

NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR SPECTRAL SETS

TAKAYUKI FURUTA AND MUNEO CHŌ

We shall show necessary and sufficient conditions for which a closed set X in the complex plane is a spectral set of an operator T on a complex Hilbert space.

1. Introduction

In this paper an operator T means a bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space with the spectrum $\sigma(T)$. The notion of a spectral set for an operator T was introduced by von Neumann [2] as follows: a closed set X in the complex plane is a spectral set of T if $X \supset \sigma(T)$ and if

$$\|f(T)\| \leq \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in X\}$$

for any rational function $f(z)$ with poles off X , cf. [1] and [3] for details.

For sake of the subsequent discussion we shall define two classes of rational functions.

DEFINITION 1. For two closed sets X and Y in the complex plane, two classes R_X, R_X^Y of rational functions are defined as follows:

$$R_X = \{f(z) : \text{rational function } f(z) \text{ with poles off } X\},$$

$$R_X^Y = \{f(z) : \text{rational function } f(z) \text{ with zeros off } Y \text{ and poles off } X\}.$$

Received 14 July 1981.

Let $R_\sigma, R_\sigma^\sigma, R_X^\sigma$ and R_σ^X denote R_X with $X = \sigma(T)$, R_X^X with $X = \sigma(T)$, R_X^Y with $Y = \sigma(T)$ and R_Y^X with $Y = \sigma(T)$ respectively.

2. Statement of the result

We shall show necessary and sufficient conditions for which a closed set X in the complex plane is a spectral set of T as follows.

THEOREM 1. *The following twelve conditions on T and a closed set X are equivalent:*

(sup- R_σ) $X \supset \sigma(T)$ and the following (*) holds for any $f(z) \in R_\sigma$,

$$(*) \quad \|f(T)\| \leq \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in X\};$$

(sup- R_X) X is a spectral set of T , that is, $X \supset \sigma(T)$ and (*) holds for any $f(z) \in R_X$,

(sup- R_σ^σ) $X \supset \sigma(T)$ and (*) holds for any $f(z) \in R_\sigma^\sigma$,

(sup- R_X^σ) $X \supset \sigma(T)$ and (*) holds for any $f(z) \in R_X^\sigma$,

(sup- R_σ^X) $X \supset \sigma(T)$ and (*) holds for any $f(z) \in R_\sigma^X$,

(sup- R_X^X) $X \supset \sigma(T)$ and (*) holds for any $f(z) \in R_X^X$,

(inf- R_σ) $X \supset \sigma(T)$ and the following (**) holds for any $g(z) \in R_\sigma$ for any unit vector x ,

$$(**) \quad \inf\{|g(z)| : z \in X\} \leq \|g(T)x\|;$$

(inf- R_X) $X \supset \sigma(T)$ and (**) holds for any $g(z) \in R_X$ and for any unit vector x ,

(inf- R_σ^σ) $X \supset \sigma(T)$ and (**) holds for any $g(z) \in R_\sigma^\sigma$ and for any unit vector x ,

(inf- R_σ^X) $X \supset \sigma(T)$ and (**) holds for any $g(z) \in R_\sigma^X$ and for

any unit vector x ,

$\left[\text{inf-}R_X^\sigma \right] X \supset \sigma(T)$ and $(**)$ holds for any $g(z) \in R_X^\sigma$ and for any unit vector x ,

$\left[\text{inf-}R_X^X \right] X \supset \sigma(T)$ and $(**)$ holds for any $g(z) \in R_X^X$ and for any unit vector x .

3. Proof of the result

Proof of Theorem 1. (i) $\left[\text{sup-}R_X^\sigma \right] \leftrightarrow \left[\text{inf-}R_\sigma^X \right]$. Suppose $\left[\text{sup-}R_X^\sigma \right]$ holds. For any $g(z) \in R_\sigma^X$, there exists $g(T)$ and $f(z) = 1/g(z) \in R_X^\sigma$ since zeros and poles of $f(z)$ are interchanged with poles and zeros of $g(z)$. Therefore there exists $f(T)$ since $X \supset \sigma(T)$ holds and $f(T) = (g(T))^{-1}$. As $\left[\text{sup-}R_X^\sigma \right]$ holds, for any unit vector x and for this $f(z) \in R_X^\sigma$,

$$\|f(T)x\| \leq \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in X\}$$

that is, for any vector x ,

$$\frac{\|x\|}{\|f(T)x\|} \geq \frac{1}{\sup\{|f(z)| : z \in X\}}$$

equivalently,

$$\frac{\|g(T)y\|}{\|y\|} \geq \inf\{|g(z)| : z \in X\}$$

for any vector y ; that is,

$$\inf\{|g(z)| : z \in X\} \leq \|g(T)x\|$$

for any unit vector x and for any $g(z) \in R_\sigma^X$, namely $\left[\text{inf-}R_\sigma^X \right]$ holds.

Conversely suppose $\left[\text{inf-}R_\sigma^X \right]$ holds. For any $f(z) \in R_X^\sigma$, there exists $f(T)$ since $X \supset \sigma(T)$ holds and $g(z) = 1/f(z) \in R_\sigma^X$ since zeros and poles of $g(z)$ are interchanged with poles and zeros of $f(z)$. Hence there exists $g(T)$ such that $g(T) = (f(T))^{-1}$. As $\left[\text{inf-}R_\sigma^X \right]$ holds, for

any unit vector x and for this $g(z) \in R_{\sigma}^X$,

$$\inf\{|g(z)| : z \in X\} \leq \|g(T)x\|$$

that is,

$$\frac{1}{\inf\{|g(z)| : z \in X\}} \geq \frac{\|x\|}{\|g(T)x\|}$$

for any vector x , that is,

$$\frac{\|f(T)y\|}{\|y\|} \leq \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in X\}$$

for any vector y , equivalently

$$\|f(T)x\| \leq \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in X\}$$

for any unit vector x , namely $(\sup-R_X^{\sigma})$ holds.

Similarly $(\sup-R_{\sigma}^X) \leftrightarrow (\inf-R_X^{\sigma})$, $(\sup-R_X^X) \leftrightarrow (\inf-R_X^X)$ and $(\sup-R_{\sigma}^{\sigma}) \leftrightarrow (\inf-R_{\sigma}^{\sigma})$ are obtained.

(ii) $(\sup-R_{\sigma}) \leftrightarrow (\sup-R_X)$. As $X \supset \sigma(T)$ we have $(\sup-R_{\sigma}) \rightarrow (\sup-R_X)$.

Conversely suppose $(\sup-R_X)$ holds. If $\sup\{|f(z)| : z \in X\} < \infty$ for any $f(z) \in R_{\sigma}$, then the poles of $f(z)$ lie off X and $f(z) \in R_X$ and there exists $f(T)$ since $X \supset \sigma(T)$, namely, $(\sup-R_{\sigma})$ substantially coincides with $(\sup-R_X)$ in this case. On the other hand if $\sup\{|f(z)| : z \in X\} = \infty$ for $f(z) \in R_{\sigma}$, then there exists $f(T)$ and $(\sup-R_{\sigma})$ obviously holds.

(iii) $(\inf-R_{\sigma}) \leftrightarrow (\inf-R_{\sigma}^{\sigma}) \leftrightarrow (\inf-R_{\sigma}^X)$. $X \supset \sigma(T)$ easily implies $(\inf-R_{\sigma}) \rightarrow (\inf-R_{\sigma}^{\sigma}) \rightarrow (\inf-R_{\sigma}^X)$ and we have only to show $(\inf-R_{\sigma}^X) \rightarrow (\inf-R_{\sigma})$. Suppose $(\inf-R_{\sigma}^X)$ holds. If $\inf\{|g(z)| : z \in X\} = 0$ for $g(z) \in R_{\sigma}$, then there exists $g(T)$ and

$(\text{inf-}R_\sigma)$ automatically holds. On the other hand $\text{inf}\{|g(z)| : z \in X\} \neq 0$ for any $g(z) \in R_\sigma$, then zeros of $g(z)$ lie off X , so that $g(z) \in R_\sigma^X$ and there exists $g(T)$, whence $(\text{inf-}R_\sigma)$ substantially coincides with $(\text{inf-}R_\sigma^X)$ in this case. Whence we have $(\text{inf-}R_\sigma) \leftrightarrow (\text{inf-}R_\sigma^\sigma) \leftrightarrow (\text{inf-}R_\sigma^X)$. Similarly we have $(\text{inf-}R_X) \leftrightarrow (\text{inf-}R_X^\sigma) \leftrightarrow (\text{inf-}R_X^X)$.

(iv) $(\text{sup-}R_X) \rightarrow (\text{sup-}R_X^\sigma) \rightarrow (\text{sup-}R_X^X)$. These implications are easily obtained since $X \supset \sigma(T)$ holds.

(v) $(\text{inf-}R_\sigma) \rightarrow (\text{inf-}R_X)$. This implication is also easily obtained since $X \supset \sigma(T)$ holds.

(vi) $(\text{sup-}R_X^X) \leftrightarrow (\text{sup-}R_X)$. We have only to show $(\text{sup-}R_X^X) \rightarrow (\text{sup-}R_X)$ since the reverse relation is obtained in (iv). Suppose $(\text{sup-}R_X^X)$ holds. If $X \supset \sigma(T)$ and $\text{sup}\{|f(z)| : z \in X\} \leq 1$ for any $f(z) \in R_X$, then we have only to show $\|f(T)\| \leq 1$. For all complex z , we define $\phi(z)$ as follows:

$$\phi(z) = \frac{\beta - \bar{\alpha}z}{z - \alpha\beta}$$

where $1 < |\alpha| < |\beta|$. This $\phi(z)$ maps D into D (where D denotes the unit disk of the complex plane) since

$$1 - |\phi(z)|^2 = \frac{(|z|^2 - |\beta|^2)(1 - |\alpha|^2)}{|z - \alpha\beta|^2} > 0.$$

For all complex z , also we define $g(z)$ as follows:

$$g(z) = \phi(f(z)) = \frac{\beta - \bar{\alpha}f(z)}{f(z) - \alpha\beta}.$$

We have $|g(z)| < 1$ since $|f(z)| \leq 1$ and $\phi(z)$ maps D into D . As $|\beta/\bar{\alpha}| > 1$, $|\alpha\beta| > 1$ and $f(z) \in R_X$, so that zeros and poles of $g(z)$ lie off X , therefore we have $g(z) \in R_X^X$. Whence there exists $g(T)$ and the hypothesis of $(\text{sup-}R_X^X)$ implies

$$\|g(T)\| \leq \sup\{|g(z)| : z \in X\} \leq 1$$

that is,

$$\|(\beta - \bar{\alpha}f(T))(f(T) - \alpha\beta)^{-1}x\| \leq \|x\|$$

for any vector x , namely

$$\|(\beta - \bar{\alpha}f(T))y\|^2 - \|(f(T) - \alpha\beta)y\|^2 = (|\alpha|^2 - 1)(\|f(T)y\|^2 - |\beta|^2\|y\|^2) \leq 0$$

for any vector y . As $|\alpha| > 1$, we have

$$\|f(T)\| \leq |\beta|,$$

as $|\beta|$ tends to 1, we have

$$\|f(T)\| \leq 1$$

which is the desired relation.

Similarly we have $\left(\sup\text{-}R_{\sigma}^X\right) \leftrightarrow \left(\sup\text{-}R_{\sigma}\right)$.

Hence we have finished the proof of Theorem 1 by (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) obtained above.

REMARK 1. At a glance $\left(\sup\text{-}R_{\sigma}\right)$ seems to be more general than $\left(\sup\text{-}R_X\right)$ (this is just the definition of a spectral set) and $\left(\sup\text{-}R_X^X\right)$ seems to be more restrictive than $\left(\sup\text{-}R_X\right)$, but it turns out to be that these completely coincide with the original $\left(\sup\text{-}R_X\right)$ by Theorem 1. It is somewhat surprising that $\left(\inf\text{-}R_X^X\right)$ completely coincides with the original $\left(\sup\text{-}R_X\right)$ by Theorem 1.

References

- [1] Arnold Lebow, "On von Neumann's theory of spectral sets", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 7 (1963), 64-90.
- [2] Johann von Neumann, "Eine Spektraltheorie für allegemeine Operatoren eines unitären Raumes", *Math. Nachr.* 4 (1950/51), 258-281.

- [3] Frédéric Riesz et Béla Sz.-Nagy, *Leçons d'analyse fonctionnelle*
(Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1952).

Department of Mathematics,
Hirosaki University,
Bunkyo-Cho 3 Hirosaki,
Aomori-Ken 036,
Japan.