

Approximation on Closed Sets by Analytic or Meromorphic Solutions of Elliptic Equations and Applications

André Boivin, Paul M. Gauthier and Petr V. Paramonov

Abstract. Given a homogeneous elliptic partial differential operator L with constant complex coefficients and a class of functions (jet-distributions) which are defined on a (relatively) closed subset of a domain Ω in \mathbf{R}^n and which belong locally to a Banach space V , we consider the problem of approximating in the norm of V the functions in this class by “analytic” and “meromorphic” solutions of the equation $Lu = 0$. We establish new Roth, Arakelyan (including tangential) and Carleman type theorems for a large class of Banach spaces V and operators L . Important applications to boundary value problems of solutions of homogeneous elliptic partial differential equations are obtained, including the solution of a generalized Dirichlet problem.

1 Introduction

Let L be a homogeneous elliptic partial differential operator with constant *complex* coefficients (such as powers of the Cauchy-Riemann operator $\bar{\partial}$ or the Laplacean Δ). In [2], given a Banach space $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ of functions (distributions) on \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, we studied the problem of approximating, on a closed subset F of \mathbf{R}^n , the solutions of the equation $Lu = 0$ by global (L -analytic or L -meromorphic) solutions of the equation. Approximation theorems of Runge-type and Arakelyan-type were obtained whenever the operator L and the Banach space V satisfied certain conditions.

In this paper, we first generalize the results of [2] and [11] to Banach spaces of functions (distributions) defined on any domain Ω of \mathbf{R}^n ($n \geq 2$). As already mentioned in [2], the only purpose of one of the important conditions on L and V ([2, Condition (4)]) was to obtain a “special maximum principle” ([2, Lemma 1]). Weakened assumptions of this lemma have now become our new Condition 4 (see Section 2 below), and consequently our proof has been slightly modified (and improved). For all operators L under consideration, our conditions are satisfied by a large class of classical (non-weighted) spaces.

Using results on the solution of the Dirichlet problem for strongly elliptic equations in bounded smooth domains, we find (see Proposition 2 below) that in this case our conditions are also satisfied by a wide class of spaces, for which an application of

Received by the editors September 28, 2000.

The first author was supported by a grant from NSERC of Canada. The second author was partially supported by grants from NSERC of Canada and FCAR Québec. The third author was supported by grants RFFR 00-01-00618 and RFFR 00-15-96008 (Russia).

AMS subject classification: Primary: 30D40, 30E10, 31B35, 35Jxx, 35J67; secondary: 41A30.

Keywords: approximation on closed sets, elliptic operator, strongly elliptic operator, L -meromorphic and L -analytic functions, localization operator, Banach space of distributions, Dirichlet problem.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 2002.

our theorems gives important new examples in the theory of tangential approximation (see Theorem 4(iii)).

Using Carleman-type approximation results (see Lemma 4 and Proposition 5), we obtain in Section 6 some very interesting examples of the possible boundary behaviour of solutions of homogeneous elliptic partial differential equations, analogous to those described in [5, Chapter IV, Section 5B] for functions holomorphic in a disc. First, given a domain Ω satisfying some mild conditions, we construct an L -analytic function f in Ω such that the limit of f and of all its derivatives along *any* path ending at the boundary of Ω does not exist (Theorem 5). To our knowledge, only very special cases of this result were known for the $\bar{\partial}$ equation in \mathbf{R}^2 and the Laplacean in \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 2$ (see [5, Chapter IV, Section 5], [6, Section 8]).

When the boundary of Ω is sufficiently smooth, we are also able to solve (see Theorem 6) a “weakened” Dirichlet problem where the boundary values of an L -analytic function, together with the boundary values of a fixed number of its derivatives are prescribed (almost everywhere on $\partial\Omega$) as we approach the boundary in the *normal* direction.

2 Definitions and Notation

For the reader’s convenience, we summarize the definitions and main notation of [2]. Note that in [2], these were given only for \mathbf{R}^n , but here we extend them very naturally to general domains.

Let Ω be any fixed domain in \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. We let $V = V(\Omega)$ stand for a Banach space, whose norm is denoted by $\| \cdot \|$, which contains $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, the set of test functions in Ω and is contained in $(C_0^\infty(\Omega))^*$, the space of distributions on Ω . We make some additional assumptions on V .

Conditions 1 and 2 We assume that V is a topological $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ -submodule of $(C_0^\infty(\Omega))^*$, which means that for $f \in V$ and $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, we have $\varphi f \in V$ with

$$(1) \quad \|\varphi f\| \leq C(\varphi)\|f\|$$

and

$$(2) \quad |\langle f, \varphi \rangle| \leq C(\varphi)\|f\|,$$

where $\langle f, \varphi \rangle$ denotes the action in Ω of the distribution f on the test function φ and $C(\varphi)$ is a constant independent of f . We note that this implies that the imbeddings $C_0^\infty(\Omega) \hookrightarrow V$ and $V \hookrightarrow (C_0^\infty(\Omega))^*$ are continuous (see [2, Section 2.1]).

Given a closed subset F in Ω , let $I(F)$ be the closure in V of (the family of) those $f \in V$ whose support in Ω in the sense of distributions (which will be denoted by $\text{supp}(f)$) is disjoint from F , and let $V(F) = V/I(F)$. The Banach space $V(F)$, endowed with the quotient norm, should be viewed as the natural (Whitney type) version of V on F (see [14, Chapter 6]). We will write $\|f\|_F$ for the norm of the equivalence class $(\text{jet}) f_{(F)} := f + I(F)$ in $V(F)$ of the distribution $f \in V$.

For any open set D in Ω , let

$$V_{\text{loc}}(D) = \{ f \in (C_0^\infty(D))^* \mid f\varphi \in V \text{ for each } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(D) \},$$

where φ and $f\varphi$ are extended to be identically zero in $\Omega \setminus D$. We endow $V_{\text{loc}}(D)$ with the projective limit topology of the spaces $V(K)$ partially ordered by inclusion of the compact sets $K \subset D$. For a closed set F in Ω , define $V_{\text{loc}}(F) = V_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)/J(F)$, where $J(F)$ is the closure in $V_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ of the family of those distributions in $V_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ whose support is disjoint from F . The topology on $V_{\text{loc}}(F)$ will be the quotient topology. Note that for compact sets K , the topological spaces $V(K)$ and $V_{\text{loc}}(K)$ are identical.

For $f \in V_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, we put $f_{(F),\text{loc}} := f + J(F)$. If D is a neighbourhood of F in Ω , then each $h \in V_{\text{loc}}(D)$ naturally defines an element (jet) $h_{(F),\text{loc}}$ in $V_{\text{loc}}(F)$ by taking $h_{(F),\text{loc}}$ to be the closure in $V_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ of the set of $f \in V_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ such that $f = h$ (as distributions) in some neighbourhood (depending on f) of F . In particular, this works for each $h \in C^\infty(D) \subset V_{\text{loc}}(D)$. For $f_{(F),\text{loc}} \in V_{\text{loc}}(F)$, we will write $f_{(F),\text{loc}} \in V(F)$ (or more briefly $f \in V(F)$), if $V \cap f_{(F),\text{loc}} \neq \emptyset$. We will then write $\|f_{(F),\text{loc}}\|_F$, or equivalently $\|f\|_F$, to mean $\|g\|_F$, where $g \in V \cap f_{(F),\text{loc}}$. Practically the same proof as in [2, Section 2.1] shows that $V \cap J(F) = I(F)$ holds for each closed set F in Ω , which means that $\|f_{(F),\text{loc}}\|_F$ is well-defined.

For a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, with $\alpha_j \in \mathbf{Z}_+ := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, we let $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$, $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!$, $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\partial^\alpha = (\partial/\partial x_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\partial/\partial x_n)^{\alpha_n}$.

We denote by $B(a, \delta)$ (respectively $\bar{B}(a, \delta)$) the open (respectively closed) ball with center $a \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and radius $\delta > 0$. If $B = B(a, \delta)$ and $\theta > 0$ then $\theta B = B(a, \theta\delta)$ and $\theta\bar{B} = \bar{B}(a, \theta\delta)$.

Throughout this paper we let $L(\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=r} a_\alpha \xi^\alpha$, $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$, be a fixed homogeneous polynomial of degree r ($r \geq 1$) with complex constant coefficients and which satisfies the ellipticity condition $L(\xi) \neq 0$, $\xi \neq 0$. We associate to L the homogeneous elliptic operator of order r

$$L = L(\partial) = \sum_{|\alpha|=r} a_\alpha \partial^\alpha.$$

Let D be an open set in \mathbf{R}^n and denote by $L(D)$ the set of distributions f in D such that $Lf = 0$ in D in the sense of distributions. It is well known [7, Theorem 4.4.1] that $L(D) \hookrightarrow C^\infty(D)$. Therefore if $D \subset \Omega$, then $L(D) \subset V_{\text{loc}}(D)$, and if $\{f_m\}$ is a sequence in $L(D)$ with $f_m \rightarrow f$ in $V_{\text{loc}}(D)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, then $f \in L(D)$, since convergence in $V_{\text{loc}}(D)$ is stronger than convergence in the sense of distributions, which preserves $L(D)$ [7, Theorem 4.4.2].

Functions from $L(D)$ will be called L -analytic in D . We shall also say that a distribution g in D is L -meromorphic in D if $\text{supp}(Lg)$ is discrete in D and for each $a \in \text{supp}(Lg)$ ($a \in D$) there exist h , which is L -analytic in a neighbourhood of a , $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $\lambda_\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k$, such that

$$g(x) = h(x) + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \lambda_\alpha \partial^\alpha \Phi(x - a)$$

in some neighbourhood of a , where Φ is a special fundamental solution of L as described in [7, Theorem 7.1.20]. The points $a \in \text{supp}(Lg)$ will be called the *poles* of g .

We recall (see [3, p. 239] or [15, p. 163]) that there exists a $k > 1$ such that if T is a distribution with compact support contained in $B(a, \delta)$ and $f = \Phi * T$, then, for $|x - a| > k\delta$, we have the *Laurent-type expansion*:

$$(3) \quad f(x) = \langle T(y), \Phi(x - y) \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} c_\alpha \partial^\alpha \Phi(x - a),$$

where $c_\alpha = (-1)^{|\alpha|} (\alpha!)^{-1} \langle T(y), (y - a)^\alpha \rangle$. The series converges in $C^\infty(\{|x - a| > k\delta\})$, which means that the series can be differentiated term by term and all such series converge uniformly on $\{|x - a| \geq k'\delta\}$, $k' > k$.

Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. The *Vitushkin localisation operator* $\mathcal{V}_\varphi: (C_0^\infty(\Omega))^* \rightarrow (C_0^\infty(\Omega))^*$ associated to L and φ is defined as $\mathcal{V}_\varphi f = (\Phi * (\varphi Lf))|_\Omega$, where in the last equality $*$ denotes the convolution operator in \mathbf{R}^n .

Condition 3 We require that for each $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, the operator \mathcal{V}_φ be invariant on $V_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, i.e. \mathcal{V}_φ must send continuously $V_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ into $V_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. This means that if K is a compact subset of Ω and $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset K$, then for each $f \in V_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ one has $\mathcal{V}_\varphi f \in V_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and

$$(4) \quad \|\mathcal{V}_\varphi f\|_K \leq C \|f\|_K,$$

where C is independent of f .

We make one more assumption on V in relation with L .

Condition 4 For each open ball B with $3\bar{B} \subset \Omega$, there exist $d > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for each $h \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfying $Lh = 0$ outside of B and $h(x) = O(|x|^{-d})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, one can find $v \in L(\Omega)$ with

$$(5) \quad (h - v) \in V \quad \text{and} \quad \|h - v\| \leq C \|h\|_{3\bar{B}}.$$

In this assumption, instead of the constant 3, one can take any fixed real number greater than 1.

3 Some Remarks on Conditions 1 to 4

All Conditions 1 to 4 are satisfied by classical (non-weighted) spaces on any domain Ω in \mathbf{R}^n , for example $BC^m(\Omega)$, $BC^{m+\mu}(\Omega)$, $VMO(\Omega)$ and the Sobolev spaces $W_m^p(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. We shall give the definitions and prove this assertion only for the spaces $V = BC^m(\Omega)$ and $BC^{m+\mu}(\Omega)$.

For $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, let $BC^m(\Omega)$ be the space of all m -times continuously differentiable functions $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with (finite) norm

$$\|f\|_{m,\Omega} = \max_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial^\alpha f(x)|.$$

If $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $0 < \mu < 1$, then

$$BC^{m+\mu}(\Omega) = \{f \in BC^m(\Omega) \mid \omega_\mu^m(f, \infty) < \infty \text{ and } \omega_\mu^m(f, \delta) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0\},$$

where $\omega_\mu^m(f, \delta) = \sup \frac{|\partial^\alpha f(x) - \partial^\alpha f(y)|}{|x-y|^\mu}$, the supremum being taken over all multi-index α such that $|\alpha| = m$ and all $x, y \in \Omega$ with $0 < |x - y| < \delta$. The norm in this space is defined as

$$\|f\|_{m+\mu, \Omega} = \max\{\|f\|_{m, \Omega}, \omega_\mu^m(f, \infty)\}.$$

We shall omit the index Ω in the last norm whenever $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^n$. Finally, for any $\rho \geq 0$, we set $C^\rho(\Omega) = (BC^\rho(\Omega))_{\text{loc}}$.

Proposition 1 *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, and let $\rho \geq 0$. Then the pair $(L, V(\Omega))$ with $V(\Omega) = BC^\rho(\Omega)$ satisfies Conditions 1, 2, 3 and satisfies Condition 4 with $\nu = 0$.*

Proof Conditions 1 and 2 are easily verified. Condition 3 is proved in [10, Corollary 5.6] in the case $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^n$ for all spaces mentioned above, since $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is locally dense in each of them. As Condition 3 is local, it holds for each pair $(L, V(\Omega))$ under consideration.

To obtain Condition 4 with $\nu = 0$, we can easily use [2, Lemma 1] (see also [11, Lemma 2]). In fact, by this lemma, for each open ball B with $3\bar{B} \subset \Omega$, we even can find $d > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that if h satisfies the hypotheses of Condition 4 with this d , then

$$\|h\|_\rho \leq C\|h\|_{\rho, 3\bar{B}}.$$

Since $\|h\|_{\rho, \Omega} \leq \|h\|_\rho$, the proof is complete. ■

In [2, Corollary 1] (see also the brief discussion thereafter) and [11, Theorem 4] one sees how (whenever Conditions 1 to 3 are satisfied) Condition 4 can affect L -meromorphic and L -analytic approximation in the special case of weighted uniform holomorphic approximation ($n = 2, L = \bar{\partial}$).

We also wish to present here an example of a pair (L, V) satisfying Conditions 1, 2 and 4 (with $\nu = 0$), but not 3. Hence, this example eludes our method. The example seems new even without considering Condition 4.

Take $L = \bar{\partial}, \Omega = \mathbf{R}^2 (= \mathbf{C}), B_1 = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$ (the unit disk), and let

$$V = BC^0(\mathbf{R}^2) \cap BC^1(B_1) \quad \text{with norm} \quad \|f\| = \max\{\|f\|_0, \|f\|_{1, B_1}\}.$$

Conditions 1 and 2 are easily verified. Condition 4 (with $\nu = 0, d = 1$) follows from the maximum principle and from trivial estimates of derivatives (outside $2\bar{B}$) of a function, holomorphic outside \bar{B} and vanishing at ∞ . Finally, fixing any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(3B_1)$ such that $\varphi(z) = \bar{z}$ on $2B_1$, one can check that there exists $f \in BC^0(\mathbf{R}^2), f = 0$ in B_1 , with $\mathcal{V}_\varphi f|_{B_1}$ not in $BC^1(B_1)$. In fact, in this case

$$\mathcal{V}_\varphi f(w) = f(w)\varphi(w) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{f(z)\bar{\partial}\varphi(z)}{w-z} dx_1 dx_2 \quad z = x_1 + ix_2,$$

so that one needs only to study the behavior (in B_1) of the function

$$\int_{2B_1 \setminus B_1} \frac{f(z)}{(w - z)^2} dx_1 dx_2.$$

Easily, there is $g \in C(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $g \geq 0$, $\text{supp}(g) \subset \{x_1 \geq 2|x_2|\} \cap B_1$, such that

$$\int \frac{g(z)}{|z|^2} dx_1 dx_2 = +\infty.$$

It is enough to take $f(z) = g(z-1)$ and let $w \in (0, 1)$ tend to 1. Indeed, set $1 - w = \delta$. Then, it is enough to show that

$$\int \frac{g(z)}{(z + \delta)^2} dx_1 dx_2$$

is unbounded as δ tends to zero. In fact,

$$\text{Re} \left(\frac{1}{(z + \delta)^2} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2|z + \delta|^2}$$

on $\text{supp}(g)$, and if the integrals

$$\int \frac{g(z)}{|z + \delta|^2} dx_1 dx_2$$

were uniformly bounded for $\delta \in (0, 1)$, then by Fatou’s lemma, the integral with $\delta = 0$ would be convergent, which is not the case.

The following proposition provides us with another class of examples for which Conditions 1 to 4 are satisfied. These in turn will allow us to obtain in Section 4 new results on “tangential” approximation. Given m and q in \mathbf{Z}_+ , with $q \leq m$, and a bounded domain Ω , set

$$BC_q^m(\Omega) = \{f \in BC^m(\Omega) \mid \text{for each } \alpha, |\alpha| \leq q, \lim_{x \rightarrow \partial\Omega} \partial^\alpha f(x) = 0\},$$

which is a Banach space with the norm $\|f\|_{m,\Omega}$.

Proposition 2 *Let L be a strongly elliptic operator of order $r = 2\ell$, $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $\ell \geq 1$ (see [1, p. 46]). Let $m, q \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $m \geq \ell - 1$, $q \leq \ell - 1$. If Ω is bounded and $\partial\Omega$ is of class C^s , $s = \max\{2\ell, [n/2] + 1 + m\}$ (see [1, p. 128]), then the pair $(L, V = BC_q^m(\Omega))$ satisfies Conditions 1 to 4.*

Proof Since $(BC_q^m(\Omega))_{\text{loc}} = C^m(\Omega)$, Conditions 1, 2 and 3 are satisfied. Let us prove Condition 4. Fix any ball B , $3\bar{B} \subset \Omega$, and take any $h \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $Lh = 0$ outside B . Now, results on solvability and regularity of the classical Dirichlet problem applied to the operator L (see [1, Theorem 8.2 and Lemma 7.7, Theorem 9.8 and Lemma 9.1, Theorem 3.9]) show that under the hypotheses of Proposition 2, there

exists $v_0 \in C^m(\bar{\Omega}) \cap L(\Omega)$ such that $u_0 = h - v_0$ satisfies $\partial^\alpha u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ for each α , $|\alpha| \leq \ell - 1$ (so that $h - v_0 \in V$), and moreover

$$\|u_0\| \equiv \|u_0\|_{m,\Omega} \leq C_1 \|h\|_{s,\Omega},$$

where C_1 is independent of h . We observe that we have not used here the property $Lh = 0$ in $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus B$. We also remark that our notations for m and $\|\cdot\|_{m,\Omega}$ are different from those of [1], and that the last inequality follows from [1, (9.23)] since

$$\|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|u_0\|_{W_\ell^2(\Omega)} \leq C_2 \|h\|_{W_\ell^2(\Omega)},$$

by [1, Theorems 8.1 and 8.2].

By [11, Lemmas 1 and 3], we can choose $d > 0$ and $C_3 > 0$ (independently of h) such that if additionally $h(x) = O(|x|^{-d})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, then (see also [2, Lemma 1])

$$h = \Phi * Lh, \quad \text{and} \quad \|h\|_{m,\Omega} \leq \|h\|_{m,\mathbf{R}^n} \leq C_3 \|h\|_{m,3B}.$$

Fix $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\frac{3}{2}B)$, $\chi = 1$ on B . Then for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 2\bar{B}$, we get

$$h(x) = \int_B \Phi(x - y)Lh(y)\chi(y) dy = \int_B L(\chi(y)\Phi(x - y))h(y) dy$$

and so since Ω is bounded,

$$\|h\|_{s,\Omega \setminus 2\bar{B}} \leq C_4 \|h\|_{0,\frac{3}{2}B} \leq C_4 \|h\|_{m,3B}.$$

We can now find a function $h_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $h_1 = h$ on $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 2\bar{B}$ such that

$$\|h_1\|_{s,\Omega} \leq C_5 \|h\|_{s,\Omega \setminus 2\bar{B}} \leq C_6 \|h\|_{m,3B}.$$

Let now v_1 and $u_1 = h_1 - v_1$ satisfy the same properties as the functions v_0 and u_0 above, but taken with h_1 instead of h . Then

$$\|u_1\|_{m,\Omega} \leq C_2 \|h_1\|_{s,\Omega} \leq C_7 \|h\|_{m,3B}.$$

The function $v = v_1$ is as desired. In fact, since $\partial^\alpha u_1 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ for $|\alpha| \leq \ell - 1$, then

$$\partial^\alpha (h - v)|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial^\alpha (h_1 - v_1)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

for $|\alpha| \leq \ell - 1$, so that $h - v \in V(\Omega)$. Finally

$$\|h - v\|_{m,\Omega} = \|h - h_1 + h_1 - v_1\|_{m,\Omega} \leq \|h\|_{m,\Omega} + \|h_1\|_{m,\Omega} + \|u_1\|_{m,\Omega} \leq C \|h\|_{m,3B},$$

since clearly

$$\|h_1\|_{m,\Omega} \leq \|h_1\|_{s,\Omega} \leq C_6 \|h\|_{m,3B}.$$

Note that the constants C_2 to C_7 and C are independent of h . This ends the proof. ■

Let Ω be any domain in \mathbf{R}^n . Denote by $\Omega^* = \Omega \cup \{*\}$ the one point compactification of Ω and by X° the interior of a set X . For $i \geq 1$, let

$$X_i = \{x \in \Omega \mid \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \geq 1/i, |x| \leq i\}.$$

Then each X_i is a compact subset of Ω such that both $\Omega^* \setminus X_i$ and $\Omega^* \setminus X_i^\circ$ are connected and such that $X_i \subset X_{i+1}^\circ$.

In the next sections, we shall need frequently the following easy consequence of a very general version of Runge's theorem.

Proposition 3 *Assume $V = V(\Omega)$ satisfies Conditions 1 and 2. Then, given $i \geq 1$, $\varepsilon_i > 0$ and $f \in L(X_{i+1}^\circ)$, one can find $h_i \in L(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\|f - h_i\|_{X_i} \leq \varepsilon_i.$$

Proof By the generalization of Runge's theorem found in [7, Theorem 4.4.5], there exists a sequence $\{g_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset L(\Omega)$ such that $g_m \rightarrow f$ in $C^\infty(X_{i+1}^\circ)$ and hence $g_m \rightarrow f$ in $V(X_i)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, which gives the result if one takes $h_i = g_m$ for some m sufficiently large. ■

4 Approximation Theorems

As in [2, Section 3], a closed set F in Ω will be called a Roth-Keldysh-Lavrent'ev set in Ω , or more simply an Ω -RKL set, if $\Omega^* \setminus F$ is connected and locally connected. In this section, we formulate our main approximation results. They extend the analogous ones of [2] from \mathbf{R}^n to general domains Ω . Using Proposition 2, concrete new applications to "tangential" approximation are also obtained (see Theorem 4(iii)). Note that Carleman-type approximation results will also be presented in Section 6 with interesting applications to the boundary behaviour of L -analytic functions.

We first obtain sufficient conditions for approximation of Runge-type on closed sets.

Theorem 1 *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. Let $(L, V(\Omega))$ be a pair satisfying Conditions 1 to 4, F be a (relatively) closed subset of Ω , and f be L -analytic in some neighbourhood of F in Ω . Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an L -meromorphic function g on Ω with poles off F such that $(f_{(F),\text{loc}} - g_{(F),\text{loc}}) \in V(F)$ and*

$$\|f - g\|_F < \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, if F is an Ω -RKL set, then g can be chosen in $L(\Omega)$.

The next theorem deals with approximation of a single function and shows that the problem is essentially local.

Theorem 2 *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbf{R}^n ($n \geq 2$), $(L, V(\Omega))$ be a pair satisfying Conditions 1 to 4, F be a (relatively) closed subset of Ω , and $f \in V_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) for each positive number ε , there exists an L -meromorphic function g in Ω with poles off F such that $(f_{(F),\text{loc}} - g_{(F),\text{loc}}) \in V(F)$ and $\|f - g\|_F < \varepsilon$;
- (ii) for each ball $B, \bar{B} \subset \Omega$ and positive number ε , there exists g such that $Lg = 0$ on some neighbourhood of $F \cap \bar{B}$ and $\|f - g\|_{F \cap \bar{B}} < \varepsilon$;
- (iii) the previous property is satisfied by each ball from some locally finite family of balls $\{B'_j\}$ covering F , where $\bar{B}'_j \subset \Omega$ for each j .

For any subset X of \mathbf{R}^n , we let $L(X)$ stand for the collection of all functions f defined and L -analytic in some neighbourhood (depending on f) of X . For a closed set F in Ω we denote by $M_{LV}(F)$ (respectively $E_{LV}(F)$) the space of all $f_{(F),\text{loc}} \in V_{\text{loc}}(F)$ which satisfy the following property: for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an L -meromorphic function g in Ω with poles outside of F (respectively a function $g \in L(\Omega)$) such that $f - g \in V(F)$ and $\|f - g\|_F < \varepsilon$. We also introduce the space $V_L(F) = V_{\text{loc}}(F) \cap L(F^\circ)$. Whenever Conditions 1 to 4 hold, we have that by Theorem 1, $M_{LV}(F)$ is the closure in $V_{\text{loc}}(F)$ of the space $\{h_{(F),\text{loc}} \in V_{\text{loc}}(F) \mid h \in L(F)\}$. Moreover, if F is an Ω -RKL set, then $M_{LV}(F) = E_{LV}(F)$.

We now study the necessity of being a Ω -RKL set for approximation by L -analytic functions.

Let K be a compact set in Ω . Denote by \hat{K} the union of K and all the (connected) components of $\Omega \setminus K$ which are pre-compact in Ω . Obviously, the property $\hat{K} = K$ means precisely that $\Omega^* \setminus K$ is connected, so that K is a Ω -RKL set.

Define

$$N(K) = N_{LV}(K) = \{a \in \hat{K} \setminus K \mid (\Phi_a)_{(K)} \notin E_{LV}(K)\},$$

where $\Phi_a(x) = \Phi(x - a)$.

Condition N We shall say that a pair $(L, V(\Omega))$ satisfies Condition N (“nonremovability of holes”) if $N(K) \neq \emptyset$ for each compact set K with “holes”, i.e. such that $K \neq \hat{K}$.

Remark 1 The same proof as in [2, Proposition 2] shows that $(L, V(\Omega))$ satisfies Condition N whenever all of the following conditions hold:

- (1) $(L, V(\Omega))$ satisfies Conditions 1 and 2;
- (2) $n = 2$ or $n \geq 3$ and L has the following symbol:

$$L(\xi) = P_2(\xi)Q_{r-2}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

where P_2 is some homogeneous (elliptic) polynomial of order two with real coefficients (so that P_2 has constant sign in $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$), and Q_{r-2} is some homogeneous polynomial of order $r - 2$;

- (3) $\text{Ord}(V) \geq r - 1$.

For the definition of $\text{Ord}(V)$ when Ω is \mathbf{R}^n , see [2, Section 4.3]. Replacing \mathbf{R}^n by Ω everywhere in that definition, we get the corresponding definition of $\text{Ord}(V(\Omega))$ for an arbitrary domain Ω .

One can also find in [2, Section 4.2] some informative examples concerning Condition N.

Theorem 3 If $(L, V(\Omega))$ satisfies Conditions 1 to 4, then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) for each (relatively) closed set $F \subset \Omega$ one has

$$M_{LV}(F) = E_{LV}(F) \iff \{F \text{ is a } \Omega\text{-RKL set}\};$$

(ii) for each compact set $K \subset \Omega$,

$$M_{LV}(K) = E_{LV}(K) \iff \{\Omega^* \setminus K \text{ is connected}\};$$

(iii) the pair $(L, V(\Omega))$ satisfies Condition N.

Remark 2 Our proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) in fact shows that if for some compact set K in Ω there is a function $f \in L(K)$ which is not in $E_{LV}(K)$, then the same is true for some $\Phi_a, a \in \hat{K} \setminus K$.

From Theorems 2 and 3, it is not difficult to obtain the corresponding approximation (reduction) theorems for classes of functions (jets), analogous to that of [2, Proposition 1]. In this direction, we present only the following result which extends [2, Theorem 4]. Note that (iii) is a result on tangential approximation.

Theorem 4 Let L (of order r) satisfy property (2) of Remark 1, Ω be an arbitrary domain in \mathbf{R}^n and F be a closed subset of Ω .

(i) For $V = BC^\rho(\Omega)$, where $\rho \in (r - 1, r)$ (see Section 3), the equality $V_L(F) = M_{LV}(F)$ holds if and only if there exists a constant $A \in (0, +\infty)$ such that for each ball B in Ω

$$M_*^{n-r+\rho}(B \setminus F^\circ) \leq AM^{n-r+\rho}(B \setminus F).$$

(ii) For $V = BC^m(\Omega)$ ($m = r, r + 1, \dots$) or $V = BC^\rho(\Omega)$ ($\rho > r, \rho \notin \mathbf{Z}$) the equality $V_L(F) = M_{LV}(F)$ holds if and only if F° is dense in F .

(iii) Let L, Ω and $V = BC_q^m(\Omega)$ be as in Proposition 2, and additionally suppose that $m \geq r$. Then the equality $V_L(F) = M_{LV}(F)$ holds if and only if F° is dense in F .

(iv) For each space $V(\Omega)$, which is mentioned in (i), (ii) or (iii), the equality $V_L(F) = E_{LV}(F)$ holds if and only if $V_L(F) = M_{LV}(F)$ and (at the same time) F is a Ω -RKL set.

Here $M^{n-r+\rho}(\cdot)$ and $M_*^{n-r+\rho}(\cdot)$ are the Hausdorff and lower Hausdorff contents of order $n - r + \rho$ respectively (cf. [15]).

5 Proofs of Theorems 1, 2, 3 and 4

Fix a pair $(L, V(\Omega))$ satisfying Conditions 1 to 4, and let $k = k(L) > 1$ be the constant which appears in (3).

Lemma 1 Let $B = B(a, \delta)$ be a ball in Ω with $6k\bar{B} \subset \Omega$ and T be a distribution with $\text{supp}(T) \subset B$. Set $h = \Phi * T$ and let

$$h_m = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} c_\alpha \partial^\alpha \Phi(x - a)$$

be the partial sums of the Laurent series expansion of h outside $k\bar{B}$ (see (3)). Then there exists $M \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ such that for all $m \geq M$, one can find $v_m \in L(\Omega)$ such that $h - h_m - v_m \in V(\Omega \setminus 2kB)$ and

$$\|h - h_m - v_m\|_{\Omega \setminus 2kB} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof First recall that $h_m \rightarrow h$ in $C^\infty(\Omega \setminus k\bar{B})$. Let $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that

$$\psi = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{in a neighbourhood of } k\bar{B} \\ 1 & \text{in a neighbourhood of } \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 2kB. \end{cases}$$

Take d from Condition 4 for the ball $2kB$ and the pair (L, V) . Since we have that $\psi h_m \rightarrow \psi h$ in $C^\infty(\Omega)$, there exists $M \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ such that for $m \geq M$, one has

$$h_m^* \equiv \psi(h - h_m) = O(|x|^{-d}) \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using Condition 4 when $m \geq M$, we can find $v_m \in L(\Omega)$ such that $(h_m^* - v_m) \in V$ and

$$\|h_m^* - v_m\| \leq C \|h_m^*\|_{6k\bar{B}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

By definition, $(h - h_m - v_m) \in V(\Omega \setminus 2kB)$ and

$$\|h - h_m - v_m\|_{\Omega \setminus 2kB} \leq \|h_m^* - v_m\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

The lemma is proved. ■

Proof of Theorem 1 The proof relies on a localization technique. Let f be a function L -analytic on some neighbourhood U of F in Ω and U_1 be a neighbourhood of F , with $\bar{U}_1 \subset U$. We extend f to a function (also denoted by f) in $C^\infty(\Omega)$ so that f is still L -analytic in a neighbourhood of \bar{U}_1 . We can find a family of couples $\{B(a_j, \delta_j), \varphi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ where the family of balls $\{B_j = B(a_j, \delta_j)\}$ is locally finite in Ω , $6k\bar{B}_j \subset \Omega \setminus F$, each $\varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(B_j)$, with $0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \varphi_j = 1$ on some neighbourhood U_2 of $\Omega \setminus U_1$.

Let $f_j = \mathcal{V}_{\varphi_j} f = \Phi * (\varphi_j Lf)$. Each f_j is in $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Let $\{X_i\}$, $i \geq 1$, be the sequence of compact sets described before Proposition 3. Put $J_i = \{j \mid B_j \cap X_{i+1} \neq \emptyset\}$. Note that $L(f - \sum_{j \in J_1} f_j) = Lf - \sum_{j \in J_1} \varphi_j Lf = Lf(1 - \sum_{j \in J_1} \varphi_j) = 0$ (i.e. $f - \sum_{j \in J_1} f_j$ is L -analytic) in X_2° . By Proposition 3, one can find $P_1 \in L(\Omega)$ such that

$$\left\| f - \left(\sum_{J_1} f_j \right) - P_1 \right\|_{X_1} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now, since $f - (\sum_{J_1} f_j) - P_1 - (\sum_{J_2 \setminus J_1} f_j)$ is L -analytic in X_3° , there exists $P_2 \in L(\Omega)$ such that

$$\left\| f - \left(\sum_{J_1} f_j \right) - P_1 - \left(\sum_{J_2 \setminus J_1} f_j \right) - P_2 \right\|_{X_2} < \frac{1}{2^2}.$$

Inductively, we can thus find $P_i \in L(\Omega)$ such that

$$\left\| f - \left(\sum_{J_1} f_j \right) - P_1 - \left(\sum_{J_2 \setminus J_1} f_j \right) - P_2 - \dots - \left(\sum_{J_i \setminus J_{i-1}} f_j \right) - P_i \right\|_{X_i} < \frac{1}{2^i}.$$

so that, setting $J_0 = \emptyset$, the equality

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{J_i \setminus J_{i-1}} f_j + P_i \right)$$

holds in $V_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$.

Now, from (3), each f_j has a Laurent series expansion

$$f_j(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} c_\alpha^j \partial^\alpha \Phi(x - a_j)$$

valid outside $k\bar{B}_j$, and thus on a neighbourhood of F . Using Lemma 1, given any $\eta_j > 0$, there exists $m_j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $v_j \in L(\Omega)$ such that if

$$g_j(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{m_j} c_\alpha^j \partial^\alpha \Phi(x - a_j),$$

then $(f_j - g_j - v_j) \in V(\Omega \setminus 2kB_j)$ and $\|f_j - g_j - v_j\|_{\Omega \setminus 2kB_j} < \eta_j$.

Put $F_1 = \Omega \setminus \cup_j(2kB_j)$; then $F \subset F_1^\circ$ and, for all j , $(f_j - g_j - v_j) \in V(F_1)$, $\|f_j - g_j - v_j\|_{F_1} < \eta_j$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose the sequence $\{\eta_j\}$, $\eta_j > 0$, such that $\sum_j \eta_j < \varepsilon$. Define

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{J_i \setminus J_{i-1}} (g_j + v_j) + P_i \right).$$

Since for each $m \geq 1$ the series

$$\sum_{i=m+1}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{J_i \setminus J_{i-1}} (g_j + v_j) + P_i \right)$$

converges in $V(X_m)$, g is L -meromorphic in Ω with ‘‘poles’’ only (possibly) at a_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Moreover $g \in V_{\text{loc}}(F_1)$ and

$$(f - g)_{(F_1), \text{loc}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{J_i \setminus J_{i-1}} (f_j - g_j - v_j)_{(F_1), \text{loc}} \right).$$

But then $f - g \in V(F)$ and

$$\|f - g\|_F < \varepsilon,$$

since $(f - g)_{(F),loc}$ can be defined by the element

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{J_i \setminus J_{i-1}} \Psi_j \right),$$

where $\Psi_j \in V$ are such that $(\Psi_j)_{(\Omega \setminus 2kB_j)} = (f - g_j - v_j)_{(\Omega \setminus 2kB_j)}$ and $\|\Psi_j\| \leq \eta_j$. This proves the first part of Theorem 1.

Now assume that F is a RKL-set in Ω , i.e. $\Omega^* \setminus F$ is connected and locally connected. It suffices to show that there exists a function $h \in L(\Omega)$ such that

$$\|g - h\|_F < \varepsilon.$$

Let $\{a_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ be the sequence of ‘‘poles’’ of g in Ω . Each $a_j \in \Omega \setminus F$ and the sequence has no limit points in Ω . Since $\Omega^* \setminus F$ is connected and locally connected at the ‘‘point’’ $*$, we can find paths σ_j from a_j to $*$, $\sigma_j \subset \Omega \setminus F$, such that the family of curves $\{\sigma_j\}$ is locally finite in Ω .

For a fixed j , we can find sequences $\{a_{j_m}\}_{m=0}^{\infty} \subset \sigma_j$ and $\{r_{j_m}\}_{m=0}^{\infty} \subset (0, 1)$ such that $a_{j_0} = a_j$, $a_{j_m} \rightarrow *$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, $|a_{j_m} - a_{j_{m+1}}| < r_{j_{m+1}}$, $B_{j_m} = B(a_{j_m}, 7kr_{j_m}) \subset \Omega \setminus F$. Additionally we can require that the family of balls $\{B_{j_m}\}$ is locally finite in Ω . If $G_j = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} B_{j_m}$ then $\bar{G}_j \cap F = \emptyset$ and $\{G_j\}$ is also locally finite in Ω .

Set $h_0 = g$. We construct a sequence of functions h_j such that h_j is L -meromorphic on Ω , h_j has the same poles (and singular parts) as h_{j-1} except at a_j where h_j is L -analytic, and such that

$$\|h_{j-1} - h_j\|_{\Omega \setminus G_j} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}.$$

If such a sequence exists, then $h = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} h_j$ is in $L(\Omega)$. Indeed, by construction (since $\{G_j\}$ is locally finite), we have $G_j \rightarrow \{*\}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and thus $\{h_j\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $V(X_i)$ for each i . Moreover convergence in $V_{loc}(\Omega)$ preserves L -analyticity. Finally we would have

$$\|g - h\|_F < \varepsilon,$$

as desired.

To construct the functions h_j ($h_0 = g$), assume that h_ℓ has been constructed for $\ell \leq j - 1$. Let s_0 be the singular part of h_{j-1} at $a_j = a_{j_0}$. By Lemma 1 (applied to $h = s_0$ and $a = a_{j_1}$), we can find an L -meromorphic function s_1 in Ω whose only singularity is at a_{j_1} and such that

$$\|s_0 - s_1\|_{\Omega \setminus B_{j_1}} < \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}.$$

By induction, construct an L -meromorphic function s_m whose only singularity is at a_{j_m} and such that

$$\|s_{m-1} - s_m\|_{\Omega \setminus B_{j_m}} < \left(\frac{1}{2^m}\right) \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}.$$

Finally set

$$h_j = h_{j-1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (s_m - s_{m-1}).$$

The function h_j has the desired properties. ■

The proofs of Theorems 2, 3 and 4 are also very similar to the proofs of the corresponding Theorems in [2], and will not be reproduced here. We simply note that \mathbf{R}^n is to be replaced everywhere by Ω , $\{\infty\}$ (of \mathbf{R}^n_∞) by $\{*\}$, P_{LV} by E_{LV} , “bounded” by “precompact in Ω ” and so on. Our Theorem 1 above replaces the corresponding Theorem 1 of [2]. Balls also sometimes need to be replaced by sets having appropriate properties. For example, in the proof of Theorem 1 above, balls $\bar{B}(0, i)$ were replaced by sets X_i , where, for each i , $\Omega^* \setminus X_i$ was connected. In Theorem 3, the balls $B(0, R)$, $B(0, 2R)$ and $B(0, 3R)$ need to be replaced respectively by Ω -precompact domains U , U_1 and U_2 such that ∂U is smooth, $\bar{U} \subset U_1 \subset \bar{U}_1 \subset U_2$ and the union of all Ω -precompact components of $(\Omega \setminus F) \setminus \bar{U}$ is not precompact in Ω . The existence of such domains follows from the existence of an exhaustion of Ω by smooth domains (which are precompact in Ω) and the assumption made in the proof that $\Omega^* \setminus F$ is not locally connected (see also [5, Chapter IV, Section 2 B]). Of course, the corresponding conditions on D_m and a_m need to be changed accordingly. Moreover, the following version of [2, Lemma 5] is needed in Theorem 3.

Lemma 2 For each open sets U_1, U_2 such that $\bar{U}_1 \subset U_2 \subset \bar{U}_2 \subset \Omega$, there exists a positive constant A (depending only on the space V and the sets U_1 and U_2) such that for any compact set K and for each $f_{(K)} \in V(K)$ one has

$$\|f\|_K \leq A(\|f\|_{K \cap \bar{U}_2} + \|f\|_{K \setminus U_1}).$$

We leave the details to the reader.

6 Boundary Behaviour of L -Analytic Functions

Let \mathcal{L}_r^n stand for the class of all homogeneous elliptic operators of order r in \mathbf{R}^n ($n \geq 2, r \geq 1$) with constant complex coefficients (see Section 2 above).

In this section, given $L \in \mathcal{L}_r^n$ and a domain Ω satisfying some mild conditions, we will construct in Ω solutions of the equation $Lu = 0$ having some prescribed boundary behaviour.

6.1 No Limits at the Boundary

Let Ω be a domain in \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, $\Omega \neq \mathbf{R}^n$, and let $b \in \partial\Omega$. We shall say that a (continuous) path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is *admissible for Ω with end point b* if $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ and $\gamma(1) = b$. Given a continuous function f in Ω , denote by $\mathcal{C}_\gamma(f)$ the *cluster set of f along γ at b* , that is:

$$\mathcal{C}_\gamma(f) = \{ w \in \mathbf{C}^* \mid \text{there exists a sequence } \{t_n\} \subset [0, 1] \\ \text{such that } t_n \rightarrow 1 \text{ and } f(\gamma(t_n)) \rightarrow w \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \}.$$

Theorem 5 Let $L \in \mathcal{L}_r^n$, and let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, $\Omega \neq \mathbf{R}^n$, be a domain such that its boundary $\partial\Omega$ has no (connected) components that consist of a single point. Then there exists $g \in L(\Omega)$ with the property that for each $b \in \partial\Omega$, for each admissible path γ for Ω ending at b and for each $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n$, one has

$$\mathcal{C}_\gamma(\partial^\alpha g) = \mathbf{C}^*.$$

The following proposition and remark show that, at least for $L = \Delta$ in \mathbf{R}^n and $L = \partial/\partial\bar{z}$ in \mathbf{R}^2 , our theorem is close to being sharp.

Proposition 4 If Ω is a domain in \mathbf{R}^n such that $\partial\Omega$ has an isolated point $b \in \mathbf{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$, then for each function f harmonic in Ω or (if $n = 2$) for each function f holomorphic in Ω , there exists an admissible path γ for Ω ending at b such that $\mathcal{C}_\gamma(f)$ is a single point in \mathbf{C}^* .

Remark 3 It follows from Proposition 4 that for each $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n$ there exists an admissible path γ_α for Ω ending at b such that $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma_\alpha}(\partial^\alpha f)$ is just a single point in \mathbf{C}^* since the point b is also an isolated singularity of the harmonic (or holomorphic) function $\partial^\alpha f$.

Proof of Proposition 4 It is well known that if f is bounded at b (that is in some punctured neighbourhood of b), then f has a removable singularity at b and that consequently the proposition holds for every admissible path.

If f is unbounded at b , then the result follows from a generalization of a theorem of Iversen due to B. Fuglede (see [4, Corollary 1]). ■

Lemma 3 Let $L \in \mathcal{L}_r^n$. For each $\beta \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n$ there exists a homogeneous polynomial $P_\beta \in L(\mathbf{R}^n)$ of degree $|\beta|$ with $\partial^\beta P_\beta \equiv 1$.

Proof The lemma is obvious if $|\beta| < r$. So let us assume that $|\beta| \geq r$. We claim that $\partial^\beta \Phi \neq 0$ on $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, where Φ is a special fundamental solution for L as before (see Section 2).

Assuming the claim, fix a point $a \neq 0$ where $\partial^\beta \Phi(a) \neq 0$. By Taylor’s formula, we have

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x)$$

where

$$Q_k(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{\partial^\alpha \Phi(a)}{\alpha!} (x - a)^\alpha$$

belongs to $L(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (see [2, Section 2.4]). It suffices to take

$$P_\beta = \frac{Q_{|\beta|}}{\partial^\beta \Phi(a)}.$$

To prove the claim, note that by [15, Lemma 1.1], one has in fact that

$$\partial^\beta \Phi(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|-r} c_\alpha \partial^\alpha \delta(x) + K(x),$$

where $\delta(\cdot)$ is the Dirac delta function, $c_\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ and K is a Calderón-Zygmund $(n + |\beta| - r)$ -dimensional kernel. Assuming that $\partial^\beta \Phi(x) = 0$ for all $x \neq 0$, then $K(x) \equiv 0$. Thus

$$(-i)^r \xi^\beta \tilde{\Phi}(\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|-r} c_\alpha \xi^\alpha,$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}$ denotes the Fourier transform of Φ . On the other hand, since $L\Phi = \delta(\cdot)$, one has

$$(-i)^r L(\xi) \tilde{\Phi}(\xi) \equiv 1.$$

It follows that $\xi^\beta = A(\xi)L(\xi)$, where A is a polynomial. Choose $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ with $\eta_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and fix $(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = (\eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$. We have, for all ξ_1 (after division by $\eta_2^{\beta_2} \dots \eta_n^{\beta_n}$):

$$\xi_1^{\beta_1} = A_1(\xi_1)L_1(\xi_1),$$

where $L_1(\xi_1) = L(\xi_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$ and $A_1(\xi_1)$ are also polynomials. The polynomial $L_1(\xi_1)$ has no zeros (on \mathbf{R}) and divides $\xi_1^{\beta_1}$, so that it is constant. Similarly, we can show that L is constant on each line through η which is parallel to a coordinate axis. Since this is true for each point η in the open cone $\{\eta \mid \eta_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, n\}$, we conclude that the polynomial $L(\xi)$ is constant in this cone and hence identically constant. Thus $L = L(0) = 0$, since L is homogeneous of order $r \geq 1$. This contradicts the ellipticity hypothesis, proves the claim and ends the proof of the lemma. ■

Proof of Theorem 5 Following the idea of the proof of [5, Chapter IV, Section 5, Theorem 4], we will construct a set of Carleman approximation which must be intersected infinitely often by every admissible path.

By Whitney’s approximation theorem [9, Theorem 1.6.5], we can find a real analytic function Ψ on Ω such that for each $x \in \Omega$ one has

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{2} \min \left(\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega), \frac{1}{|x|} \right) \leq \Psi(x) \leq 2 \min \left(\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega), \frac{1}{|x|} \right)$$

From Sard’s theorem [9, Theorem 1.4.6], we can find a sequence $\{\rho_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$, $\rho_j \searrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ such that the level sets $R_j = \{x \in \Omega \mid \Psi(x) = \rho_j\}$ do not contain any critical point of Ψ , i.e. $\nabla \Psi \neq 0$ on R_j and R_j consists of only finitely many C^∞ -smooth (in fact real analytic) hypersurfaces. Let $\Omega_j = \{x \in \Omega \mid \Psi(x) > \rho_j\}$. We additionally require (as we can) that $(\overline{\Omega_j})^\wedge \subset \Omega_{j+1}$. We define $E_j = \partial((\overline{\Omega_j})^\wedge)$ and note that E_j also consists of finitely many C^∞ -smooth closed hypersurfaces which we denote $E_{j\nu}$, $1 \leq \nu \leq k_j$.

For positive but small enough δ_j , the δ_j -neighbourhood Ω'_j of $(\overline{\Omega_j})^\wedge$ is C^∞ -smooth, $(\overline{\Omega'_j})^\wedge = \overline{\Omega'_j}$ and $E'_j = \partial\Omega'_j$ has the same number k_j of components $E'_{j\nu}$

as E_j . The sequence $\{\delta_j\}$ is also chosen to satisfy $\delta_j \searrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, $\overline{\Omega'_j} \subset \Omega_{j+1}$, $\text{dist}(E'_j, E_{j+1}) \geq 2\delta_j$ and $\delta_j < \min_\nu(\text{diam } E_{j\nu})/10$. Choose $a_{j\nu} \in E_{j\nu}$ and $a'_{j\nu} \in E'_{j\nu}$ such that

$$(7) \quad |a_{j\nu} - a'_{j\nu}| \geq \frac{\text{diam}(E_{j\nu})}{2}.$$

Now let

$$K_j = \overline{\Omega'_j},$$

$$F_j = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{k_j} \{ (E_{j\nu} \setminus B(a_{j\nu}, \delta_j)) \cup (E'_{j\nu} \setminus B(a'_{j\nu}, \delta_j)) \},$$

and define

$$F = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} F_j.$$

For each j , we can find disjoint closed η_j -neighbourhoods G_j of F_j (with $0 < \eta_j < \delta_j/4$) such that $G_{j+1} \cap K_j = \emptyset$ and $\Omega^* \setminus (G_{j+1} \cup K_j)$ is connected.

Finally we define the function f , L -analytic in some neighbourhood of the set $G = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} G_j$ as follows. For each $\beta \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n$, we can find $I_\beta \subset \mathbf{Z}_+$ such that $\bigcup_{\beta \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n} I_\beta = \mathbf{Z}_+$, each I_β contains infinitely many elements and $I_\beta \cap I_{\beta'} = \emptyset$ for $\beta \neq \beta'$. Let $\{\lambda_i^\beta\}_{i \in I_\beta}$ be a fixed sequence in \mathbf{C} such that \mathbf{C}^* is the set of its limit points. Now fix $j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$. Then j is in position i_j in I_β for some (unique) $\beta \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n$. Let $P_\beta \in L(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be a polynomial of degree $|\beta|$ with $\partial^\beta P_\beta \equiv 1$ (see Lemma 3), and let U_j be pairwise disjoint (open) neighbourhoods of G_j such that $\overline{U_{j+1}} \cap K_j = \emptyset$ for all j . Then define f on U_j as

$$f(x) = \lambda_{i_j}^\beta P_\beta(x).$$

We will need the following ‘‘Carleman-type’’ approximation lemma.

Lemma 4 *Let f and G be as above. Then for any sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$, $\varepsilon_j \searrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $g \in L(\Omega)$ such that*

$$(8) \quad \|f - g\|_{0, G_j} \leq \varepsilon_j$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{0, E}$, as before, denotes the uniform norm on E .

Assuming the lemma, fix a sequence $\{\tau_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$, $\tau_j \searrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Now choose a sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}$, $\varepsilon_j \searrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ such that if (8) is satisfied for a function $g \in L(\Omega)$, then

$$(9) \quad \|\partial^\beta g - \lambda_{i_j}^\beta\|_{0, F_j} < \tau_j, \quad j \in I_\beta.$$

This can be done by choosing ε_j small enough, since $\partial^\beta f = \lambda_{i_j}^\beta$ on F_j .

The function g has the desired properties. Indeed, let γ be an admissible path for Ω with end point $b \in \partial\Omega$. Then we claim that $[\gamma] = \gamma([0, 1])$ must intersect all F_j , except possibly finitely many of them. Combining the claim with (9) and the choice of $\{\lambda_i^\beta\}$ proves the theorem.

To prove the claim, assume that $[\gamma]$ does not intersect infinitely many F_j , say $\{F_{j_m}\}_{m=1}^\infty$ with $j_m \nearrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. It then follows that there exists an m_0 such that for each $m > m_0$, one can find $\nu = \nu(j_m)$ such that $[\gamma]$ intersects $B(a_{j_m\nu}, \delta_j)$ and $B(a'_{j_m\nu}, \delta_j)$ and where each $E_{j_m\nu}$ is either the outer boundary (in \mathbf{R}^n) of $(\overline{\Omega_{j_m}})^\wedge$ or $E_{j_m\nu}$ surrounds the point b . Notice that by (7),

$$|a_{j_m\nu} - a'_{j_m\nu}| \geq \frac{\text{diam}(E_{j_m\nu})}{2} \geq 5\delta_j,$$

and thus, from the continuity of γ at b , we must have that $\text{diam}(E_{j_m\nu}) \rightarrow 0$ as $j_m \rightarrow \infty$. But this is impossible. In fact, if $E_{j_m\nu}$ is the boundary of the unbounded component of $(\overline{\Omega_{j_m}})^\wedge$, then $\text{diam}(E_{j_m\nu}) = \text{diam}(\Omega_{j_m})$ which grows with m , so that all but a finite number of $E_{j_m\nu}$ must be “inner” components of the boundary of $(\overline{\Omega_{j_m}})^\wedge$ which surround the component of the boundary of Ω containing b . But our assumption on the boundary of Ω also makes this impossible. This proves the claim and completes the proof of Theorem 5. ■

Proof of Lemma 4 Lemma 4 is a consequence of a rather general theorem of A. Sinclair [13, Theorem 1], but we include the following relatively simple proof for the reader’s convenience.

Let $\{\varepsilon'_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be the sequence of positive numbers satisfying $\varepsilon_j = \sum_{k \geq j} \varepsilon'_k$. Since G_0 is an Ω -RKL set and $f \in L(U_0)$, then by Theorem 1, one can find $g_0 \in L(\Omega)$ with

$$\|f - g_0\|_{0,G_0} \leq \varepsilon'_0.$$

Let U'_j be a neighbourhood of K_j such that $U'_j \cap U_{j+1} = \emptyset$. Define

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} g_0(x), & x \in U'_0 \\ f(x), & x \in U_1. \end{cases}$$

Since $K_0 \cup G_1$ is a RKL-set in Ω and $f_1 \in L(U'_0 \cup U_1)$, we can find $g_1 \in L(\Omega)$ such that

$$\|f_1 - g_1\|_{0,K_0 \cup G_1} \leq \varepsilon'_1.$$

Inductively, for $j \geq 1$, we define

$$f_{j+1}(x) = \begin{cases} g_j(x), & x \in U'_j \\ f(x), & x \in U_{j+1}, \end{cases}$$

and choose $g_{j+1} \in L(\Omega)$ such that

$$\|f_{j+1} - g_{j+1}\|_{0,K_j \cup G_{j+1}} \leq \varepsilon'_{j+1}.$$

Since $K_j \nearrow \Omega$, we have that

$$g = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} g_j \quad (\in L(\Omega))$$

satisfies the lemma. ■

6.2 A Dirichlet Problem

Our next example is in some sense in the opposite direction of the first one. Given a (smooth) domain Ω , we would like to prescribe (almost everywhere on $\partial\Omega$) the boundary values of an L -analytic function in Ω , together with the boundary values of a fixed number of its derivatives, as we approach the boundary of Ω in the normal direction (a “weakened” Dirichlet problem).

We first prove an abstract Carleman-type approximation theorem when F is without interior.

Proposition 5 *Let $L \in \mathcal{L}_r^n$, Ω be a domain in \mathbf{R}^n and let $V = V(\Omega)$ be a Banach space such that the pair (L, V) satisfies Conditions 1 and 2. Let F be a closed subset of Ω with $F^\circ = \emptyset$ and assume that there exists an exhaustion of Ω by compact sets K_j (that is, $K_0 = \emptyset$, $K_j \subset K_{j+1}^\circ$ and $\bigcup_{j=0}^\infty K_j = \Omega$) which is “compatible” with F in the sense that for each $j \geq 0$, one has*

$$(10) \quad V_L(K_j \cup (K_{j+2} \cap F)) = E_{LV}(K_j \cup (K_{j+2} \cap F)).$$

Then for each sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$, $\varepsilon_j \searrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and for each $f \in V_{\text{loc}}(F)$, one can find $g \in L(\Omega)$ such that, for all $j \geq 0$,

$$\|f - g\|_{F \setminus K_j^\circ} < \varepsilon_j.$$

Proof Fix $\{\delta_j\}_{j=0}^\infty \subset (0, \infty)$, with $\sum_{j=0}^\infty \delta_j < \infty$. Let $g_0 = f$. For each $j \geq 1$, we shall find $g_j \in V_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \cap L(K_j)$ such that

$$(11) \quad \|g_{j-1} - g_j\|_{K_{j-1}} < \delta_{j-1},$$

and

$$(12) \quad \|g_{j-1} - g_j\|_{F \setminus K_k^\circ} < \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2^j} \quad \text{for each } k \geq 0.$$

Letting $g = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} g_j = g_0 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty (g_j - g_{j-1})$ will give the result.

First, for each $j \geq 1$, fix $\varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(K_{j+1}^\circ)$, $0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 1$ and $\varphi_j \equiv 1$ on some neighbourhood of K_j . We now proceed by induction on j . By (10) with $j = 0$, we can find $h_1 \in L(\Omega)$ such that

$$\|g_0 - h_1\|_{K_2 \cap F} < \mu_1,$$

where $\mu_1 \in (0, \infty)$ will be specified below. Let

$$g_1 = h_1 \varphi_1 + g_0(1 - \varphi_1).$$

Then $g_1 \in V_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \cap L(K_1)$, and it follows from Condition 1 that

$$\|g_0 - g_1\|_F = \|(g_0 - h_1)\varphi_1\|_F \leq C(\varphi_1)\|g_0 - h_1\|_{K_2 \cap F} < C(\varphi_1)\mu_1$$

and

$$\|g_0 - g_1\|_{F \setminus K_0^c} = 0.$$

Consequently, (11) and (12) hold for $j = 1$ if $C(\varphi_1)\mu_1 \leq \varepsilon_1/2$. Note that (11) is an empty condition at this stage since K_0 is the empty set.

Suppose now that we have found g_0, \dots, g_J such that (11) and (12) hold for $1 \leq j \leq J$. By (10) with $j = J$, one can find $h_{J+1} \in L(\Omega)$ such that

$$(13) \quad \|g_J - h_{J+1}\|_{K_J \cup (K_{J+2} \cap F)} < \mu_{J+1},$$

where μ_{J+1} is a small positive constant to be chosen later. Let

$$g_{J+1} = h_{J+1}\varphi_{J+1} + g_J(1 - \varphi_{J+1}).$$

Then

$$\|g_J - g_{J+1}\|_{K_J} = \|(g_J - h_{J+1})\varphi_{J+1}\|_{K_J} = \|g_J - h_{J+1}\|_{K_J} < \mu_{J+1},$$

which gives (11) (with $j = J + 1$) whenever $\mu_{J+1} \leq \delta_J$. Since $\|g_J - g_{J+1}\|_{F \setminus K_{J+2}} = 0$, it is enough, in order to get (12), to require that

$$\|g_J - g_{J+1}\|_F < \frac{\varepsilon_{J+1}}{2^{J+1}}.$$

But this follows from (13) and Condition 1 if μ_{J+1} is small enough. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_J - g_{J+1}\|_F &= \|(g_J - h_{J+1})\varphi_{J+1}\|_F \leq C(\varphi_{J+1})\|g_J - h_{J+1}\|_{F \cap K_{J+2}} \\ &< C(\varphi_{J+1})\mu_{J+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus it suffices to take $\mu_{J+1} = \min\left(\delta_J, \varepsilon_{J+1}/(2^{J+1}C(\varphi_{J+1}))\right)$. This completes the proof. ■

We shall also need the following lemma.

Lemma 5 For $0 < d < 1$, denote by $Q'_d = [-d, d]_{y_1} \times [-d, d]_{y_2} \times \dots \times [-d, d]_{y_{n-1}}$ the $n - 1$ dimensional closed cube centered at zero in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} and let $Q_d = Q'_d \times [0, 2d]_{y_n}$. Let $s \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ be fixed. Given $h_0, \dots, h_s \in C(Q'_d)$, there exists a function $H \in C^\infty(Q_d \setminus (Q'_d \times \{0\})) \cap C(Q_d)$ such that, if $y' = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})$, then

$$(14) \quad \frac{\partial^k H}{\partial y_n^k}(y', y_n) \rightarrow h_k(y')$$

uniformly on Q'_d as $y_n \rightarrow 0, 0 \leq k \leq s$.

Remark 4 We first note that (14) and the mean-value theorem implies that the one-sided derivatives at zero exist and

$$\frac{\partial^k H}{\partial y_n^k} \Big|_{(y', 0^+)} = h_k(y').$$

Remark 5 The lemma is easily proved if we assume that $h_0, h_1, \dots, h_s \in C^\infty(Q'_d)$ since in this case it suffices to take

$$H(y', y_n) = \sum_{k=0}^s \frac{y_n^k}{k!} h_k(y').$$

The proof of the general case is an adaptation of this idea using approximation and a partition of unity.

Proof of Lemma 5 Let $\{\varphi_j\}$, $j = 2, 3, \dots$, $\varphi_j \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\text{supp}(\varphi_j) \subset (\frac{1}{j+1}, \frac{1}{j-1})$, $0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 1$, and $\sum_{j=2}^\infty \varphi_j \equiv 1$ on $(0, 1/2)$. Let $\|\varphi_j^{(k)}\|_0 =: \lambda_{kj}$ and $M := \max_{0 \leq k \leq s} \|h_k\|_{0, Q'_d}$. Let $\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=2}^\infty \subset (0, 1)$ be a sequence of decreasing numbers tending to zero. By the Weierstrass approximation theorem in several variables, for each k and j , $0 \leq k < s$ and $j = 2, 3, \dots$, we can find $h_{kj} \in C^\infty(Q')$ (in fact polynomials) such that

$$\|h_{kj} - h_k\|_{0, Q'_d} < \varepsilon_j.$$

We claim that the function

$$H(y', y_n) = \sum_{k=0}^s \sum_{j=2}^\infty \frac{y_n^k}{k!} h_{kj}(y') \varphi_j(y_n), \quad \text{when } y_n > 0,$$

$$H(y', 0) = h_0(y')$$

has the desired properties whenever the sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}$ is chosen to satisfy $\sum_{j \geq 2} \varepsilon_j \lambda_{kj} < \infty$, for each k , $0 \leq k < s$. Indeed let us assume that $0 < y_n < \frac{1}{j_0+1} < 1/2$. Then

$$|H(y', y_n) - h_0(y')| = \left| \sum_{j=2}^\infty (h_{0j}(y') - h_0(y')) \varphi_j(y_n) + \sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{j=2}^\infty \frac{y_n^k}{k!} h_{kj}(y') \varphi_j(y_n) \right|$$

$$\leq 2\varepsilon_{j_0} + (M + 1) \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{y_n^k}{k!},$$

and thus $|H(y', y_n) - h_0(y')| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $y_n \rightarrow 0$. Similarly, since

$\sum_{j \geq 2} \varphi'_j(y_n) = 0, 0 < y_n < 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_n}(y', y_n) - h_1(y') \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{y_n^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} h_{kj}(y') \varphi_j(y_n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=0}^s \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{y_n^k}{k!} h_{kj}(y') \varphi'_j(y_n) - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} h_1(y') \varphi_j(y_n) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (h_{1j}(y') - h_1(y')) \varphi_j(y_n) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{k=2}^s \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{y_n^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} h_{kj}(y') \varphi_j(y_n) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{k=0}^s \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{y_n^k}{k!} (h_{kj}(y') - h_k(y')) \varphi'_j(y_n) \right| \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon_{j_0} + (M+1) \sum_{k=2}^s \frac{y_n^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} + \sum_{k=0}^s \sum_{j \geq j_0} \frac{y_n^k}{(k)!} \varepsilon_j \lambda_{1j}, \end{aligned}$$

assuming that $0 < y_n < \frac{1}{j_0+1}$. Thus $|\frac{\partial H}{\partial y_n}(y', y_n) - h_1(y')| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $y_n \rightarrow 0$. The proof of the other cases is very similar. ■

Theorem 6 Let $L \in \mathcal{L}_r^n$ and let Ω be a domain of class C^{r+1} in \mathbf{R}^n . Let $h_k, k = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$, be σ -measurable functions which are finite σ -almost everywhere, where σ is the $n-1$ dimensional Lebesgue measure on $\partial\Omega$. Then there exists $h \in L(\Omega)$ such that, for $k = 0, \dots, r-1$, and for σ -almost all $x \in \partial\Omega$, the limit of $\frac{\partial^k h}{\partial n_x^k}(y)$ is equal to $h_k(x)$, where the derivatives are taken in the direction of the outer normal at x , and $y \in \Omega$ tends to $x \in \partial\Omega$ along that normal direction.

Proof We will begin the proof by constructing a special family of C^r -diffeomorphisms from n -dimensional closed cubes into Ω . We will use the notations introduced in Lemma 5. Fix a point b on the boundary of Ω and choose an (orthonormal) coordinate system $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ such that $y(b) = 0$ and for some $\delta > 0$ there is $\psi \in C^{r+1}(Q'_\delta)$ with $\psi(0') = 0, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_k}|_{0'} = 0 (k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1)$ such that

$$\{y \mid y = (y', y_n) \in \partial\Omega, y' \in Q'_\delta, |y_n| < 2\delta\} = \{y \mid y_n = \psi(y'), y' \in Q'_\delta\}.$$

Moreover we suppose that

$$\{y \mid \psi(y') < y_n < 2\delta, y' \in Q'_\delta\} \subset \Omega.$$

Let us define $\Psi: Q'_\delta \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ by:

$$\Psi(y', y_n) = (y', \psi(y')) - y_n \vec{n}_y.$$

Here $\vec{n}_{\bar{y}}$ denotes the outer normal (unit) vector to $\partial\Omega$ at the point $\bar{y} = (y', \psi(y'))$. The Jacobian of Ψ at the origin is the identity. By the inverse mapping theorem, there exists $d, 0 < d < \delta$, such that Ψ is a C^r -diffeomorphism of Q_d on $\Psi(Q_d)$ and such that $\Psi(Q_d) \subset \bar{\Omega}$.

Using the fact that $\partial\Omega$ is compact, we now choose a finite family of maps Ψ_ν and closed cubes $Q_{(\nu)} := Q_{d_\nu} = Q'_{d_\nu} \times [0, 2d_\nu] =: Q'_{(\nu)} \times [0, 2d_\nu]$ such that $\Psi_\nu|_{Q_{(\nu)}}$ is a C^r -diffeomorphism, $\Psi_\nu(Q'_{(\nu)} \times \{0\}) \subset \partial\Omega$, $\Psi_\nu(Q_{(\nu)} \setminus (Q'_{(\nu)} \times \{0\})) \subset \Omega$ and $\partial\Omega \subset \cup_\nu \Psi_\nu(U_{(\nu)} \times \{0\})$, where $U_{(\nu)} := (-d_\nu, d_\nu)_{y_1} \times \dots \times (-d_\nu, d_\nu)_{y_{n-1}}$.

Let h_0, \dots, h_{r-1} be any r σ -measurable functions defined and σ -finite almost everywhere on $\partial\Omega$. We can construct a family $\{E_m\}_{m=1}^\infty, E_m \subset \partial\Omega$ with the following properties:

- a) The sets $E_m, m = 1, 2, \dots$, are compact, pairwise disjoint, nowhere dense subsets of $\partial\Omega$ with $\sigma(E_m) \neq 0$.
- b) For each $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, r - 1\}$ and $m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, we have $h_k \in C(E_m)$.
- c) $\sigma(\partial\Omega \setminus (\cup_m E_m)) = 0$.
- d) For each $m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, there exists ν_m such that $\Psi_{\nu_m}^{-1}(E_m) \subset (U_{(\nu_m)} \times 0)$ where Ψ_{ν_m} belongs to the finite family of diffeomorphisms chosen above.
- e) For some fixed $\mu \in (0, 1)$ and for each $m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ there is a $c > 0$ such that for any $x \in E_m$ and $\varepsilon < d_{\nu_m}$ one has

$$(15) \quad M^{n-2+\mu}(\{B(x, \varepsilon) \cap \Psi_{\nu_m}(Q'_{(\nu_m)} \times \{0\})\} \setminus E_m) \geq c\varepsilon^{n-2+\mu},$$

where M^λ denotes the λ -dimensional Hausdorff content.

For example, the first three properties are obtained using Lusin's theorem [12, Theorem 2.24], and the fourth follows easily. In order to have additionally property (e), we use the following lemma, taking products of the set E from this lemma with $n - 2$ -dimensional closed cubes which gives an $n - 1$ -dimensional analog of the lemma, that is (15).

Lemma 6 For each $\mu \in (0, 1)$ and $\eta > 0$, there exist a compact set $E \subset [0, 1]$ and a constant $c > 0$ (independent of η) such that $M^1(E) > 1 - \eta$ and for each $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$, one has

$$M^\mu(\{\tau \mid |\tau - t| < \varepsilon\} \setminus E) \geq c\varepsilon^\mu.$$

Proof Fix μ and η . It is well known (see [8, Section 4.10] and use the fact that a Hausdorff measure and the corresponding Hausdorff content have the same zero sets) that there exists a Cantor-type set $K \subset [0, 1]$ with $M^1(K) = 0$ and $M^\mu(K) > 0$. For $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, 2^m - 1\}$, define $K_m^j = \{(\tau + j)2^{-m} \mid \tau \in K\}$. Since $M^1(K_m^j) = 0$, there are open sets U_m^j containing K_m^j with $M^1(U_m^j) < \eta 2^{-2m-1}$. It suffices to take (as can be easily checked)

$$E = [0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{m=0}^\infty \bigcup_{j=0}^{2^m-1} U_m^j. \quad \blacksquare$$

We now return to the proof of Theorem 6. Given $\{E_m\}$ as above, define

$$F_1 = \Psi_{\nu_1}(\Psi_{\nu_1}^{-1}(E_1) \times (0, \delta_1]),$$

where $0 < \delta_1 \leq d_{\nu_1}$, and for $m \geq 2$,

$$F_m = \Psi_{\nu_m}(\Psi_{\nu_m}^{-1}(E_m) \times (0, \delta_m]),$$

where $0 < \delta_m \leq \min\{d_{\nu_m}, \delta_{m-1}/2\}$ is so small that F_m is disjoint from $F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_{m-1}$ and $\{F_m\}$ is a locally finite family in Ω .

Let $F = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} F_m$. We note that F is a (relatively) closed Ω -RKL set with no interior. Let $G_m = \Psi_{\nu_m}^{-1}(E_m)$ and $h_{k,m}^*(y') = h_k(\Psi_{\nu_m}(y', 0))$ and note that $h_{k,m}^*$ is (defined and) continuous on G_m . We extend $h_{k,m}^*$ continuously to all of $Q'_{(\nu_m)}$ and still denote this extension by $h_{k,m}^*$. Using Lemma 5 with $s = r - 1$, for each $m \geq 1$, there exist functions $H_m^* \in C^\infty(Q_{(\nu_m)} \setminus (Q'_{(\nu_m)} \times \{0\})) \cap C(Q_{(\nu_m)})$ such that for each k , $0 \leq k \leq r - 1$,

$$\frac{\partial^k H_m^*}{\partial y_n^k}(y', y_n) \rightarrow h_{k,m}^*(y')$$

uniformly on $Q'_{(\nu_m)}$ as $y_n \rightarrow 0^+$. Define H_m in $C^r(\Psi_{\nu_m}(Q^\circ_{(\nu_m)}))$ by $H_m(x) = H_m^*(\Psi_{\nu_m}^{-1}(x))$.

From our construction, it follows that one can choose (open) neighbourhoods Ω_m of F_m such that the sets Ω_m are still pairwise disjoint and $\Omega_m \subset \Psi_{\nu_m}(Q^\circ_{(\nu_m)})$. Define

$$f|_{\Omega_m} = H_m|_{\Omega_m}.$$

If V is the space $BC^{r-1+\mu}(\Omega)$ then $f \in V_{\text{loc}}(F)$ (note that f can be extended from (possibly smaller) neighbourhoods Ω'_m of F_m to a function in $V_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$).

It follows also from our construction of F (recalling (15)) that there exists an exhaustion of Ω by compact sets K_j such that

- 1) each $Y_j = K_j \cup (K_{j+2} \cap F)$ is an Ω -RKL set;
- 2) for each Y_j , there exists a constant $c_j = c(Y_j) > 1$ such that for all balls $B(x, \varepsilon) \subset \Omega$ we have

$$c_j M^{n-1+\mu}(B(x, \varepsilon) \setminus Y_j) \geq \varepsilon^{n-1+\mu} \geq M_*^{n-1+\mu}(B(x, \varepsilon) \setminus Y_j^\circ).$$

It then follows from Theorem 4((i) and (iv)) that $V_L(Y_j) = M_{LV}(Y_j) = E_{LV}(Y_j)$. Thus by Proposition 5, one can find $h \in L(\Omega)$ such that

$$\|f - h\|_{F \setminus K_j^\circ} < \frac{1}{j}.$$

The function h has the desired properties.

It can be proved that Theorem 6 remains true if we require only C^r -smoothness of $\partial\Omega$.

References

- [1] S. Agmon, *Lectures on Elliptic Boundary Value Problems*. D. Van Nostrand, Princeton, Toronto, New York, London, 1965.
- [2] A. Boivin and P. V. Paramonov, *Approximation by meromorphic and entire solutions of elliptic equations in Banach spaces of distributions*. Mat. Sb. (4) **189**(1998), 481–502.
- [3] A. Dufresnoy, P. M. Gauthier and W. H. Ow, *Uniform approximation on closed sets by solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*. Complex Variables. **6**(1986), 235–247.
- [4] B. Fuglede, *Asymptotic paths for subharmonic functions*. Math. Ann. **213**(1975), 261–274.
- [5] D. Gaier, *Lectures on Complex Approximation*. Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Stuttgart, 1987.
- [6] S. J. Gardiner, *Harmonic Approximation*. London Math. Society Lecture Notes **221**, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [7] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1983.
- [8] P. Mattila, *Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [9] R. Narasimhan, *Analysis on Real and Complex Manifolds*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1968.
- [10] A. G. O'Farrell, *T-invariance*. Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. (2) **92A**(1992), 185–203.
- [11] P. V. Paramonov and J. Verdera, *Approximation by solutions of elliptic equations on closed subsets of Euclidean space*. Math. Scand. **74**(1994), 249–259.
- [12] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*. Third Edition, McGraw Hill, New York & als, 1987.
- [13] A. Sinclair, *A general solution for a class of approximation problems*. Pacific J. Math. **8**(1958), 857–866.
- [14] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.
- [15] J. Verdera, *C^m approximation by solutions of elliptic equations, and Calderón-Zygmund operators*. Duke Math. J. **55**(1987), 157–187.

Department of Mathematics
University of Western Ontario
London, Ontario
N6A 5B7
e-mail: boivin@uwo.ca

Département de mathématiques
et de statistique
Université de Montréal
CP 6128, Succ. Centre-ville
Montréal, Québec
H3C 3J7
e-mail: gauthier@dms.umontreal.ca

Mechanics and Mathematics Faculty
Moscow State (Lomonosov) University
119899 Moscow
Russia
e-mail: petr@paramonov.msk.ru