results in [18, 4].

### 2. $G$ -CONVEX SPACES

First we elucidate the notations and definitions used in this paper. Let  $X$  be a set.  $2^X$  denotes the family of all nonempty subsets of the space  $X$  and  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  denotes the family of nonempty finite subsets of  $X$ .  $\Delta_n$  is the standard  $n$ -dimensional simplex with vertices  $e_0, \dots, e_n$  where  $e_0 = 0$  and  $e_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , is the  $i$ -th unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; that is,  $\Delta_n = \text{co}\{e_0, \dots, e_n\}$ . If  $a_0, \dots, a_n$  are points in some vector space  $X$ , then  $a_0 \dots a_n$  will denote the simplex with vertices  $a_0, \dots, a_n$ . Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces. A multifunction  $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is said to be upper semicontinuous if  $T^{-1}(C) = \{x \in X : T(x) \cap C \neq \emptyset\}$  is closed in  $X$  whenever  $C$  is closed in  $Y$ .

The following definition originally appeared in [12].

**DEFINITION 1.** *A generalised convex, or  $G$ -convex space  $(X, D; \Gamma)$  is a topological space  $X$ , a nonempty subset  $D$  of  $X$  and a function  $\Gamma : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow 2^X$  with the following properties:*

1. *for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  with  $A \subset B$ , we have  $\Gamma(A) \subset \Gamma(B)$ ;*
2. *for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  with elements  $a_0, \dots, a_n$  there exists a continuous function  $\psi : \Delta_n \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$  such that for each  $0 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_k \leq n$  it follows that*

$$\psi(\text{co}\{e_{i_0}, \dots, e_{i_k}\}) \subset \Gamma(\{a_{i_0}, \dots, a_{i_k}\}).$$

$(X, \Gamma)$  is an  $H$ -space when  $D = X$ , condition 1 is satisfied and the operator  $\Gamma$  has contractible values. It has been shown in [10, Theorem 1] that such an operator satisfies condition 2.

A subset  $K$  of a  $G$ -convex space  $(X, D; \Gamma)$  is said to be  $G$ -convex if, for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}(K \cap D)$ ,  $\Gamma(A) \subset K$ . Note that the intersection of  $G$ -convex sets remains  $G$ -convex. The  $G$ -convex hull of a subset  $Y$  of a  $G$ -convex space, denoted  $G\text{-co}(Y)$ , is defined to be the intersection of all  $G$ -convex sets containing the set  $Y$ . So the  $G$ -convex hull of  $Y$  is the smallest  $G$ -convex set containing  $Y$ , which is evidently  $G$ -convex. Further properties

of  $G$ -convex spaces and sets can be found in [12] and [16]. In this study, the set  $D$  in the definition of  $G$ -convex will be all of  $X$  and  $(X, X; \Gamma)$  will be denoted  $(X; \Gamma)$ .

The following definition relates the  $G$ -convex sets with the topology of  $X$ , and it generalises the concept of a locally convex topological vector space.

**DEFINITION 2.** A  $G$ -convex space  $(X; \Gamma)$  is said to be a locally  $G$ -convex uniform space if  $X$  is a uniform space with uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  having base  $\beta$  of open symmetric entourages such that each  $W \in \beta$  satisfies the property that

$$W(x) = \{y \in X : (x, y) \in W\}$$

is  $G$ -convex.

An arbitrary entourage satisfying this property will be said to be  $G$ -convex.

An alternative definition of local  $G$ -convexity is to assume that for any  $W \in \beta$ ,  $W(K) = \{x \in X : (y, x) \in W \text{ for some } y \in K\}$  is  $G$ -convex whenever  $K$  is  $G$ -convex. A locally  $G$ -convex space satisfying this property has fewer  $G$ -convex sets than one satisfying Definition 2. This follows as Definition 2 implies each singleton is  $G$ -convex (simply note  $\{x\} = \bigcap_{V \in \beta} V(x)$  and the intersection of  $G$ -convex sets is  $G$ -convex), whereas the second notion does not necessarily imply this. Although the alternative definition gives rise to a more general space, it may be the case that there are fewer multifunctions with  $G$ -convex values (for example, single valued functions may not have  $G$ -convex values). Thus we restrict our analysis to locally  $G$ -convex spaces as in Definition 2. Note that both concepts coincide if the  $G$ -convex space  $(X; \Gamma)$  is such that  $\Gamma(x) = \{x\}$  for all  $x \in X$ .

It is well known that in uniform spaces, the closure of a set  $K \subset X$  is given by

$$\bar{K} = \bigcap \{V(K) : V \in \beta\}$$

where  $\beta$  is any base for  $\mathcal{U}$ . It follows that in locally  $G$ -convex uniform spaces, the closure of a  $G$ -convex set, being the intersection of  $G$ -convex sets, is  $G$ -convex.

The following selection theorem is a weaker formulation of [16, Theorem 2.4], though sufficient for our purpose.

**THEOREM 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a compact topological space and  $(Y; \Gamma)$  a  $G$ -convex space. Suppose  $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  satisfies

1.  $T(x)$  is  $G$ -convex for all  $x \in X$ ;
2. for each  $x \in X$  there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $x \in \text{int}(T^{-1}(y))$ .

Then there exists  $A \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$  and continuous functions  $g : \Delta_n \rightarrow Y$  and  $\phi : X \rightarrow \Delta_n$ , where  $n + 1 = |A|$ , such that the composition  $f = g \circ \phi$  is a continuous selection of  $T$ ; that is,  $f(x) \in T(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

### 3. A COINCIDENCE THEOREM

The first result is the  $G$ -convex version of [8, Lemma 2] and is similar to the fixed point theorems of Eilenberg and Montgomery [5], Górniewicz [7] and Shioji [13], although

the setting is a locally  $G$ -convex space and the multifunction has  $G$ -convex values rather than contractible or acyclic values.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $(X; \Gamma)$  be a compact locally  $G$ -convex uniform space. Suppose  $p : X \rightarrow \Delta_n$  is continuous and  $q : \Delta_n \rightarrow 2^X$  is upper semicontinuous with compact  $G$ -convex values. Then  $p \circ q : \Delta_n \rightarrow 2^{\Delta_n}$  has a fixed point.*

**PROOF:** For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , denote by  $S^k$  the  $k$ -th barycentric subdivision of the simplex  $\Delta_n$ . For each  $k$  define a multivalued mapping  $T_k : \Delta_n \rightarrow 2^X$  by

$$T_k(v) = G\text{-co} \left\{ \bigcup_{i=0}^r q(a_k^i) \right\}$$

where  $a_k^i$ , for  $i = 0, \dots, r$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq n$ , are the vertices of the simplex in  $S^k$  of least dimension containing the point  $v$ . The values of  $T_k$  are clearly  $G$ -convex.

We prove condition 2 of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied for  $T_k$ . So we show each  $v \in \Delta_n$  belongs to the interior of  $T_k^{-1}(y)$  for some  $y \in X$ . To this end, let  $v \in \Delta_n$  be arbitrary. For  $a_k^0 \dots a_k^r$  the simplex in  $S^k$  of least dimension containing  $v$ , choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon < \text{dist}(v, \Lambda_k)$  for all simplexes  $\Lambda_k \in S^k$  with  $v \notin \Lambda_k$ . We claim the open ball  $B_\varepsilon(v)$  in  $\Delta_n$  is a subset of

$$\Phi = \bigcup \{ \Lambda_k^n \in S^k : a_k^0 \dots a_k^r \text{ is a face of } \Lambda_k^n \text{ and } \dim \Lambda_k^n = n \}.$$

To see this, suppose  $z$  is not an element of  $\Phi$ . Then  $z \in \Delta_n \setminus \Lambda_k^n$  for all  $n$ -dimensional  $\Lambda_k^n \in S^k$  having  $a_k^0 \dots a_k^r$  as a face. Hence  $z$  belongs to an  $n$ -dimensional simplex  $\widehat{\Lambda}_k^n$  and  $a_k^0 \dots a_k^r$  is not a face of  $\widehat{\Lambda}_k^n$ . Either  $a_k^0 \dots a_k^r \cap \widehat{\Lambda}_k^n = \emptyset$  or not. In the first case it immediately follows that  $v \notin \widehat{\Lambda}_k^n$  so  $z \notin B_\varepsilon(v)$  from the definition of  $\varepsilon$ . If  $a_k^0 \dots a_k^r \cap \widehat{\Lambda}_k^n \neq \emptyset$  then the intersection is a face common to both. As  $a_k^0 \dots a_k^r$  is not a face of  $\widehat{\Lambda}_k^n$ , the intersection must be a simplex of dimension strictly less than  $r$ . As  $r$  is the smallest integer such that  $v \in a_k^0 \dots a_k^r$  then  $v \notin \widehat{\Lambda}_k^n$  so again  $z \notin B_\varepsilon(v)$ .

Thus the inclusion  $B_\varepsilon(v) \subset \Phi$  has been established. This implies that for each  $w \in B_\varepsilon(v)$ ,  $T_k(v) \subset T_k(w)$  by the definition of  $T_k$  and  $\Phi$ . By choosing  $y \in T_k(w)$ , it follows that  $B_\varepsilon(v) \subset T_k^{-1}(y)$  and condition 2 of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied.

By Theorem 2.1 there exists a continuous selection  $f_k$  of  $T_k$ . The composition  $p \circ f_k : \Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta_n$  is continuous and so by Brouwer's fixed point theorem, there exists  $v_k \in \Delta_n$  such that  $v_k = p(f_k(v_k))$ . Let  $x_k = f_k(v_k)$ . As  $X$  is compact we may assume the net  $x_k$  converges to  $x_0 \in X$ . As  $p$  is continuous,  $v_k = p(x_k) \rightarrow p(x_0) = v_0$ . We claim  $x_0 \in q(v_0)$  so that  $v_0$  is a fixed point of the multivalued composition  $p \circ q$ .

As  $q(v_0)$  is closed it is enough to show  $x_0 \in V(q(v_0))$  for any  $V$  in any base for the uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$ . So let  $V$  be a fixed element of some base for the uniformity. As all the closed symmetric entourages form a base for  $\mathcal{U}$ , there exists a closed symmetric entourage  $W \subset V$ . Similarly as all the open symmetric  $G$ -convex entourages form a base for the

uniformity, there exists an open symmetric  $G$ -convex  $W_1 \subset W$ . Therefore  $W_1(q(v_0))$  is an open  $G$ -convex neighbourhood of  $q(v_0)$ . By upper semicontinuity of  $q$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $N(v_0)$  such that  $q(v) \subset W_1(q(v_0))$  for all  $v \in N(v_0)$ .

For each barycentric subdivision  $S^k$  of  $\Delta_n$  there exists an  $n$ -simplex  $a_k^0 \dots a_k^n$  containing the point  $v_k$  and moreover  $a_k^i \rightarrow v_0$  for each  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $k$  sufficiently large,  $a_k^i \in N(v_0)$  for each  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and

$$x_k = f_k(v_k) \in G\text{-co} \left\{ \bigcup_{i=0}^n q(a_k^i) \right\}.$$

As  $W_1(q(v_0))$  is  $G$ -convex and  $a_k^i \in N(v_0)$  it follows that

$$x_k \in G\text{-co} \left\{ \bigcup_{i=0}^n q(a_k^i) \right\} \subset W_1(q(v_0)) \subset W(q(v_0)).$$

This implies  $x_0 \in W(q(v_0)) \subset V(q(v_0))$  as  $W$  is closed and  $q(v_0)$  is compact. As  $V$  is arbitrary,  $x_0 \in q(v_0)$ . □

Using this, the following coincidence point theorem is established.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $(X; \Gamma)$  be a compact locally  $G$ -convex space and  $(Y; \Sigma)$  an arbitrary  $G$ -convex space. Suppose  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is such that*

1.  $F(x)$  is  $G$ -convex for all  $x \in X$ ;
2.  $F^{-1}(y)$  contains an open set  $O_y$  (which may be empty for some  $y$ );
3.  $\bigcup_{y \in Y} O_y = X$ .

Then for each upper semicontinuous  $g : Y \rightarrow 2^X$  with compact  $G$ -convex values there exists a coincidence point; that is, a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that

$$F(x_0) \cap g^{-1}(x_0) \neq \emptyset.$$

PROOF: By Theorem 2.1 there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and continuous maps  $h : \Delta_n \rightarrow Y$  and  $\phi : X \rightarrow \Delta_n$  such that  $f = h \circ \phi$  is a continuous selection of  $F$ . The composition  $g \circ h : \Delta_n \rightarrow 2^X$  is upper semicontinuous with compact  $G$ -convex values. From Lemma 1 there exists  $v_0 \in \Delta_n$  with  $v_0 \in \phi(g(h(v_0)))$ . Letting  $y_0 = h(v_0)$ , we have  $y_0 \in h(\phi(g(y_0)))$ ; that is,  $y_0 = h(\phi(z)) = f(z)$  for some  $z \in g(y_0)$ . Hence  $y_0 \in F(z) \cap g^{-1}(z)$  as required. □

#### 4. FIXED POINTS

As an application of Theorem 3.1, the Fan-Glicksberg fixed point theorem is generalised to locally  $G$ -convex spaces as follows.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $(X; \Gamma)$  be a compact locally  $G$ -convex uniform space. Then any upper semicontinuous  $g : X \rightarrow 2^X$  with closed  $G$ -convex values has a fixed point.*

PROOF: For  $W \in \beta$  arbitrary, so  $W$  is an open symmetric  $G$ -convex entourage, define a multifunction  $F_W : X \rightarrow 2^X$  by  $F_W(x) = W(x)$ . It is clear that  $F_W$  has  $G$ -convex values. Also  $F_W^{-1}(y) = W^{-1}(y) = W(y)$  as  $W$  is symmetric. By Theorem 3.1 there exists  $x_W \in X$  such that  $g(x_W) \cap F_W^{-1}(x_W) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $z_W$  be an element of this intersection. Thus  $x_W \in F_W(z_W) \subset F_W(g(x_W)) = W(g(x_W))$ .

For each  $W \in \beta$ , let  $H_W = \{x \in X : x \in \overline{W}(g(x))\}$  which is nonempty by the above arguments. Moreover  $H_W$  is closed. Indeed, let  $\{x_\delta\}$  be a net in  $H_W$  converging to  $x_0$ . Then there exists a net  $\{u_\delta\}$  such that  $x_\delta \in \overline{W}(u_\delta)$  and  $u_\delta \in g(x_\delta)$ . As  $X$  is compact, without loss of generality we may assume  $u_\delta \rightarrow u_0 \in X$ . As  $g$  is upper semicontinuous, it has a closed graph so  $u_0 \in g(x_0)$ . Also  $(x_\delta, u_\delta) \in \overline{W}$  so  $(x_0, u_0) \in \overline{W}$ , that is,  $x_0 \in \overline{W}(u_0) \subset \overline{W}(g(x_0))$  and  $H_W$  is closed.

As any finite intersection of elements in  $\beta$  is again an element of  $\beta$ , the compactness of  $X$  implies  $\bigcap \{H_W : W \in \beta\} \neq \emptyset$ . For  $x_0$  a member of this intersection,  $x_0 \in \overline{W}(g(x_0))$  for all  $W \in \beta$ . We claim  $x_0$  is a fixed point of  $g$ . As in the proof of Lemma 1, it is enough to show  $x_0 \in V(g(x_0))$  for any  $V$  in an arbitrary basis for the uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$ . So let  $V$  be arbitrary but fixed. We may choose a closed symmetric entourage  $W_1$  and a  $W_2 \in \beta$  such that  $W_2 \subset W_1 \subset V$ . Then  $x_0 \in \overline{W_2}(g(x_0)) \subset W_1(g(x_0)) \subset V(g(x_0))$ , which completes the proof. □

This result extends [17, Theorem 2.1] to  $G$ -convex spaces as well as considering upper semicontinuous rather than continuous multifunctions.

When the domain  $X$  is not compact, under stronger conditions for the mapping  $g : X \rightarrow 2^X$  we have:

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $(X; \Gamma)$  be a locally  $G$ -convex space,  $D \subset X$  closed and  $G$ -convex, and  $g : D \rightarrow 2^D$  upper semicontinuous with compact  $G$ -convex values. If for some  $e \in D$  the following implication holds:*

$$(V = G\text{-co } g(V) \text{ or } V \subset g(V) \cup \{e\}) \Rightarrow V \text{ is relatively compact}$$

for any subset  $V$  of  $D$ ,

then  $g$  has a fixed point.

PROOF: In the proof we employ some ideas from the paper of Daneš [3]. Define a net  $\{y_n\}$  as follows:  $y_0 = e$  and  $y_{n+1} \in g(y_n)$ . Let  $Y = \{y_n : n \geq 0\}$ . Then  $Y \subset g(Y) \cup \{e\}$  so by assumption,  $Y$  is relatively compact. The set  $Z$  of limit points of  $Y$  is therefore nonempty and moreover  $Z \subset g(Z)$ . Indeed, for arbitrary  $z_0 \in Z$ , there exists a subnet  $y_{n_i} \rightarrow z_0$ ,  $y_{n_i} \in Y$ . By construction of the net  $Y$ , we have  $(y_{n_i}, y_{n_i-1}) \in \text{Graph}(g|_{\overline{Y}})$  which is compact by the compactness of  $\overline{Y}$  and upper semicontinuity of  $g$ . Therefore  $(y_{n_i}, y_{n_i-1}) \rightarrow (z_0, z_1)$  for some  $z_1 \in Z$ . This means  $z_0 \in g(z_1)$  and so  $Z \subset g(Z)$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be the family of all subsets  $K \subset D$  such that  $Z \subset K$  and  $G\text{-co } g(K) \subset K$ . Then  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  as  $D \in \Omega$ . Let  $V = \bigcap \{K : K \in \Omega\}$ , which is nonempty as  $Z \subset V$ .

Also  $G\text{-co } g(V) \subset G\text{-co } g(K) \subset K$  for all  $K \in \Omega$ . Therefore  $G\text{-co } g(V) \subset V$  and since  $G\text{-co } g(V) \in \Omega$  is clear, then  $V \subset G\text{-co } g(V)$ . Thus  $V = G\text{-co } g(V)$  so by assumption,  $V$  is relatively compact. Applying now Theorem 4.1 with  $X = \bar{V}$ , we conclude the mapping  $g$  has a fixed point.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aronszajn and P. Panitchpakdi, 'Extensions of uniformly continuous transformations and hyperconvex metric spaces', *Pacific J. Math.* **6** (1956), 405–439.
- [2] C. Bardaro and R. Ceppitelli, 'Some further generalisations of the Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz theorem and minimax inequalities', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **132** (1998), 484–490.
- [3] J. Daneš, 'Some fixed point theorems', *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **9** (1968), 223–235.
- [4] X.P. Ding and E. Tarafdar, 'Some coincidence theorems and applications', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **50** (1994), 73–80.
- [5] S. Eilenberg and D. Montgomery, 'Fixed point theorems for multivalued transformations', *Amer. J. Math.* **68** (1946), 214–222.
- [6] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Fixed point theory in metric spaces* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990).
- [7] L. Górniewicz, 'A Lefschetz-type fixed point theorem', *Fund. Math.* **88** (1975), 103–115.
- [8] C.W. Ha, 'On a minimax inequality of Ky Fan', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **99** (1987), 680–682.
- [9] C. Horvath, 'Some results on multivalued mappings and inequalities with a generalised convexity structure', in *Nonlinear and convex analysis*, (B.L. Lin and S. Simons, Editors) (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1987), pp. 96–106.
- [10] C. Horvath, 'Contractibility and generalised convexity', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **156** (1991), 341–357.
- [11] C. Horvath, 'Extension and selection theorems in topological spaces with a generalised convexity structure', *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* **2** (1993), 253–269.
- [12] S. Park and H. Kim, 'Admissible classes of multifunctions on generalized convex spaces', *Proc. Coll. Nat. Sci. SNU* **18** (1993), 1–21.
- [13] N. Shioji, 'A further generalisation of the Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz theorem', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **111** (1991), 187–195.
- [14] R.C. Sine, 'Hyperconvexity and approximate fixed points', *Nonlinear Anal.* **13** (1989), 863–869.
- [15] P.M. Sordi, 'Existence of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in certain Banach lattices', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **73** (1979), 25–29.
- [16] K-K. Tan and X-L. Zhang, 'Fixed point theorems in  $G$ -convex spaces and applications', in *The Proceedings of the First International Conference on Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications*, Kyungnam University, Masan, Korea **1**, 1996, pp. 1–19.
- [17] E.U. Tarafdar, 'Fixed point theorems in locally  $H$ -convex uniform spaces', *Nonlinear Anal.* **29** (1997), 971–978.
- [18] G. X-Z. Yuan, 'Fixed Points of upper semicontinuous mappings in locally  $G$ -convex uniform spaces', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **58** (1998), 469–478.

Department of Mathematics  
The University of Queensland  
Queensland 4072  
Australia  
e-mail: [pjw@maths.uq.edu.au](mailto:pjw@maths.uq.edu.au)