Index

accountability. *see* transparency, platform society
accuracy vs. directional goals, and adjustment to misinformation correction, 172–173
accurate information vs. misinformation, spread and impact, 22
ACLU (American Civil Liberties Union), 225
ad exchanges, 125
Adamic, Lada A., 40, 43
administrative data, user data as, 319
advertising. *see also* political advertising
  CDA 230 liability immunity issue, 264–265, 270
  as motivation to use misinformation, 18
  online platform advantage in, 144
advisory opinions, FEC, 117
affective polarization, 46–47
Africa, misinformation effects, 26
African Americans, effects of online discrimination, 68
age factor
  in fake news sharing, 21
  in responses to misinformation and its correction, 182
agenda-setting power of misinformation, 23–24
aggregate-level political polarization from social media, 46
Aiello, Luca Maria, 38
algorithmic bias, social media platforms' priorities and, 21
algorithmic systems. *see also* ranking algorithms
  content takedown and, 273–274
  for corrections to misinformation, 184–185
  curation of feed content and liability platforms, 265
  lack of transparency, 293, 302–303
Allcott, Hunt, 18, 45
Allport, Gordon Willard, 44
alt-right communities, code-word stand-ins for racial slurs, 57
Alvarez-Benjumea, Amalia, 75
American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), 225
The American Voter (Campbell), 13
analytical thinking, in responses to misinformation and its correction, 182
Ananny, Mike, 302–303, 305
Andrews, C., 21
Ang, L. C., 172, 173
Angwin, Julia, 238
anti-refugee hate crimes, 70
antitrust law, as media regulation tool, 211–212, 215–217
Application Programming Interfaces (APIs), defined, 316
astroturf content, *see* political bots
asymmetric polarization, 47–48
attention cascades, misinformation effects in Brazil, 26
audit reports, disclosure by platforms during content takedown, 235–236
authoritarian regimes, social media influence campaigns in, 25
automated hate speech detection, 59–60, 72
automated serendipity, 152–153
automatic vs. deliberative belief echoes, 175

Published online by Cambridge University Press

backfire effects, 187, *see also* worldview backfire effects
  banning of hate speech producers, 73
  from correction of misinformation, 45
  defined, 163
  familiarity effect, 175–178
  from psychological reactance, 183
  from transparency attempts, 302
backlash against worldview backfire effects, 172–173
Badaan, Vivianne, 74
bag-of-communities technique, hate speech detection, 60
bag-of-words method, hate speech detection, 59
Bail, Christopher A., 45, 48
Bakshy, Eytan, 43
Balkin, Jack, 323
Ballard, Andrew O., 132–133
banning of content by social media platforms, 71–73, *see also* content takedown
Barberá, Pablo, 38, 40
Barlow, John Perry, 200
Barnes v. Yahoo!, 260
Barnidge, Matthew, 40
Batzel v. Smith, 260
BCRA (Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act) of 2002, 113–115
belief echoes, 175
Bello-Pardo, Emily, 45
Benkler, Y., 17
Berinsky, A. J., 179
Berry, Jeffrey M., 37
Bessi, Alessandro, 99
biased information processing, 16, 46
Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act (BCRA) of 2002, 113–115
blockchain-based registry, 292
Blunt, Christopher, 134–135
BMJV (Ministry of Justice and Consumer Protection), 205
boomerang effects, from correction of misinformation, 45
Bork, Robert, 212, 216
bot detection systems, 97
bots. *see also* political bots, social bots
  defined, 91–93
  in counter-speech against hate speech, 74
  influence potential, 89
  limiting prevalence of, 278
  types of, 94–95
Bowling Alone (Putnam), 36
Boxell, Levi, 44
Boyd, R. L., 15
Bramble, Nicholas, 274–275
Brandeis, Louis, 289, 290
Brazil, misinformation dissemination in, 26
"breaking news" sites, 21
broadcast media, regulation of, 210–213
Broockman, D. E., 24
Brundidge, Jennifer, 39
Brynjolfsson, Erik, 36

Cambridge Analytica, 316, 317
campaign committees, 112
campaign contact and advertising, lack of impact from, 24
Campbell, A., 13
Capitalism, Socialism, and Democracy (Schumpeter), 140
censorship
  automatic hate speech detection errors, 72
  combating bots and, 94
  as risk of content moderation, 73
  as social control over hate speech, 75
  vs. indirect support for preferred media content, 203
Chadwick, A., 98
challenge avoidance vs. reinforcement seeking, 38–41
Chan, Jason, 70
Chandrasekharan, Eshwar, 72
chat bots. *see* social bots
Cheung, C. S. C., 166
Chicago School, 215, 217
Christchurch terrorist incident, 231
CIE (continued influence effect), correction of misinformation, 163, 165, 187
Citron, Danielle Keats, 278
Civettini, A. J. W., 172
Clapper, James R. Jr., 89
Clinton, Hillary, 17
Cloudflare, 231
Code of Practice on Disinformation, European Commission, 300
cognitive bias, diffusion of misinformation and, 21
Collier, J., 25
Communications Decency Act (CDA) of 1996
  costs and benefits of modifying, 272–275
  court-driven regulation using, 263–266
  disinformation challenge sources, 253–258
  failure of "wisdom of the crowds" concept, 279–280

Published online by Cambridge University Press

Communications Decency Act (cont.)
history of, 259–261
introduction, 252–280
legislative actions beyond amendation of, 266–268
modification or removal of to address political disinformation, 269–278
platform liability issue for, 208–209, 214, 224, 261–262
practical modifications, 275–278
regulatory role of, 258–259
"communications placed for a fee on another person's Web site," 119
communities of like-minded individuals, 34, 36–38
Community Guidelines, 221, 225–226, 238
Community Standards, Facebook's, 296–298, 304
community-driven filtration of disinformation, 274
competition, increasing internet platform, 215
complex realism, in media impact on democracy, 157
computational propaganda, 25, 90, 98–102, *see also* political bots
Computational Propaganda (Woolley and Howard), 90
The Computerization Society (Nora and Minc), 207
confirmation bias, 170, 179
conflict detection, in processing corrections to misinformation, 177
connective use of bots, for democratic good or control, 96
Consent of the Networked (McKinnon), 238
conservatism, predictors of, 180
conservatives. *see* liberals vs. conservatives
conspiracy theories, 10, 166, 182
consumer welfare standard, leaving the price model of, 216
consumption of misinformation, 17–20
contact hypothesis, 44
content and advertisements, transparency of, 296–299
content moderation
calls for legal mandates, 220
Facebook's opaqueness about, 294
hate speech reduction, 58, 71–74
intermediary liability laws, 223–227
regulation of internet platforms and, 214–215
content takedown
consequences of, 240–242
empirical research questions, 242–243
internet platform practices, 226–227
legally mandated vs. voluntary, 220–222
sources of information, 227–240
US court support for platforms' right, 225
voluntary transparency during, 295–296
content-based factors, as moderators of misinformation receptivity, 183–184
contextual factors, as moderators of misinformation receptivity, 183–186
continued influence effect (CIE), correction of misinformation, 163, 165, 187
Cook, Timothy, 142
Coppock, Alexander, 172
Copyright Directive, EU, 224
Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) movement, 291
corporate social responsibility, and transparency, 290–293
correction of misinformation. *see also* backfire effects
consequences of, 163–164
content-based factors in receptivity to, 183–184
contextual factors in receptivity to, 183
continued influence effect (CIE), 163, 165, 187
disadvantage of arguments in favor of original, 170
environmental factors in receptivity to, 184–186
exposure to fact checking, 186
moderators of influence of, 178–186
personal and psychological factors in receptivity to, 181–183
review of literature, 164–165
Costello, Matthew, 64
counter-arguing, and worldview backfire effects of misinformation correction, 170, 183
counter-attitudinal messages, acceptance of, 38–41, 172
counter-notices to content takedown, 227
counter-speech approach to reducing hate speech, 73–75
Counter-Terrorist Information Referral Unit (CTIRU), UK, 235
Crawford, Kate, 302–303, 305
creative destruction
impact on democracy, 140, 155–158
individual-level changes in news engagement, 148–149

Published online by Cambridge University Press

internet platforms' role in, 139–141, 142–143, 144–148
cross-cutting content, effects of exposure to, 35, 43, 44
CSA (Higher Audiovisual Council), 205
CSR (Corporate Social Responsibility) movement, 291
CTIRU (Counter-Terrorist Information Referral Unit), UK, 235
culture of connectivity, 147
cyberbalkanization, 49
cynicism and apathy, misinformation's effects on, 25

Dara, Rishabh, 239
data portability, 216
data stewards, internet platforms as, 323
data tax on internet platforms, 323
"Declaration of the Independence of Cyberspace" (Barlow), 200
defamation tort as basis for platform liability, 275
Del Vicario, M., 22, 38
deliberative vs. automatic belief echoes, 175
democracy. *see also* transparency, platform society
ambiguous nature of disinformation impact, 257–258
bots for the good of, 96–97
creative destruction's impact on, 140, 155–158
definitional issues, 155–156
disinformation targeting in democracies, 25
institutional shifts in, 156
losses from news media changes, 148–149
media regulation vs. free speech rights in, 199–201
Democratic Corporatist model, political system and media, 202
"democratic creative destruction," 139–141, 155–158
Diakopoulos, N., 96–97
dictionary-based methods, hate speech detection, 59
difference-in-differences strategy, belief analysis for new rumors, 24
diffusion of misinformation. *see* dissemination and spread of misinformation
digital advertising, decline of traditional news institutions and, 144, *see also* political advertising
digital media, democratic implications of, 151–153, *see also* news media
Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA), US, 224, 227, 274
digital trace data, 8
direct vs. distributed discovery of news, 150–152
directional vs. accuracy goals, and adjustment to misinformation correction, 172–173
directionally motivated reasoning, 169–170, 171
disclaimers on advertisements, 115–120
disconfirmation bias, 170, 179
disinformation. *see also* propaganda
ambiguity of impact on democracy, 257–258
challenge of measuring societal impact, 271
community-driven filtration of, 274
computational propaganda, 90
defined, 11
global reach of, 25
intentionality in, 168
judicial action to combat, 263–266, 269–270
legislative interventions to combat, 270, 271–272
misinformation vs., 166
in online news participation, 155
production of, 13–16
Section 230, Communications Decency Act (CDA 230), 252–280
as unfair competition in marketplace of ideas, 277–278
Disinformation Code of Practice, EU, 225
dispute flags for contested stories, 266
dissemination and spread of misinformation, 20–23
distributed vs. direct discovery of news, 150–152
diverse deliberation, importance of, 44
diverse groups, problem-solving abilities, 37
diversity of political ideas
individual-level exposure to, 39–41
political polarization effects, 35
DMCA (Digital Millennium Copyright Act), US, 224, 227, 274
Doe v. Backpage, 264
Doe v. MySpace, 260
dual-process theory, continued influence effect, 174–175

echo chambers, 241
avoidance of opinion challenges as path to, 38
conservatives' vulnerability to, 180
diffusion patterns of information and, 22

Published online by Cambridge University Press

echo chambers (cont.)
political polarization and, 36–38
social bias in diffusion of misinformation and, 21
social media's fostering of, 34, 44–46
Ecker, U. K. H., 166, 172, 173
eCommerce Directive, EU, 224
EDRi (European Digital Rights), 225
Elkin-Koren, Niva, 239
Ellinas, Antonis, 206
email lists, buying or selling, 114
Emmerson, K. M., 172
enclave deliberation, 37
encryption
consequences for research access, 327–328
role in digital transparency, 292
Enforcement Report, Facebook Community Standards, 296–298
engagement metrics, mismatch with traffic statistics and consumption data, 26
Engstrom, Evan, 239
environmental factors, as moderators of misinformation receptivity, 184–186
EU elections of May 2019, internet platform content takedown reporting, 234
Europe
free speech rights vs. media regulation in, 199
media regulation in, 199, 201–207, 208, 211, 213, 215
misinformation consumption patterns, 20
misinformation impact in, 25
selective exposure to news, 151
European Digital Rights (EDRi), 225
express advocacy, 115

Facebook
algorithmic bias vs. individual choice, 43
challenges of making competitive, 216
changes in compensation options, 126
Community Standards, 296–298, 304
content takedown processes, 231
cross-cutting friendships and viewpoint diversity, 40
culture of openness, 294
data access challenges, 22–23, 125–126, 315–317
education of users on information skepicism, 185
FEC rules for ads, 118–119
individual-level fake-news sharing behavior, 21
lack of ranking algorithm effect on ideological balance of news consumption, 35
leaked information during content takedowns, 236
negotiation of ad policies, 124
opaqueness to outside world, 294
Oversight Body proposal for content policy, 304
polarization effect of deactivation of account, 45
political advertising and, 123, 134, 209, 298
privacy audits of, 299
reduction in misinformation sharing, 23
resistance to third-party investigations, 300–301
rules on content to remove hate speech, 71
social bias in diffusion of information, 21
social bots and fake accounts, 91
targeted advertising information, 127–128
transparency issue for, 229, 286, 292–293, 295, 298, 301
worldwide application of GDPR by, 317
fact checks, exposure to, 186
Fair Housing Council of San Fernando Valley v. Roommates.com, 263
Fairness Doctrine, FCC, 212–213, 215
fake news. *see also* misinformation
age factor in being more willing to share, 21
consumption research on exposure to, 18
defined, 11
density of ecosystem, 17
format criterion for misinformation, 167
political bias in 2016 presidential election, 17
profit motive for producers of, 14
proliferation of, 163
Republicans vs. Democrats in reading and sharing, 180
as synonym for misinformation, 253
familiarity backfire effects, correction of misinformation, 175–178
FCC (Federal Communications Commission), 210–211
Feamster, Nick, 239
Federal Communications Commission (FCC), 210–211
Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA), 277
Federal Election Commission (FEC), 112
advertising regulation, 112–120
digital political ad spending information, 128–129
Federal Trade Commission (FTC), 299

Published online by Cambridge University Press

Ferrara, Emilio, 98, 99
filter bubbles, 41–44, 152
The Filter Bubble (Pariser), 42–43
financial incentives for disinformation, 255–256
First Amendment
  challenge of combating disinformation in environment of, 276
  challenging CDA 230 and, 268
  private vs. public speech and, 209
Flaxman, Seth, 39
Fletcher, Richard, 20, 40
Flynn, D. J., 164
Flyverbom, Mikkel, 294
focus criterion for misinformation, 167
FOI or FOIA (Freedom of Information Access), 289
foreign interests, investing in elections, 115
format crierion for misinformation, 167
for-profit entities, news media as, 142–143
4chan's /pol/ board, 62, 63
4chans /pol/ board, 64
Fowler, Erika Franklin, 134
France
  content takedown transparency rules, 230
  media regulation in, 201–207
  newspaper markets, 204
Franz, Michael M., 134
Freedom of Information Access (FOI or FOIA), 289
freedom of speech and press. *see* media regulation
Frenemies: How Social Media Polarizes America (Settle), 46–47
FTC (Federal Trade Commission), 299
FTC v. Accusearch, 263
funding of social media research, 325–326
Fung, Archon, 290–291

Gab, 64
Gayo-Avello, D., 95
General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), EU, 199, 208, 317–318
Gentzkow, Matthew, 18, 39, 44
Germany
  content takedown transparency rules, 230
  media regulation in, 201–207
  newspaper markets, 204
Gillespie, Tarleton, 238
Gladwell, Malcolm, 41
Glaser, J., 180
global considerations
  censorship danger of content moderation, 73
  hate speech detection in languages other than English, 61
  hate speech legal definitions, 58
  political bot usage, 93–94
  political polarization, 49
  scope of misinformation and, 25–26
  social media as transnational communication mechanism, 99
Global Network Initiative (GNI), 229–230, 295–296, 299
global South, misinformation effects in, 25–26
Goel, Sharad, 39
Google
  advertising data access challenge, 125–126, 302
  content takedown processes, 226–227, 231
  content takedown resources, 233
  culture of openness, 294
  lack of ranking algorithm effect on ideological balance of news consumption, 35
  negotiation of ad policies, 124
  political advertising, 123, 299
  privacy issue, 296, 299
  search engine contribution to filter bubble, 42
  targeted advertising information, 127
  transparency issue for, 295, 299, 301
  YouTube bans by, 209
Gorwa, R., 94
government. *see* state, the
Grinberg, N., 19, 22
group-level considerations, hate speech effects, 69–70
Guess, A., 17, 18, 19, 21, 172
Guilbeault, D., 94
Gulati, J. "Jeff," 128–129

Hallin, Daniel C., 201–202, 206
harassment, online, 154, *see also* trolling
hate crimes, online hate speech and, 70–71
hate groups, use of online hate speech, 61–64
hate speech. *see also* Network Enforcement Law (NetzDG)
  combating, 71–75, 77
  defining, 56–59, 75
  detecting, 59–61, 76
  introduction, 56
  offline consequences of, 68–71, 77
  prevalence of, 66–68
  producers of, 61–64, 76
  targets of, 64–66, 68–69, 76

Published online by Cambridge University Press

Hate Speech Code of Conduct, European Commission, 225, 234
Hawdon, James, 64
Higher Audiovisual Council (CSA), 205
Hillygus, D. Sunshine, 132–133
Holz, T., 94
homogeneous discussion groups, polarization in, 37
homophily, 37–38, 41
Honest Ads Act, 122
honey-pot bots, 95
Howard, Philip N., 90
A Human Rights Audit of the Internet Watch Foundation ("IWF Audit"), 236
Hwang, Tim, 96
hyperpartisan publishers, 17

ideological polarization, 46–47, 48
ideological segregation
  individual- vs. audience-level, 39–40
  ranking algorithms' impact on, 43
ideology
  ranking algorithms' impact on, 35
  responses to misinformation correction and, 180–181
illegal content, variations in knowledge definition and platform liability, 224
illusory truth effect, 176, 177
inadvertency thesis, 39
incidental exposure to news, 152
independent research, on platforms' takedown practices, 237, 239–240
in-depth public statements, disclosure by platforms during content takedown, 230–232
India, misinformation effects in, 26
individual-level exposure to social media, lack of echo chamber effect, 44
individual-level factors
  cross-cutting discussions and polarization effects, 45
  diversity of opinion in news exposure, 39–41
  hate speech impacts, 68–69
  as moderators of misinformation receptivity, 178–183
  news media changes, 148–155
influence, online
  authoritarian regimes' influence campaigns, 25
  distortion of democratic processes by, 240
  of political bots, 96
information falsehood and quality, challenge of regulating, 275–276
information fiduciaries, internet platforms as, 323
information monopolies, dangers of, 320–321
ingroup superiority, identifying hate speech, 60
intentionality criterion for misinformation, 167–168
Intermediary Liability laws, 220, 223–227
Internet
  early utopianism, 1
  facilitation of like-minded group communication, 36–37
  hate groups' use of, 61–62
  impracticalities of regulating content on, 200
internet platforms. *see also* transparency, platform society, social media platforms
  content moderation by, 71–74, 295–296
  distributed discovery of news, 151–152
  hate speech definitions, 58
  impact on democracy, 157, 209–210
  institutionalization of, 147–148
  lack of regulation and restriction in United States, 208–210
  regulatory issues for, 214–217
  size factor in content takedown practices, 226
  speech rule enforcement problems, 221
  transparency issue for, 293–295, 301–303
Internet Referral Unit (IRU), Europol, 234–235
Internet Research Agency (IRA). *see* Russian (IRA) disinformation
Internet Watch Foundation (IWF), UK, 236
IRU (Internet Referral Unit), Europol, 234–235

Jost, John T., 38, 180
journalism bots, contribution to democratic discourse, 96–97
journalism, as major contributor to research, 14–15, *see also* news media
journalistic professionalism, 201
judicial action
  to address internet platform content liability, 263–266
  to combat disinformation campaigns, 269–271

Kaakinen, Markus, 67
Kahan, D. M., 181
Kalla, J. L., 24
Karpf, D., 98
Kim, E., 24
Kim, J. W., 24

Published online by Cambridge University Press

Kim, Young Mie, 127
Klonick, Kate, 231, 238
Kollanyi, Bence, 99
Kolo, Castulus, 204
Konitzer, Tobias, 132–133
Kosack, Stephen, 290
Kreiss, Daniel, 124, 238
Kruglanski, A. W., 180
Kuhn, Raymond, 203
Kuklinski, J. H., 12

Lardeau, Matthieu, 204, 206
Le Floch, Patrick, 204
leaked information, disclosure by platforms during content takedown, 236
legacy broadcast media, regulation of, 213–214
legacy media channels
  countering hate speech, 74
  regulation of, 210–213
legal definitions of hate speech, ambiguities in, 58
legislative interventions to combat disinformation online, 270, 271–272
legitimate news providers, vulnerability to agenda setting by misinformation sources, 23
Leonard, A., 91
Lewandowsky, S., 164
Lewis, Rebecca, 16, 256
liability of internet platforms. *see* Communications Decency Act (CDA) of 1996, Intermediary Liability laws
liberal bias accusations against California-based internet platforms, 240–241
Liberal model, political system and media, 202
liberals vs. conservatives
  asymmetry in ideological valence, 17
  biased information processing, 48
  misinformation consumption levels, 19
  misinformation from, 180
  responses to misinformation correction, 180–181
"liberation technology," 1
like-minded individuals, polarizing views through communities of, 36
Lipset, Seymour Martin, 208
listener bots, 95
Lodge, Milton, 47
Lokot, T., 96–97
Luceri, L., 90
Lumen Database, 229, 237

Macedonia, disinformation source from, 13, 14
MacGregor, Sharon, 238
machine learning, 92
Magdy, Walid, 64
Magrini, 206
Mancini, Paolo, 201–202
mandated transparency regimes, 299–300
manufacturing consensus, computational propaganda for, 100
Marchal, N., 20
Maréchal, N., 94
marketplace of ideas, disinformation as unfair competition in, 277–278
Martin, Gregory J., 134
Marwick, Alice, 16, 255
Mathew, Binny, 75
Maurer, Brianna, 45
McGregor, Shannon C., 124
McKinnon, Rebecca, 237–238
media ecology, studying the media as ecosystem, 16–17
media environment. *see* news media
media pluralism, 201–203
media regulation, 199–217, *see also* Communications Decency Act (CDA) of 1996
  Europe, 201–207
  free speech rights vs., 199–201
  legacy broadcast media, 210–213
  United States, 207–210
media tracking firms, as source for digital ad spending data, 129–130
mental model theory, and continued influence effect, 174
Merkel, Angela, 89
message presentation, and backfire effects from misinformation correction, 170
Messing, Solomon, 39, 40, 43
Metaxas, Panagiotis T., 95, 98
microtargeting, establishing limitations on, 277–278
Minc, Alain, 207
Ministry of Justice and Consumer Protection (BMJV), 205
Minitel, 206–207
minority groups, online speech as marginalizer of, 241
misinformation. *see also* disinformation, fake news
  ambiguity of impact on democracy, 257–258
  consumption of, 17–20
  defining, 10–11, 165–168

Published online by Cambridge University Press

misinformation (cont.)
disinformation vs., 166
effects on democratic process, 23–25
effects on political activity, 27
European media regulation response to, 205
global scope of, 25–26
lack of research on effects of political, 24–25
misperceptions and, 12–20, 166
moderators of influence of, 178–186
from online news participation, 154–155
proliferation of, 163–164
research progress on social media, 26–27
Section 230, Communications Decency Act (CDA 230), 252–280
spread and dissemination of, 19–23
misperceptions and misinformation, 12–20, 166
Monaco, Nicholas, 100
motivated reasoning framework, 13, 169–170, 177, 181
Muller, Karsten, 70
Munger, Kevin, 74
Murphy, S. T., 184
Muslims, fears generated by hate speech, 68
Mustafaraj, E., 95, 98
Mutz, Diana C., 50

Nagler, Jonathan, 21
Narayanan, V., 26
National Security Agency (NSA), US, 296
need for closure, in responses to misinformation and its correction, 182–183
negativity of digital advertising, collecting data on, 133
Nelson, J. L., 19
net neutrality, 210, 267
Network Enforcement Law (NetzDG), 199, 205, 230, 232–234, 299–300
neutrality of internet platforms in relationship to users' speech, 223–224
The New Governors (Klonick), 238
New York Times Co. v. Sullivan, 262
Newell, Edward, 72
news bots, 96–97
news media
attention shift away from news, 144
consequences of changes in, 157
expansion of news sources to individuals and organizations, 146–147
impact on democracy, 139–158
individual-level changes in, 148–155
institutional changes in, 142–148
loss of trust in, 153
online harassment, 154
operational changes, 146
structural changes and impact on democracy, 139–141
newspapers, 143, 204
n-grams method, hate speech detection, 59
Nielsen, Rasmus Kleis, 40
Nimmo, B., 99
Nora, Simon, 207
notice and takedown systems, 222, 226–227, 230, *see also* content takedown
novelty, as main driver of misinformation, 22
NSA (National Security Agency), US, 296
Nyhan, B., 17, 18, 19, 20, 164, 169, 172, 180
Nyss, C., 100

Obama, Barack, 35
offline and online social ties, 39
offline consequences of online speech, 67–71, 241
offline vs. online information exposure, 40
Olteanu, Alexandra, 67
online panels of individuals, advertising data from, 129–130
Onlinecensorship.org, 238
online platforms. *see* internet platforms
opinion challenges, avoiding, or not, 38–41
The Outrage Industry (Berry and Sobieraj), 37
overcorrection of misinformation, and psychological reactance, 183
oversight issue, democratic transparency, 303–305

PACs (Political Action Committees), 112, 113
paid vs. unpaid communications to voters, content of, 133
Panagopoulos, C., 180
Pariser, Eli, 42–43
participatory media, manipulation of mainstream media by, 16
partisan bias, 13, 24
partisan identification
as moderator of misinformation receptivity, 180–181
responses to misinformation and its correction, 180–181
partisan motivated reasoning, 46
Perel, Mayaan, 239
personal and psychological factors, as moderators of misinformation receptivity, 181–183

Published online by Cambridge University Press

personalization, news, 153
platformed sociality, 147
platform-independent data from media tracking firms, as source for digital ad spending data, 129–130
polarization, variations in definition, 35, *see also* political polarization
Polarized Pluralist model, political system and media, 202
policies
  anti-political bot, 94
  social media platforms' self-generated ad, 124
  social media research impact on, 9
Political Action Committees (PACs), 112, 113
political advertising
  campaign contact and impact from, 24
  campaign finance rules, 112–123
  classification of ads, 114–115
  congressional proposals, 122–123
  content of, 132–134, 209
  decentralized purchasing structure, 124–126
  disclaimer requirements, 115–120
  effectiveness question for, 134–135
  introduction, 111–135
  negotiation of ad policies by platforms, 124
  reporting requirements, 112–117
  social media platforms as media consultants, 126
  spending on, 128–132
  state laws, 121–122
  targeting of, 127–128
  transparency practices of internet platforms, 298–299
political bots
  as computational propaganda tools, 98–102
  defeating, 99
  for democratic good, 96–97
  fears around, 89
  influence debate, 96
  role of, 93–96
  separation from traditional propaganda, 99
political campaigns, as new media, 147
political communication, 320, *see* social media research
political factors in moderators of misinformation receptivity, 179–180
political ideology, as moderator of misinformation receptivity, 180–181
political interest factor in asymmetric polarization, 47–48
political parallelism, 201
political polarization
  asymmetric, 47–48
  avoiding opinion challenges, 38–41
  communities of like-minded individuals, 36–38
  echo chamber effects, 34, 36–38, 44–46
  filter bubble, 41–44
  ideological, 46–47
  interventions to reduce, 50
political rumors, defined, 166
political sophistication, as moderator of misinformation and its correction, 179–180
Porter, E., 172
power-law pattern, fake news sharing on Twitter, 22
Preuss, Mike, 74
"prevent harm" goal of Intermediary Liability laws, 223
privacy issue
  Europe vs. United States, 208
  FTC regulation of internet platforms' practices, 299
  future prospects for balance with research access, 327–329
  impact on research access to data, 317–320
  user vs. owner approach to data rights, 323
private broadcasting, development of, 211–213
processing fluency, correction of misinformation, 176, 178
programmatic purchasing of advertising, 125
"promote innovation" goal of Intermediary Liability laws, 223
propaganda. *see also* political bots
  computational, 25, 90, 98–102
  creation of misperceptions through, 13
  defined, 11
  Russian, 14–16, 25, 89, 120, 254–255
  social bots and, 92–93
ProPublica, 300–301
"protect lawful online speech and information" goal of Intermediary Liability laws, 223
psychological polarization. *see* affective polarization
psychological reactance, in responses to misinformation and its correction, 183
public broadcasting, 203–204, 211
public communication, defined, 114
public filings, disclosure by platforms during content takedown, 232–235
public interest standard, US broadcasting, 210–211

Published online by Cambridge University Press

public's right to data, rethinking, 322–323
Putnam, Robert, 36

radicalization and recruitment to terrorist groups, 241
raiding, in online hate speech, 66
ranking algorithms
  cross-cutting content reduction by, 43
  filter bubble generation by, 34, 42
  ideological impact of, 35
  ideological segregation and, 43
  political polarization role of, 41–44
  as shapers of discourse, 239–240
Rao, Justin M., 39
Ratkiewicz, J., 98
Red Lion Broadcasting v. FCC, 212
Reddit, 72, 256
Redlawsk, D. P., 172, 173
refugees, hate speech and hate crimes against, 70
regulation of media. *see* media regulation
regulatory oversight, as necessary backing for transparency, 291
Reifler, Jason, 17, 18, 19, 164, 169, 172, 180
reinforcement seeking vs. challenge avoidance, 38–39
repeating misinformation, strengthening in memory, 176
retweet networks, prevalence among hate speech users, 63
Ribeiro, Manoel Horta, 63
Ridout, Travis N., 129, 133
Rivero, Gonzalo, 38
Roberts, Chris, 133
robonotices, 226
robot journalists, limitations of, 97
Roche, C., 170
Roommates.comcase, 259, 261, 263–266, 269, 270, 271
Russian (IRA) disinformation, 14–16, 89, 120, 254–255
Russian model for propaganda, wearing down of political participation interest from, 25
Ruths, Derek, 72

Saleem, Haji Mohammad, 72
Scandinavian government transparency initiatives, 289
Schaffner, B. F., 170
Schieb, Carla, 74
Schumpeter, Joseph, 140, 156, 158
Schwarz, N., 70
Seaborn, Brent, 134–135
search engines, ranking algorithms and the filter bubble, 42, 43–44
Section 230, Communications Decency Act. *see* Communications Decency Act (CDA) of 1996
Seifert, C. M., 164
self-reported survey measures, unreliability of, 18
self-selection of individuals in social media networks, 49–50
Settle, Jaime E., 46
Shao, C., 20
Shapiro, Jesse M., 39, 44
Shaw, Daron R., 134–135
Shin, J., 23
Siegel, Alexandra A., 67, 74
Silva, Leandro, 64
sleeper bots, 95
Snowden, Edward, 296
Sobieraj, Sarah, 37
social bias, diffusion of misinformation and, 21
social bots, 20, 91–93
social correction by users on social media, 185
social media and democracy
  as instrument for political control, 98
  introduction, 1–9
social media platforms. *see also* internet platforms, content moderation, media regulation
  algorithmic bias and, 42
  hate speech forms and patterns on, 62–64
  inadvertency thesis and exposure to diverse views, 39–41
  long-term changes in interaction on, 49
  as major resources for media consumption, 20
  as media consultants, 126
  negotiation of ad policies, 124
  political polarization effect from using, 44–46
  response to proposed new advertising rules, 123
  risks of influence over research, 324–325
  role in misinformation correction, 184–186
  as transnational communication mechanisms, 99
  as US based and inflexible in other countries, 156
social media research
  challenges and opportunities, 237, 313–320
  data access challenge, 130, 314

Published online by Cambridge University Press

data sharing paradigm, 320–326
difficulty of studying persuasive effects of misinformation, 24
funding of, 325–326
future prospects, 326–330
general challenges of, 11
hate speech detection limitations, 61
importance of, 8–9, 323–324
misinformation analysis challenge, 27
need for multiple-platform study, 23
overview, 1–2
privacy practices vs. data access, 317–320, 327–329
Social Science One project, Facebook, 240, 315–316, 323
social unacceptability, as deterrent to hate speech, 75
source credibility, 171
source cues, backfire effects of misinformation correction, 170
spambots, 95
spending on digital political advertising, 128–132, 134
state actors, disinformation from, 254–255
state laws, US
campaign finance, 121
online political advertising, 121–122
state, the
content takedown reporting by, 234–235
disinformation from, 25
role in shaping media system, 201–210
state-sponsored trolling campaigns, 93, 100
steganography as hate speech symbol, 65
Stephens-Davidowitz, Seth, 70
stereotype subtyping theory, 172
Stratton Oakmont v. Prodigy Service, 259–260
structuration, 139
Suhay, Elizabeth, 45
Sunstein, Cass, 37, 275
supervised text classification tasks, hate speech detection, 59–60

Taber, C. S., 47
takedown requests, disclosure by platforms during content takedown, 230
Taneja, H., 19
targeting of advertising, 127–128, 133, 144
technology
media regulation and telecommunications development, 206–207, 208–210
role in political communication, 1–2, 36
temporal distance issue for corrected misinformation, 175, 176–177
terrorism, radicalization, and recruitment by online influencers, 241
text message ads, FEC disclaimer requirements, 117–118
third-party investigations to ensure transparency, 300–301
Thorson, E., 175
Three Years of the Right to Be Forgotten (Google), 231
topic modeling approach, hate speech detection, 60
transnational communication mechanism, social media as, 99
transparency reports, disclosure by platforms during content takedown, 228–229
transparency, platform society
as academic study topic, 287
as accountability mechanism, 286–287
content and advertisements, 296–299
corporate social responsibility, 290–293
future of, 273, 301–303
historical evolution of, 288–290
mandated transparency regimes, 266–267, 299–300
mixed results of, 291–292
oversight issue, 303–305
purpose of, 305
social media platforms' policies to increase ad, 123
theory and reality of, 292, 304–305
third-party investigation to ensure, 300–301
transparency in practice, 293–295
user disclosure requirements by platforms, 267
voluntary internet platform, 222, 295–296
troll bots, 95
trolling
digital news media and, 154
as disinformation source, 256–257
state-sponsored, 100
Trump, Donald, 17
trust in source, 21, 153, 171
truth value criterion, misinformation, 166, 167
Tucker, J. A., 11, 21, 165
Twitter
advertising research challenge, 126
asymmetric polarization on, 48
"breaking news" sites, 21

Published online by Cambridge University Press

Twitter (cont.)
cross-cutting friendships and viewpoint diversity, 40
effects of banning extremist social networks, 72
hate speech on, 66, 71
partisan sharing of ideological views, 38
political advertising, 123, 298–299
political moderation impact of cross-cutting content exposure, 45
power-law pattern in fake news sharing, 22
public availability of data, 19, 22–23, 314
retweet analysis for spread data, 20
Russian IRA operations, 15–16
small numbers of activists as responsible for majority of partisan content, 38
social bots on, 91
spread and dissemination of misinformation, 22
transparency issue for, 229, 295

Ukraine, disinformation campaigns in, 25
United Kingdom, media regulation in, 211
United States
media regulation in, 199, 207–210, 213–216
misinformation consumption patterns, 19–20
political polarization effects vs. other countries, 49
selective exposure to news, 151
transparency developments for government in, 289–290
United States Congress, political advertising rules, 122–123
United States presidential election of 2012, 23, 38
United States presidential election of 2016
bots in, 89
computational propaganda in, 99–100
disinformation impact, 257
disinformation suppliers, 14, 16–17
internet platform content takedown reporting, 233–234
lack of regulation of online ads during, 115, 120, 209
rate of hate speech during and after, 67–68
trolling during, 256
United States v. Alvarez, 276
Urban study of content notice and takedown, 226–227
user characteristics, in hate speech detection, 60, 63
user level liability, pros and cons to applying to platforms, 272–273
"us vs. them," to identify othering in hate speech, 60

vaccine debate, bot manipulation of, 100
Van Alstyne, Marshall, 36
van der Linden, S., 180
van Dijck, José, 147
VanDuyn, E., 25
Vargo, C. J., 23
Viacom v. YouTube, 232
violence
hate speech and, 56, 57, 58, 67, 69, 70–71
online speech connection to, 241
polarization on social media and, 49
virtual bystander effect, corrections of misinformation on social media, 185
Volokh, Eugene, 237
Vosoughi, S., 22

Walter, N., 184
Warner, Mark, 199
weak ties
sharing of news by, 35
as sources of counter-attitudinal information, 41
web crawlers, limitations in gathering advertising data, 130, *see also* bots
Webster, James, 139
Weichart, Stephan, 204
Westwood, Sean J., 39
WhatsApp, 25–26, 328
WhoTargetsMe, 300
wikiedits bots, 95
Williams, Christine B., 128–129
Williams, Ev, 279
Winter, Fabian, 75
"wisdom of the crowds," failure of, 279–280
Wittes, Benjamin, 278
Wood, T. J., 172
Woolley, Samuel C., 90
worldview backfire effects, 169–173
avoiding, 171
backlash against, 172–173
identifying, 173
Republicans vs. Democrats, 180

Published online by Cambridge University Press

worldviews, tailoring correction of misinformation to antagonists', 171–172
Wu, Tim, 268

Yates, Sally, 89
Yin, L., 15
York, Jillian, 298
YouTube, content takedown resources for, 233

Zaller, John R., 47
Zeran v. American Online, 260, 263
Zuckerberg, Mark, 97, 209, 293, 298, 304

Published online by Cambridge University Press

Published online by Cambridge University Press