www.cambridge.org/wsc

Research Article

Cite this article: Keith B, Ahortor M, Linse G, Greenwood M, Ward S, Cook D, Sterling TM (2025). The locoweed–endophyte complex as commensalism: a multigenerational study. Weed Sci. **73**(e55), 1–13. doi: 10.1017/ wsc.2025.10026

Received: 27 March 2025 Revised: 17 May 2025 Accepted: 23 May 2025

Associate Editor:

Greta Gramig, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND 58105

Keywords:

Astragalus; fecundity; fitness; Oxytropis; rangeland weed; swainsonine; toxic plants

Corresponding author:

Tracy M. Sterling; Email: tracy.sterling@montana.edu

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Weed Science Society of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



The locoweed–endophyte complex as commensalism: a multigenerational study

Barbara Keith¹, Mcbeth Ahortor², Greta Linse³, Mark Greenwood⁴, Sarah Ward⁵, Daniel Cook⁶ and Tracy M. Sterling⁷ ⁽¹⁾

¹Research Professor, Department of Land Resources and Environmental Sciences, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA; ²Graduate Student, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA; ³Coordinator of Statistical Resources, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA; ⁴Professor, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA; ⁵Affiliate Professor, Department of Land Resources and Environmental Sciences, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA; ⁶Research Plant Physiologist, USDA-ARS Poisonous Plant Research Laboratory, Logan, UT, USA and and ⁷Professor, Department of Land Resources and Environmental Sciences, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA

Abstract

Plants in the genera Astragalus and Oxytropis, collectively referred to as "locoweeds," contain swainsonine, a toxic alkaloid synthesized by their fungal endophyte Alternaria sect. Undifilum. The ecological role of this endophyte across the mutualism-commensalism-parasitism continuum is unknown. We examined the fitness traits of Astragalus and Oxytropis species growing with and without the endophyte, in a 9-yr, common-garden experiment. Silky crazyweed (Oxytropis sericea Nutt.) and woolly loco (Astragalus mollissimus Torr.) plants germinated from seeds that naturally host the endophyte (E+) and with it mechanically removed (E-) were established in a common garden in southwest Montana. We measured mortality, gas exchange, flower and seed production, seed germination, and final biomass. Astragalus mollissimus plants grew as annuals under common-garden conditions regardless of endophyte status. Oxytropis sericea plants grew as perennials with survival unaffected by endophyte; however, E+ O. sericea plants produced slightly more reproductive stems, flowers per stem, and crown and stem biomass. Maternal effects detected in the parental generation disappeared in subsequent generations. Gas exchange, fecundity, and seed germination were unaffected by endophyte. Contrary to our initial hypothesis of mutualism, the endophyte did not improve host survival or fecundity, nor did we detect transgenerational effects. However, the endophyte did slightly increase the number of reproductive stems and flowers per stem and crown and stem mass in O. sericea, suggesting endophytic effects on carbohydrate biochemistry and pollination parameters should be examined. Lack of selection for or against endophytecontaining plants allows both nontoxic and toxic swainsonine-producing plants to persist in Astragalus and Oxytropis populations, posing a continued threat to grazing livestock.

Introduction

Plants are hosts to an array of fungal symbionts termed "endophytes" that grow symptomless and systemically within plant tissues (Carroll 1988; Faeth and Fagan 2002; Rodriguez et al. 2009). Many fungal endophytes are assumed to be mutualistic, because those most extensively studied to date provide mycotoxins to economically important grasses, which reduces herbivory (Clay and Schardl 2002), and increase abiotic stress tolerance in the host (Dastogeer et al. 2020; Rodriguez et al. 2009; Singh et al. 2011); these endophytes can confer fitness advantages to the host by altering host plant physiology and oxidative stress tolerance mechanisms (Fu et al. 2024; Shankar Naik 2019). However, most studies on plant–fungal symbioses have been conducted on members of the Poaceae family grown in pots under greenhouse or growth chamber conditions without removal of the endophyte (Liu-Xu et al. 2022) or quantification of mutualism effects (Mathis and Bronstein 2020; May 2016). Our understanding of how fungal endophytes influence other plant genera remains limited, especially for dicotyledonous weedy species.

Species in the genera *Astragalus* and *Oxytropis* are perennial legumes that contain fungal endophytes belonging to *Alternaria* sect. *Undifilum*. These endophytes produce swainsonine (1, 2, 8-trihydroxyoctahydroindolizine), a toxic alkaloid that causes a wasting disease and symptoms of neurologic disease in wildlife and livestock that graze on the host plants (Cook et al. 2009b; Noor et al. 2018). *Astragalus* and *Oxytropis* species are important rangeland weeds across multiple continents: toxic swainsonine production linked to fungal endophyte presence has been reported in *Astragalus* and *Oxytropis* species native to China and North and South America (Cook et al. 2014; He et al. 2019; Klypina et al. 2017; Martinez et al. 2019) and in several related *Swainsona* species endemic to Australia (Cook et al. 2014; Grum et al. 2012). The erratic



behavior and loss of motor control seen in livestock grazing on *Astragalus* and *Oxytropis* has led to these plants being collectively referred to as "locoweeds." Annual economic loss from livestock locoweed poisoning in the United States is estimated to be more than US\$300 million (Turner and Allison 2023).

The Alternaria endophyte responsible for this toxicity forms an extensive intercellular network of mycelia between stem parenchyma cells in the host plant, but there is no evidence of pathological effects on cell walls or vascular tissue (Noor et al. 2018). The fungus is found throughout the host plant's aboveground tissues with few mycelia detected in roots (Cook et al. 2009a). Removal of vegetative tissue does not reduce swainsonine content in subsequent leaf regrowth, because the crown serves as the endophyte source (Cook et al. 2016). In Astragalus and Oxytropis species, the endophyte, and hence swainsonine synthesis, is vertically transmitted from maternal parent to progeny via seed (Ralphs et al. 2011). The fungus resides in the parenchyma and aleurone layers of the seed coat with nearly 100% transmission to the seedling as it germinates, followed by swainsonine synthesis exclusively by the fungus (Oldrup et al. 2010; Ralphs et al. 2011).

Swainsonine concentrations within and among *Astragalus* and *Oxytropis* populations are highly variable, ranging from undetectable to 0.4% dry mass; undetectable amounts in some populations are most likely due to the endophyte not establishing (Cook et al. 2016; Grum et al. 2012; Ralphs et al. 2002, 2008, 2011). Swainsonine production varies with the amount of endophyte present (Achata Böttger et al. 2012; Grum et al. 2012) and is strongly influenced by fungal and host plant genotypes (Cook et al. 2013; Guo et al. 2022; He et al. 2019). The plant part sampled and host plant phenology also affect detectable swainsonine levels (Achata Böttger et al. 2012; Cook et al. 2012; Ralphs et al. 2008). For example, Cook et al. (2014) reported swainsonine in aboveground tissue of silky crazyweed (*Oxytropis sericea* Nutt.) increasing as the host plant matured through the growing season and decreasing with the onset of senescence.

Evidence for the role of fungal endophytes in increasing tolerance to abiotic stress in locoweeds is mixed. Biomass of O. sericea seedlings exposed to water stress and low pH in sterile cultures was greater in the presence of the endophyte, and water deficit increased swainsonine production (Oldrup et al. 2010). In contrast, under greenhouse conditions, repeated water deficit did not affect the biomass of O. sericea or bigbend loco (Astragalus mollissimus Torr.) plants regardless of each taxon's ability to produce swainsonine (Vallotton et al. 2012). Water deficit increased swainsonine levels in greenhouse-grown woolly loco (Astragalus mollissimus Torr. var. mollissimus) and Astragalus mollissimus var. bigelovii (A. Gray) Barneby ex B.L. Turner plants, but not in Astragalus mollissimus Torr. var. thompsoniae (S. Watson) Barneby or O. sericea plants (Klypina et al. 2017; Vallotton et al. 2012). When endophyte-free and endophyteinfected O. sericea or A. mollissimus plants were exposed to sublethal drought under greenhouse conditions, endophyte presence did not influence photosynthetic gas exchange or leaf pigments in either taxon; however, the endophyte did induce antioxidant defenses in A. mollissimus (Klypina et al. 2017).

Evidence or any effect of endophyte presence on locoweed plant growth is also mixed. Freckled milkvetch (*Astragalus lentiginosus* Douglas ex Hook.) plant volume and leaf %N was reduced when grown with the *Alternaria* endophyte compared with endophytefree plants in 19-L pots in the field (Harrison et al. 2021). However, nitrogen fertilization that enhanced plant growth did not influence swainsonine production in aboveground tissues (Delaney et al. 2011), nor did CO_2 fertilization (Cook et al. 2017), suggesting that although the endophyte must use carbon and nitrogen provided by the plant for growth and swainsonine synthesis, any drain on the host is asymptomatic, with no resource trade-off.

Given the conflicting results reported by various researchers, how Alternaria endophytes affect their obligate Astragalus and Oxytropis hosts remains unclear. More crucially, we do not know whether plants that host the swainsonine-producing fungus-and are therefore toxic to livestock—possess a selective advantage over plants that lack the endophyte. To address this question, we established a long-term common garden to directly compare survival, growth, and fecundity of A. mollissimus well outside its native range and O. sericea within its native range in the presence or absence of their Alternaria endophyte. We hypothesized the endophyte would confer a growth and fecundity advantage for host plants compared with those with no endophyte when challenged by various abiotic stresses under field conditions. To the best of our knowledge, the research we report here represents the first fieldbased, multiyear study to test this hypothesis across multiple generations.

Materials and Methods

Plant Materials

We compared three taxa from the two closely related genera Astragalus and Oxytropis which grow in unique geographical niches in the western USA (Fox et al. 1998) and vary in their endophyte's ability to synthesize swainsonine (Delaney et al. 2011; Vallotton et al. 2012). Astragalus mollissimus var. mollissimus is widespread throughout the varied soils of the prairies, plains, mesas, roadsides, and pine forests from New Mexico to the north central United States (elevation: 1,400 to 2,500 m asl), has a short life history for a perennial (Soltani et al. 2021), and is a high producer of toxic swainsonine. Astragalus mollissimus var. thompsoniae has a more limited range in the southwestern United States, where it grows in sandy soils of the mesas, grasslands, and butte foothills (elevation: 1,400 to 2,150 m asl). Although A. mollissimus var. thompsoniae possesses the Alternaria endophyte (Ralphs et al. 2008), it produces little or no detectable swainsonine, possibly due to host modification of the endophyte (Kulshreshtha et al. 2004; Vallotton et al. 2012). Therefore, we included A. mollissimus var. thompsoniae in this study to separate the effects on the host of endophyte presence from those of swainsonine production. Oxytropis sericea inhabits gravelly, sandy, and loam soils of the mountains, plains, prairies, sagebrush, oak, and mixed conifer communities from northern Mexico, through much of the western United States into Canada (elevation: 1,000 to 3,300 m asl), typically lives much longer than Astragalus species (Fox et al. 1998; Welsh 2007), and produces intermediate levels of swainsonine (Vallotton et al. 2012). Seeds used to establish this study were collected from northern New Mexico as described in Delaney et al. (2011) for O. sericea and A. mollissimus var. mollissimus and Vallotton et al. (2012) for A. mollissimus var. thompsoniae.

Generation of E+ and E- Seedlings

Endophyte-free (E-) plants were generated through mechanical removal of the seed coat and residual parenchymatous tissue using sterile tweezers; the resulting embryos were surface sterilized with 1% bleach solution for 30 s and rinsed in sterile de-ionized water

(Klypina et al. 2017). Mechanical and fungicide removal yield similar results in terms of endophyte removal with seedlings unaffected by either treatment (Grum et al. 2012); we chose mechanical removal to reduce any toxicological effect of the fungicide on the embryo. Whole E+ seeds and E- embryos were germinated at room temperature in a petri dish on watermoistened sterile filter paper; transplanted to a Jiffy 7 peat pellet (Plantation Products, Norton, MA, USA) until emergence of the first true leaf; then repotted in three parts standard greenhouse soil mix (1:1:1 [by vol] soil:potting soil:perlite; soil was a Bozeman silt loam [fine-silty, mixed, superactive Pachic Argicryolls]; potting soil was Sunshine Mix no. 1, Sun Gro Horticulture, Bellevue, WA, USA) to one part washed, fine sand; and grown in the greenhouse under a 16-h photoperiod of natural sunlight supplemented with mercury vapor lamps (165 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) at 25 ± 4 C. Plants were fertilized biweekly with Jack's Classic 20-20-20 (N-P-K) All Purpose fertilizer (J. R. Peters, Allentown, PA, USA) at 100 ppm. Seedlings emerged similarly regardless of seed coat manipulation.

Endophyte Detection

Endophyte status (E+ or E-) was confirmed for each plant using DNA and swainsonine analyses before transplanting. Genomic DNA was extracted using a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) protocol (Doyle and Doyle 1987). Mechanically ground (Mini-Beadbeater, Biospec, Bartlesville, OK, USA) dried plant tissue was suspended in CTAB extraction buffer (55 mM CTAB, 0.1 M Tris-HCL pH 8.0, 20 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid pH 8.0, 1.4 M NaCl, 2% β-mercaptoethanol), incubated at 65 C for 1 h, and pelleted; the supernatant was treated with 1 μ l 10 mg ml⁻¹ RNase at 37 C for 30 min, then extracted twice with equal volume of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24:1); and DNA was precipitated with 0.1 vol 3M NaOAc in 100% ethanol with a final 70% ethanol wash. Endophyte presence or absence was determined through PCR analysis using primers amplifying the internal transcribed spacer region of Alternaria sect. Undifilum fungal endophytes as described previously (Ralphs et al. 2008). Endophyte status was also confirmed by measuring plant swainsonine content at the USDA-ARS Poisonous Plant Laboratory, Logan, UT, USA, before transplanting and again in 2018 after growing in the field. Swainsonine was extracted from dried, ground leaf material (50 mg) in 2% acetic acid for 18 h with agitation. After extraction, samples were analyzed by liquid chromatographymass spectrometry as previously described (Gardner et al. 2001). Detection limit of swainsonine was 0.001% dry mass. The concentrations of swainsonine detected in our E+ plants (data not shown) were at the level that will cause vertebrate toxicity (Pfister et al. 2003; Stegelmeier et al. 1999). For E- plants, swainsonine content remained zero when plants were tested as seedlings and again as transplants after several years of growth; therefore, horizontal transmission of the endophyte was never detected.

Establishment and Maintenance of a Common Garden

Four-month-old, greenhouse-grown seedlings were transplanted into a common garden (15.2 m by 4.6 m) at the Montana State University Post Farm near Bozeman, MT, USA (45.67537°N, 111.1559°W; elevation 1,450 m). The soil was an Amsterdam silty loam (fine-silty, mixed, superactive, frigid Typic Haplustolls) (Miller et al. 2023) with pH 7, 2.1% organic matter, and nitratenitrogen at ca. 7 mg kg⁻¹. Mean annual precipitation was 384 mm, with about half received each year during locoweed's reproductive growth period from April through June (Supplementary Table S1) (U.S. National Centers for Environmental Information 2023). Mean annual temperature for April to June was 10 C, with average low of -8 C in January and average high of 28 C in July. Seedlings were planted as pairs with endophyte (E+) and endophyte removed (E-) in a randomized nested design across nine blocks located along a slight elevation gradient from east to west within the garden plot. As plants died, new pairs were transplanted into the garden with ca. 35 pairs of each taxon and generation planted into each block. Astragalus mollissimus seedlings were planted in the common garden from 2011 to 2015 (A. mollissimus var. mollissimus, 96 pairs total) and 2012 to 2015 (A. mollissimus var. thompsoniae, 74 pairs total). Most O. sericea seedlings were planted in 2011 (18 pairs) and 2013 (24 pairs), with additional pairs planted in 2012 (2 pairs), 2014 (3 pairs), and 2015 (3 pairs) to replace deceased plants (50 pairs total as Gen 0). Most Oxytropis plants died, and all A. mollissimus var. mollissimus and A. mollissimus var. thompsoniae plants died during the 2013 to 2014 winter regardless of endophyte status, and replacement pairs of greenhouse-grown seedlings were planted as feasible.

As already described, seeds used to initiate this experiment were collected in the field from plants growing in their native ranges in New Mexico. This raises the possibility that data for the first generation of plants established in our common garden (Gen 0) might be biased by maternal effects reflecting the different environments experienced by the original New Mexico seed parents and their offspring grown in Montana. To address this for O. sericea, we established 50 E+/E- pairs for each of two successive generations after Gen 0 as follows: Parent plants (Gen 0: plants grown from seed field collected in New Mexico) were established in the common garden in 2013. Seeds collected in the common garden from 10 E+ and 10 E- of these 1-yr-old Gen 0 plants were used to establish first-generation (Gen 1) plants: five seedlings from each Gen 0 parent were transplanted into the garden as 50 E± pairs in fall 2015. Second-generation (Gen 2) plants were grown from seed collected in the common garden in 2016 from 1-yr-old Gen 1 plants representing 5 E+ and 5 E- lines established in Gen 1. Seedlings of 50 E \pm pairs of Gen 2 were established in the garden in 2017. Endophyte presence and absence was confirmed for all plants at each stage by PCR and swainsonine analyses as previously described. This design also allowed us to monitor transgenerational transmission of the endophyte and any of its associated effects in O. sericea.

Seedlings were hand watered as needed during their establishment year only, and all weeds—including volunteer locoweed seedlings—were mechanically removed from the garden throughout the study period. Similar to earlier reports (Ida et al. 2012; Wyka 1999), there were few instances of herbivore or pathogen damage detected on the common-garden plants during this 9-yr field study. We observed occasional minor invertebrate and vertebrate herbivory regardless of plant endophyte status, but given the low levels of herbivore activity and its random distribution across E+ and E– plants, we did not attempt to manage or measure it.

Data Collection

The following measurements were collected on all plants until their death, or for surviving plants until termination of the commongarden experiment in July 2020.

Gas Exchange

We obtained gas exchange measurements over 5 yr for *A. mollissimus* var. *mollissimus* and *A. mollissimus* var. *thompsoniae* plants and 8 yr for *O. sericea* Gen 0, 5 yr for Gen 1, and 3 yr for Gen

		A. Astragalus—ov	erwinter mortality	B. Oxytropis—over	winter mortality
Term	df	(%) n = 1,368 χ^2	Ρ	(%) n = 2,020 χ^2	Р
Age	1	144.223	<0.0001	466.009	<0.0001
Year planted	1	1.985	0.1589	5.443	0.0197
Endophyte	1	0.51	0.4753	1.729	0.1885
Variety	1	20.277	<0.0001		
Endophyte by variety	1	2.838	0.0921		
Generation	2			34.465	<0.0001
Endophyte by generation	2			0.874	0.6459

Table 1. Two-way interaction ANOVA estimating the effect of (A) endophyte (+/-), Astragalus mollissimus var. mollissimus or Astragalus mollissimus var. thompsoniae, and their interaction, and (B) endophyte (+/-), Oxytropis sericea generations, and their interaction, on overwinter mortality^a.

^aThese variables were measured for plants grown as pairs with or without the endophyte near Bozeman, MT, USA. The dataset includes 33 E± pairs of *A. mollissimus* var. *mollissimus*, 13 pairs of var. *thompsoniae*, and 127 pairs of *Oxytropis sericea* plants grown in a common garden from 2011 to 2020 near Bozeman, MT, USA. Bold values indicate p-value \leq 0.05.

2. Gas exchange was measured using a LI-6400XT portable photosynthesis system (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA) between 10:00 AM to 2:00 PM from a single leaf located within the top quarter of a plant as described previously (Delaney et al. 2011; Klypina et al. 2017). Responses were measured over three locoweed phenological stages (May, vegetative; June, flowering; July/August, full pod/ senescence). Net photosynthesis (P_{net} : µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹), stomatal conductance (g_s : mol m⁻² s⁻¹), and transpiration rate (E: mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) were measured under ambient light (photosynthetically active radiation, approximately 1,500 µmol $m^{-2} s^{-1}$) with a flow rate of 400 µmol s^{-1} and internal CO₂ concentration of 400 µmol mol⁻¹. After each measurement, the leaf was excised and mounted within a paper frame equal to the chamber area and photographed. The leaf area was determined by scanning the leaf image and analyzing the pixel count using the open-sourced Java image processing and analysis program ImageJ (Schneider et al. 2012). Gas exchange measurements from each leaf were adjusted for leaf area measured.

Fecundity

The following components of plant reproductive output were measured each year of the experiment across taxa and endophyte status.

Reproductive Stems. A reproductive stem ("stem" throughout) in these locoweed taxa is the inflorescence on a leafless scape emerged from the crown. The total number of stems per plant was counted twice during each growing season, once in full bloom (May) and then again after initial bloom had ended (June). A subsample of 10 stems plant⁻¹ was randomly selected, and for these stems, the number of flowers per stem (May) or seed pods stem (June) was determined. The highest number of stems counted from the two independent counts is reported.

Pod Collection. Mature seed pods were randomly collected from as many stems as possible beginning in early July. A minimum of 25 pods $plant^{-1}$ were collected over the course of 2 wk. Pods were considered mature if they were beginning to show signs of splitting open at the beak, and were selected from the top, middle, and bottom of a stem. Pods that had split open more than 1 mm were not included to ensure collected pods had not lost seeds. If plants did not produce 25 pods, as many pods as possible were collected.

All seed pods were placed in a -20 C freezer to prevent destruction of seeds by insect herbivory (Loganathan et al. 2011).

Mature Seed Count and Mass. Seed pods were split open and scraped to remove any lodged seeds. Seeds that appeared underdeveloped (if seed size < 1.5-mm length by 1.4-mm width) as measured with digital calipers (#700-126, Mitutoyo, Kawasaki, Japan) or ovaries that had not been fertilized were considered immature and excluded from the seed count. At least 100 seeds were weighed when possible and mean mass per seed was recorded.

Seed Germination and Viability. For seed germination analysis to determine fecundity, seeds were surface sterilized by successive washes with 70% ethanol (1 min), sterile de-ionized water (30 s), and 10% bleach (2 min), and rinsed three times in sterile deionized water for 5 min. Air-dried seeds were scarified with 100-grit sterile sandpaper, placed in a petri dish on filter paper saturated with sterile deionized water, and incubated at room temperature in the dark for 2 d, then examined daily. Seeds were considered germinated when the radicle was at least 6 mm long and were considered ungerminated if no radicle had emerged or the radicle failed to elongate beyond 6 mm after 10 d. Seed viability was determined using methods for Fabaceae plant families described by the Association of Official Seed Analysts (Peters and Lanham 2005). Scarified seeds were incubated in the dark at 30 C overnight (12 to 16 h) on saturated filter paper containing 1% (v/v) 2, 3, 5-triphyenyl tetrazolium chloride; seeds with red color were deemed viable.

Final Biomass

Above- and belowground tissues were collected in July 2020 from all surviving *O. sericea* Gen 0 (5 E–, 4 E+), Gen 1 (21 E–, 15 E+), and Gen 2 (16 E–, 14 E+) plants. Biomass measurements were determined by separating, drying, and weighing stem, root, and crown tissue. Crown tissue was defined as the connective tissue between the aboveground rosette of leaves and inflorescences and the belowground taproots (Striker et al. 2011). Tissue was ovendried to constant mass at 50 C for a minimum of 120 h before weighing. Numbers of roots and stems were counted and recorded. Root and crown diameters were measured with an electronic caliper (Fowler High Precision, Ultra-Cal V, Canton, MA, USA). We were unable to collect root fines or roots deeper than 0.66 m, and no nodules were observed on any roots, either because the

appropriate nitrogen-fixing bacteria were not present or the soil nitrogen content inhibited the symbiosis.

Data Analysis

The main effects of taxon, variety (for A. mollissimus), generation (for O. sericea), endophyte presence, and their interactions were analyzed. Year sampled (or year planted for overwinter mortality) and plant age (number of winters survived) were treated as fixed effects in linear or generalized linear mixed models (see Tables 1-4), using the R software environment (R Core Team, 2024), specifically the LME4 package for fitting generalized and linear mixed models (Bates et al. 2015). Random effects accounted for the nine blocks of each E± pair. For datasets with repeated measures over time (overwinter mortality, reproductive stems, flowers, seed pods, mature seeds, seed viability, germination after 10 d, photosynthesis, and mean seed mass), a random effect for plant nested within pair, nested within block, was also included. Data were visualized using GGPLOT2 (Wickham 2016) and model estimates were displayed using the GGEFFECTS package (Lüdecke 2018).

Generalized linear mixed models (Tables 2-4) with a Poisson response distribution and log link were used for count responses (reproductive stems, seed pods, flowers, mature seeds, roots, maximum stems). A grouped binomial generalized linear mixed model with a logit link was used for proportion out of total responses (successes and failures of seed viability or germination). For continuous measurements, photosynthesis, mean seed mass, root diameter, log stem mass, log crown mass, and crown diameter (Table 2 for A. mollissimus; Tables 3 and 4 for O. sericea), we used a linear mixed effects model with the same fixed and random effects structure. For overwinter mortality analysis (Table 1; Supplementary Table S2), a Gompertz discrete proportional hazards model was used to compare the probability of overwinter mortality for the species-appropriate interaction term, specified below, after adjusting for the fixed effects of year planted and age of the plant and accounting for the random effects structure. The main effects for the interaction terms were considered in an additive model if there was no interaction with endophyte.

We tested for a two-way interaction between the two varieties of the A. mollissimus and endophyte status (Table 2; Supplementary Table S3). If there was no endophyte by variety interaction, main effects of endophyte and varieties were evaluated after accounting for the effects of the other fixed effects in the model (Supplementary Tables S2 and S4). For most models, there were no other fixed effects included due to varieties aliasing (being indistinguishable) with the other variables; however, models for overwinter mortality (age, year planted) and gas exchange responses of photosynthesis, conductance, and transpiration (year of collection) included additional fixed effects. The random effects structure did not change for these models.

For O. sericea, we tested for a two-way interaction between generation and endophyte (Tables 2-4; Supplementary Table S5). If there was no endophyte by generation interaction, main effects of endophyte were evaluated after accounting for the main effects of generation and adjusting for endophyte as well as the random effects from the nested and repeated-measurements design (Supplementary Tables S6-S8). Fixed effects for models not involving final harvest outcomes included the year planted (overwinter mortality) or year of data collection (all other models) and age of plant (all models). There were no other fixed effects for models involving final harvest outcomes besides endophyte, generation, and their interaction; these were included due to the

		on	
		H. Germinat	after 10 d
			G. Mean seed mass
			F. Seed viability
			E. Mature seeds
			D. Seed pods
			C. Flowers
	B.	Reproductive	stems
			A. P _{net}
/ariables".			

Table 2. Two-way interaction ANOVA estimating the effect of endophyte (+/-), Astragalus mollissimus var. mollissimus or Astragalus mollissimus var. thompsoniae), and their interaction on physiology, growth, and fecundity

¹These variables were measured for plants grown as pairs with or without the endophyte near Bozeman, MT, USA. Models A and G: P-values and F-statistics were obtained by running a linear mixed model; interactions tested included endophyte by generation. The numerator degrees of freedom are in the df column and the denominator degrees of freedom are in the df2 columns. Models B-F and H: P-values and γ^2 values were obtained by running a generalized linear mixed model with a Poisson esponse distribution and log link for count responses, and a grouped binomial response distribution with a logit link for proportion out of total (%) responses. The dataset includes 33 E± pairs of A. mollissimus var. mollissimus var. 0.9156 37.9 0.011 0.736 0.114 0.1755 835 0.2585 1.277 0.8516 0.035 0.7539 var. thompsoniae grown in a common garden from 2011 to 2020 near Bozeman, MT, USA 0.098 0.2053 71.8 .634 30ld values indicate p-value \leq 0.05 Endophyte by variety

0.8651

0.029

0.6709 0.4442

22.2 37.5

0.185 0.598

0.0184 0.1879

1.734 5.562

0.8125

10.236 0.056

0.2507 0.0001

15.019

0.7556 **0.0023**

9.261

0.6743 0.1493

0.177 2.079

0.886

63.8 53.7 35.5

> 0.799 0.021

> > Varietv

<0.001 0.3748

43.446

Year of data Endophyte

Term

0.097

1.319

۵

df2

۵

۵

۵

۵

۵

۵

df2

f

n = 43

(%)

(no. pod^{-1})

(no. stem⁻¹)

(no. stem⁻¹)

(no. plant⁻¹)

(μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹)

n = 330

n = 56

n = 373

424

-u =

n = 46

n = 39

(%)

(mg seed⁻¹)

n = 44

	interee																		
able 3. Two-way	interac	tion ANO\	/A estima	ting the ef	fect of end	dophyte (+	/—). Oxvtropis s	sericea gene	rations, an	d their intera	action on gr	owth and fe	cunditv vari	ables ^a .					
able 3. Two-way	Interac	tion ANO	/A estima	ting the ef	fect of en	dophyte (+	-/–), Oxytropis s	sericea gene	rations, an	d their intera	action on gr	owth and fe	cundity vari	ablesª.					
able 3. Two-way	Interac		/A estima	ting the ef	B. Repr	dophyte (+ oductive	-/-), Oxytropis	sericea gene	rations, and	d their intera	E. Mature	owth and fe	F. Seed	ables ^a .	G. Mea	n		H. Germinati	ion
able 3. Two-way	Interac	A. P _{net}	/A estima	ting the ef	fect of end B. Repr stems	dophyte (+ oductive	·/–), <i>Oxytropis</i> s C. Flowers	sericea gene	rations, an D. Seed	d their intera	E. Mature seeds	owth and fe	cundity vari F. Seed viability	ables ^a .	G. Mea seed m	n 1ass		H. Germinati after 10 d	ion
able 3. Two-way	Interac	tion ANOV A. P _{net} (μmol C	/A estima :O ₂ m ⁻² s	ting the eff	fect of end B. Repr stems (no. pla	dophyte (+ oductive ant ⁻¹)	·/-), <i>Oxytropis</i> : C. Flowers (no. stem ⁻¹)	sericea gene	D. Seed (no. ster	d their intera pods n ⁻¹)	E. Mature seeds (no. pod [–]	owth and fe	cundity vari F. Seed viability (%)	ables ^a .	G. Mea seed m (mg se	n nass ed ⁻¹)		H. Germinati after 10 d (%)	ion
able 3. Two-way	Interac	tion ANOV <u>A. P_{net}</u> (μmol C <i>n</i> = 457	/A estima	ting the eff	B. Repr stems (no. pla n = 508	dophyte (+ roductive ant ⁻¹)	C. Flowers (no. stem ⁻¹) (n = 4,069	sericea gene	D. Seed (no. sten n = 4,142	d their intera pods n ⁻¹) 2	E. Mature seeds (no. pod ⁻ n = 517	owth and fe	cundity vari F. Seed viability (%) n = 501	ables ^a .	G. Mea seed m (mg se <i>n</i> = 508	n nass ed ⁻¹)		H. Germinati after 10 d (%) n = 503	on
able 3. Two-way	df	tion ANOV A. P_{net} (µmol C n = 457 F	/A estima CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻ df2	-1) P	fect of end B. Repr stems (no. pla n = 508 χ^2	dophyte (+ roductive ant ⁻¹) P	C. Flowers (no. stem ⁻¹) n = 4,069 χ^2	<i>sericea</i> gene	D. Seed (no. sten n = 4,142 χ^2	d their intera pods n ⁻¹) 2 P	E. Mature seeds (no. pod ⁻ n = 517 χ^2	owth and fe ¹) P	cundity vari F. Seed viability (%) n = 501 χ^2	P	G. Mea seed m (mg se n = 508 F	n nass ed ⁻¹) 3 df2	Ρ	H. Germinati after 10 d (%) n = 503 χ^2	ion P
able 3. Two-way Term Age	df 1	tion ANOV A. P_{net} (μ mol C n = 457 <i>F</i> 4.612	/A estima :O ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻ df2 142.3	⁻¹) P 0.0334	fect of end B. Repr stems (no. pla n = 508 χ^2 0.096	dophyte (+ roductive ant ⁻¹) P 0.7562	-/-), Oxytropis s C. Flowers (no. stem ⁻¹) n = 4,069 χ^2 20.481	P < 0.0001	D. Seed (no. ster n = 4,142 χ^2 1.51	d their intera pods n ⁻¹) 2 P 0.2191	E. Mature seeds (no. pod ⁻ n = 517 χ^2 610.303	owth and fe	cundity vari F. Seed viability (%) n = 501 χ^2 127.357	P < 0.0001	G. Mea seed m (mg se n = 508 F 1.82	n nass ed ⁻¹) 3 df2 170.8	P 0.1791	H. Germinati after 10 d (%) n = 503 χ^2 380.736	P < 0.0001
Age Year of data	df 1	A. P _{net} (μmol C n = 457 F 4.612 2.456	/A estima CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻² df2 142.3 164	⁻¹) P 0.0334 0.119	fect of end B. Repr stems (no. pla n = 508 χ^2 0.096 5.735	dophyte (+ oductive ant ⁻¹) P 0.7562 0.0166	-/-), Oxytropis s C. Flowers (no. stem ⁻¹) n = 4,069 χ^2 20.481 16,065.344	P <0.0001 <0.0001	D. Seed (no. stern n = 4,142 χ^2 1.51 0.01	d their inters pods n ⁻¹) 2 P 0.2191 0.922	E. Mature seeds (no. pod ⁻ n = 517 χ^2 610.303 243.687	owth and fe 1) P <0.0001 <0.0001	cundity vari F. Seed viability (%) n = 501 χ^2 127.357 3,674.8	P <0.0001 <0.0001	G. Mea seed m (mg se n = 508 F 1.82 0.007	n nass ed ⁻¹) 3 df2 170.8 193.2	P 0.1791 0.9334	H. Germinati after 10 d (%) n = 503 χ^2 380.736 14,481.004	P <0.0001 <0.0001
Term Age Year of data Endophyte	df 1 1	tion ANOV A. P_{net} (μ mol C n = 457 F 4.612 2.456 0.074	/A estima CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ df2 142.3 164 117	⁻¹) P 0.0334 0.119 0.7867	fect of end B. Repr stems (no. pla n = 508 χ^2 0.096 5.735 3.299	dophyte (+ oductive ant ⁻¹) P 0.7562 0.0166 0.0693	-/-), Oxytropis s C. Flowers (no. stem ⁻¹) n = 4,069 χ^2 20.481 16,065.344 0.768	P <0.0001 <0.3808	D. Seed (no. stern n = 4,142 χ^2 1.51 0.01 1.797	d their intera pods n ⁻¹) 2 P 0.2191 0.922 0.1801	action on gr E. Mature seeds (no. pod ⁻ n = 517 χ^2 610.303 243.687 0.067	owth and fe P <0.0001 <0.7957	cundity vari F. Seed viability (%) n = 501 χ^2 127.357 3,674.8 6,221	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.0126	G. Mea seed m (mg se n = 508 F 1.82 0.007 0.006	n hass ed ⁻¹) df2 170.8 193.2 107.2	P 0.1791 0.9334 0.9406	H. Germinati after 10 d (%) n = 503 χ^2 380.736 14,481.004 0.68	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.4095
Term Age Year of data Endophyte Generation	df 1 1 2 2	tion ANOV A. P_{net} (μ mol C n = 457 F 4.612 2.456 0.074 2.431 2.055	/A estima CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻² df2 142.3 164 117 139.7	⁻¹) P 0.0334 0.119 0.7867 0.0916	fect of end B. Repr stems (no. pla n = 508 χ^2 0.096 5.735 3.299 4.376 0.111111111111111111111111111111111111	dophyte (+ roductive ant ⁻¹) P 0.7562 0.0166 0.0693 0.1121	-/-), Oxytropis s C. Flowers (no. stem ⁻¹) n = 4,069 χ^2 20.481 16,065.344 0.768 2.131	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.3808 0.3445	rations, and D. Seed (no. stern n = 4,142 χ^2 1.51 0.01 1.797 1.317	d their intera pods n ⁻¹) 2 P 0.2191 0.922 0.1801 0.5176 0.5076	action on gr E. Mature seeds (no. pod ⁻ n = 517 χ^2 610.303 243.687 0.067 47.807 2.610	<pre>owth and fe P <0.0001 <0.7957 <0.0001</pre>	cundity vari F. Seed viability (%) n = 501 χ^2 127.357 3,674.8 6.221 114.053	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.0126 <0.0001	G. Mea seed m (mg se n = 508 F 1.82 0.007 0.006 2.225	n hass ed ⁻¹) 3 df2 170.8 193.2 107.2 145.8	P 0.1791 0.9334 0.9406 0.1117	H. Germinati after 10 d (%) n = 503 χ^2 380.736 14,481.004 0.68 244.519	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.4095 <0.0001
Term Age Year of data Endophyte Generation Endophyte	df 1 1 2 2	A. P _{net} (μmol C n = 457 F 4.612 2.456 0.074 2.431 1.285	/A estima CO ₂ m ⁻² s df2 164 117 139.7 121.5	-1) P 0.0334 0.119 0.7867 0.0916 0.2803	fect of end B. Repr stems (no. pla n = 508 χ^2 0.096 5.735 3.299 4.376 0.144	dophyte (+ roductive ant ⁻¹) P 0.7562 0.0166 0.0693 0.1121 0.9307	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{C. Flowers} \\ \textbf{(no. stem^{-1})} \\ n = 4,069 \\ \chi^2 \\ \textbf{20.481} \\ \textbf{16,065.344} \\ 0.768 \\ 2.131 \\ \textbf{6.154} \end{array}$	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.3808 0.3445 0.0461	rations, and D. Seed (no. stern n = 4,142 χ^2 1.51 0.01 1.797 1.317 1.834	d their intera pods n ⁻¹) 2 P 0.2191 0.922 0.1801 0.5176 0.3996	action on gr E. Mature seeds (no. pod ⁻ n = 517 χ^2 610.303 243.687 0.067 47.807 2.484	<pre>owth and fe P <0.0001 <0.7957 <0.0001 0.2889</pre>	cundity vari F. Seed viability (%) n = 501 χ^2 127.357 3,674.8 6.221 114.053 0.025	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.0126 <0.0001 0.9875	G. Mea seed m (mg se n = 508 F 1.82 0.007 0.006 2.225 0.488	n hass ed ⁻¹) df2 170.8 193.2 107.2 145.8 121.1	P 0.1791 0.9334 0.9406 0.1117 0.6152	H. Germinati after 10 d (%) n = 503 χ^2 380.736 14,481.004 0.68 244.519 0.803	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.4095 <0.0001 0.6693
Term Age Year of data Endophyte Generation Endophyte by generation	df 1 1 1 2 2	A. P _{net} (μmol C n = 457 F 4.612 2.456 0.074 2.431 1.285	/A estima CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻² df2 142.3 164 117 139.7 121.5	⁻¹) P 0.0334 0.119 0.7867 0.0916 0.2803	fect of end B. Repr stems (no. pla n = 508 χ^2 0.096 5.735 3.299 4.376 0.144	dophyte (+ roductive ant ⁻¹) P 0.7562 0.0166 0.0693 0.1121 0.9307	(), Oxytropis s C. Flowers (no. stem ⁻¹) n = 4,069 χ^2 20.481 16,065.344 0.768 2.131 6.154 E00.074	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.3808 0.3445 0.0461	rations, and D. Seed (no. stern n = 4,142 χ^2 1.51 0.01 1.797 1.317 1.834 0.202	d their intera pods n ⁻¹) 2 P 0.2191 0.922 0.1801 0.5176 0.3996 0.6527	action on gr E. Mature seeds (no. pod ⁻ n = 517 χ^2 610.303 243.687 0.067 47.807 2.484	owth and fe P <0.0001	cundity vari F. Seed viability (%) n = 501 χ^2 127.357 3,674.8 6.221 114.053 0.025	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.0126 <0.0001 0.9875	G. Mea seed m (mg se n = 508 F 1.82 0.007 0.006 2.225 0.488	n hass ed ⁻¹) } df2 170.8 193.2 107.2 145.8 121.1	P 0.1791 0.9334 0.9406 0.1117 0.6152	H. Germinati after 10 d (%) n = 503 χ^2 380.736 14,481.004 0.68 244.519 0.803	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.4095 <0.0001 0.6693
Term Age Year of data Endophyte Generation Endophyte by generation Year of data by generation	df 1 1 1 2 2 1	A. P _{net} (μmol C n = 457 F 4.612 2.456 0.074 2.431 1.285	(A estima :O ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻² df2 142.3 164 117 139.7 121.5	⁻¹) P 0.0334 0.119 0.7867 0.0916 0.2803	fect of end B. Repr stems (no. pla n = 508 χ^2 0.096 5.735 3.299 4.376 0.144 0.112	dophyte (+ roductive ant ⁻¹) P 0.7562 0.0166 0.0693 0.1121 0.9307 0.7375	(), Oxytropis : C. Flowers (no. stem ⁻¹) n = 4,069 χ^2 20.481 16,065.344 0.768 2.131 6.154 509.074	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.3808 0.3445 0.0461 <0.0001	rations, and D. Seed (no. sten n = 4,142 χ^2 1.51 0.01 1.797 1.317 1.834 0.202	d their intera pods n ⁻¹) 2 P 0.2191 0.922 0.1801 0.5176 0.3996 0.6527	action on gr E. Mature seeds (no. pod ⁻ n = 517 χ^2 610.303 243.687 0.067 47.807 2.484	¹) P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.7957 <0.0001 0.2889	cundity vari F. Seed viability (%) n = 501 χ^2 127.357 3,674.8 6.221 114.053 0.025	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.0126 <0.0001 0.9875	G. Mea seed m (mg se n = 508 F 1.82 0.007 0.006 2.225 0.488	n hass ed ⁻¹) } df2 170.8 193.2 107.2 145.8 121.1	P 0.1791 0.9334 0.9406 0.1117 0.6152	H. Germinati after 10 d (%) n = 503 χ^2 380.736 14,481.004 0.68 244.519 0.803	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.4095 <0.0001 0.6693
Term Age Year of data Endophyte Generation Endophyte by generation Year of data by endophyte	df 1 1 2 2 1	A. P _{net} (μmol C n = 457 F 4.612 2.456 0.074 2.431 1.285	/A estima :0 ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻ df2 142.3 164 117 139.7 121.5	⁻¹) P 0.0334 0.119 0.7867 0.0916 0.2803	fect of end B. Repr stems (no. pla n = 508 χ^2 0.096 5.735 3.299 4.376 0.144 0.112	dophyte (+ roductive ant ⁻¹) P 0.7562 0.0166 0.0693 0.1121 0.9307 0.7375	(), Oxytropis : C. Flowers (no. stem ⁻¹) n = 4,069 χ^2 20.481 16,065.344 0.768 2.131 6.154 509.074 8 961 146	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.3808 0.3445 0.0461 <0.0001	rations, and D. Seed (no. ster n = 4,142 χ^2 1.51 0.01 1.797 1.317 1.834 0.202 27,252	d their intera pods n ⁻¹) 2 P 0.2191 0.922 0.1801 0.5176 0.3996 0.6527 <0.0001	action on gr E. Mature seeds (no. pod ⁻ n = 517 χ^2 610.303 243.687 0.067 47.807 2.484	owth and fe 1) P <0.0001	cundity vari F. Seed viability (%) n = 501 χ^2 127.357 3,674.8 6.221 114.053 0.025	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.0126 <0.0001 0.9875	G. Mea seed m (mg se n = 508 F 1.82 0.007 0.006 2.225 0.488	n hass ed ⁻¹) 3 df2 170.8 193.2 107.2 145.8 121.1	P 0.1791 0.9334 0.9406 0.1117 0.6152	H. Germinati after 10 d (%) n = 503 χ^2 380.736 14,481.004 0.68 244.519 0.803	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.4095 <0.0001 0.6693
Term Age Year of data Endophyte Generation Endophyte by generation Year of data by endophyte Age by year of data	df 1 1 2 2 1 1	A. P _{net} (μmol C n = 457 F 4.612 2.456 0.074 2.431 1.285	/A estima :O ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻ df2 142.3 164 117 139.7 121.5	⁻¹) P 0.0334 0.119 0.7867 0.0916 0.2803	fect of end B. Repr stems (no. pla n = 508 χ^2 0.096 5.735 3.299 4.376 0.144 0.112 14.19	dophyte (+ roductive ant ⁻¹) P 0.7562 0.0166 0.0693 0.1121 0.9307 0.7375 0.0002	(), Oxytropis : C. Flowers (no. stem ⁻¹) n = 4,069 χ^2 20.481 16,065.344 0.768 2.131 6.154 509.074 8,961.146	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.3808 0.3445 0.0461 <0.0001 <0.0001	rations, and D. Seed (no. ster n = 4,142 χ^2 1.51 0.01 1.797 1.317 1.834 0.202 27.253	d their intera pods n ⁻¹) 2 P 0.2191 0.922 0.1801 0.5176 0.3996 0.6527 < 0.0001	action on gr E. Mature seeds (no. pod ⁻ n = 517 χ^2 610.303 243.687 0.067 47.807 2.484	<pre>owth and fe 1) P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.7957 <0.0001 0.2889</pre>	cundity vari F. Seed viability (%) n = 501 χ^2 127.357 3,674.8 6.221 114.053 0.025	P <0.0001 0.0126 <0.0001 0.9875	G. Mea seed m (mg se n = 508 F 1.82 0.007 0.006 2.225 0.488	n hass ed ⁻¹) 3 df2 170.8 193.2 107.2 145.8 121.1	P 0.1791 0.9334 0.9406 0.1117 0.6152	H. Germinati after 10 d (%) n = 503 χ^2 380.736 14,481.004 0.68 244.519 0.803	P <0.0001 0.4095 <0.0001 0.6693
Term Age Year of data Endophyte Generation Endophyte by generation Year of data by endophyte Age by year of data Age by	df 1 1 2 2 1 1 2	A. P _{net} (μmol C n = 457 F 4.612 2.456 0.074 2.431 1.285	/A estima :0 ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻ df2 142.3 164 117 139.7 121.5	⁻¹) P 0.0334 0.119 0.7867 0.0916 0.2803	fect of end B. Repr stems (no. pla n = 508 χ^2 0.096 5.735 3.299 4.376 0.144 0.112 14.19 4.465	dophyte (+ roductive ant ⁻¹) P 0.7562 0.0693 0.1121 0.9307 0.7375 0.0002 0.1073	(), Oxytropis : C. Flowers (no. stem ⁻¹) n = 4,069 χ^2 20.481 16,065.344 0.768 2.131 6.154 509.074 8,961.146 1,763	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.3808 0.3445 0.0461 <0.0001 <0.0001 0.4142	rations, and D. Seed (no. stern n = 4,142 χ^2 1.51 0.01 1.797 1.317 1.834 0.202 27.253 3.753	d their intera pods n ⁻¹) 2 P 0.2191 0.922 0.1801 0.5176 0.3996 0.6527 < 0.0001 0.1531	action on gr E. Mature seeds (no. pod ⁻ n = 517 χ^2 610.303 243.687 0.067 47.807 2.484	owth and fe 1) P <0.0001	cundity vari F. Seed viability (%) n = 501 χ^2 127.357 3,674.8 6.221 114.053 0.025	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.0126 <0.0001 0.9875	G. Mea seed m (mg se n = 508 F 1.82 0.007 0.006 2.225 0.488	n aass ed ⁻¹) 3 df2 170.8 193.2 107.2 145.8 121.1	P 0.1791 0.9334 0.9406 0.1117 0.6152	H. Germinati after 10 d (%) $n = 503 \chi^2$ 380.736 14,481.004 0.68 244.519 0.803	P <0.0001 0.4095 <0.0001 0.6693
Term Age Year of data Endophyte Generation Endophyte by generation Year of data by endophyte Age by year of data Age by generation	df 1 1 2 2 1 1 2	A. P _{net} (μmol C n = 457 F 4.612 2.456 0.074 2.431 1.285	/A estima :0 ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻² df2 142.3 164 117 139.7 121.5	-1) P 0.0334 0.119 0.7867 0.0916 0.2803	fect of end B. Repr stems (no. pla n = 508 χ^2 0.096 5.735 3.299 4.376 0.144 0.112 14.19 4.465	dophyte (+ roductive ant ⁻¹) P 0.7562 0.0166 0.0693 0.1121 0.9307 0.7375 0.0002 0.1073	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{C. Flowers} \\ \textbf{(no. stem^{-1})} \\ n = 4,069 \\ \chi^2 \\ \textbf{20.481} \\ \textbf{16,065.344} \\ 0.768 \\ 2.131 \\ \textbf{6.154} \\ \textbf{509.074} \\ \textbf{8,961.146} \\ 1.763 \end{array}$	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.3808 0.3445 0.0461 <0.0001 <0.0001 0.4142	rations, and D. Seed (no. stern n = 4,142 χ^2 1.51 0.01 1.797 1.317 1.834 0.202 27.253 3.753	d their intera pods n ⁻¹) 2 P 0.2191 0.922 0.1801 0.5176 0.3996 0.6527 < 0.0001 0.1531	action on gr E. Mature seeds (no. pod ⁻ n = 517 χ^2 610.303 243.687 0.067 47.807 2.484	owth and fe 1) P <0.0001	cundity vari F. Seed viability (%) n = 501 χ^2 127.357 3,674.8 6.221 114.053 0.025	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.0126 <0.0001 0.9875	G. Mea seed m (mg se n = 508 F 1.82 0.007 0.006 2.225 0.488	n ed ⁻¹) 3 df2 170.8 193.2 107.2 145.8 121.1	P 0.1791 0.9334 0.9406 0.1117 0.6152	H. Germinati after 10 d (%) n = 503 χ^2 380.736 14,481.004 0.68 244.519 0.803	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.4095 <0.0001 0.6693
Term Age Year of data Endophyte Generation Endophyte by generation Year of data by endophyte Age by year of data Age by generation Age by	df 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 1 2	A. P _{net} (μmol C n = 457 F 4.612 2.456 0.074 2.431 1.285	/A estima :0 ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻² df2 164 117 139.7 121.5	-1) P 0.0334 0.119 0.7867 0.0916 0.2803	fect of end B. Repr stems (no. pla n = 508 χ^2 0.096 5.735 3.299 4.376 0.144 0.112 14.19 4.465 0.555	dophyte (+ roductive ant ⁻¹) P 0.7562 0.0166 0.0693 0.1121 0.9307 0.7375 0.0002 0.1073 0.4562	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{C. Flowers} \\ \textbf{(no. stem^{-1})} \\ n = 4,069 \\ \chi^2 \\ \textbf{20.481} \\ \textbf{16,065.344} \\ 0.768 \\ 2.131 \\ \textbf{6.154} \\ \textbf{509.074} \\ \textbf{8,961.146} \\ 1.763 \\ 0.009 \end{array}$	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.3808 0.3445 0.0461 <0.0001 <0.0001 0.4142 0.9251	rations, and D. Seed (no. stern n = 4,142 χ^2 1.51 0.01 1.797 1.317 1.834 0.202 27.253 3.753 0.005	d their intera pods n ⁻¹) 2 P 0.2191 0.922 0.1801 0.5176 0.3996 0.6527 < 0.0001 0.1531 0.9464	action on gr E. Mature seeds (no. pod ⁻ n = 517 χ^2 610.303 243.687 0.067 47.807 2.484	owth and fe P <0.0001	cundity vari F. Seed viability (%) n = 501 χ^2 127.357 3,674.8 6.221 114.053 0.025	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.0126 <0.0001 0.9875	G. Mea seed m (mg se n = 508 F 1.82 0.007 0.006 2.225 0.488	n aass ed ⁻¹) 3 df2 170.8 193.2 107.2 145.8 121.1	P 0.1791 0.9334 0.9406 0.1117 0.6152	H. Germinati after 10 d (%) n = 503 χ^2 380.736 14,481.004 0.68 244.519 0.803	P <0.0001 <0.0001 0.4095 <0.0001 0.6693

^aThese variables were measured for plants grown as pairs with or without the endophyte near Bozeman, MT, USA. Models A and G: P-values and F-statistics were obtained by running a linear mixed model; interactions tested included endophyte by generation. The numerator degrees of freedom are in the df column and the denominator degrees of freedom are in the df2 columns. Models B-F and H: P-values and χ^2 values were obtained by running a generalized linear mixed model with a Poisson response distribution and log link for count responses and a grouped binomial response distribution with a logit link for proportion out of total (%) responses; interactions tested included endophyte by generation, year of data collection by endophyte, age by year of data collection, age by generation, and age by endophyte. The dataset includes 127 E± pairs of Oxytropis sericea plants grown in a common garden from 2011 to 2020 near Bozeman, MT, USA.

		A. Roots		B. Root d	iameter		C. Repro stems	ductive	D. Log ste	em mass		E. Log cr	own mass		F. Crown	diameter	
Term	ť	(no. plant $n = 74$	r ⁻¹)	(mm) n = 74 F	df5	۵	(no. plan n = 74 v^2	t ⁻¹) P	$(\log_e(g))$ n = 74 F	ff ff	٩	$(\log_e(g))$ n = 74 F	Ę	٩	(mm) n = 74 F	f	٩
	5	Y	-		710	_	Y			710	-	-	717	_	-	710	-
Endophyte	1	0.303	0.582	3.44	50.2	0.0695	0.036	0.8499	4.418	50.2	0.0406	4.2	50.2	0.0457	1.089	47.5	0.3019
Generation	7	3.628	0.163	0.798	55.8	0.4555	2.102	0.3497	2.557	55.8	0.0866	4.196	55.8	0.0201	2.284	57.5	0.111
Endophyte by generation	2	0.48	0.787	0.011	56.9	0.9891	3.488	0.1748	0.329	56.9	0.7212	0.028	56.9	0.9725	1.332	55.4	0.2723
These variables were measured for generation. The numerator degrees c Jistribution and log link for count r 3old values indicate p-value < 0.05.	plants g of freedor ssponses	rown as pairs n are in the df ; interactions	with or with column and tested inclu	nout the endc the denomin; ded endophy	ophyte near ator degrees te by gener	Bozeman, M s of freedom a ation. The da	T, USA. Modé re in the df2 c taset includ€	els B and D–F: columns. Mode es 127 E± pairs	: P-values and els A and C: P-1 s of <i>Oxytropis</i>	d <i>F</i> -values w values and <u>x</u> <i>sericea</i> plaı	/ere obtained / ² values were nts grown in a	by running a l obtained by ru common gar	inear mixed nning a gene den from 20	l model; intera eralized linear 011 to 2020 ne	actions tested mixed model ear Bozeman,	l included ei with a Poiss MT, USA.	ndophyte by on response

Table 4. Two-way interaction ANOVA estimating the effect of endophyte (+/-), Oxytropis sericea generations, and their interaction on final crown and root size count variables^a

activities and Bernardan	1	2		11010	200	100000	000-00	0.110		2000		040.0	2.00	04-000	100		
variables were measured for	plants gr	own as pair:	s with or wit	hout the endo	phyte near	Bozeman, MT	F, USA. Models	B and D–F: I	-values and	F-values we	re obtained by	running a lii	iear mixed i	model; intera	ctions tested	included en	dophyte
ation. The numerator degrees of	f freedom	n are in the d	lf column and	I the denomina	ator degrees	of freedom ar	re in the df2 co	lumns. Model	s A and C: P-v	alues and χ^2	values were obt	ained by rur	ning a genei	ralized linear I	nixed model v	vith a Poisso	on respo
ution and log link for count re	sponses;	: interactions	s tested inclu	uded endophyr	te by genera	ation. The da	taset includes	127 E± pairs	of Oxytropis	sericea plant	ts grown in a co	mmon gard	en from 20.	11 to 2020 ne	ar Bozeman,	VT, USA.	
alues indicate p-value ≤ 0.05.																	

aliasing of generation with the other variables. The same random effects structure for blocks and pairs in blocks was used for all models.

Results and Discussion

Astragalus mollissimus var. mollissimus and Astragalus mollissimus var. thompsoniae

Survival

Regardless of endophyte status, the majority of Astragalus plants grew as annuals in our common garden ca. 1,500 km north of their native range. Less than 3% of 194 A. mollissimus var. mollissimus transplants (6 = 5 E-, 1 E+) and <1% of 148 A. mollissimus var. thompsoniae transplants (1 E+) survived two winters in Montana; therefore, the Astragalus analysis focused on 1-yr-old plants regardless of response variable. Winter survival was not affected by endophyte for either variety but was greater for A. mollissimus var. mollissimus than A. mollissimus var. thompsoniae, with 44% of A. mollissimus var. mollissimus and 17% of A. mollissimus var. thompsoniae plants surviving at least one winter ($\chi^2 = 2.838$, df = 1, P = 0.092; Figure 1A and 1C; Table 1A; Supplementary Table S2A; Supplementary Figure S1A).

Physiology

We found no variety by endophyte interactions for photosynthesis (*F*(1, 71.8) = 1.634, P = 0.205; Figure 2A; Table 2A; Supplementary Figure S4A), stomatal conductance (*F*(1, 69.8) = 1.161, P = 0.285; Supplementary Figures S2 and S3; Supplementary Table S3A), or transpiration (F(1, 76.5) = 2.626, P = 0.109; Supplementary Figures S2 and S3; Supplementary Table S3B).

Reproductive Traits

Reproductive traits of A. mollissimus varieties were unaffected by endophyte. For example, there was no variety by endophyte interaction detected for reproductive stems per plant ($\chi^2 = 0.1$, df = 1, P = 0.7539; Figure 2B; Table 2B) or main effect for endophyte ($\chi^2 = 0.181$, df = 1, P = 0.670; Supplementary Table S4B). Similarly, no variety by endophyte interactions were detected for fecundity response variables, although A. mollissimus var. mollissimus produced more flowers, seed pods, and mature seeds than A. mollissimus var. thompsoniae (Figure 2C-E; Supplementary Tables S2C-E and S4C-E), and its seeds were on average more viable (68%) compared with A. mollissimus var. thompsoniae seeds (41%) (Figure 2F; Table 2F; Supplementary Table S4F). Mean seed mass did not differ by endophyte or A. mollissimus variety (Figure 2G; Table 2G; Supplementary Table S4G). For germination, A. mollissimus var. thompsoniae did not produce enough seeds to test; however, ca. 60% of A. mollissimus var. mollissimus seeds germinated within 10 d regardless of endophyte ($\chi^2 = 0.029$, df = 1, P = 0.865; Figure 2H; Table 2H). Similarly, there was no effect of endophyte on the rate of germination (data not shown).

Oxytropis sericea

Survival

Oxytropis sericea plants grew as perennials in our southwest Montana common garden, reflecting the more extensive natural range of this species; one individual (E-) survived nine winters (data not shown). Endophyte status had no effect on survival. A total of 55 (E+ and E- plants combined) out of 100 Gen 0 transplants survived two winters, and 39% of all Gen 0 plants



Figure 1. Box plots and Kaplan-Meier curves of overwinter mortality of *Astragalus mollissimus* var. *mollissimus*, *Astragalus mollissimus* var. *thompsoniae*, and *Oxytropis sericea* generation 0, 1, and 2 plants in response to the locoweed endophyte *Alternaria* sect. *Undifilum* (E–, dark blue; E+, light blue) when grown as pairs in a common garden from 2011 to 2020 near Bozeman, MT, USA. The dataset includes 33 pairs of *A. mollissimus* var. *mollissimus*, 13 pairs of *A. mollissimus* var. *thompsoniae*, and 127 pairs of *Oxytropis sericea* plants. The plots are (A) average overwinter mortality (%) by endophyte status and *Astragalus mollissimus* variety; (B) average overwinter mortality (%) by endophyte status and *Oxytropis sericea* generation; (C) Kaplan-Meier curve for overwinter mortality (%) by age of plant, endophyte status, and *Astragalus mollissimus* var. *mollissimus* var. *mollissimus* var. *thompsoniae*.

survived three winters. Again, regardless of endophyte, 89% and 60% of the 100 Gen 1 transplants survived two and three winters, respectively, and 36% and 30% of the 100 Gen 2 transplants survived two and three winters, respectively. For those that survived more than one winter, there was no generation by endophyte interaction for winter mortality by year of collection ($\chi^2 = 0.874$, df = 1, P = 0.646; Figure 1B and 1D; Table 1B; Supplementary Table S2B; Supplementary Figure S1B). The model estimated similar survival regardless of endophyte for each generation, with estimated proportion surviving 3 yr for Gen 0, 1, and 2 being on average 89% versus 88%, 93% versus 69%, and 3% versus 2%, respectively, for E+ versus E-.

Physiology

Overall, there were no generation by endophyte interactions for photosynthesis (F(2, 121.5) = 1.285, P = 0.280; Figure 3A; Table 3A), stomatal conductance (Supplementary Figures S5A and S6A; Supplementary Table S6A), or transpiration (Supplementary Figures S5B and S6B; Supplementary Table S6B). The physiological parameters of Gen 1 and 2 offspring were similar to those of the Gen 0 parent generation, regardless of endophyte when accounting for the year of data collection and the age of the plant (Supplementary Figures S5–S7; Supplementary Tables S5–S7). Also, gas exchange rates in *O. sericea* were similar relative to year sampled (Figure 3; Table 3; Supplementary Table S7) and age of plant (Supplementary Figures S5–S9).

Reproductive Traits

Few reproductive trait responses in O. sericea plants were influenced by endophytic effects. The maximum number of reproductive stems per plant was highly variable, and while the generation by endophyte interaction was not significant $(\chi^2 = 0.144, df = 2, P = 0.931;$ Figure 3B; Table 3B), the main effect for endophyte ($\chi^2 = 3.327$, df = 2, P = 0.068; Supplementary Table S7) suggests Gen 0 E+ plants compared with Gen 0 E- plants produced more reproductive stems in certain years (Figure 3B; Table 3B) and ages (Supplementary Figure S7D; Table 3B; Supplementary Table S7B) as reflected in interactions, possibly due to the maternal environment or ages of Gen 0 plants. Additionally, there was a generation by endophyte interaction for flowers per stem ($\chi^2 = 6.154$, df = 2, P = 0.046; Table 3C; Figure 3C); however, this interaction does not appear biologically relevant, as subsequent seed pods per stem had no evidence of a generation by endophyte interaction ($\chi^2 = 1.834$, df = 2, P = 0.400; Table 3D; Figure 3D).

Generation by endophyte interactions in *O. sericea* were not different for fecundity traits (Table 3): seed pods (Figure 3D), mature seeds per pod (Figure 3E), seed viability (Figure 3F), seed mass (Figure 3G), percentage of total seeds germinated after 10 d (Figure 3H), or rate of germination (data not shown). Seed viability had a significant main effect for endophyte ($\chi^2 = 6.213$, df = 1, P = 0.013; Supplementary Table S7F), although the mean seed viability values from the data are 76% and 75% for E+ and E-; we believe that a difference was detected because the model is able to



Figure 2. Box plots of physiology, growth, and fecundity of *Astragalus mollissimus* var. *mollissimus* and *Astragalus mollissimus* var. *thompsoniae* plants in response to the locoweed endophyte *Alternaria* sect. *Undifilum* (E–, dark blue; E+, light blue) when grown as pairs in a common garden from 2011 to 2020 near Bozeman, MT, USA. The dataset includes 33 pairs of *A. mollissimus* var. *mollissimus* and 13 pairs of *A. mollissimus* var. *thompsoniae*. Variables collected are (A) P_{net} . (B) reproductive stems per plant, (C) flowers per stem, (D) seed pods per stem, (E) mature seeds per pod, (F) percent seed viability, (G) mean seed mass, and (H) percent germination after 10 d (note that germination was not tested for *A. mollissimus* var. *thompsoniae*). Box edges represent the 0.25 and 0.75 quartiles; solid line represents the median value; whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum value or 1.5x the interquartile range; outliers are not identified. Points are the original data values with horizontal noise added to aid in visibility. A.m.m., *A. mollissimus* var. *mollissimus* var.

identify small differences after accounting for the random effects structure and the other fixed effects in the model, including a significant difference among the three generations ($\chi^2 = 113.02$, df = 2, P < 0.0001; Supplementary Table S7F).

Final Biomass

At final harvest of surviving plants in 2020, there were no endophyte by generation interactions (Table 4), nor were there any endophyte or generationmain effects for final root count or diameter or stem count per plant (Figure 4A–C; Table 4A–C; Supplementary Table S8A–C; Supplementary Figure S10A-C). Plants with endophyte had slightly larger stem mass (*F*(1, 51.9) = 4.477, P = 0.039; Supplementary Table S8D; Supplementary Figure S10D; Figure 4D) and slightly greater crown mass (*F*(1, 51.9) = 4.297, P = 0.043; Supplementary Table

S8E; Figure 4E) than E– stems and crowns. There was also a generation main effect for log crown mass (F(2, 57) = 4.32, P = 0.018; Supplementary Table S8E; Supplementary Figure S10E; Figure 4E), holding all other variables at their marginal means, with larger crowns in Gen 0 because they were older at the time of harvest in 2020. This result also suggests the maternal effects in Gen 0 were no longer detectable in Gen 1 and 2.

We hypothesized that under field conditions, the *Alternaria* endophyte would confer a growth and fecundity advantage for *Astragalus* and *Oxytropis* host plants compared with those with no endophyte. However, our results show very little evidence that the locoweed–endophyte symbiosis enhances functional traits that might increase fitness in the host plants. Endophyte presence did not alter survival, photosynthetic physiology, or fecundity in any of the locoweed taxa that we investigated, even when exposed to



Figure 3. Box plots of physiology, growth, and fecundity of *Oxytropis sericea* generation 0, 1, and 2 plants in response to the locoweed endophyte *Alternaria* sect. *Undifilum* (E–, dark blue; E+, light blue) when grown as pairs in a common garden from 2011 to 2020 (year of data collection) near Bozeman, MT, USA. The dataset includes 127 pairs of plants. Variables collected are (A) *P*_{net}. (B) reproductive stems per plant, (C) flowers per stem, (D) seed pods per stem, (E) mature seeds per pod, (F) percent seed viability, (G) mean seed mass, and (H) percent germination after 10 d. Box edges represent the 0.25 and 0.75 quartiles; solid line represents the median value; whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum value or 1.5× the interquartile range; outliers are not identified. Points are the original data values with horizontal noise added to aid in visibility.

variable weather conditions when established and throughout their lifetime (Supplementary Table S1). We did detect some enhancement of crown and reproductive stem tissue in *Oxytropis* plants containing the endophyte, and the final biomass measurements for *Oxytropis* plants that had survived multiple years indicated that reproductive stem and log crown mass were larger in E+ plants in each generation (Figure 4; Table 4). Following overwintering, most of the regrowth of locoweed plants in spring occurs from the crown via basal buds using remobilized carbohydrates and nitrogen from the crown (Wyka and Galen 2000). This capacity for regrowth also contributes to *Oxytropis* surviving stressful environments, including drought (Ralphs et al. 2002; Vallotton et al. 2012). Photosynthate reserves stored as greater crown and stem mass in E+ plants could improve *Oxytropis* overwintering and survival in successfully perennating older plants in some environments,

although *Oxytropis* winter survival in our Montana common garden was not affected by endophyte status.

With short growing seasons at higher latitudes, reproductive success of locoweeds depends on rapid flowering and seed set (Ida et al. 2012; Soltani et al. 2021). Ida et al. (2012) found that even under defoliation or shading, *Oxytropis* could maintain production of reproductive tissue and seeds due to its ability to mobilize carbohydrates from storage tissue. Although our study found no differences between E+ and E- plants in overall measures of fecundity, the increase in crown mass and reproductive stems we observed in E+ plants suggests that investigation of endophytic effects on photosynthate distribution or pollination ecology could be worthwhile. Another possibility is the potential for the endophyte to trigger transmissible epigenetic effects in an E+ host plant that persist through subsequent E- generations after the



Figure 4. Box plots of final crown and root size parameters in 2020 of *Oxytropis sericea* generation 0, 1, and 2 plants in response to the locoweed endophyte *Alternaria* sect. *Undifilum* (E–, dark blue; E+, light blue) when grown as pairs in a common garden from 2011 to 2020 near Bozeman, MT, USA. The dataset includes 127 pairs of plants. Variables collected are (A) root number per plant, (B) root diameter, (C) reproductive stems per plant, (D) log (base e) stem mass, (E) log (base e) crown mass, and (F) crown diameter. Box edges represent the 0.25 and 0.75 quartiles; solid line represents the median value; whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum value or 1.5× the interquartile range; outliers are not identified. Points are the original data values with horizontal noise added to aid in visibility. One outlier was removed from D, as noted under the plot.

endophyte is removed (Asgari 2014; Yin et al. 2019); however, we found no endophyte phenotype when comparing three generations of E+ and E- plants. Additional research might usefully compare field-collected E- plants with those newly generated by artificial removal of the endophyte (Ueno et al. 2020, 2021).

It has been suggested that the locoweed-endophyte symbiosis coevolved to help the host respond to stress through the dual role of lysine as a stress modulator in plants and as a precursor to the swainsonine biosynthesis pathway (Guan et al. 2021). However, we found no swainsonine growth or fecundity trade-off for the taxa in this study, similar to results reported by Vallotton et al. (2012). As already noted, we included A. mollissimus var. thompsoniae in our study because the Alternaria endophyte in this locoweed variety does not produce detectable swainsonine, allowing us to separate effects on the host of endophyte presence from those of swainsonine production. However, although being far north of its native range reduced A. mollissimus var. thompsoniae fecundity and survival, this reduction was equally true for both E+ and E-A. mollissimus var. thompsoniae, and we detected no differences in growth parameters associated with presence or absence of the nonswainsonine producing endophyte. Taken together, these results do not suggest any cost or benefit of endophyte presence or swainsonine production to the host plant. We did not measure the

success of the *Alternaria* endophyte as quantitative mycelial production, but we confirmed it was vertically transmitted by multiple E+ plants through three generations in *O. sericea*. This consistent presence of the endophyte is further evidence of its neutral effects on the host.

As noted in the "Introduction," studies on the Poaceae have led to a general assumption of endophyte-host mutualism when an endophyte produces a toxin. If a similar trade-off occurs in Astragalus and Oxytropis species, the nitrogen cost of synthesizing swainsonine could be offset by swainsonine's benefit to the plant as an anti-herbivory tactic. However, despite swainsonine's severe effects on vertebrate herbivores, it does not appear to have a general defensive role. For example, swainsonine content does not protect A. mollissimus varieties from herbivory by the specialist weevil Cleonidis spp. (Thompson et al. 1995). Livestock generally show no preference for plants that contain or do not contain swainsonine (Pfister et al. 2020). Locoweeds green up early in the spring before much other forage is available, and persistent mixtures of E+ and E- plants within populations reduce the likelihood that grazing animals could learn to avoid these plants. Field studies have shown that livestock can habituate to eating these plants and may even train other animals to acquire a preference for them (Molyneux and Ralphs 1992; Pfister et al. 2003; Ralphs et al. 1990).

Although our study was conducted across multiple years, it was limited to a single location. It is possible the endophyte provides other benefits to the host plant in different environments. However, it is unlikely that endophyte presence with or without swainsonine production will aid Astragalus in any migration north of its native range, consistent with the lack of such migration to date (Soltani et al. 2021) and the annual nature of the Astragalus plants in our Montana common garden. The general lack of locoweed response to the endophyte in our study challenges the view that an endophyte increases the adaptative capacity of its host to improve migration success: our results indicate there is no selective advantage associated with endophyte symbiosis when these locoweeds adapt to new environments. We also note that any fitness advantage should increase the frequency in field populations of plants containing the endophyte, but this does not appear to be the case: plants lacking the endophyte can comprise up to 10% to 60% of individuals within Oxytropis populations (Cook et al. 2012).

Our long-term field study demonstrates the importance of investigating multiple fitness traits at the individual level when assessing the importance of endophytes to host plants. Based on these results, we conclude that the locoweed–endophyte complex is best defined as a "no-effects" commensalism, with growth and reproductive capacity of the three host taxa investigated being unaffected by the presence or absence of *Alternaria*. Given the resulting lack of selection, it is likely that mixtures of E+ and E- plants will persist in *Astragalus* and *Oxytropis* populations, and swainsonine poisoning will continue to threaten grazing livestock that cannot distinguish between plants with and without the toxin.

Supplementary material. To view supplementary material for this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1017/wsc.2025.10026

Data availability statement. Data necessary to reproduce the analyses are available in the Dryad repository: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.ghx3ffbzf.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Stephen Lee and Dale Gardner at the USDA-ARS Poisonous Plant Lab, Logan, UT, for swainsonine analyses; R. K. D. Peterson at Montana State University for Licor 6400 training; Rosie Wallander for technical assistance; and the following undergraduate students for their assistance in data collection: Talinna Appling, Frida Isaksen-Swensen, Raeleigh Price, Frank T. Dougher, Stacey Robbins, and Kaylee Schmidt.

Funding statement. This research was supported by the NIFA/USDA Hatch/ Multi-state project W-1193/2193/3193 "Locoweed and Its Fungal Endophyte: Impact, Ecology and Management" under 1009744, the Montana Agricultural Experiment Station, and the MSU Vice President for Research and Economic Development.

Competing interests. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Achata Böttger J, Creamer R, Gardner D (2012) Seasonal changes in *Undifilum* colonization and swainsonine content of locoweeds. J Chem Ecol 38:486–495
- Asgari S (2014) Epigenetic modifications underlying symbiont-host interactions. Adv Genet 86:253–276
- Bates D, Machler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. J Stat Softw 67:1–48
- Carroll G (1988) Fungal endophytes in stems and leaves: from latent pathogen to mutualistic symbiont. Ecology 69:2–9
- Clay K, Schardl C (2002) Evolutionary origins and ecological consequences of endophyte symbiosis with grasses. Am Nat 160:S99–S127
- Cook D, Gardner DR, Pfister JA (2014) Swainsonine-containing plants and their relationship to endophytic fungi. J Agric Food Chem 62:7326–7334

- Cook D, Gardner DR, Pfister JA, Lee ST, Welch KD, Welsh SL (2017) Effects of elevated CO₂ on the swainsonine chemotypes of *Astragalus lentiginosus* and *Astragalus mollissimus*. J Chem Ecol 43:307–316
- Cook D, Gardner DR, Ralphs MH, Pfister JA, Welch KD, Green BT (2009a) Swainsonine concentrations and endophyte amounts of *Undifilum oxytropis* in different plant parts of *Oxytropis sericea*. J Chem Ecol 35:1272–1278
- Cook D, Gardner DR, Roper JM, Ransom CV, Pfister JA, Panter KE (2016) Fungicide treatment and clipping of *Oxytropis sericea* does not disrupt swainsonine concentrations. Toxicon 122:26–30
- Cook D, Grum DS, Gardner DR, Welch KD, Pfister JA (2013) Influence of endophyte genotype on swainsonine concentrations in *Oxytropis sericea*. Toxicon 61:5–11
- Cook D, Ralphs MH, Welch KD, Stegelmeier B (2009b) Locoweed poisoning in livestock. Rangelands 31:16–21
- Cook D, Shi L, Gardner DR, Pfister JA, Grum D, Welch KD, Ralphs MH (2012) Influence of phenological stage on swainsonine and endophyte concentrations in *Oxytropis sericea*. J Chem Ecol 38:95–203
- R Core Team. 2024. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.
- Dastogeer KMG, Chakraborty A, Sarker MSA, Akter MA (2020) Roles of fungal endophytes and viruses in mediating drought stress tolerance in plants. Int J Agric Biol 24:1497–1512
- Delaney KJ, Klypina N, Maruthavanan J, Lange C, Sterling TM (2011) Locoweed dose responses to nitrogen: positive for biomass and primary physiology, but inconsistent for an alkaloid. Am J Bot 98:1956–1965
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1987) A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. Phytochem Bull 19:11–15
- Faeth SH, Fagan WF (2002) Fungal endophytes: common host plant symbionts but uncommon mutualists. Integr Comp Biol 42:360–368
- Fox WE, Allred KW, Roalson EH (1998) A Guide to the Common Locoweeds and Milkvetches of New Mexico. Las Cruces: New Mexico State University Agricultural Experiment Station Cooperative Extension Service Circular 557. 80 p
- Fu K, Schardl CL, Cook D, Cao X, Ling N, He C, Wu D, Xue, L, Li Y, Shi A (2024) Multiomics reveals mechanisms of *Alternaria oxytropis* inhibiting pathogenic fungi in *Oxytropis ochrocephala*. J Agric Food Chem 72:2397– 2409
- Gardner DR, Molyneux RJ, Ralphs MH (2001) Analysis of swainsonine: extraction methods, detection, and measurement in populations of locoweeds (*Oxytropis* spp). J Agric Food Chem 49:4573–4580
- Grum DS, Cook D, Gardner DR, Roper JM, Pfister JA, Ralphs MH (2012) Influence of seed endophyte amounts on swainsonine concentrations in *Astragalus* and *Oxytropis* locoweeds. J Agric Food Chem 60:8083–8089
- Guan H, Liu X, Mur LAJ, Fu Y, Wei Y, Wang J, He W (2021) Rethinking of the roles of endophyte symbiosis and mycotoxin in *Oxytropis* plants. J Fungi 7:400
- Guo C, Zhang L, Zhao Q, Beckmann M, Phillip H, Meng H, Mo C, Mur LAJ, He W (2022) Host-species variation and environment influence endophyte symbiosis and mycotoxin levels in Chinese Oxytropis species. Toxins 14:181
- Harrison JG, Beltran LP, Buerkle CA, Cook D, Gardner DR, Parchman TL, Poulson SR, Forister ML (2021) A suite of rare microbes interacts with a dominant, heritable, fungal endophyte to influence plant trait expression. Int Soc Micro Ecol J 15:2763–2778
- He W, Guo L, Wang L, Zhao Q, Guo L, Cao W, Mur LAJ, Wei Y (2019) Host genotype and precipitation influence of fungal endophyte symbiosis and mycotoxin abundance in a locoweed. Int J Mol Sci 20:5285
- Ida TY, Harder LD, Kudo G (2012) Effects of defoliation and shading on the physiological cost of reproduction in silky locoweed *Oxytropis sericea*. Ann Bot 109:237–246
- Klypina N, Pinch M, Schutte BJ, Maruthavanan J, Sterling TM (2017) Waterdeficit stress tolerance differs between two locoweed genera (*Astragalus* and *Oxytropis*) with fungal endophytes. Weed Sci 65:626–638
- Kulshreshtha S, Creamer R, Sterling TM (2004) Phylogenetic relationships among New Mexico *Astragalus mollissimus* varieties and *Oxytropis* species by restriction fragment analysis. Weed Sci 52:984–988
- Liu-Xu L, Vicedo B, García-Agustín P, Llorens E (2022) Advances in endophytic fungi research: a data analysis of 25 years of achievements and challenges. J Plant Interact 17:244–266

- Loganathan M, Jayas DS, Fields PG, White NDG (2011) Low and high temperatures for the control of cowpea beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) in chickpeas. J Stored Prod Res 47:244–248
- Lüdecke D (2018) ggeffects: tidy data frames of marginal effects from regression models. J Open Source Softw 3:772
- Martinez A, Lauroua C, Borrelli LB, Gardner DR, Robles CA (2019) Spontaneous outbreak of *Astragalus pehuenches* (Fabaceae) poisoning in cattle in Argentina. Toxicon 157:84–86
- Mathis KA, Bronstein JL (2020) Our current understanding of commensalism. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 51:167–189
- May G (2016) Here come the commensals. Am J Botany 103:1709-1711
- Miller PR, Jones CA, Zabinski CA, Tallman SM, Housman ML, D'Agati KM, Holmes JA (2023) Long-term cover crop effects on biomass, soil nitrate, soil water, and wheat. Agron J 115:1705–1722
- Molyneux RJ, Ralphs MH (1992) Plant toxins and palatability to herbivores. J Range Manag 45:13-18
- Noor AI, Nava A, Cooke P, Cook D, Creamer R (2018) Evidence for nonpathogenic relationships of *Alternaria* section *Undifilum* endophytes within three host locoweed plant species. Botany 96:187–200
- Oldrup E, McLain-Romero J, Padilla A, Moya A, Gardner D, Creamer R (2010) Localization of endophytic *Undifilum* fungi in locoweed seed and influence of environmental parameters on a locoweed *in vitro* culture system. Botany 88:512–521
- Peters J, Lanham B (2005) Tetrazolium Testing Handbook: Contribution No. 29 to the Handbook on Seed Testing. Wichita, KS: Association of Official Seed Analysts. 13 p
- Pfister JA, Cook D, Lee ST, Gardner DR, Riet-Correa F (2020) Livestock preference for endophyte-infected or endophyte-free Oxytropis sericea, Ipomoea carnea, and Ipomoea asarifolia. Poison Plant Res 3:58–73
- Pfister JA, Stegelmeier BL, Gardner DR, James LF (2003) Grazing of spotted locoweed (*Astragalus lentiginosus*) by cattle and horses in Arizona. J Anim Sci 81:2285–2293
- Ralphs MH, Cook D, Gardner DR, Grum DS (2011) Transmission of the locoweed endophyte to the next generation of plants. Fungal Ecol 4: 251–255
- Ralphs MH, Creamer R, Baucom D, Gardner DR, Welsh SL, Graham JD, Hart C, Cook D, Stegelmeier BL (2008) Relationship between the endophyte *Embellisia* spp. and the toxic alkaloid swainsonine in major locoweed species (*Astragalus* and *Oxytropis*). J Chem Ecol 34:32–38
- Ralphs MH, Gardner DR, Graham JD, Greathouse G, Knight AP (2002) Clipping and precipitation influences on locoweed vigor, mortality, and toxicity. J Range Manag 55:394–399
- Ralphs MH, Panter KE, James LF (1990) Feed preferences and habituation of sheep poisoned by locoweed. J Animal Sci 68:1354–1362
- Rodriguez RJ, White F, Arnold AE, Redman RS (2009) Fungal endophytes: diversity and functional roles. New Phytol 82:314–330

- Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat Methods 9:671–675
- Shankar Naik B (2019) Functional roles of fungal endophytes in host fitness during stress conditions. Symbiosis 79:99–115
- Singh LP, Gill SS, Narendra T (2011) Unraveling the role of fungal symbionts in plant abiotic stress tolerance. Plant Signaling Behav 6:175–191
- Soltani E, Benakashani F, Baskin JM, Baskin CC (2021) Reproductive biology, ecological life history/demography and genetic diversity of the megagenus Astragalus (Fabaceae, Papilionoideae). Bot Rev 87:5–106
- Stegelmeier BL, James LF, Panter KE, Gardner DR, Ralphs MH, Molyneux RJ (1999) Dose response of sheep poisoned with locoweed (*Oxytropis sericea*). J Vet Diagn Invest 11:448–454
- Striker GG, Manzur ME, Grimoldi AA (2011) Increasing defoliation frequency constrains regrowth of the forage legume *Lotus tenuis* under flooding. The role of crown reserves. Plant Soil 343:261–272
- Thompson DC, Knight JL, Sterling TM, Murray LW (1995) Preference for specific varieties of woolly locoweed by a specialist weevil, *Cleonidius trivittatus* (Say). Southwest Entomol 20:325–333
- Turner JL, Allison C (2023) Locoweed Poisoning of Horses. Las Cruces: New Mexico State University Cooperative Extension Service Guide B-713. 4 pp
- U.S. National Centers for Environmental Information (2023) U.S. Climate Normals Quick Access. https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/us-climate-normals/#da taset=normals-monthly&timeframe=15&docation=MT&station=USC00241047. Accessed: October 12, 2023
- Ueno AC, Gundel PE, Molina-Montenegro MA, Ramos P, Ghersa CM, Martínez-Ghersa MA (2021) Getting ready for the ozone battle: vertically transmitted fungal endophytes have transgenerational positive effects in plants. Plant Cell Environ 44:716–2728
- Ueno AC, Gundel PE, Seal CE, Ghersa CM, Martínez-Ghersa MA (2020) The negative effect of a vertically-transmitted fungal endophyte on seed longevity is stronger than that of ozone transgenerational effect. Environ Exp Bot 175:04037
- Vallotton AD, Murray LW, Delaney KJ, Sterling TM (2012) Water deficit induces swainsonine of some locoweed taxa, but with no swainsoninegrowth trade-off. Acta Oecol 43:140–149
- Welsh S (2007) North American Species of *Astragalus* Linnaeus (Leguminosae). A Taxonomic Revision. Provo, UT: Brigham Young University. 932 p
- Wickham H (2016) ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. New York: Springer-Verlag. 260 p
- Wyka T (1999) Carbohydrate storage and use in alpine population of the perennial herb, Oxytropis sericea. Oecologia 120:198–208
- Wyka T, Galen C (2000) Current and future costs of reproduction in Oxytropis sericea, a perennial plant from the Colorado Rocky Mountains, U.S.A. Arctic Antarct Alp Res 32:438–448
- Yin J, Zhou M, Lin Z, Li QQ, Zhang Y-Y (2019) Transgenerational effects benefit offspring across diverse environments: a meta-analysis in plants and animals. Ecol Lett 22:1976–1986