cambridge.org/par

Research Article

Cite this article: Gevorgyan H, Aghayan SA, Malkhasyan A, Asikyan M, Romig T, Wassermann M (2025) Molecular survey of taeniid cestodes with special emphasis on *Echinococcus* species in free-roaming dogs and wild carnivores in Armenia. *Parasitology*, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0031182025100474

Received: 21 February 2025 Revised: 2 June 2025 Accepted: 15 June 2025

Keywords:

Armenia; domestic dogs; *Echinococcus* spp.; *Taenia* spp.; wild carnivores

Corresponding author: Marion Wassermann; Email: marion.wassermann@uni-hohenheim.de

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

Attribution-NonCommercial licence (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original article is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained prior to any commercial use.



Molecular survey of taeniid cestodes with special emphasis on *Echinococcus* species in free-roaming dogs and wild carnivores in Armenia

Hasmik Gevorgyan¹, Sargis A. Aghayan^{1,2} , Alexander Malkhasyan³, Manan Asikyan², Thomas Romig^{4,5} and Marion Wassermann^{4,5}

¹Laboratory of Molecular Parasitology, Scientific Center of Zoology and Hydroecology NAS RA, Yerevan, Armenia; ²Laboratory of Animal Evolution and Zoonotic Diseases, Research Institute of Biology, Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia; ³Conservation programs, WWF-Armenia, Yerevan, Armenia; ⁴Department Parasitology, Institute of Biology, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany and ⁵Center of Biodiversity and Integrative Taxonomy, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany

Abstract

Echinococcosis, caused by cestodes of the genus *Echinococcus*, poses significant public health and veterinary concerns globally. In Armenia, cystic echinococcosis (CE) is well-documented in livestock and humans, while alveolar echinococcosis (AE) has long been considered nonendemic. However, a recent retrospective study identified human AE cases, suggesting an underestimation of the parasite's presence. To address knowledge gaps, a pilot survey was conducted to identify Echinococcus species and other taeniids in free-roaming dogs and wild carnivores in Armenia. Fecal samples (n = 112) were opportunistically collected from eight wild carnivore species and stray dogs across six Armenian provinces between 2017 and 2018. Samples were analysed for taeniid eggs using flotation and molecular techniques. Echinococcus multilocularis was identified in a free-roaming dog, marking the first confirmed detection of the parasite in a definitive host in Armenia. Additionally, E. canadensis G6/7 and E. ortleppi were detected in a wolf, while E. canadensis G6/7, Taenia hydatigena, and T. laticollis were found in a lynx. These findings indicate the involvement of both domestic and wild carnivores in the transmission cycles of Echinococcus species and suggest the presents of a potential sylvatic cycle involving E. canadensis G6/7 and E. ortleppi. The presence of E. multilocularis in a dog underscores the risk of human infection, necessitating further epidemiological studies. This study provides initial insights into the epidemiology of *Echinococcus* in Armenia and highlights the need for continued surveillance to assess public health risks.

Introduction

The Taeniidae family comprises a diverse group of tapeworms, including the genera *Taenia*, *Versteria*, *Hydatigera*, and *Echinococcus*. Members of the Taeniidae are exclusively mammalian parasites with complex life cycles that involve definitive hosts, usually carnivores, and intermediate hosts, which are often herbivores or omnivores. Although species with zoonotic potential can be found in all genera, only *Taenia* and *Echinococcus* are of considerable medical and veterinary, as well as economic importance (Eckert and Deplazes, 2004; Budke et al., 2006; Deplazes et al., 2019).

The genus *Echinococcus* is known for causing echinococcosis. This disease manifests primarily in two forms: cystic echinococcosis (CE) and alveolar echinococcosis (AE), both caused by the space-occupying larval forms (metacestodes) in internal organs, which can lead to severe morbidity and even mortality in humans (Kern et al., 2017). A third form, which is almost negligible in comparison, is the neotropical echinococcosis, and only occurs occasionally in Central and South America (Moro and Schantz, 2009; Deplazes et al., 2017).

Echinococcosis is classified by the World Health Organization as a neglected zoonotic tropical disease and can be acquired by intermediate hosts, including humans, through accidental ingestion of infectious eggs via contaminated food, water or direct contact with the definitive host (Eckert and Deplazes, 2004; World Health Organization, 2024).

CE has a worldwide distribution and can be caused by species of *E. granulosus* sensu lato (s.l.). The complex comprises five species: *E. granulosus* sensu stricto (s.s.), *E. equinus*, *E. ortleppi*, *E. canadensis*, and *E. felidis*. Apart from the latter, all have zoonotic potential – albeit to varying degrees. *Echinococcus granulosus* s.s. has the broadest spectrum of intermediate hosts and is also responsible for 88% of human infections worldwide (Alvarez Rojas et al., 2014; Romig et al., 2017). *Echinococcus canadensis* has several genotypes (G6/7, G8, G10), among which G6/7 is primarily responsible for infections in humans (Alvarez Rojas et al., 2014). *Echinococcus ortleppi*



and *E. equinus* have only rarely been detected in humans and, in the case of *E. equinus*, also only recently (Alvarez Rojas et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2020; Macin et al., 2021). *Echinococcus granulosus* s.s., *E. equinus, E. ortleppi*, and *E. canadensis* G6/7 are predominantly associated with livestock transmission cycles, with sheep, horses, cattle, camels, and pigs serving as their main intermediate hosts and dogs as definitive hosts. In contrast, *E. canadensis* G8/G10 and *E. felidis* are primarily maintained in wildlife cycles, involving cervids and wolves, and warthogs and lions, respectively (Romig et al., 2017; Aschenborn et al., 2023). However, all the *Echinococcus* species with domestic life cycles were also found in wildlife (Romig and Wassermann, 2024).

The causative agent of AE is *E. multilocularis*, a wildlife parasite primarily of foxes which uses small mammals – mainly rodents – as intermediate hosts. Other canids, such as wolves, jackals, coyotes, or domestic dogs, can also act as definitive hosts (Romig and Wassermann, 2024). This species can be found predominantly in the temperate to cold climate zones in the Holarctic (Deplazes et al., 2017). However, recent epidemiological studies indicate a notable increase in AE cases in endemic areas and an emergence of this infection, and therefore a spread of the parasite to new regions across the northern hemisphere (Torgerson, 2013; Moloi et al., 2023; Khuroo et al., 2024). The causes of this expansion are not yet fully understood, but there are indications that a significant proportion is due to anthropogenic factors (Davidson et al., 2012).

In Armenia, CE is widespread and has been detected in livestock such as sheep, goats, cattle, and pigs, as well as in humans (Chobanyan, 1965, 1974; Davidyants, 1997; Gevorgyan, 2005; Gevorgyan et al., 2016; Khachatryan, 2017). Studies on the prevalence in definitive hosts, including stray, service, and shepherd dogs, as well as foxes, in the country are limited and primarily date back to the 1960s and 1970s. Analysis of fecal samples from 923 dogs, collected post-purgation, revealed a varying prevalence of *E. granulosus* s.l., ranging from 10.8% to 68%, depending on the study region (Chobanyan, 1971). The only genetic characterization to date of metacestodes (hydatid cysts) of Armenian origin confirmed infections caused by *E. granulosus* s.s. in humans, sheep, and cattle, and *E. canadensis* G6/7 in pigs (Gevorgyan et al., 2006b; Ebi et al., 2017).

In contrast to CE, it has long been assumed that AE is not endemic in Armenia. *Echinococcus multilocularis* has never been detected in canids, either domestic or wild, nor had its larval stage been found in humans or other aberrant hosts. This assumption was recently proven wrong by a retrospective study that evaluated all liver surgeries from 2008 to 2020 (Manukyan et al., 2022). Over the 12-year review period, 11 human AE cases were discovered, of which only 3 were suspected to be an AE infection at the time of surgery. The misdiagnosis suggests an underreporting of the true number of cases. This is probably due to ignorance; many physicians do not consider *E. multilocularis* as the cause of liver symptoms, as it was not regarded as endemic (Manukyan et al., 2022).

Following these discoveries, a review of the older literature on helminths in potential hosts of *E. multilocularis* was conducted. This search uncovered a reference to the parasite's presence dating back 60 years. In his PhD thesis, Chobanyan (1968) presents data from a study examining 6,047 rodents across 14 species for various parasites over a 3-year period (1964–1967). Among his findings, he reported '*Alveococcus multilocularis*' infections in 3 voles of the species *Microtus arvalis* and *M. socialis*, both considered significant intermediate hosts. Unfortunately, the total number of these host species examined was not specified. His search for the parasite in definitive hosts remained unsuccessful. Aside from this report, and the recent human AE cases, no other confirmation of the presence of *E. multilocularis* in Armenia exists. However, there is evidence of *E. multilocularis* in natural intermediate and definitive hosts in all of Armenia's neighbouring countries. In eastern Turkey, for example, wild boars have been found infected with *E. multilocularis* (Kesik et al., 2020). In order to investigate the presence and distribution of *E. multilocularis*, it is recommended to examine definitive hosts, as even in highly endemic areas only very small numbers of infected voles, which serve as main intermediate hosts, are usually found (Conraths and Deplazes, 2015; Romig and Wassermann, 2024).

Apart from the above-mentioned survey of dogs, no other studies have been conducted in the last 50 years on the presence and distribution of *E. granulosus* s.l. or *E. multilocularis* in potential definitive hosts in Armenia. In view of this knowledge gap regarding *Echinococcus* spp. in free-ranging dogs and wild carnivores, the present study was designed as a pilot survey to gain initial insights and provide a basis for further studies.

Materials and methods

Study area

Fecal samples from carnivores were collected in 16 selected areas in six provinces of Armenia between spring 2017 and summer 2018 (Figure 1). The areas were specifically selected due to their high density of wild carnivores and use as grazing grounds by both livestock and wild herbivores. The sampling sites were located along the primary migration corridors of mammalian predators. These corridors were identified in Armenia within the framework of the designation of regional eco-corridors (Zazanashvili et al., 2020). One corridor includes the Akhuryan-Araks River system, Vayots Dzor, and the Zangezur Mountain systems. Another corridor encompasses the Zangezur, Vayots Dzor, Vardenis, and Gegham Mountain systems.

The sampling sites range from 600 to 3900 m above sea level and span five distinct climatic and vegetation zones: semi-desert, juniper woodland, deciduous forest, mountain steppes, and subalpine areas with rocky formations. Most of these sites are situated within national parks and reserves, featuring a rich mammalian fauna that includes large predators such as the leopard (Panthera pardus), lynx (Lynx lynx), brown bear (Ursus arctos), wolf (Canis lupus), red fox (Vulpes vulpes), golden jackal (Canis aureus), and badger (Meles meles), as well as smaller predators such as the stone marten (Martes foina), and their prey species, including the bezoar goat (Capra aegagrus), Armenian mouflon (Ovis gmelini gmelini), roe deer (Capreolus capreolus), wild boar (Sus scrofa), and numerous species of small mammals. In addition to these natural sampling sites, fecal samples were also collected from an urban area, specifically Yerevan, the capital of Armenia. In Yerevan, samples were gathered from the few natural green spaces in the city, such as along the Hrazdan River, which flows through Yerevan. In the urban context, large predators are stray dogs (Canis lupus familiaris).

Sample processing

In total, 49 fecal samples from wolves, jackals, lynx, bears, leopards, badgers, foxes, stone martens, and 63 fecal samples from stray dogs in targeted provinces have been opportunistically collected (Table 1). All samples were taken from the ground without interacting with the animals in any way. Identification of the feces



Figure 1. The 16 sampling sites in the 6 provinces of Armenia.

was made by experienced mammologists, based on feces characteristics, such as size, shape, colour, and odour, and environmental signs such as footprints (Oshmarin and Pikunov, 1990). Each sample collected was stored in separate tubes and labelled. The host species of taeniid-positive samples was later confirmed using molecular methods. All samples were frozen for 1 week at -80 °C to inactivate parasitic stages and stored afterwards at -20 °C until use. The fecal content was visually inspected before performing parasitological analyses. Specifically, the feces were examined for small bones, hairs, berries, and other dietary items to support or question the initial field assessment. Taeniid eggs were isolated from $\sim 2 \text{ cm}^3$ of each fecal sample using the flotation and sieving method described by Mathis et al. (1996). The content of each flotate was placed in a separate Petri dish and screened for taeniid eggs using an inverted microscope. Since the eggs of the different genera of the family Taeniidae cannot be distinguished morphologically and because of the possibility of mixed infections with different taeniid species, individual eggs were isolated and analysed. For this purpose, individual eggs were transferred into 10 µL of 0.02 mM NaOH using a capillary pipette and lysed at 95 °C for 10 min (Hüttner et al., 2008). The lysate was used directly as a template for the polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

Molecular analyses of taeniid eggs

The individual eggs were subjected to nested PCR and subsequent sequencing for the identification of the taeniid species as described previously (Hüttner et al., 2008). The first attempts to amplify the complete mitochondrial (mt) NADH dehydrogenase subunit 1 (*nad1*) gene via nested PCR were unsuccessful, and the amplification of a shorter fragment (<200 bp) of the *nad1* gene was carried out. The primers used for the first PCR of the short fragment were forward: 5'-TGT TTT TGA GAT CAG TTC GGT GTG-3' and reverse: 5'-CAT AAT CAA ACG GAG TAC GAT TAG-3' and the

primer pair for the second PCR was forward: 5'-CAG TTC GGT GTG CTT TTG GGT CTG-3' and reverse: 5'-GAG TAC GAT TAG TCT CAC ACA GCA-3'. In cases of negative PCR results, a second nested PCR was performed targeting a short fragment (<200 bp) of the mt cytochrome b (cob) gene. Primers for the first cob PCR were forward: 5'-GAG TAC GAT TAG TCT CAC ACA GCA-3' and reverse: 5'-ATA AGG ATA CTC CGG ATG ACA AC-3', and for the second PCR forward: 5'-TCG GTG TAT TAA TTC GAA GAT TG-3' and reverse: 5'-GAT GAC AAC CAC CCA AAT AAG TC-3' (Hüttner et al., 2008). For the first PCR, a 25-µL reaction mixture containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM of each dNTP, 10 pmol of each external primer and 0.625 U of Taq polymerase (AmpliTaq; Applied Biosystem) was prepared, and 1 µL of the egg lysate was individually added to the reaction mixture. After amplification, 2 μ L of the first PCR product were transferred to a 50- μ L reaction mixture containing the same reagents as the first, but 20 pmol of the internal primers and 1.25 U Taq polymerase. Conditions for all PCRs were identical and as follows, initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 sec, annealing at 50 °C for 30 sec, elongation at 72 °C for 30 sec, and final elongation at 72 °C for 5 min. Positive PCR products were purified using the High Pure PCR Product Purification Kit (Roche, Mannheim) following the manufacturer's instructions and sequenced by GATC Eurofins Genomics (Konstanz, Germany). Sequences were viewed and edited, if necessary, with the program GENtle 1.9.4 (Manske, University of Cologne) and taeniid species identified by comparison with already published sequences in GenBank using the online program BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).

Molecular confirmation of the host species

Host origin (carnivore species) of fecal samples was determined in the field by shape, colour, and odour of feces, as well as field signs

Table 1. Hosts, number and origin of opportunistically collected fecal samples from six provinces of Armenia

Animal species	Number of samples	Province (number of samples collected)	
Lynx (Lynx lynx)	15	Ararat (9), Syunik (5), Vayots Dzor (1)	
Leopard (Panthera pardus)	7	Ararat (1), Syunik (6)	
Wolf (Canis lupus)	8	Ararat (1), Syunik (5), Vayots Dzor (2)	
Fox (Vulpes vulpes)	2	Vayots Dzor	
Jackal (Canis aureus)	2	Syunik	
Dog (Canis lupus familiaris)	63	Yerevan (40), Shirak (6), Gegharkunik (8), Syunik (4), Vayots Dzor (4), Ararat (1)	
Bear (Ursus arctos)	12	Ararat (8), Syunik (3), Vayots Dzor (1)	
Badger (Meles meles)	2	Syunik	
Stone marten (Martes foina)	1	Ararat	
Total	112		

(Oshmarin and Pikunov, 1990). Molecular analysis was carried out to confirm the assigned carnivore species of all samples tested positive for taeniid species. For this purpose, total DNA was extracted from fecal samples as described previously (Dinkel et al., 1998). For host species identification, nested PCR targeting a fragment of the mt *cob* gene was performed with subsequent sequencing of the amplicons. Primers and PCR conditions were taken from Hüttner et al. (2009).

For the differentiation between dog and wolf, the control region located on the mt genome was amplified and sequenced. For the PCR, the previously published primers CR1/CR2R (Sindičić et al., 2011) were used. However, additional primers were designed to perform nested PCR. The primers for the first PCR were forward: 'CR1' 5'-CCA CTA TCA GCA CCC AAA GC-3' and a new reverse: 5'-AGT TTC ATG ATA GTA ACC CCC ACG-3' and for the second PCR a new forward: 5'-AGC TGA AAT TCT TCT TAA ACT ATT CC-3' and reverse 'CR2R' 5'-CCC GGA GCG AGA AGA GG-3'. The volumes and reagents of the reaction mixtures were identical to those of the taeniid PCR for the first and second PCR, except for the primers. Conditions during both amplifications were initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 sec, annealing at 50 °C for 30 sec, elongation at 72 °C for 60 sec, and final elongation at 72 °C for 5 min. PCR products were purified as described above and sequenced by GATC Eurofins Genomics (Konstanz, Germany). Sequences were viewed and edited, if necessary, with the program GENtle 1.9.4 (Manske, University of Cologne) and carnivore species identified by comparison with published sequences in GenBank using the online program BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).

Results

Visual examination of the fecal content prior to parasitological analysis either supported the field-based identification of the carnivore species or, in cases where clear support was not possible, revealed no evidence contradicting the initial assessment.

Taeniid eggs were detected in only three of the 112 fecal samples. According to field identification, these samples were attributed to lynx, dog, and wolf. PCR and subsequent sequence analyses confirmed the lynx and dog origins. However, the \sim 250 bp sequence of the mt control region from the wolf sample matched 100% with bear DNA in a GenBank comparison (AccNo. X75872;

Taberlet and Bouvet, 1994). Given that the field identification, fecal content examination, and taeniid egg analyses strongly contradict the sample originating from a bear, it is assumed hereafter that the sample was indeed from a wolf.

Of the three taeniid-positive samples, ten eggs each were isolated and subjected to species identification via PCR and sequencing. The resulting sequences were ~ 100 bp in length but covered a region that allowed clear differentiation between the species.

Of the eggs isolated from the dog sample, eight could be amplified, and sequence analysis of the ~100 bp long fragments showed that all belonged to *E. multilocularis*. Amplification of the *nad1* gene was successful in three eggs, showing 100% identity with GenBank reference sequences (AccNo. NC000928), while the *cob* gene was successfully amplified in five eggs, with 99% identity (AccNo. NC000928). The five *cob* sequences displayed one unique substitution at position 876 of the complete *cob* gene (GenBank AccNo. NC000928; Nakao et al., 2002). All Armenian sequences exhibit thymine (T) at this position, whereas all corresponding GenBank entries show cytosine (C). However, this is a synonymous substitution and does not affect the resulting amino acid sequence.

Only four eggs of the lynx sample were successfully analysed. Two could be unequivocally assigned to *Taenia laticollis* (102 bp, 100% identity with GenBank AccNo. JX860626), and one each to *T. hydatigena* (108 bp, 100% identity with AccNo. MG282271) and *E. canadensis* G6/7 (104 bp, 100% identity with AccNo. MH300930). Due to the short length of the sequence obtained, it was not possible to differentiate between genotypes G6 and G7 of *E. canadensis*.

From the single wolf sample, two eggs yielded a positive PCR product, and sequence analysis revealed the presence of *E. ortleppi* (110 bp, 100% identity with AccNo. MG271922) and *E. canaden-sis* G6/7 (100 bp, 100% identity with AccNo. MH300930) (Table 2, Supplementary Figures 1 and 2).

All three taeniid-positive fecal samples were collected from the Khosrov sampling site (Figure 1, sampling site 1).

Discussion

In Armenia, research on echinococcosis received substantial attention in the second half of the 20th century. However, these efforts have since diminished, resulting in today's rather sporadic and fragmented knowledge of the situation in the country. Although

		Sequencing results (<i>n</i> eggs)		
Host species	Number of PCR-positive eggs	Echinococcus spp.	Taenia spp.	
Dog	8	E. multilocularis (5)		
		(~100 bp <i>cob</i> ; 99% identity with AccNo. NC000928)		
		E. multilocularis (3)	-	
		(~100 bp <i>nad1</i> ; 100% identity with AccNo. NC000928)		
Lynx	4	E. canadensis G6/7 (1)	T. hydatigena (1)	
		(104 bp <i>cob</i> , 100% identity with AccNo. MH300930)	(108 bp <i>nad1</i> , 100% identity with AccNo. MG282271)	
			T. laticollis (2)	
			(102 bp nad1, 100% identity with AccNo. JX860626)	
Wolf	2	E. canadensis G6/7 (1)		
		(100 bp <i>cob</i> , 100% identity with AccNo. MH300930)		
		E. ortleppi (1)	-	
		(110 bp nad1, 100% identity with AccNo. MG271922)		

Table 2. Results of genetic characterization of taeniid eggs isolated from various host species

the causative agents of CE are known to be endemic in Armenia, the involved species and their distribution are still poorly understood. A retrospective study, however, revealed an unexpected finding: human infections with *E. multilocularis* have occurred consistently over at least the past 20 years (Manukyan et al., 2024). At the time, these cases of AE were misdiagnosed, as it was believed that this parasite was not endemic to Armenia. This study has brought renewed attention to these parasites, underscoring the fact that they represent a neglected public health concern and that epidemiological studies are urgently needed.

The causative agents of CE are easier to study compared to E. multilocularis. Their life cycle is primarily associated with various livestock species that act as intermediate hosts, and post-mortem examinations of these animals can provide valuable insights into the distribution of these parasites. Recent molecular biological studies have confirmed the presence of E. granulosus s.s. and E. canadensis G6/7 in Armenia (Gevorgyan et al., 2016; Ebi et al., 2017). However, despite this molecular information, the available data on these parasites remain limited, particularly regarding their prevalence in definitive hosts, which are primarily domestic dogs, although wild canids can also be infected (Romig and Wassermann, 2024). In contrast to E. granulosus s.l., epidemiological studies on E. multilocularis are more challenging to conduct. The life cycle of E. multilocularis is predominantly sylvatic, involving voles as primary intermediate hosts and wild canids predating on these rodents, such as foxes, as definitive hosts. Wolves and domestic dogs can also serve as hosts, albeit to a lesser extent due to differences in feeding habits (Romig et al., 2017). The southern Caucasus is home to a species-rich small mammal fauna. This also encompasses arvicoline and gerbilline rodents, which include the most important intermediate host species for E. multilocularis in Europe (Romig and Wassermann, 2024). However, arvicolines of the Caucasus and western Asia have been subjected to numerous taxonomic and nomenclatural changes in the recent past, so the precise geographical repartition of individual taxa is often unclear. According to a recent review, eight arvicoline and five gerbilline

species are listed for the territory of Armenia (Wilson et al., 2017), of which no fewer than eight species are confirmed intermediate hosts for *E. multilocularis* in other parts of their respective ranges, namely *Arvicola amphibius*, *Chionomys nivalis*, *Microtus irani*, *M. obscurus*, *M. mystacinus*, *M. socialis*, *Meriones libycus* and *M. persicus* (Romig and Wassermann, 2024).

To study the distribution and prevalence of this parasite, examining wild carnivores and/or rodents is necessary, as these animals play essential roles in maintaining the transmission cycle. Since the prevalence among rodents fluctuates and is generally very low, even in areas with high infection rates in foxes, it is recommended to monitor the definitive hosts (Giraudoux et al., 2002; Conraths and Deplazes, 2015).

However, research on the parasitofauna of wildlife has been nearly absent over the past decades in the country. Consequently, there is a lack of understanding regarding whether, and to what extent, different carnivore species contribute to the transmission of Echinococcus species. The first approach to addressing these knowledge gaps was undertaken in the present study and involved analysing fecal samples from both wild carnivores and free-roaming dogs in Armenia. Fecal samples from eight wild carnivore species and domestic dogs were collected across six provinces of Armenia, including the capital, Yerevan, and analysed for the presence of taeniid eggs. Taeniid eggs could only be detected in three out of the 112 samples. The low detection rate observed suggests a low infection pressure among the animals; however, it is more likely attributable to limitations in the detection method employed. Egg detection following flotation is known to be less sensitive compared to other methods, such as the sedimentation and counting technique, intestinal scraping technique, or total DNA extraction from feces and subsequent PCR (Conraths and Deplazes, 2015; Marchiori et al., 2023). The first two methods involve the direct detection of parasites within the intestine, necessitating the sacrifice of the animal - an approach that is, in most cases, not feasible when studying wild protected species. The extraction of total DNA, on the other hand, would have rendered

the detection of double or multiple infections difficult or even impossible. Therefore, individual eggs were isolated and analysed in the present study, prioritizing species identification over sensitivity. Consequently, the number of positive samples reported here is not suitable for estimating prevalence and should be interpreted with caution (Marchiori et al., 2023).

All three taeniid-positive samples originated from the Khosrov Forest State Reserve, one of the oldest protected regions. The Khosrov reserve encompasses a diverse range of ecosystems due to its varied topography and elevation, which ranges from 1070 to 2650 m above sea level. The landscapes include semi-deserts, dry mountain steppes, juniper sparse woodlands, dense oak and hornbeam forests, and subalpine meadows. This ecological diversity supports a rich array of flora and fauna. The area serves as a wildlife corridor and is home to the critically endangered Caucasian leopard, Armenian mouflon, and Bezoar goat, as well as local priority species, such as lynx, wolf, and brown bear. Human habitation within the reserve is minimal; however, several large villages and towns are located in close proximity to the reserve. These settlements practice intensive outrun-type livestock farming near the reserve's borders, and in some cases, this activity encroaches into the protected area despite existing prohibitions.

The three positive samples were derived from a dog, a lynx, and a wolf from approximately the same area, inside the Khosrov reserve. Host species identification was initially performed by experienced field biologists and through analyses of fecal content. Subsequent molecular confirmation verified the origins of the dog and lynx samples; however, the sample attributed to the wolf yielded a sequence corresponding to a brown bear. Given that field signs, fecal shape, and fecal content all indicated a wolf origin, and the sample contained Echinococcus eggs, it was ultimately assessed as originating from a wolf despite the bear sequence result. To date, no patent infections with Echinococcus spp. have been reported in brown bears, or any bear species, and it is generally assumed that they are not suitable definitive hosts (Romig et al., 2017; Romig and Wassermann, 2024). In the Khosrov Forest State Reserve, both wolves and brown bears coexist. The only logical explanation for this result is that the wolf encountered and consumed a bear carcass, with the bear's DNA subsequently detected through PCR analysis of the copro-DNA. This particular wolf sample contained two Echinococcus species, E. canadensis G6/7 and *E. ortleppi*. *Echinococcus canadensis* G6/7 has previously been reported in Armenia in domestic pigs from the same region (Gevorgyan, 2006a; Gevorgyan et al., 2006c). The detection of this parasite in wolves suggests that E. canadensis G6/7 may be also established within Armenia's wildlife. Wolves are known to be suitable definitive hosts, and in Europe, the parasite's sylvatic life cycle is maintained through wild boars acting as intermediate hosts (Guerra et al., 2013; Umhang et al., 2014; Pavia et al., 2020; Kilinc et al., 2023). Sus scrofa, the wild boar, is widely distributed in Armenia, and this could facilitate the establishment of a sylvatic cycle for E. canadensis G6/7. However, it has so far only been documented in domestic pigs. In contrast, E. ortleppi has not been previously documented in the country. The typical hosts for E. ortleppi are domestic dogs and cattle, but also goats and pigs were identified to harbour fertile cysts (Romig et al., 2017). Cattle in Armenia have been reported to be infected with Echinococcus cysts, but so far, only E. granulosus sensu stricto has been identified as the causative agent (Gevorgyan, 2006a). The limited number of cattle isolates examined may not have been sufficient to confirm the presence of E. ortleppi. Studies have shown that in other regions with high prevalences in cattle and where both parasites are present,

E. granulosus s.s. predominates (Addy et al., 2012). This may also be the case in Armenia. Alternatively, other intermediate hosts, such as the bezoar goat or wild boar, might play a role in the sylvatic life cycle of *E. ortleppi*. Fertile cysts of *E. ortleppi* have already been documented in goats and wild boars, and adult worms have been found in wolves (Mbaya et al., 2014; Mateus et al., 2021; Karamon et al., 2023). A recent study from Poland suggests that cervids may also play a role in the cycle. *Echinococcus ortleppi* was detected in 10% of the examined wolves, but the parasite has not been found in Poland's cattle, and cattle are rarely preved upon by wolves in the country. This indicates the likely presence of another intermediate host (Karamon et al., 2024). Consequently, the presence of suitable hosts for both E. canadensis G6/7 and E. ortleppi suggests that the conditions necessary to sustain a sylvatic cycle for these species are present in Central Armenia. Both species of Echinococcus have also been documented in Namibia, occurring in wildlife cycles independent of domestic animals. Echinococcus ortleppi has been identified in oryx antelopes and jackals, while E. canadensis 6/7 has been detected in oryx and jackals, as well as in African wild dogs. Notably, E. canadensis G6/7 has also been reported in lions and cheetahs, underscoring its broader host range among carnivores (Aschenborn et al., 2023). This is particularly interesting as the present study also identified this parasite in a felid host - the lynx. To the best of our knowledge, there are only two documented reports of Echinococcus species in lynx. These include E. oligarthra, a species adapted to felids and restricted to Central and South America, identified in a bobcat (Lynx rufus), and E. multilocularis, reported in a Eurasian lynx (L. lynx) in Turkey (Salinas-López et al., 1996; Avcioglu et al., 2018). The current detection of E. canadensis G6/7 would be the first finding of a species belonging to E. granulosus s.l. As previously mentioned, the Khosrov area supports suitable intermediate hosts such as wild boar and bezoar goats, which, along with smaller mammals, form part of the lynx's prey spectrum (A. Malkhasyan, personal communication, 2017, 2025). The identification of E. canadensis G6/7 in both wolf and lynx from the same area suggests the presence of an active transmission cycle of this parasite in Central Armenia.

In addition to E. canadensis G6/7, two other taeniid species, T. hydatigena and T. laticollis, were detected in this lynx. The latter is a well-known tapeworm of lynx, with lagomorphs serving as its intermediate hosts and is here described for the first time in Armenia. Taenia hydatigena, on the other hand, has a life cycle primarily involving canids and ruminants, with pigs also being susceptible (Haukisalmi et al., 2016). Its presence in canids, livestock, and wild ruminants in Armenia, as well as in neighbouring countries, is well documented (Chobanyan, 1965, 1968, 1971; Movsesyan et al., 2013; Kilinc et al., 2019; Sarvi et al., 2020; Modabbernia et al., 2021; Memarian et al., 2022; Shamsaddini et al., 2024). Lynx infected with T. hydatigena have been reported previously (Valdmann et al., 2004; Bridger, 2005), but the identification was based on morphological characteristics, raising the possibility of misidentification with another, possibly unknown, taeniid species present in lynx. Evidence of T. hydatigena infections in felid species is generally limited, as they are not regarded as optimal hosts. However, the presence of T. hydatigena has been genetically confirmed in feces of a domestic cat, as well as snow leopards (Karamon et al., 2019; Ulziijargal et al., 2020). The detection of a *T*. hydatigena egg indicates that the lynx can indeed be a competent host.

To further investigate these findings, additional sampling in Central Armenia would be essential. This should include fecal sample collection and meat inspections of both wild game and domestic livestock to better understand the transmission pathways of these parasites. However, for the last Echinococcus species identified in this pilot study, E. multilocularis, meat inspection would not be informative. The intermediate host of E. multilocularis are rodents, predominantly voles, and the detection of this cestode in a free-roaming dog represents the first reported case of this parasite in an Armenian carnivore. In the 1960s, the prevalence of Echinococcus in dogs in Armenia reached up to 68% in some areas. At the time, all cases were attributed to E. granulosus sensu lato, though it is possible that some were actually due to E. multilocularis. During the same period, the larval stage of E. multilocularis was detected in voles, albeit in low prevalence (Chobanyan, 1968). These historical data, along with the retrospective study by Manukyan et al. (2024) on misdiagnosed human cases, indicate that E. multilocularis is not a newly emerging parasite but has been present in Armenia for a considerable period (Manukyan et al., 2024). The current study also demonstrates that this parasite continues to persist in the area. The detection of E. multilocularis in a dog sample is not surprising, as all canids that consume infected rodents are potential hosts (Romig et al., 2017). However, this finding underscores an increased risk to humans, given the close proximity in which dogs and humans often live.

To summarize the results, the 'sylvatic' *E. multilocularis* is brought closer to humans through rodent-eating dogs, while wild animals in Armenia contribute to sustaining the transmission cycles of the 'domestic' *E. ortleppi* and *E. canadensis* G6/7. Whether fully sylvatic cycles of the latter two species have already been established remains unclear, but suitable intermediate hosts are present in the region. Further studies will be necessary to clarify this dynamic.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182025100474.

Author contributions. H.G. performed the investigation, formal analysis, conceptualization and writing of the original draft. S.A.A. participated in sampling, as well as manuscript review and editing. M.A. and A.M. conducted field investigations and data collection. M.W. developed the methodology, performed data analysis and contributed to conceptualization and writing the original draft. T.R. supervised the study and reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Financial support. This study has been supported by the Higher Education and Science Committee of the Republic of Armenia (Project 23LCG-1F006) and German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD, Project No. 57378442).

This study has been supported by the Higher Education and Science Committee of the Republic of Armenia (Project 23LCG-1F006) and German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD, Project No. 57378442).

Competing interests. The authors declare there are no conflicts of interest.

Ethical standards. According to Armenian legislation, the collection of fecal samples from the environment does not require special permission.

References

- Addy F, Alakonya A, Wamae N, Magambo J, Mbae C, Mulinge E, Zeyhle E, Wassermann M, Kern P and Romig T (2012) Prevalence and diversity of cystic echinococcosis in livestock in Maasailand, Kenya. *Parasitology Research* 111, 2289–2294. doi:10.1007/s00436-012-3082-8
- Alvarez Rojas CA, Romig T and Lightowlers MW (2014) Echinococcus granulosus sensu lato genotypes infecting humans – Review of current knowledge. International Journal for Parasitology 44, 9–18. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2013.08. 008

- Aschenborn O, Aschenborn J, Beytell P, Wachter B, Melzheimer J, Dumendiak S, Rüffler B, Mackenstedt U, Kern P, Romig T and Wassermann M (2023) High species diversity of Echinococcus spp. in wild mammals of Namibia. International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife 21, 134–142. doi:10.1016/j.ijppaw.2023.04.009
- Avcioglu H, Guven E, Balkaya I and Kirman R (2018) Echinococcus multilocularis in a Eurasian lynx (Lynx lynx) in Turkey. Parasitology 145, 1147–1150. doi:10.1017/S0031182018000082
- Bridger K (2005) A comparative study of the dietary habits and helminth fauna of Canada lynx (Lynx canadensis), red fox (Vulpes vulpes) and eastern coyote (Canis latrans) on insular. Thesis, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada.
- Budke CM, Deplazes P and Torgerson PR (2006) global socioeconomic impact of cystic echinococcosis. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 12, 296–303. doi:10.3201/eid1202.050499
- Chobanyan AG (1965) Echinococcosis prevalence in livestock. Proceedings of Agricultural Sciences of the Ministry of Agriculture of Armenian SSR 11, 135–140.
- **Chobanyan AG** (1968) *Epizootology of larval cestodes of livestock and Taeniasis of dogs in ArSSR and experience in treating animals for these helminthoses.* PhD Thesis, Institute of Zoology NAS, ArSSR, Yerevan, Armenia.
- Chobanyan AG (1971) Echinococcosis prevalence in dogs. Proceedings of the Armenian Scientific Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary 11, 521–529.
- **Chobanyan AG** (1974) The dynamics of echinococcosis prevalence among pigs in the Armenian SSR. *Proceedings of the Armenian Scientific Research Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine* **12**, 617–622.
- Conraths FJ and Deplazes P (2015) Echinococcus multilocularis: Epidemiology, surveillance and state-of-the-art diagnostics from a veterinary public health perspective. Veterinary Parasitology 213, 149–161. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar. 2015.07.027
- Davidson RK, Romig T, Jenkins E, Tryland M and Robertson LJ (2012) The impact of globalisation on the distribution of *Echinococcus multilocularis*. *Trends in Parasitology* 28, 239–247. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2012.03.004
- **Davidyants VA** (1997) *Ecological, biological and epidemiological regularity of zoonoses as a basis for the improvement of preventive measures.* PhD thesis, Institute of Zoology NAS RA, Yerevan, Armenia.
- Deplazes P, Eichenberger RM and Grimm F (2019) Wildlife-transmitted Taenia and Versteria cysticercosis and coenurosis in humans and other primates. International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife 9, 342–358. doi:10.1016/j.ijppaw.2019.03.013
- Deplazes P, Rinaldi L, Alvarez Rojas CA, Torgerson PR, Harandi MF, Romig T, Antolova D, Schurer JM, Lahmar S, Cringoli G, Magambo J, Thompson RCA and Jenkins EJ (2017) Global distribution of alveolar and cystic echinococcosis. Advances in Parasitology 95, 315–493. doi:10.1016/bs. apar.2016.11.001
- Dinkel A, von Nickisch-rosenegk M, Bilger B, Merli M, Lucius R and Romig T (1998) Detection of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in the definitive host: coprodiagnosis by PCR as an alternative to necropsy. *Journal* of *Clinical Microbiology* 36, 1871–1876. doi:10.1128/JCM.36.7.1871-1876. 1998
- Ebi D, Gevorgyan H, Aghayan S, Wassermann M and Romig T (2017) Genetic diversity of *Echinococcus granulosus* sensu stricto in the southern Caucasus. In *Proceedings of XXVII World Congress of Echinococcosis*, Algiers, Algeria.
- Eckert J and Deplazes P (2004) Biological, epidemiological, and clinical aspects of echinococcosis, a zoonosis of increasing concern. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 17, 107–135. doi:10.1128/CMR.17.1.107-135.2004
- Gevorgyan H (2005) The distribution of hydatid disease in Armenia. Vestnik IAELPS 6, 62–66.
- Gevorgyan H (2006a) Distribution and biological aspects of cystic echinococcosis (Echinococcus granulosus, *Batsch*, 1786) in Armenia. PhD thesis, Institute of Zoology NAS RA, Yerevan, Armenia.
- Gevorgyan H, Aghayan S, Grigoryan G, Saghoyan J and Romig T(2016) Prevalence of cystic echinococcosis among ruminants in Armenia. In *FEBS*-*Abstract Book: Current Advances in Pathogen Research.* 26–28, Yerevan, Armenia

- Gevorgyan H, Dinkel A, Mackenstedt U and Romig T(2006b) Cystic echinococcosis in Armenia: Host species and *Echinococcus* genotypes. In *Abstract Book: VPW Veterinär Parasitologie*. 147, Vienna, Austria
- Gevorgyan H, Dinkel A, Romig T and Mackenstedt U (2006c) First report on Echinococcus granulosus genotypes identification in Armenia. In International Scientific Conference on Fauna, Biology, Morphology and Systematic of Parasites, 79–81. Moscow, Russia.
- Giraudoux P, Delattre P, Takahashi K, Raoul F, Quere JP, Craig P and Vuitton D (2002) Transmission ecology of Echinococcus multilocularis in wildlife: What can be learned from comparative studies and multiscale approaches? In Craig P and Pawlowski Z (eds.), Cestode Zoonoses: Echinococcosis and Cysticercosis: An Emergent and Global Problem. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 251–266.
- Guerra D, Armua-Fernandez MT, Silva M, Bravo I, Santos N, Deplazes P and Carvalho LMMD (2013) Taeniid species of the Iberian wolf (*Canis lupus signatus*) in Portugal with special focus on *Echinococcus* spp. *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife* **2**, 50–53. doi:10.1016/j.ijppaw. 2012.11.007
- Haukisalmi V, Konyaev S, Lavikainen A, Isomursu M and Nakao M (2016) Description and life cycle of *Taenia lynciscapreoli* sp. n. (Cestoda, Cyclophyllidea). *ZooKeys* 2016, 1–23. doi:10.3897/zookeys.584. 8171
- Hüttner M, Nakao M, Wassermann T, Siefert L, Boomker JDF, Dinkel A, Sako Y, Mackenstedt U, Romig T and Ito A (2008) Genetic characterization and phylogenetic position of *Echinococcus felidis* (Cestoda: Taeniidae) from the African lion. *International Journal for Parasitology* 38, 861–868. doi:10. 1016/j.ijpara.2007.10.013
- Hüttner M, Siefert L, Mackenstedt U and Romig T (2009) A survey of *Echinococcus* species in wild carnivores and livestock in East Africa. *International Journal for Parasitology* 39, 1269–1276. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara. 2009.02.015
- Karamon J, Samorek-Pieróg M, Bilska-Zając E, Korpysa-Dzirba W, Sroka J, Bełcik A, Zdybel J and Cencek T (2023) Echinococcus multilocularis genetic diversity based on isolates from pigs confirmed the characteristic haplotype distribution and the presence of the Asian-like haplotype in Central Europe. Journal of Veterinary Research 67, 567–574. doi:10.2478/jvetres-2023-0056
- Karamon J, Samorek-Pieróg M, Bilska-Zając E, Korpysa-Dzirba W, Sroka J, Zdybel J and Cencek T (2024) The grey wolf (Canis lupus) as a host of *Echinococcus multilocularis, E. granulosus* s.l. and other helminths – A new zoonotic threat in Poland. *Journal of Veterinary Research* 68, 539–549. doi:10. 2478/jvetres-2024-0060
- Karamon J, Sroka J, Dąbrowska J, Bilska-Zając E, Zdybel J, Kochanowski M, Rózycki M and Cencek T (2019) First report of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in cats in Poland: A monitoring study in cats and dogs from a rural area and animal shelter in a highly endemic region. *Parasites and Vectors* 12, 313. doi:10.1186/S13071-019-3573-x
- Kern P, Menezes da Silva A, Akhan O, Müllhaupt B, Vizcaychipi KA, Budke C and Vuitton DA (2017) The Echinococcoses: Diagnosis, clinical management and burden of disease. Advances in Parasitology 96, 259–369. doi:10.1016/bs.apar.2016.09.006
- Kesik HK, Kilinc SG, Celik F, Simsek S and Ahmed H (2020) A case-study of the molecular diagnosis of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in wild boar with comments on its public health significance in Turkey. *Journal of Parasitology* 106, 730–734. doi:10.1645/19-196
- Khachatryan AS (2017) Analysis of lethality in echinococcal disease. The Korean Journal of Parasitology 55, 549–553. doi:10.3347/kjp.2017.55.5.549
- Khuroo MS, Khuroo NS and Rather AA (2024) A case series and literature review of alveolar echinococcosis in Kashmir, India: An emerging endemic zone for *Echinococcus multilocularis*. *Life* 14, 794. doi:10.3390/life14070794
- Kilinc SG, Celik F, Kesik HK, Selcuk MA, Ahmed H and Simsek S (2023) Co-infection of *Echinococcus equinus* and *Echinococcus canadensis* (G6/7) in a gray wolf in Turkey: First report and genetic variability of the isolates. *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife* 20, 89–95. doi:10.1016/j.ijppaw.2023.01.006
- Kilinc SG, Kesik HK and Simsek S (2019) Molecular characterization and haplotypes of sheep and goat isolates of Cysticercus tenuicollis in Turkey. *Parasitology* 146, 1047–1054. doi:10.1017/S0031182019000362

- Kim H-J, Yong T-S, Shin MH, Lee K-J, Park G-M, Suvonkulov U, Kovalenko D and Yu HS (2020) Phylogenetic characteristics of *Echinococcus* granulosus sensu lato in Uzbekistan. *The Korean Journal of Parasitology* 58, 205–210. doi:10.3347/kjp.2020.58.2.205
- Macin S, Orsten S, Samadzade R, Colak B, Cebeci H and Fındık D (2021) Human and animal cystic echinococcosis in Konya, Turkey: Molecular identification and the first report of *E. equinus* from human host in Turkey. *Parasitology Research* **120**, 563–568. doi:10.1007/s00436-021-07050-w
- Manukyan A, Avetisyan L, Sahakyan G, Jimenez AP, Paronyan L, Gevorgyan K and Vanyan A (2022) A review of human alveolar echinococcosis in the Republic of Armenia from 2008 to 2020. *Parasite Epidemiology and Control* 17, e00246. doi:10.1016/j.parepi.2022.e00246
- Manukyan AH, Danielyan RR, Gevorgyan KM, Sahakyan GF, Vanyan AV, Andreasyan DM and Melik-Andreasyan GG (2024) Human echinococcosis in Armenia: An old and neglected challenge. *Medical Science of Armenia*, 80–88. doi:10.54503/0514-7484-2024-64.2-80
- Marchiori E, Obber F, Celva R, Marcer F, Danesi P, Maurizio A, Cenni L, Massolo A, Citterio CV and Cassini R (2023) Comparing copromicroscopy to intestinal scraping to monitor red fox intestinal helminths with zoonotic and veterinary importance. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science* 9, 1085996. doi:10.3389/fvets.2022.1085996
- Mateus TL, Gargaté MJ, Vilares A, Ferreira I, Rodrigues M, Coelho C and Vieira-Pinto M (2021) First report of *Echinococcus ortleppi* in free-living wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) from Portugal. *Microorganisms* 9, 1256. doi:10.3390/ microorganisms9061256
- Mathis A, Deplazes P and Eckert J (1996) An improved test system for PCR-based specific detection of *Echinococcus multilocularis* eggs. *Journal of Helminthology* **70**, 219–222. doi:10.1017/S0022149X00015443
- Mbaya H, Magambo J, Njenga S, Zeyhle E, Mbae C, Mulinge E, Wassermann M, Kern P and Romig T (2014) *Echinococcus* spp. in central Kenya: A different story. *Parasitology Research* **113**, 3789–3794. doi:10.1007/ s00436-014-4045-z
- Memarian I, Zahedian B, Kwaak T, Lippert M, Jazayeri G, Kordestani H, Amirahmadi M and Javardi SMN (2022) Free ranging dogs impact on wildlife in north and east of Tehran, Iran. In *Proceedings of the Zoo and Wildlife Health Conference*, 15–24. Netherlands.
- Modabbernia G, Meshgi B and Eslami A (2021) Diversity and burden of helminthiasis in wild ruminants in Iran. *Journal of Parasitic Diseases.* 45, 394–399. doi:10.1007/s12639-020-01314-5
- Moloi S, Tari T, Halász T, Gallai B, Nagy G and Csivincsik Á (2023) Global and local drivers of *Echinococcus multilocularis* infection in the western Balkan region. *Scientific Reports* 13, 21176. doi:10.1038/s41598-023-46632-9
- Moro P and Schantz PM (2009) Echinococcosis: A review. International Journal of Infectious Diseases 13, 125–133. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2008.03.037
- **Movsesyan S, Nikoghosyan M and Petrosyan R** (2013) Parasite infection rates of sheep in conditions of foothill zone of Armenia. In *Proceedings of the Theory and Practice of Parasitic Disease Control*, 292–296. Moscow.
- Nakao M, Yokoyama N, Sako Y, Fukunaga M and Ito A (2002) The complete mitochondrial DNA sequence of the cestode *Echinococcus multilocularis* (Cyclophyllidea: Taeniidae). *Mitochondrion* **1**, 497–509. doi:10.1016/S1567-7249(02)00040-5

Oshmarin PG and Pikunov DG (1990) Traces in Nature. Nauka press: Moscow. Pavia G, De Gori F, Ciambrone L, De Gori N, Musarella R and Casalinuovo F

- (2020) Dispersal and molecular characterisation of the *Echinococcus granulosus* (Batsch, 1786) complex isolated from various intermediate hosts in the Calabria region, southern Italy. *Folia Parasitologica* **67**, 014. doi:10.14411/fp. 2020.014
- Romig T, Deplazes P, Jenkins D, Giraudoux P, Massolo A, Craig PS, Wassermann M, Takahashi K and de la Rue M (2017) Ecology and life cycle patterns of *Echinococcus* species. *Advances in Parasitology* **95**, 213–314. doi:10.1016/bs.apar.2016.11.002
- Romig T and Wassermann M (2024) *Echinococcus* species in wildlife. *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife* 23, 100913. doi:10.1016/j.ijppaw.2024.100913
- Salinas-López N, Jiménz-Guzmí F and Cruz-Reyes A (1996) Presence of Echinococcus oligarthrus (Diesing, 1863) Lühe, 1910 in Lynx rufus texensis Allen, 1895 from San Fernando, Tamaulipas State, in north-east

Mexico. International Journal for Parasitology 26, 793-796. doi:10.1016/0020-7519(96)00056-2

- Sarvi S, Behrestaghi LE, Alizadeh A, Hosseini SA, Gohardieh S, Bastani R, Charati JY, Daryani A, Amouei A, Spotin A and Gholami S (2020) Morphometric, genetic diversity and phylogenetic analysis of *Taenia hydatigena* (Pallas, 1766) larval stage in Iranian livestock. *Parasitology* 147, 231–239. doi:10.1017/s0031182019001434
- Shamsaddini S, Schneider C, Dumendiak S, Aghassi H, Kamyabi H, Akhlaghi E, Wassermann M, Harandi MF, Deplazes P and Romig T (2024) Environmental contamination with faeces of free-roaming dogs and the risk of transmission of *Echinococcus* and *Taenia* species in urban regions of southeastern Iran. *Parasites and Vectors* 17, 359. doi:10.1186/s13071-024-064 35-x
- Sindičić M, Gomerčić T, Galov A, Arbanasić H, Kusak J, Slavica A and Huber Đ (2011) Mitochondrial DNA control region as a tool for species identification and distinction between wolves and dogs from Croatia. *Veterinarski Arhiv* 81, 249–258.
- Taberlet P and Bouvet J (1994) Mitochondrial DNA polymorphism, phylogeography, and conservation genetics of the brown bear Ursus arctos in Europe. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 255, 195–200. doi: 10.1098/rspb.1994.0028

- Torgerson PR (2013) The emergence of echinococcosis in Central Asia. *Parasitology* **140**, 1667–1673. doi:10.1017/S0031182013000516
- Ulziijargal G, Yeruult C, Khulan J, Gantsetseg C, Wandra T, Yamasaki H and Narankhajid M (2020) Molecular identification of *Taenia hydatigena* and *Mesocestoides* species based on copro-DNA analysis of wild carnivores in Mongolia. *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife* 11, 72–82. doi:10.1016/j.ijppaw.2019.12.004
- Umhang G, Richomme C, Hormaz V, Boucher J-M and Boué F (2014) Pigs and wild boar in Corsica harbor *Echinococcus canadensis* G6/7 at levels of concern for public health and local economy. *Acta Tropica* 133, 64–68. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2014.02.005
- Valdmann H, Moks E and Talvik H (2004) Helminth Fauna of Eurasian Lynx (*Lynx lynx*) in Estonia. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* **40**, 356–360. doi:10.7589/0090-3558-40.2.356
- Wilson DE, Lacher TE and Mittermeier RA (2017) Handbook of the Mammals of the World, Vol. 7, Barcelona: Rodents II. Lynx Editions.
- World Health Organization (2024) Global report on neglected tropical diseases 2024. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organisation.
- Zazanashvili N, Sanadiradze G, Garforth M, Bitsadze M, Manvelyan K, Askerov E, Mousavi M, Krever V, Shmunk V, Kalem S and Devranoğlu Tavsel S (2020) Ecoregional Conservation Plan for the Caucasus: 2020 Edition. Tbilisi, Georgia: Fountain Georgia LTD.