

A CHARACTERIZATION OF GROUP RINGS  
AS A SPECIAL CLASS OF HOPF ALGEBRAS

Shuichi Takahashi

(received September 28, 1964)

By a group ring we mean in this paper a ring defined by a finite group  $G$  and an integral domain  $K$  :

$$A = KG ,$$

such that  $A$  contains  $G$  and is freely generated by  $G$  over  $K$ , so that

$$K\text{-rank of } A = \text{the order of } G .$$

The ring  $A = KG$  has a co-multiplication

$$A \xrightarrow{\gamma} A \otimes_K A$$

defined by

$$\gamma\left(\sum_{x \in G} \alpha_x x\right) = \sum_{x \in G} \alpha_x (x \otimes x)$$

so that  $A$  is a Hopf algebra.

Let  $B = \hat{A} = \text{Hom}_K(A, K)$  be the dual  $K$ -module of  $A$ .

Then the co-multiplication  $\gamma$  induces a multiplication  $\hat{\gamma}$  in  $B$ . It is easy to verify that  $B$ , under  $\hat{\gamma}$ , is a commutative strongly semi-simple  $K$ -algebra in the following sense:

$$B = B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n , \quad B_i \simeq K$$

as algebras over  $K$ , and each homomorphism

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 8, no. 4, June 1965

$$\chi_i : B \longrightarrow B_i \cong K$$

is represented by an invertible element  $x_i \in A$  :

$$\chi_i(\hat{a}) = \hat{a}(x_i) .$$

The aim of this paper is to show, conversely, that a Hopf algebra whose co-multiplication is commutative and strongly semi-simple is, in fact, a group ring of a suitable finite group  $G$ .

Techniques of the proof are taken from those of the Tannaka duality theorem for compact groups<sup>1)</sup>, and, in fact, the above characterization can be seen as a dual formulation of this duality theorem. \*)

1. Hopf algebras<sup>2)</sup>. Let  $K$  be a commutative ring with the identity 1. A  $K$ -module  $A$  is called a Hopf algebra if there are four  $K$ -linear operations

$$\mu : A \otimes_K A \longrightarrow A$$

$$\gamma : A \longrightarrow A \otimes_K A$$

$$\epsilon : K \longrightarrow A$$

$$\delta : A \longrightarrow K$$

called multiplication, co-multiplication, augmentation and co-augmentation, respectively, such that following diagrams are all commutative:

1) In particular J. L. Kelley, Duality for compact groups, Proc. N. A. S. 49 (1963) pp. 457-458.

\*) The author would like to thank Professor Geoffrey Fox and the referee for their valuable suggestions.

2) We follow the presentation of S. MacLane, Homology, 1963, pp. 197-198.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \otimes_K A \otimes_K A \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mu} A \otimes_K A & K \otimes_K A = A = A \otimes_K K \\
 (1) \quad \mu \otimes 1 \downarrow & \downarrow \mu & \varepsilon \otimes 1 \downarrow \quad \parallel \quad \downarrow 1 \otimes \varepsilon \\
 A \otimes_K A \xrightarrow{\mu} A & , & A \otimes_K A \xrightarrow{\mu} A \xleftarrow{\mu} A \otimes_K A
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \xrightarrow{\gamma} A \otimes_K A & A \otimes_K A \xleftarrow{\gamma} A \xrightarrow{\gamma} A \otimes_K A \\
 (2) \quad \gamma \downarrow & \downarrow 1 \otimes \gamma & \delta \otimes 1 \downarrow \quad \parallel \quad \downarrow 1 \otimes \delta \\
 A \otimes_K A \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes 1} A \otimes_K A \otimes_K A & , & K \otimes_K A = A = A \otimes_K K
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A & A \otimes_K A \xrightarrow{\delta \otimes \delta} K \otimes_K K \\
 (3) \quad \parallel & \downarrow \gamma & \mu \downarrow \quad \parallel \\
 K \otimes_K K \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon} A \otimes_K A & , & A \xrightarrow{\delta} K
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \otimes_K A \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes \gamma} A \otimes_K A \otimes_K A \otimes_K A \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \tau \otimes 1} A \otimes_K A \otimes_K A \otimes_K A \\
 (4) \quad \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \otimes \mu \\
 A \xrightarrow{\gamma} A \otimes_K A & , &
 \end{array}$$

where

$$\tau(a_1 \otimes a_2) = a_2 \otimes a_1 .$$

Diagrams (1) say that  $A$  is an algebra by the multiplication  $\mu$ , with the identity:

$$e = \varepsilon \cdot 1 \in A$$

$$\mu(a \otimes e) = \mu(e \otimes a) = a .$$

Diagrams (2) say that  $A$  is a co-algebra by the co-multiplication  $\gamma$ , with the co-identity  $\delta$ .

Diagrams (3) say that the co-multiplication  $\gamma$  operates on the identity  $e$  as  $\gamma \cdot e = e \otimes e$ , and the multiplication  $\mu$  operates on the co-identity as  $\delta \cdot \mu = \delta \otimes \delta$ .

Finally, diagrams (4) say that the multiplication  $\mu$  is a homomorphism of the co-algebra  $(A, \gamma)$ , and the co-multiplication is a homomorphism of the algebra  $(A, \mu)$ .

2. Strong semi-simplicity. Suppose  $K$  is an integral domain<sup>3)</sup>, and  $A$  is a finitely generated free  $K$ -module. Then

$$B = \hat{A} = \text{Hom}_K(A, K)$$

is also a finitely generated  $K$ -module and the co-multiplication

$$\gamma : A \longrightarrow A \otimes_K A$$

induces a multiplication  $\hat{\gamma}$  on  $B$ :

$$\hat{\gamma}(\hat{a}_1 \otimes \hat{a}_2)(a) = (\hat{a}_1 \otimes \hat{a}_2)(\gamma a), \quad \hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2 \in B, \quad a \in A.$$

Further, the co-identity  $\delta$  defines a map  $\hat{\delta} : K \longrightarrow B$

$$\hat{\delta} \cdot \alpha(a) = \alpha \cdot \delta a \in K$$

and  $\hat{\delta} \cdot 1 \in B$  is the identity of  $B$ .

Suppose  $B$  is an absolutely semi-simple commutative  $K$ -algebra under  $\hat{\gamma}$ :

$$B \simeq B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n, \quad B_i \simeq K.$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent.

<sup>3)</sup> Always commutative with the identity 1.

$S_1$ ) For all  $\hat{a} \in B = \text{Hom}_K(A, K)$ ,  $\hat{a} \neq 0$ , there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $x$  is  $\mu$ -invertible,

$$\gamma x = x \otimes x, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{a}(x) \neq 0.$$

$S_2$ ) Each  $\hat{\gamma}$ -homomorphism  $\chi_i : B \rightarrow B_i \cong K$  is representable by a  $\mu$ -invertible element  $x_i \in A : \chi_i(b) = b(x_i)$ .

Proof of  $S_1 \Rightarrow S_2$ . If  $\gamma x = x \otimes x$ , then the map  $B \ni b \rightarrow \chi(b) = b(x)$  is a  $\hat{\gamma}$ -homomorphism. In fact,

$$\chi(\hat{\gamma}(b_1 \otimes b_2)) = \hat{\gamma}(b_1 \otimes b_2)(x) = (b_1 \otimes b_2)(\gamma x) =$$

$$(b_1 \otimes b_2)(x \otimes x) = b_1(x) \cdot b_2(x) = \chi(b_1) \chi(b_2).$$

Let

$$\hat{\delta} \cdot 1 = e_1 + \dots + e_n$$

be the decomposition of the identity  $\hat{\delta} \cdot 1$  of  $B$  into idempotents according to the decomposition

$$B \cong B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n, \quad B_i \cong K.$$

Then for any  $\hat{\gamma}$ -homomorphism  $\chi : B \rightarrow K$ ,

$$1 = \chi(\hat{\delta} \cdot 1) = \chi(e_1) + \dots + \chi(e_n)$$

$$\chi(\hat{\gamma}(e_i \otimes e_i)) = \chi(e_i)^2 = \chi(e_i)$$

$$\chi(\hat{\gamma}(e_i \otimes e_j)) = \chi(e_i) \chi(e_j) = 0, \quad i \neq j.$$

So there exists one and only one  $i$  such that

$$\chi(e_i) = 1, \quad \chi(e_j) = 0, \quad j \neq i;$$

i.e.,  $\chi$  coincides with

$$\chi_i : B \rightarrow B_i \cong K.$$

Now, by  $S_1$ ),  $e_i \neq 0$  implies existence of a  $\mu$ -invertible  $x$ , with  $\gamma x = x \otimes x$ , such that  $e_i(x) \neq 0$ ; i.e., the  $\hat{\gamma}$ -homomorphism  $\chi$  determined by  $x$  has the property:

$$\chi(e_i) = e_i(x) \neq 0.$$

Since  $\chi(e_i)^2 = \chi(e_i^2) = \chi(e_i)$ ,  $\chi(e_i) \neq 0$  implies  $\chi(e_i) = 1$  so that  $\chi = \chi_i$ . In other words,  $\chi_i$  is represented by a  $\mu$ -invertible element  $x \in A$ .

Proof of  $S_2) \Rightarrow S_1$ ). If  $\hat{a} = \sum_i \alpha_i e_i \neq 0$ , then there is an  $i$  such that  $\alpha_i \neq 0$ . Now, let  $x_i$  be a  $\mu$ -invertible element in  $A$  such that

$$\chi_i(b) = b(x_i).$$

Then

$$\hat{a}(x_i) = \alpha_i e_i(x_i) = \alpha_i \neq 0.$$

We can show also that

$$\gamma x_i = x_i \otimes x_i.$$

In fact,

$$(e_j \otimes e_k)(\gamma x_i) = \hat{\gamma}(e_j \otimes e_k)(x_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & j=k=i \\ 0 & \text{all the other} \end{cases}$$

$$(e_j \otimes e_k)(x_i \otimes x_i) = e_j(x_i) e_k(x_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & j=i=k \\ 0 & \text{all the other} \end{cases}$$

i. e. ,

$$(e_j \otimes e_k)(\gamma x_i) = (e_j \otimes e_k)(x_i \otimes x_i)$$

and  $e_j \otimes e_k$  from a  $K$ -free basis of  $B \otimes_K B$ , so that

$$\gamma x_i = x_i \otimes x_i .$$

3. Main theorem. Let  $K$  be an integral domain, and  $A$  a finitely generated  $K$ -algebra. Then  $A$  is the group ring of a finite group  $G$  over  $K$ , if and only if,  $A$  has a co-multiplication, so that it is a Hopf algebra (§ 1), and its dual algebra  $\hat{A} = B$  is commutative and strongly semi-simple (§ 2).

Proof of the necessity. Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $A$  the group ring over  $K$ . Then

$$A \ni \sum_{x \in G} \alpha_x x \longmapsto \sum_{x \in G} \alpha_x (x \otimes x) \in A \otimes_K A$$

is a co-multiplication. Let  $e \in G$  be the identity; then

$$\varepsilon : K \longrightarrow A$$

is defined by

$$\varepsilon \cdot 1 = e \in G \subset A .$$

Let  $d = \sum_{x \in G} x \in A$ ; then  $\delta : A \rightarrow K$  is defined by

$$a \cdot d = (\delta a) \cdot d .$$

Consider the dual algebra  $\hat{A} = B$ . One sees easily that

$$\hat{A} = \text{Hom}_K(A, K) \cong C(G, K) ,$$

where  $C(G, K)$  is the set of all  $K$ -valued functions over  $G$ , by the mapping

$$\hat{A} \ni \hat{a} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{a}(x) \in C(G, K).$$

Consider the dual multiplication  $\hat{\gamma}$  on  $\hat{A}$ :

$$\hat{\gamma}(\hat{a}_1 \otimes \hat{a}_2)(x) = \hat{a}_1 \otimes \hat{a}_2(\gamma x) = \hat{a}_1 \otimes \hat{a}_2(x \otimes x) = \hat{a}_1(x) \hat{a}_2(x).$$

i. e., the multiplication induced on  $C(G, K)$  by  $\hat{\gamma}$ , under the above isomorphism, is pointwise multiplication:

$$(f \cdot g)(x) = f(x)g(x), \quad x \in G, \quad f, g \in C(G, K),$$

so that

$$B = \hat{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} C(G, K) \simeq B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n, \quad B_i \simeq K$$

is commutative and absolutely semi-simple. Moreover, each

$$\chi_i : B \rightarrow B_i \simeq K$$

is given by the homomorphism:

$$C(G, K) \ni f \xrightarrow{\sim} \chi_i(f) = f(x_i), \quad x_i \in G$$

i. e., represented by a  $\mu$ -invertible element  $x_i \in A$ .

Proof of the sufficiency. Now  $A$  is a Hopf algebra whose dual algebra is commutative and strongly semi-simple. Let

$$G = \{x \in A \mid x \text{ is } \mu\text{-invertible and } \gamma x = x \otimes x\}.$$

We are going to show that  $G$  is a finite group and  $A$  is the group ring of  $G$  over  $K$ . We divide the proof into several steps.

1) Let  $B = B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n$ ,  $B_i \simeq K$ , and let

$\#G$  denote the number of elements in  $G$ . Then  $\#G = n$ .

Proof. By §2, there are exactly  $n$   $K$ -algebra homomorphisms  $\chi_i : B \rightarrow K$ , and each  $x \in G$  determines such a homomorphism:

$$\chi(\hat{a}) = \hat{a}(x),$$

so  $\#G \leq n$ . But  $B$  is strongly semi-simple, so each  $\chi_i : B \rightarrow B_i \cong K$  is represented by a  $\mu$ -invertible element  $x_i$ , which by §2, satisfies

$$\gamma x_i = x_i \otimes x_i$$

i. e.,  $x_i \in G$ . Hence  $\#G = n$ .

$$\text{II) } A = Kx_1 + \dots + Kx_n, \quad x_i \in G$$

as  $K$ -modules.

Proof. By hypothesis,  $B = Ke_1 + \dots + Ke_n$  is a free  $K$ -module of rank  $n$ , and, by I)

$$\chi_i(e_j) = e_j(x_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & i=j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n$$

where  $\chi_i$  is the  $\hat{\gamma}$ -homomorphism of  $B$  in  $K$ , determined by  $x_i$ . Hence the  $K$ -dual module

$$\hat{B} = \text{Hom}_K(B, K) = K\chi_1 + \dots + K\chi_n$$

is a free  $K$ -module of rank  $n$ . Consider the  $K$ -homomorphism  $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$  defined by

$$\Phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_i, \quad \alpha_i \in K.$$

$\Phi$  is well defined, because  $\sum_i \alpha_i x_i = 0$  implies

$$e_j \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \right) = \alpha_j = 0 \quad \text{for all } j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

By definition,  $\bar{\phi}$  is onto. But  $\bar{\phi}$  is also injective, in fact,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \sum_i \alpha_i x_i(e_j) = \alpha_j = 0$$

for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence  $\bar{\phi}: A \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{B}$  is an isomorphism of  $K$ -modules and

$$A = Kx_1 + \dots + Kx_n.$$

III) The identity  $e = \varepsilon \cdot 1$  of  $A$  is in  $G$  and it is also the identity of  $G$ .

Proof. By definition  $e$  is  $\mu$ -invertible, and

$$\gamma e = \gamma \cdot \varepsilon \cdot 1 = (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(1 \otimes 1) = \varepsilon 1 \otimes \varepsilon 1 = e \otimes e$$

by the diagrams (3) of Hopf algebras (§1). So  $e \in G$ . By definition, for all  $x \in G \subset A$ ,

$$\mu(e \otimes x) = \mu(x \otimes e) = x.$$

IV)  $x \in G, y \in G$  imply  $x \cdot y = \mu(x \otimes y) \in G$ .

Proof.  $x$  invertible and  $y$  invertible imply  $x \cdot y$  invertible. By diagrams of §1

$$\begin{aligned} (x \cdot y) &= \gamma \mu(x \otimes y) = (\mu \otimes \mu)(1 \otimes \tau \otimes 1)(\gamma \otimes \gamma)(x \otimes y) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(1 \otimes \tau \otimes 1)(\gamma x \otimes \gamma y) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(1 \otimes \tau \otimes 1)(x \otimes x \otimes y \otimes y) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(x \otimes y \otimes x \otimes y) = \mu(x \otimes y) \otimes \mu(x \otimes y) \\ &= x \cdot y \otimes x \cdot y, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $x \cdot y \in G$ .

V)  $x \in G$  implies  $x^{-1} \in G$ .

Proof. Let  $G = \{x_1 = e, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ . By IV),  $x \in G$  and  $x_i \in G$  imply  $x \cdot x_i \in G$ , so there is  $j = j(i)$  such that  $x \cdot x_i = x_j$ . But  $x \in G$  is by definition invertible, so  $x_i \neq x_j$  implies  $x \cdot x_i \neq x \cdot x_j$ . Hence there exists  $x_i \in G$  such that  $x \cdot x_i = e$  and  $x^{-1} = x_i \in G$ .

This finishes the proof of sufficiency. In fact, by III), IV), V),  $G$  is a finite group in  $A$  under  $\mu$ -multiplication, and by II)  $A$  is generated freely by  $G$  over  $K$ .

Université de Montréal