

ONE-POINT EXTENSIONS OF LOCALLY PARA- H -CLOSED SPACES

M. I. ZAHID and R. W. HEATH

(Received 23 May 1983)

Communicated by J. H. Rubinstein

Abstract

A space X is para- H -closed if every open cover of X has a locally-finite open refinement (not necessarily covering the space) whose union is dense in X . In this paper, we study one-point para- H -closed extensions of locally para- H -closed spaces.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (*Amer. Math. Soc.*): primary 54 D 25; secondary 54 D 18, 54 D 99, 54 C 20.

Keywords and phrases: para- H -closed, locally para- H -closed, H -closed, one-point extension, projective maximum, projective minimum.

Introduction

Only Hausdorff topological spaces are considered.

DEFINITION 1. Let γ be an open cover of a topological space X . Then λ is *para- H -closed refinement* of γ if λ is a locally-finite collection of open subsets of X refining γ and such that $\bigcup \lambda$ is dense in X .

DEFINITION 2. A space X is *para- H -closed* if every open cover of X has a para- H -closed refinement.

DEFINITION 3. A space X is *locally para- H -closed* if every point has a neighbourhood whose closure is para- H -closed.

DEFINITION 4. A space (Y, σ) is said to be a *one-point extension* of a space (X, τ) if $X \subset Y, \tau = \{O \cap X: O \in \sigma\}, |Y \setminus X| = 1$ and $cl_\sigma(X) = Y$.

DEFINITION 5. Let $E(X)$ be the set of all one-point para-*H*-closed extensions of a locally para-*H*-closed but not para-*H*-closed space X . A space Y in $E(X)$ is said to be a *projective maximum* in $E(X)$ if, for any space Z in $E(X)$, there exists a continuous function f from Y onto Z such that $f(x) = x$ for all x in X . A space M in $E(X)$ is said to be a *projective minimum* in $E(X)$ if, for any Z in $E(X)$, there exists a continuous function f from Z onto M such that $f(x) = x$ for all x in X .

Para-*H*-closed spaces and locally para-*H*-closed spaces were defined and studied in [3]. We mention here some basic results about para-*H*-closed spaces.

THEOREM 1. *A regular space is para-*H*-closed if and only if it is paracompact.*

THEOREM 2. (i) *Every domain of a para-*H*-closed space is para-*H*-closed.*
(ii) *Every Lindelöf Hausdorff space is para-*H*-closed.*

THEOREM 3. *A space X is para-*H*-closed if and only if every open cover of X has a ω -locally-finite open refinement $\lambda = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$ such that*

$$\bigcup \{ \text{int}[\text{cl}(\bigcup \lambda_n)] : n \in \omega \} = X.$$

For locally-compact spaces, we know that there is only one one-point compactification. For locally-*H*-closed spaces, F. Obreano [1] and J. Porter [2] have shown that there may not be a unique one-point *H*-closed extension. Locally-*H*-closed spaces, however, do possess a projective maximum and also a projective minimum one-point *H*-closed extensions. For locally para-*H*-closed spaces, we show that while there is a projective maximum in the set of all one-point para-*H*-closed extensions, there is no projective minimum in general.

The following notation will be fixed throughout the rest of the paper. Let (X, τ) be a locally para-*H*-closed space which is not para-*H*-closed. Let $\Phi = \{ \gamma: \gamma \text{ is an open cover of } X \text{ without a para-*H*-closed open refinement} \}$.

For each $\gamma \in \Phi$, let $\Omega_\gamma = \{ \lambda: \lambda \text{ is a locally-finite collection of open sets in } X \text{ refining } \gamma \}$. For each $\gamma \in \Phi$, let $\Psi_\gamma = \{ X \setminus \text{cl}(\bigcup \lambda): \lambda \in \Omega_\gamma \}$. Note that for each $\gamma \in \Phi$, Ψ_γ has the finite intersection property.

Let ∇_γ be the open filter generated by Ψ_γ , and let ζ_γ be an open ultrafilter generated by Ψ_γ .

We shall let $\Lambda = \bigcap \{ \zeta_\gamma: \gamma \in \Phi \}$, and let $\Pi = \bigcap \{ \nabla_\gamma: \gamma \in \Phi \}$. It is easy to see that for each $\gamma \in \Phi$, ∇_γ and ζ_γ are free filters.

LEMMA 1. *Let Λ be as defined above. Then*

$$\Lambda = \{U \in \tau: X \setminus \text{int}(\text{cl}(U)) \text{ is para-}H\text{-closed}\}.$$

PROOF. Let $U \in \tau$ be such that $X \setminus \text{int}(\text{cl}(U))$ is para- H -closed. Let $\gamma \in \Phi$. Consider ζ_γ . Suppose $U \notin \zeta_\gamma$. Then $X \setminus \text{cl}(U) \in \zeta_\gamma$. Consider $\xi = \{O \cap (X \setminus \text{int}(\text{cl}(U))) : O \in \gamma\}$, which is an open cover of $X \setminus \text{int}(\text{cl}(U))$. There is a para- H -closed refinement κ of ξ in $X \setminus \text{int}(\text{cl}(U))$ consisting of open subsets of $X \setminus \text{int}(\text{cl}(U))$.

Let $\lambda = \{K \cap (X \setminus \text{cl}(U)) : K \in \kappa\}$. Then λ is an open collection in X refining γ . Also λ is locally-finite in X and its union is dense in $X \setminus \text{int}(\text{cl}(U))$. Thus $\lambda \in \Omega_\gamma$, which implies that $X \setminus \text{cl}(\cup \lambda) \in \zeta_\gamma$. But $X \setminus \text{cl}(\cup \lambda) = \text{int}(\text{cl}(U))$. Therefore $\text{int}(\text{cl}(U)) \in \zeta_\gamma$ and $X \setminus \text{cl}(U) \in \zeta_\gamma$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $U \in \zeta_\gamma$. Now let us suppose that $U \in \Lambda$ and show that $X \setminus \text{int}(\text{cl}(U))$ is para- H -closed. Let κ be an open cover of $X \setminus \text{int}(\text{cl}(U))$ without a para- H -closed open refinement. For each $K \in \kappa$, let K' be open in X such that $K' \cap X \setminus \text{int}(\text{cl}(U)) = K$, and let $K'' = K \cap (x \setminus \text{cl}(U))$. Let $\kappa_1 = \{K' : K \in \kappa\} \cup \{\text{int}(\text{cl}(U))\}$ and $\kappa_2 = \{K'' : K \in \kappa\} \cup \{\text{int}(\text{cl}(U))\}$. Then κ_1 is an open cover of X and $\text{cl}(\cup \kappa_1) = \text{cl}(\cup \kappa_2) = X$. Suppose κ_1 has a para- H -closed open refinement in X , say η_1 . Then $\eta_2 = \{H \cap (X \setminus \text{cl}(U)) : H \in \eta_1\}$ is a para- H -closed open refinement of κ in $X \setminus \text{int}(\text{cl}(U))$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\kappa_1 \in \Phi$. Now $\text{int}(\text{cl}(U)) \in \kappa_1$, which implies that $\{\text{int}(\text{cl}(U))\} \in \Omega_{\kappa_1}$. This further implies that $X \setminus \text{cl}(U) \in \zeta_{\kappa_1}$. But $U \in \Lambda$ means $U \in \zeta_{\kappa_1}$, which leads us to the desired contradiction.

THEOREM 4. *Suppose (X, τ) is a locally para- H -closed space which is not para- H -closed. Let Λ be as defined above. Let $X^* = X \cup \{p\}$ be such that $p \notin X$. Then*

- (a) $\tau^* = \tau \cup \{\{p\} \cup G : G \in \Lambda\}$ is a Hausdorff topology on X^* ,
- (b) The space (X^*, τ^*) is a one-point para- H -closed extension of (X, τ) ,
- (c) The space (X^*, τ^*) is a projective maximum in the set of all one-point para- H -closed extensions of (X, τ) .

PROOF. (a) Since Λ is a free open filter on X , it is easy to see that τ^* is a topology on X^* . Let us show that it is Hausdorff. Let $x \neq p$. Then there exists an open set U_x in X such that $x \in U_x$ and \bar{U}_x is para- H -closed. By Lemma 1, $X \setminus \bar{U}_x \in \Lambda$. This is true because $X \setminus \bar{U}_x$ is an open domain. Thus $x \in U_x \in \tau^*$ and $\{p\} \cup (X \setminus \bar{U}_x) \in \tau^*$, so there are disjoint τ^* -open neighbourhoods of x and p .

(b) Let μ be a τ^* -open cover of X^* by basic open sets. Then there exists G in Λ such that $\{p\} \cup G \in \mu$. Let $\mu' = \mu \setminus \{\{p\} \cup G\}$. Let $\xi = \{E \cap X : E \in \mu'\} \cup \{G\}$. Then ξ is a τ -open cover of X . Also since $G \in \Lambda$, $X \setminus \bar{G}^\circ$ is para- H -closed in

X . Now $\xi_1 = \{E \cap X : E \in \mu'\}$ is an open cover of $X \setminus \bar{G}^\circ$. So there is a para- H -closed open refinement λ of ξ_1 in $X \setminus \bar{G}^\circ$. Let $\lambda_1 = \{V \cap (X \setminus \bar{G}^\circ) : V \in \lambda\}$, and let $\beta = \lambda_1 \cup \{\{p\} \cup G\}$. Then β is the required para- H -closed open refinement of μ in X^* . Therefore X^* is para- H -closed.

(c) Let (Y, σ) be a one-point para- H -closed extension of (X, τ) . We must show that there exists a continuous function f from (X^*, τ^*) onto (Y, σ) which leaves X pointwise fixed. Let $Y = X \cup \{r\}$. Define $f(x) = x$ for each x in X , and define $f(p) = r$. Let U be a σ -open neighbourhood of r . For each x in X , there exists a σ -open set U_x in Y such that x belongs to U_x and $r \notin \text{cl}_\sigma(U_x)$. Now $\gamma = \{U_x : x \in X\} \cup \{U\}$ is a σ -open cover of Y . So there is a para- H -closed open refinement $\lambda = \{V_x : x \in X\} \cup \{V\}$ of γ in (Y, σ) such that $V_x \subset U_x$ for each x in X and $V \subset U$. Let $W = \bigcup\{V_x : x \in X\}$. Then

$$r \notin \bigcup\{\text{cl}_\sigma V_x : x \in X\} = \text{cl}_\sigma[\bigcup\{V_x : x \in X\}] = \text{cl}_\sigma(W).$$

Observe that $r \in \text{cl}_\sigma(V)$. In fact $r \in \text{int}_\sigma[\text{cl}_\sigma(V)]$. Since Y is para- H -closed, $\text{cl}_\sigma(W)$ is also para- H -closed. But $W \subset X$, and $\text{cl}_\sigma(W) = \text{cl}_\tau(W)$. Thus \bar{W} is para- H -closed in X . Let $G = V \cap X$. Then $\text{cl}_\sigma(G) = \text{cl}_\sigma(V)$, and $\text{int}_\sigma[\text{cl}_\sigma(V)] = \text{int}_\tau[\text{cl}_\tau(G)] \cup \{r\}$. Also $Y \setminus \text{int}_\sigma[\text{cl}_\sigma(V)] = X \setminus \text{int}_\tau[\text{cl}_\tau(G)] \subset \text{cl}_\tau(W)$, which is para- H -closed. This implies that $X \setminus \text{int}_\tau[\text{cl}_\tau(G)]$ is para- H -closed. Thus by Lemma 1, $G \in \Lambda$. So $G \cup \{p\} = (V \cap X) \cup \{p\}$ is a τ^* -open neighborhood of p , and $f(G \cup \{p\}) = V \subset U$. Therefore f is continuous at p . But f is continuous at each x in X , too. This completes the proof of (c).

THEOREM 5. *Let (X, τ) be a locally paracompact, non-locally- H -closed, non-para- H -closed space. Then (X, τ) does not have a projective minimum in the set of all of its one-point para- H -closed extensions.*

PROOF. Let p be a point not in X and $Y = X \cup \{p\}$. Let (Y, σ) be any para- H -closed extension of (X, τ) . Let $q \in X$ be such that there exists $U_q \in \tau$ with the following properties:

- (i) $q \in U_q$.
- (ii) $\text{cl}_\tau(U_q)$ is not H -closed.
- (iii) $p \notin \text{cl}_\sigma(U_q)$.

Let Γ be a free filter-base of open subsets of $\text{cl}_\sigma(U_q)$ such that, for every $F \in \Gamma$, there exists $F' \in \Gamma$ with $\text{cl}(F') \subset F$.

Define a coarser topology σ' on Y by enlarging the neighbourhoods at p . Let the new neighbourhoods at p be of the type $O \cup \text{int}_\tau(F)$, where O is any open neighbourhood of p in (Y, σ) and $F \in \Gamma$. Then (Y, σ') is a Hausdorff extension of (X, τ) and is strictly coarser than (Y, σ) . We claim that (Y, σ') is para- H -closed. Let γ be an open cover of (Y, σ') . There exist an open neighbourhood O_0 of p in (Y, σ) and $F_0 \in \Gamma$ such that $O_0 \cup F_0 \subset U_0$ for some $U_0 \in \gamma$. There exists $F_1 \in \Gamma$

such that $\text{cl}(F_1) \subset F_0$. Let λ be a para- H -closed refinement of γ in (Y, σ) . Let $\xi = \{V \setminus (\text{cl}_\tau(F_1) \cup \{p\}) : V \in \lambda\} \cup \{O_0 \cup F_0\}$. Then ξ is the required refinement of γ in (Y, σ') . Hence (Y, σ') is para- H -closed.

THEOREM 6. *Let (X, τ) be a locally H -closed space which is not para- H -closed. Then (X, τ) has a projective minimum in the set of all of its one-point para- H -closed extensions.*

PROOF. Let (X^*, τ^*) be the projective minimum of (X, τ) in the set of all one-point H -closed extensions of (X, τ) . (See [2]). Then (X^*, τ^*) is also a projective minimum in the set of all one-point para- H -closed extensions of X .

References

- [1] F. Obreanu, 'Spatii local absolut inchise', *An Acad. Repub. Pop. Romine Sect. Sti. Mat. Fiz. Chim. Ser. A* 3 (1950), 375–394.
- [2] J. R. Porter, 'On locally H -closed spaces', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 20 (1970), 193–204.
- [3] M. I. Zahid, *Para- H -closed spaces, locally para- H -closed spaces and their minimal topologies*, Ph.D. Dissertation, 1981.

University of Pittsburgh at Bradford
Bradford, Pennsylvania 16701
USA

Mathematics Department
University of Pittsburgh
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260
USA