

## Response to letter to editor from Rattanapitoon, N. &amp; Rattanapitoon, S

Sara R. Healy<sup>1</sup> , Martha Betson<sup>1</sup> , Joaquin M. Prada<sup>1</sup>  and Eric R. Morgan<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup>School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Surrey, Surrey, UK and <sup>2</sup>Institute for Global Food Security, Queen's University, Belfast, UK

## Letter to the Editor

**Cite this article:** Healy SR, Betson M, Prada JM and Morgan ER (2025). Response to letter to editor from Rattanapitoon, N. & Rattanapitoon, S. *Epidemiology and Infection*, **153**, e100, 1  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268825100459>

Received: 30 July 2025

Accepted: 12 August 2025

**Corresponding author:**

Sara R. Healy;

Email: [sarahealy@outlook.com](mailto:sarahealy@outlook.com)

To the editor,

We would like to thank the authors of the correspondence for their thoughtful and generous engagement with our recent article [1]. We greatly appreciate their recognition of the modelling framework we developed to assess the foodborne risk of *Toxocara* spp. transmission in the UK context, and we are encouraged by their insightful reflections on its broader applications and future refinements.

We particularly welcome the emphasis on the influence of cultural dietary practices and regional variability in food preparation, which are indeed key factors in shaping exposure risk. As noted, the model was calibrated using UK-specific data, but the structure of the framework was deliberately designed to be adaptable to support comparative assessments across diverse settings. Where contamination levels vary among food types, for example, they will interact with local food choices and culinary practices to drive exposure risk [2, 3]. We also acknowledge the current uncertainty surrounding the thermal inactivation thresholds of *Toxocara* spp. larvae in meat tissues. Addressing this knowledge gap through further empirical research would provide valuable data for refining risk assessments and developing evidence-based consumer guidance – especially in settings where raw or lightly cooked meat is commonly consumed.

We also appreciate the comments regarding diagnostic specificity and the potential for improved granularity in distinguishing between *T. canis* and *T. cati* exposures. As more refined serological tools become available, future iterations of the model could incorporate such advances, enabling more nuanced interpretation of seroprevalence data [4].

In conclusion, we are very grateful for the correspondents' thoughtful suggestions and support for this work. We share their view that the development and expansion of quantitative models for neglected foodborne parasitic risks is both timely and necessary. We hope our framework can serve as a foundation for future context-specific adaptations, advancing One Health surveillance and risk communication globally.

Yours sincerely,

**Author contribution.** Writing - original draft: J.M.P., M.B., E.M., S.R.H.

## References

- [1] Rattanapitoon N and Rattanapitoon S (2025) Letter to the Editor. *Epidemiology & Infection*.
- [2] Animaw Z, et al. (2024) Prevalence, pattern and predictors of clinically important parasites contaminating raw vegetables and fruits in Ethiopia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Infectious Diseases* **24**, 1146. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-024-10034-7>.
- [3] Bekele F and Shumbej T (2019) Fruit and vegetable contamination with medically important helminths and protozoans in Tarcha town, Dawuro zone, South-West Ethiopia. *Research and Reports in Tropical Medicine* **10**, 19–23. <https://doi.org/10.2147/RRTM.S205250>.
- [4] Zahabiun F, et al. (2015) Production of *Toxocara cati* TES-120 recombinant antigen and comparison with its *T. canis* homolog for Serodiagnosis of Toxocariasis. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **93**, 319–325. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.15-0190>.

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

CAMBRIDGE  
UNIVERSITY PRESS