

James-Hopf Invariants, Anick's Spaces, and the Double Loops on Odd Primary Moore Spaces

Joseph Neisendorfer

Abstract. Using spaces introduced by Anick, we construct a decomposition into indecomposable factors of the double loop spaces of odd primary Moore spaces when the powers of the primes are greater than the first power. If n is greater than 1, this implies that the odd primary part of all the homotopy groups of the $2n + 1$ dimensional sphere lifts to a mod p^r Moore space.

0 Introduction

Throughout this paper, p will be a fixed odd prime and all spaces will be localized at p . Let $P^m(p^r)$ be the mod p^r Moore space $S^{m-1} \cup_{p^r} e^m$ which is formed by attaching an m -cell to an $(m - 1)$ -sphere by a map of degree a power p^r of the prime. The fibre of the degree p^r map $p^r: S^m \rightarrow S^m$ will be denoted by $S^m\{p^r\}$. In [4], [5], maps $\partial_r: \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \rightarrow S^{2n-1}$ were constructed with a strong relation to the double suspension $\Sigma^2: S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$, namely, the compositions $\Sigma^2 \circ \partial_r: \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \rightarrow S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$ and $\partial_r \circ \Sigma^2: S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \rightarrow S^{2n-1}$ are $\Omega^2(p^r)$ and p^r , respectively. Let $D(n, r)$ be the fibre of ∂_r .

In [11], a proof was given that, if $r \geq 2$, there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(0.1) \quad D(n, r) \times \Omega \left[\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} S^{2p^k n - 1} \{p^{r+1}\} \right] \times \Omega^2 \Sigma P(n, r) \rightarrow \Omega^2 P^{2n+1}(p^r)$$

where $P(n, r)$ is some infinite bouquet of mod p^r Moore spaces. In this paper we give another proof which is quite different from the proof in [11]. The proof given here is valid only for primes $p \geq 5$ while the proof in [11] is valid for $p \geq 3$. This happens because certain properties of Anick's spaces are known only for $p \geq 5$. It would not be surprising if this situation were remedied in the future.

Nonetheless, the proof given here has some advantages. It is a more straightforward attack on the problem and seems closer to a confrontation with the case $r = 1$. It is a striking fact that both proofs fail in the case $r = 1$. The failure here seems to be more enlightening, more closely related to the truth or falsity of the theorem in the case $r = 1$, whereas the failure for $r = 1$ in the first proof seems to be more a consequence of the method of attack. Of course, neither failure resolves the issue and there is as yet no reason to withdraw the conjecture that (0.1) is true for all $r \geq 1$.

Received by the editors July 2, 1998; revised December 21, 1998.

This work was supported in part by an NSF grant.

AMS subject classification: 55Q52, 55P35.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 2000.

Already in [6], it was shown that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(0.2) \quad T^{2n+1}(p^r) \times \Omega\Sigma P(n, r) \rightarrow \Omega P^{2n+1}(p^r)$$

where $T^{2n+1}(p^r)$ is the fibre of the map $\Sigma P(n, r) \rightarrow P^{2n+1}(p^r)$ and sits in a fibration sequence

$$(0.3) \quad \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \rightarrow S^{2n-1} \times \Pi_{r+1} \rightarrow T^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow \Omega S^{2n+1}$$

with

$$\Pi_{r+1} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} S^{2p^k n - 1} \{p^{r+1}\}.$$

The map ∂_r is nothing but the composition of the first map in (0.3) with projection on the first factor. It follows immediately that there is a fibration

$$(0.4) \quad \Omega \Pi_{r+1} \rightarrow \Omega T^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow D(n, r)$$

and hence that (0.1) is equivalent to the problem of constructing a retraction $\Omega T^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow \Omega \Pi_{r+1}$. In this paper we will construct this retraction with the aid of James-Hopf invariants [7], [8]. The James-Hopf invariants do not give this retraction immediately. The James-Hopf invariants must be modified and restricted. After that, some lifting of maps is required. It is there that the proof breaks down for $r = 1$ in an essential way.

As described in [11], (0.1) implies that the natural map $P^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$ induces split epimorphisms on all homotopy groups in dimensions greater than $2n + 1$ if r is \geq the maximum of 2 and n .

I wish to thank Stephen Theriault for valuable e-mail tutorials on the contents of his thesis [13]. Without his help in Section 2 to deloop the maps η and ζ , the proof given here would have been strong enough to prove only the loop of (0.1).

1 James-Hopf Invariants

The James-Hopf invariants [7], [8] are natural maps $h_j: \Omega\Sigma X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X^{\wedge j}$ with $X^{\wedge j} = X \wedge \cdots \wedge X$ being the j -fold smash. These maps have the following homological property for field coefficients: if $x_1 \in \tilde{H}_*(X), \dots, x_i \in \tilde{H}_*(X)$, then

$$h_{j*}(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < j, \\ x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i & \text{if } i = j, \\ \text{a decomposable element} & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

Throughout this paper, the coefficients will be Z/pZ and we will be concerned with the cases where $j = p^k$ and X is S^{2n} or $P^{2n}(p^r)$. In the second case, we will replace the James-Hopf invariant with a modified James-Hopf invariant \tilde{h}_j as follows.

Since $P^a(p^r) \wedge P^b(p^r) \simeq P^{a+b}(p^r) \vee P^{a+b-1}(p^r)$, it follows that, if $q: P^a(p^r) \rightarrow S^a$ is the natural map, there is a factorization of $\wedge^j q$ into $(P^{2n}(p^r))^{\wedge j} \xrightarrow{\alpha} P^{2jn}(p^r) \xrightarrow{q} (S^{2n})^{\wedge j}$.

Hence, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \Omega P^{2n+1}(p^r) & \xrightarrow{h_j} & \Omega \Sigma(P^{2n}(p^r))^{\wedge j} & \xrightarrow{\Omega \Sigma \alpha} & \Omega P^{2jn+1}(p^r) \\
 \downarrow \Omega q & & \downarrow \Omega \Sigma(\wedge^j q) & & \downarrow \Omega q \\
 \Omega S^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{h_j} & \Omega \Sigma(S^{2n})^{\wedge j} & \xrightarrow{=} & \Omega S^{2jn+1}.
 \end{array}
 \tag{1.1}$$

Let the modified James-Hopf invariant $\bar{h}_j = \Omega \Sigma \alpha \circ h_j: \Omega P^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow \Omega P^{2jn+1}(p^r)$ be the composition in the top row of (1.1). If $u = u(a - 1, r) \in H_{a-1}(P^a(p^r))$ and $v = v(a, r) \in H_a(P^a(p^r))$ are generators, then $\bar{h}_{j*}(v(2n, r)^j) = v(2nj, r)$. Let β^r be the r -th Bockstein. Then $\beta^r v(a, r) = u(a - 1, r)$ and $\beta^r(v(2n, r)^{p^k}) = ad^{p^k-1}(v(2n, r))(u(2n - 1, r)) = \tau_k(v(2n, r))$ [4]. Hence, $\bar{h}_{p^k*}(\tau_k(v(2n, r))) = u(2p^kn - 1, r)$.

The space $T^{2n+1}(p^r)$ is defined to be the fibre of a map $\Sigma P(n, r) \rightarrow P^{2n+1}(p^r)$ [6]. This map is defined on a bouquet of mod p^r Moore spaces as a bouquet of mod p^r Whitehead products which, since S^{2n+1} is an H -space, map to zero when composed with the map $P^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$. It follows that we may form the commutative diagram below in which the rows and columns are fibration sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \Omega F^{2n+1}\{p^r\} & \longrightarrow & W^{2n+1}\{p^r\} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma P(n, r) & \longrightarrow & F^{2n+1}\{p^r\} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \\
 \Omega P^{2n+1}(p^r) & \longrightarrow & T^{2n+1}(p^r) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma P(n, r) & \longrightarrow & P^{2n+1}(p^r) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Omega S^{2n+1} & \longrightarrow & \Omega S^{2n+1} & \longrightarrow & * & \longrightarrow & \Omega S^{2n+1}.
 \end{array}
 \tag{1.2}$$

The result quoted in (0.2) gives a section $\sigma: T^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow \Omega P^{2n+1}(p^r)$ and (1.2) implies that σ restricts to $\bar{\sigma}: W^{2n+1}\{p^r\} \rightarrow \Omega F^{2n+1}\{p^r\}$. At a certain point in the proof below we will restrict a further modification of the modified James-Hopf invariant \bar{h}_{p^k} from $\Omega P^{2n+1}(p^r)$ to $T^{2n+1}(p^r)$ via the map σ . We will need to know the following: Suppose v_k and $\tau_k = \beta^r v_k$ are the respective images of $v(2n, r)^{p^k}$ and $\tau_k(v(2n, r))$ in $H_*(T^{2n+1}(p^r))$. These are primitive elements. Since $v(2n, r)^{p^k}$ is the primitive element of least length in its degree, it follows that $\bar{h}_{p^k*} \circ \sigma_*$ sends v_k to $v(2p^kn, r)$ and τ_k to $u(2p^kn, r)$.

2 Theriault’s Reconstruction of Anick’s Spaces $BD(n, r)$

In order to avoid proving our decomposition theorem for triple loops instead of double loops, we shall use spaces $BD(n, r)$, defined for $r \geq 1$, which are candidates for classifying spaces for the spaces $D(n, r)$ (and, in fact, it is an elementary consequence of the product decomposition (0.1) that they are classifying spaces if $r \geq 2$). These spaces were introduced by Anick [1] for $p \geq 5$, further studied by Anick and Gray [2], and reconstructed for all $p \geq 3$ in the thesis of Theriault [13] and his subsequent paper [14]. (Theriault used the notation $T_\infty^{2n-1}\{p^r\}$ for the spaces we call $BD(n, r)$. Anick and Gray have used variations

of this notation involving the letter T . Because of a conflict with the notation of Cohen, Moore, and Neisendorfer, this notation will not be used here.) In this section we provide a brief summary of some of the work of Theriault and derive some consequences which we use in the proof of (0.1).

First, if $p \geq 3$ and $r \geq 1$, there are H -spaces $BD(n, r)$, [2], [13], [14], and there are factorizations of the natural maps $\Omega P^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow \Omega S^{2n+1}$ into

$$(2.1) \quad \Omega P^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow BD(n, r) \rightarrow \Omega S^{2n+1}\{p^r\} \rightarrow \Omega S^{2n+1}$$

where the second and third maps are H -maps. There is a fibration sequence

$$\Omega^2 S^{2n+1} \rightarrow S^{2n-1} \rightarrow BD(n, r) \rightarrow \Omega S^{2n+1}$$

in which the first map has degree p^r on the bottom cell [1].

The first and second maps in (2.1) are mod p homology isomorphisms in dimensions $2n - 1$ and $2n$. Accordingly, we shall denote the generators of both $H_*(BD(n, r))$ and $H_*(\Omega S^{2n+1}\{p^r\})$ in these dimensions by $u(n, r)$ and $v(n, r)$, respectively.

If $p \geq 5$, the H -spaces $BD(n, r)$ are homotopy commutative, homotopy associative, have null homotopic p^r -th power maps, and the first map in (2.1) is an H -map [13], [14].

Second, if $p \geq 3$, the spaces $BD(n, r)$ and the natural maps $\iota: P^{2n} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \Omega P^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow BD(n, r)$ satisfy the universality property [14]: if X is a homotopy commutative and homotopy associative H -space and $f: P^{2n}(p^r) \rightarrow X$ is any map, then there is an extension to an H -map $\tilde{f}: BD(n, r) \rightarrow X$. The extension is unique up to homotopy.

Following a suggestion of Theriault we apply the universality property to the maps ζ and η uniquely defined for $s < r$ by the maps of cofibration sequences

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} S^{2n-1} & \xrightarrow{p^s} & S^{2n-1} & \longrightarrow & P^{2n}(p^s) & \xrightarrow{p^r} & S^{2n-1} & \longrightarrow & P^{2n}(p^r) \\ \downarrow p^{r-s} & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \zeta & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \eta \\ S^{2n-1} & \xrightarrow{p^r} & S^{2n-1} & \longrightarrow & P^{2n}(p^r) & \xrightarrow{p^s} & S^{2n-1} & \longrightarrow & P^{2n}(p^s). \end{array}$$

There are also maps ζ and η uniquely defined by maps of fibration sequences

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} S^{2n+1}\{p^s\} & \longrightarrow & S^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{p^s} & S^{2n+1} & \longrightarrow & S^{2n+1}\{p^r\} & \longrightarrow & S^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{p^r} & S^{2n+1} \\ \downarrow \zeta & & \downarrow p^{r-s} & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow p^{r-s} \\ S^{2n+1}\{p^r\} & \longrightarrow & S^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{p^r} & S^{2n+1} & \longrightarrow & S^{2n+1}\{p^s\} & \longrightarrow & S^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{p^s} & S^{2n+1} \end{array}$$

For the remainder of this paper, let $p \geq 5$.

Theriault's universality property implies that the maps in (2.2) extend to H -maps $\zeta: BD(n, s) \rightarrow BD(n, r)$ and $\eta: BD(n, r) \rightarrow BD(n, s)$ where the ζ and η maps are unique and

there are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{S}^{2n-1} & \xrightarrow{p^{r-s}} & \mathcal{S}^{2n-1} & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathcal{S}^{2n-1} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{BD}(n, s) & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & \text{BD}(n, r) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \text{BD}(n, s) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Omega\mathcal{S}^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{=} & \Omega\mathcal{S}^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{\Omega p^{r-s}} & \Omega\mathcal{S}^{2n+1}
 \end{array}
 \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore, for $n > 1$ we have a commutative diagram with rows and columns fibration sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 * & \longrightarrow & \Omega^2\mathcal{S}^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{=} & \Omega^2\mathcal{S}^{2n+1} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{S}^{2n-1}\{p^{r-s}\} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}^{2n-1} & \xrightarrow{p^{r-s}} & \mathcal{S}^{2n-1} \\
 \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{S}^{2n-1}\{p^{r-s}\} & \longrightarrow & \text{BD}(n, s) & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & \text{BD}(n, r)
 \end{array}
 \tag{2.5}$$

and for all $n \geq 1$ we have a commutative diagram with rows and columns fibration sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 * & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}^{2n-1} & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathcal{S}^{2n-1} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Omega\mathcal{S}^{2n+1}\{p^{r-s}\} & \longrightarrow & \text{BD}(n, r) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \text{BD}(n, s) \\
 \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Omega\mathcal{S}^{2n+1}\{p^{r-s}\} & \longrightarrow & \Omega\mathcal{S}^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{\Omega p^{r-s}} & \Omega\mathcal{S}^{2n+1}
 \end{array}
 \tag{2.6}$$

The uniqueness of ζ and η clearly implies that $\zeta \circ \zeta = \zeta$ and $\eta \circ \eta = \eta$.

We note that the universality property implies that, if $r \geq 1$, the composition $\eta \circ \zeta: \text{BD}(n, r) \rightarrow \text{BD}(n, r+1) \rightarrow \text{BD}(n, r)$ is the p -th power map and, if $r \geq 2$, so is the composition $\zeta \circ \eta: \text{BD}(n, r) \rightarrow \text{BD}(n, r-1) \rightarrow \text{BD}(n, r)$. Furthermore, the diagram below commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{BD}(n, r) & \xrightarrow{p=\eta\circ\zeta=\zeta\circ\eta} & \text{BD}(n, r) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Omega\mathcal{S}^{2n+1}\{p^r\} & \xrightarrow{\Omega p} & \Omega\mathcal{S}^{2n+1}\{p^r\} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Omega\mathcal{S}^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{\Omega p} & \Omega\mathcal{S}^{2n+1}
 \end{array}
 \tag{2.7}$$

These equalities allow us to easily compute any composition $\eta \circ \zeta$ and any composition $\zeta \circ \eta$. For example, $\eta \circ \zeta: \text{BD}(n, r) \rightarrow \text{BD}(n, r+t) \rightarrow \text{BD}(n, r+t-s)$ equals $\zeta \circ p^{t-s} = p^{t-s} \circ \zeta$ if $t, t-s > 0$.

If we compose the modified James-Hopf invariant \bar{h}_{p^k} with the map in (2.1), we get a map

$$H_{p^k}: \Omega P^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow \text{BD}(p^k n, r)$$

and a commutative diagram with the columns fibration sequences:

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega F^{2n+1}\{p^r\} & \xrightarrow{\bar{H}_{p^k}} & S^{2p^k n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega P^{2n+1}(p^r) & \xrightarrow{H_{p^k}} & \text{BD}(p^k n, r) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega S^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{h_{p^k}} & \Omega S^{2p^k n+1}. \end{array}$$

We shall call the map H_{p^k} the Anick-James-Hopf invariant.

3 Selick's Lifting Method

In this section, we apply a method due to Selick [12] to construct a lifting of $\Omega(p) \circ \Omega(H_{p^k})$, the loops on the composition of the Anick-James-Hopf invariant with the p -th power map.

Selick's lifting method is based on two facts. First, if $A \rightarrow \Omega S^{2n+1}$ is any map with A a space of category $< p^k$, then the composition with the p^k -th James-Hopf invariant followed by the p -th power,

$$A \longrightarrow \Omega S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{h_{p^k}} \Omega S^{2p^k n+1} \xrightarrow{\Omega(p)} \Omega S^{2p^k n+1},$$

is null homotopic. Second, if G is a topological group with classifying space BG and Milnor filtration $B_j G$, then the composite map $G \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \Omega \Sigma G = \Omega B_1 G \subseteq \Omega BG$ is a homotopy equivalence with $G \rightarrow \Omega B_j G$ an H -map for $j > 1$. Selick wrote his proofs only for the case $k = 1$, but, as he knew, they work without change for $k \geq 1$.

Let $1 < j < p^k$. Since $B_j G$ is a space of category j , it follows that the composite map

$$B_j(\Omega^2 S^{2n+1}) \subseteq B(\Omega^2 S^{2n+1}) = \Omega S^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{h_{p^k}} \Omega S^{2p^k n+1} \xrightarrow{\Omega(p)} \Omega S^{2p^k n+1}$$

is null homotopic. The range being simply connected, we can assume that the homotopy is basepoint preserving.

If we restrict (2.8) to B_jG , we get a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 B_j(\Omega^2 F^{2n+1}\{p^r\}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{H}_{p^k}} & S^{2p^k n-1} & \xrightarrow{p} & S^{2p^k n-1} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 (3.1) \quad B_j(\Omega^2 P^{2n+1}(p^r)) & \xrightarrow{H_{p^k}} & BD(p^k n, r) & \xrightarrow{p} & BD(p^k n, r) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 B_j \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{h_{p^k}} & \Omega S^{2p^k n+1} & \xrightarrow{\Omega(p)} & \Omega S^{2p^k n+1}.
 \end{array}$$

The above basepoint preserving null homotopy of $\Omega(p) \circ h_{p^k}$ on $B_j(\Omega^2 S^{2n+1})$ yields, via the covering homotopy property, a homotopy of $p \circ H_{p^k}$ defined on $B_j(\Omega^2 P^{2n+1}(p^r))$. This covering homotopy terminates at a map \bar{H} of $B_j(\Omega^2 P^{2n+1}(p^r))$ into the fibre $S^{2p^k n-1}$ and since the original homotopy is basepoint preserving it may be constructed to be a stationary homotopy on $B_j(\Omega^2 F^{2n+1}\{p^r\})$. Hence, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B_j(\Omega^2 F^{2n+1}\{p^r\}) & \xrightarrow{p \circ \bar{H}_{p^k}} & S^{2p^k n-1} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\
 (3.2) \quad B_j(\Omega^2 P^{2n+1}(p^r)) & \xrightarrow{\bar{H}} & S^{2p^k n-1} \\
 \downarrow = & & \downarrow \\
 B_j(\Omega^2 P^{2n+1}(p^r)) & \xrightarrow{p \circ H_{p^k}} & BD(p^k n, r).
 \end{array}$$

If we loop (3.2), inject G into ΩB_jG as described in the first paragraph of this section, and include the maps σ and $\bar{\sigma}$ from the end of Section 1, we get a commutative diagram of H -maps as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \Omega W^{2n+1}\{p^r\} & \xrightarrow{\Omega \bar{\sigma}} & \Omega^2 F^{2n+1}\{p^r\} & \xrightarrow{\Omega(p) \circ \Omega(\bar{H}_{p^k})} & \Omega S^{2p^k n-1} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\
 (3.3) \quad \Omega T^{2n+1}(p^r) & \xrightarrow{\Omega \sigma} & \Omega^2 P^{2n+1}(p^r) & \xrightarrow{H} & \Omega S^{2p^k n-1} \\
 \downarrow = & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \\
 \Omega T^{2n+1}(p^r) & \xrightarrow{\Omega \sigma} & \Omega^2 P^{2n+1}(p^r) & \xrightarrow{\Omega(p) \circ \Omega(H_{p^k})} & \Omega BD(p^k n, r).
 \end{array}$$

4 Lifting the Lift

In this section we construct a lift of the map H in (3.3).

The main technical result of [10], slightly extended as in [11], is a map $T^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow \Pi_r$ such that, if we compose this map with the map $S^{2n-1} \times \Pi_{r+1} \rightarrow T^{2n+1}(p^r)$, the result fits into horizontal fibration sequences

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} C(n) \times \Pi_1 & \xrightarrow{1 \times \Pi \zeta} & C(n) \times \Pi_{r+1} & \longrightarrow & \Pi_r \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ S^{2n-1} \times \Pi_1 & \xrightarrow{1 \times \Pi \zeta} & S^{2n-1} \times \Pi_{r+1} & \longrightarrow & \Pi_r \end{array}$$

where $C(n)$ is the fibre of the double suspension $S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$.

Consider the diagram of [10] in which the rows and columns are all fibration sequences, $C(n) \rightarrow S^{2n-1} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^2} \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$ is the fibration sequence of the double suspension, and the left hand column is the evident product:

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} C(n) \times \Pi_{r+1} & \longrightarrow & T^{2n+1}(p^r) & \longrightarrow & \Omega S^{2n+1}\{p^r\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \\ S^{2n-1} \times \Pi_{r+1} & \longrightarrow & T^{2n+1}(p^r) & \longrightarrow & \Omega S^{2n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p^r \\ \Omega^2 S^{2n+1} & \longrightarrow & * & \longrightarrow & \Omega S^{2n+1}. \end{array}$$

The fact that $S^{2n+1}\{p^r\}$ is an H -space with a null homotopic p^r -th power map [9], together with (4.1) and (4.2) looped to make everything an H -map, easily shows, using standard lifting properties of fibrations as in the proof of Proposition 1.2 of [10], that the p^r -th power map on $\Omega T^{2n+1}(p^r)$ factors as

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega(p^r): \Omega T^{2n+1}(p^r) & \longrightarrow & \Omega C(n) \times \Omega \Pi_1 & \xrightarrow{1 \times \Pi \zeta} & \Omega C(n) \\ & & \times \Omega \Pi_{r+1} & \longrightarrow & \Omega S^{2n-1} \times \Omega \Pi_{r+1} & \longrightarrow & \Omega T^{2n+1}(p^r). \end{array}$$

Notice that $W^{2n+1}\{p^r\} = S^{2n-1} \times \Pi_{r+1}$ and use the above paragraph and the fact that H in (3.3) is an H -map to conclude that $\Omega(p^r) \circ H \circ \Omega \sigma = H \circ \Omega \sigma \circ \Omega(p^r)$ factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega T^{2n+1}(p^r) & \longrightarrow & \Omega C(n) & & \\ \times \Omega \Pi_1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega W^{2n+1}\{p^r\} & \xrightarrow{\Omega \sigma} & \Omega^2 F^{2n+1}\{p^r\} & \xrightarrow{\Omega(p) \circ \Omega(\tilde{H}_{p^k})} & \Omega S^{2p^k n-1}. \end{array}$$

Since $C(n)$ and Π_1 are both H -spaces with null homotopic p -th power maps [5, 9], it follows that $\Omega(p^r) \circ H \circ \Omega \sigma$ is null homotopic and thus that we have a lift of $H \circ \Omega \sigma: \Omega T^{2n+1}\{p^r\} \rightarrow \Omega S^{2p^k n-1}$ to a map $K: \Omega T^{2n+1}\{p^r\} \rightarrow \Omega S^{2p^k n-1}\{p^r\}$.

5 Proof of the Decomposition Theorem for the Double Loop Space

From Section 2 we get a commutative diagram with the columns fibration sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 S^{2p^{k_n-1}\{p^{r+1}\}} & \xrightarrow{=} & S^{2p^{k_n-1}\{p^{r+1}\}} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & S^{2p^{k_n-1}\{p^r\}} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{BD}(p^k n, r) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \text{BD}(p^k n, r-1) & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & \text{BD}(p^k n, r) \\
 \downarrow \zeta & & \downarrow \zeta & & \downarrow \zeta \\
 \text{BD}(p^k n, 2r+1) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \text{BD}(p^k n, 2r) & \xrightarrow{=} & \text{BD}(p^k n, 2r)
 \end{array}
 \tag{5.1}$$

with $\zeta \circ \eta = p$ in the middle row. Since the spaces $D(p^k, 0)$ do not exist, we are required to assume that $r \geq 2$. Clearly, the lower left hand square and the upper right hand square are both homotopy pullbacks, a fact that will be preserved if we apply the loop functor to (5.1).

Hence, the maps

$$K: \Omega T^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow \Omega S^{2p^{k_n-1}\{p^r\}}$$

and

$$\Omega \eta \circ \Omega H_{p^k} \circ \Omega \sigma : \Omega T^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow \Omega^2 P^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow \Omega \text{BD}(p^k n, r) \rightarrow \Omega \text{BD}(p^k n, r-1)$$

yield a map

$$L: \Omega T^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow \Omega S^{2p^{k_n-1}\{p^{r+1}\}}$$

and hence a map into the product

$$\bar{L}: \Omega T^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow \Omega \Pi_{r+1}.$$

We claim that the composition $\Omega \Pi_{r+1} \rightarrow \Omega T^{2n+1}(p^r) \rightarrow \Omega \Pi_{r+1}$ is a homotopy equivalence, which as mentioned in Section 0 is equivalent to proving the product decomposition in (0.1).

Sections 1 and 2 imply that ΩH_{p^k} maps the transgression τ of τ_k in $H_{2p^{k_n-2}}(\Omega T^{2n+1}(p^r))$ to the generator $u(2p^k n - 2, r)$ of $H_{2p^{k_n-2}}(\Omega \text{BD}(p^k n, r))$. Then $\Omega \eta$ sends it to the generator $u(2p^k n - 2, r - 1)$ of $H_{2p^{k_n-2}}(\Omega \text{BD}(p^k n, r - 1))$. From the homological properties of the fibration in the middle column of (5.1), it follows that L sends τ to the generator $v(2p^k n - 2, r + 1)$ of $H_{2p^{k_n-2}}(\Omega S^{2p^{k_n-1}\{p^{r+1}\}})$. From the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [10], we see that $v(2p^k n - 2, r + 1)$ is the only primitive element in $H_{2p^{k_n-2}}(\Omega \Pi_{r+1})$. It follows that \bar{L} sends τ to $v(2p^k n - 2, r + 1)$ in $H_{2p^{k_n-2}}(\Omega \Pi_{r+1})$. From [4], [10], we see that $\Omega \Pi_{r+1} \rightarrow \Omega T^{2n+1}(p^r)$ sends $v(2p^k n - 2, r + 1)$ to τ . Now Theorem 6.1 in [10], a generalization of an atomicity result in [12], says that any self map of $\Omega \Pi_{r+1}$ which sends $v(2p^k n - 2, r + 1)$ to itself and hence its $(r + 1)$ -st Bockstein $u(2p^k n - 3, r + 1)$ to itself is a homotopy equivalence. Thus, the composition in the preceding paragraph is a homotopy equivalence.

References

- [1] D. Anick, *Differential Algebras in Topology*. Res. Notes Math. **3**, A. K. Peters Ltd., 1993.
- [2] D. Anick and B. Gray, *Small H spaces related to Moore spaces*. *Topology* **43**(1995), 859–881.
- [3] F. R. Cohen and M. E. Mahowald, *A remark on self-maps of $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$* . *Indiana Univ. Math J.* **30**(1981), 583–588.
- [4] F. R. Cohen, J. C. Moore and J. A. Neisendorfer, *Torsion in homotopy groups*. *Ann. of Math.* **109**(1979), 121–168.
- [5] ———, *The double suspension and exponents of the homotopy groups of spheres*. *Ann. of Math.* **110**(1979), 549–565.
- [6] ———, *Exponents in homotopy theory*. In: *Algebraic Topology and Algebraic K-theory* (ed. W. Browder), Princeton Univ. Press, 1987.
- [7] I. M. James, *Reduced product spaces*. *Ann. of Math.* **62**(1955), 170–197.
- [8] ———, *On the suspension sequence*. *Ann. of Math.* **65**(1957), 74–107.
- [9] J. A. Neisendorfer, *Properties of certain H-spaces*. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **34**(1983), 201–209.
- [10] ———, *The exponent of a Moore space*. In: *Algebraic Topology and Algebraic K-theory* (ed. W. Browder), Princeton Univ. Press, 1987.
- [11] ———, *Product decompositions of the double loops on odd primary Moore spaces*. *Topology*, to appear.
- [12] P. S. Selick, *Odd primary torsion in $\pi_k(S^3)$* . *Topology* **17**(1978), 407–412.
- [13] S. D. Theriault, *A reconstruction of Anick's fibration*, University of Toronto Thesis, 1997.
- [14] ———, *Properties of Anick's Spaces*. Preprint.

University of Rochester
Rochester, New York
U.S.A.