# A TRANSFORMATION TO LINEARITY OF SOME NON-LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL-DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

#### A. J. BRACKEN

(Received 12 June 1981) (Revised 21 August 1981)

#### Abstract

The problem of solving a differential-difference equation with quadratic non-linearities of a certain type is reduced to the problem of solving an associated linear differential-difference equation.

Consider differential-difference equations of the general form

$$\dot{x}(t) = r(t)x(t) + x(t)[\theta(t)x(t) - \theta(t-1)x(t-1)], \tag{1}$$

with r and  $\theta$  known functions, and x an unknown function of the variable t. The class of equations so defined is rather restrictive, even though r and  $\theta$  are essentially arbitrary, but it is not without possible applications.

For example x(t) could represent, in a continuous approximation, the size at time t of a population whose growth is restricted by pairwise competition between its members, when members above a certain age do not compete amongst themselves. Were it not for this last condition, the familiar logistic equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = rx(t) + \theta x(t)^{2}, \tag{2}$$

with r (positive) and  $\theta$  (negative) constants could be expected to define a useful deterministic model of the variation in time of the population size. The second term on the right-hand side of (2) models the effects of pairwise competition amongst all members. However, if members above a certain age do not compete amongst themselves, and if death of members has no significant effect on the population size during the period of interest, then a more appropriate competition term is

$$\theta x(t)[x(t) - x(t-1)] \tag{3}$$

<sup>©</sup> Copyright Australian Mathematical Society 1982

with  $\theta$  a negative constant. Here the term in square brackets represents, for a suitable scaling of t, the size of the subpopulation whose members are not above that certain age. Then in place of (2) one obtains equation (1), with r and  $\theta$  constant.

Equations of the form (1) can be linearized as follows. First, set

$$y(t) = x(t)e^{-R(t)} \quad \text{where } R(t) = \int r(t) dt \tag{4}$$

so that (1) is replaced by

$$\dot{y}(t) = y(t) \left[ \theta(t) e^{R(t)} y(t) - \theta(t-1) e^{R(t-1)} y(t-1) \right]. \tag{5}$$

Next, write

$$y(t) = f(t-1)/f(t).$$
 (6)

(This may be compared with the substitution  $y = \dot{f}/f$  which can be used to linearize a Riccati differential equation.) Then

$$\dot{y}(t) = \left[ f(t)\dot{f}(t-1) - \dot{f}(t)f(t-1) \right] / f(t)^2, \tag{7}$$

and (5) becomes

$$f(t)\dot{f}(t-1) - \dot{f}(t)f(t-1) = \left[\theta(t)e^{R(t)}f(t-1)^2 - \theta(t-1)e^{R(t-1)}f(t)f(t-2)\right], \quad (8)$$

that is,

$$f(t)[\dot{f}(t-1) + \theta(t-1)e^{R(t-1)}f(t-2)]$$

$$= f(t-1)[\dot{f}(t) + \theta(t)e^{R(t)}f(t-1)].$$
 (9)

This has the general form

$$f(t)g(t-1) = f(t-1)g(t)$$
 (10)

which implies

$$g(t) = p(t)f(t), \tag{11}$$

where

$$p(t-1) = p(t). (12)$$

Thus

$$\dot{f}(t) + \theta(t)e^{R(t)}f(t-1) = p(t)f(t), \tag{13}$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ e^{-P(t)} f(t) \right] + \theta(t) e^{R(t) - P(t)} f(t-1) = 0 \tag{14}$$

where

$$P(t) = \int p(t) dt. \tag{15}$$

Since (12) holds,

$$e^{P(t-1)-P(t)} = \lambda, \tag{16}$$

with  $\lambda$  constant, and so (14) can be written as

$$\dot{h}(t) + \lambda \theta(t) e^{R(t)} h(t-1) = 0 \tag{17}$$

where

$$h(t) = e^{-P(t)}f(t).$$
 (18)

Because of (16),

$$f(t-1)/f(t) = \lambda h(t-1)/h(t),$$
 (19)

and one can summarize by saying that solutions of (1) have the form

$$x(t) = \lambda e^{R(t)} h(t-1) / h(t), \tag{20}$$

where  $\lambda$  is constant and h satisfies (17).

Consider an initial value problem for (1). Suppose that r(t) and  $\theta(t-1)$  are continuous for  $t \ge 1$ , and that a function x(t) is sought which is continuous for  $0 \le t < T$ ; which satisfies (1) for  $1 \le t < T$ ; and which, for  $0 \le t \le 1$ , equals a prescribed, continuous and everywhere non-zero function  $\phi(t)$ . More general conditions (on  $\phi$  in particular) could be considered, but these will suffice for illustrative purposes. The value  $\dot{x}(1)$  defined by (1) at t=1 must be interpreted as  $\dot{x}(1+)$ .

Then a function h(t) should be sought, which is continuous for  $-1 \le t < T$ ; which is non-zero for  $0 \le t < T$ ; and which satisfies (17) for  $0 \le t < T$  and for a non-zero value of  $\lambda$  to be determined. The initial data for h, that is, the value  $\psi(t+1)$  of h(t) for  $-1 \le t \le 0$ , must be determined by the initial data for x, as must the appropriate value of  $\lambda$ . Again, the value  $\dot{h}(0)$  defined by (17) at t=0 will have to be interpreted as  $\dot{h}(0+)$ . The condition that h should be non-zero for  $0 \le t < T$  may be regarded as determining the largest possible value of T for which the problem under consideration is well-posed: at the smallest positive value of t for which t vanishes (if indeed t, t and t are such that a finite such value exists), then continuity of t will fail by virtue of (20).

Now (20) implies that

$$\phi(t) = \lambda e^{R(t)} \psi(t) / h(t) \quad \text{for } 0 \le t \le 1.$$
 (21)

From (17) and continuity of h at t = 0 one has

$$h(t) = \psi(1) - \lambda \int_0^t \theta(\tau) e^{R(\tau)} \psi(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{for } 0 \le t \le 1,$$
 (22)

which, combined with (21), gives

$$\phi(t) \left[ \psi(1) - \lambda \int_0^t \theta(\tau) e^{R(\tau)} \psi(\tau) d\tau \right] = \lambda e^{R(t)} \psi(t) \quad \text{for } 0 \le t \le 1.$$
 (23)

This is easily inverted to give

$$\psi(t) = c\phi(t)\exp\left[-R(t) - \int_0^t \theta(\tau)\phi(\tau) d\tau\right] \quad \text{for } 0 \le t \le 1,$$
 (24)

and

$$\lambda = \phi(1) \exp \left[ -R(1) - \int_0^1 \theta(\tau) \phi(\tau) \, d\tau \right]. \tag{25}$$

From (21) and (22) one can see that the (non-zero) value of the arbitrary constant c in (24) is immaterial: one can set c = 1. Furthermore, the indefinite integral in (4) can of course be chosen such that R(1) = 0 in (25), if desired. In this way the initial value problem for (1) is reduced to an initial value problem for (17), for a particular value of  $\lambda$ .

The solution of (17) can be investigated by known techniques. (See for example Bellman and Cooke [1].) In particular, when r and  $\theta$  are constants, so that R(t) = rt, the solution of (17) has been considered in detail by de Bruijn [2]. (See also Mahler [4] and Kato and McLeod [3].)

## Acknowledgement

I am indebted to Dr. P. M. Diamond for a stimulating discussion.

### References

- [1] R. Bellman and K. L. Cooke, Differential-difference equations (Academic Press, New York, 1963).
- [2] N. G. de Bruijn, "The difference-differential equation  $F'(x) = e^{\alpha x + \beta} F(x 1)$ . I, II", Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 56 (also published as: Indagationes Math. 15) (1953), 449-458, 459-464.
- [3] T. Kato and J. B. McLeod, "The functional-differential equation  $y'(x) = ay(\lambda x) + by(x)$ ", Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1971), 891–937.
- [4] K. Mahler, "On a special functional equation", J. London Math. Soc. 15 (1940), 115-123.

Department of Mathematics University of Queensland St. Lucia Queensland 4067