

A PRODUCT OF TWO *E*-FUNCTIONS EXPRESSED AS A SUM OF TWO *E*-FUNCTIONS

by F. M. RAGAB

(Received 30th August, 1954)

§ 1. Introductory. The formula to be proved is

$$2\pi i E(\alpha, 1-\alpha : : z) E(\beta, 1-\beta : : z)$$

$$= \frac{\pi \sqrt{\pi e^z}}{\sin \alpha \pi \sin \beta \pi} \left[\begin{aligned} & E\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}, \frac{1+\alpha-\beta}{2}, \frac{1-\alpha+\beta}{2}, \frac{2-\alpha-\beta}{2} : \frac{1}{2} : e^{iz} z^2/4\right) \\ & - E\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}, \frac{1+\alpha-\beta}{2}, \frac{1-\alpha+\beta}{2}, \frac{2-\alpha-\beta}{2} : \frac{1}{2} : e^{-iz} z^2/4\right) \end{aligned} \right]. \quad \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

In proving (1) the following formulae are required :

$$F(\alpha ; 2\alpha ; z) F(\beta ; 2\beta ; -z) = F\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + 1); \\ \alpha + \frac{1}{2}, \beta + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha + \beta \end{array} \middle| \frac{z^2}{4} \right\}, \quad \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(p ; \alpha_r : q ; \rho_s : z) = & \sum_{r=1}^p \prod_{t=1}^p \Gamma(\alpha_t - \alpha_r) \left\{ \prod_{t=1}^q \Gamma(\rho_t - \alpha_r) \right\}^{-1} \Gamma(\alpha_r) \\ & \times z^{\alpha_r} F\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_r, \alpha_r - \rho_1 + 1, \dots, \alpha_r - \rho_q + 1; \\ \alpha_r - \alpha_1 + 1, \dots * \dots, \alpha_r - \alpha_p + 1 \end{array} \middle| (-1)^{p-q} z \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

where $p \geq q + 1$, (1).

Formula (2) is proved in § 2, formula (1) in § 3 ; in § 4 an expression for $K_m(z) K_n(z)$ as a sum of *E*-functions is deduced, and this is used to evaluate two integrals.

§ 2. Proof of subsidiary formula. The L.H.S. of (2) can be written

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} \frac{(\alpha ; n)}{(2\alpha ; n)} F\left(\begin{array}{c} -n, 1-2\alpha-n, \beta ; 1 \\ 1-\alpha-n, 2\beta \end{array} \right).$$

On applying the formula (2)

$$F\left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha, \beta, \gamma ; 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{2}, 2\gamma \end{array} \right) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\gamma + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{2})}, \quad \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

where, if α or β is not zero or a negative integer, $R(2\gamma - \alpha - \beta) > -1$, the expression becomes

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} \frac{(\alpha ; n)}{(2\alpha ; n)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(1-\alpha-n) \Gamma(\beta + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}n) \Gamma(1-\alpha - \frac{1}{2}n) \Gamma(\beta + \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2}n)}.$$

Now, when n is an odd positive integer $1/\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}n) = 0$; hence, on replacing n by $2m$ the expression can be written

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2m}}{(2m)!} \frac{(\alpha ; 2m)}{(2\alpha ; 2m)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(1-\alpha-2m) \Gamma(\beta + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2m)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-m) \Gamma(1-\alpha-m) \Gamma(\beta + \frac{1}{2}+m) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + m)},$$

and this reduces to the R.H.S. of (2).

§ 3. Proof of the formula. In (1) expand the L.H.S. by means of (3), making use of the formula (3).

$$F(\alpha ; \rho ; z) = e^z F(\rho - \alpha ; \rho ; -z), \quad (5)$$

and it becomes

$$2\pi i [\Gamma(1-2\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)z^\alpha F(\alpha; 2\alpha; z) + \Gamma(2\alpha-1)\Gamma(1-\alpha)z^{1-\alpha}F(1-\alpha; 2-2\alpha; z)] \\ \times [\Gamma(1-2\beta)\Gamma(\beta)z^\beta e^z F(\beta; 2\beta; -z) + \Gamma(2\beta-1)\Gamma(1-\beta)z^{1-\beta}e^z F(1-\beta; 2-2\beta; -z)].$$

On multiplying and applying (2) this becomes

$$\boxed{2\pi ie^z \left[\begin{aligned} & \Gamma(1-2\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(1-2\beta)\Gamma(\beta)z^{\alpha+\beta} F\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta+1); z^2/4\right\} \\ & + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \Gamma(1-2\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(2\beta-1)\Gamma(1-\beta)z^{\alpha-\beta+1} F\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta+1), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta+2); z^2/4\right\} \\ & + \Gamma(2\alpha-1)\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(2\beta-1)\Gamma(1-\beta)z^{2-\alpha-\beta} F\left\{\frac{1}{2}(2-\alpha-\beta), \frac{1}{2}(3-\alpha-\beta); z^2/4\right\} \end{aligned} \right].}$$

Again, on applying (3) to the R.H.S. of (1), it becomes

$$\boxed{\frac{\pi\sqrt{\pi}e^z}{\sin \alpha\pi \sin \beta\pi} \left[\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\frac{1-\alpha-\beta}{2})} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right) 2i \sin\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\pi\right) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\alpha+\beta} \\ & \times F\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta+1); \alpha+\frac{1}{2}, \beta+\frac{1}{2}, \alpha+\beta; \frac{1}{4}z^2\right\} \\ & + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2})} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\alpha-\beta}{2}\right) 2i \cos\left(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}\pi\right) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\alpha-\beta+1} \\ & \times F\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta+1), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta+2); \frac{3}{2}-\beta, \alpha-\beta+1, \alpha+\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{4}z^2\right\} \\ & + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta-1)\Gamma(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\beta-\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2})} \Gamma\left(\frac{2-\alpha-\beta}{2}\right) 2i \sin\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\pi\right) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2-\alpha-\beta} \\ & \times F\left\{\frac{1}{2}(2-\alpha-\beta), \frac{1}{2}(3-\alpha-\beta); 2-\alpha-\beta, \frac{3}{2}-\alpha, \frac{3}{2}-\beta; \frac{1}{4}z^2\right\} \end{aligned} \right].}$$

On comparing these two expressions it is seen that they are equal.

§ 4. Product of two modified Bessel Functions of the Second Kind. In (1) replace z by $2z$, put $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} + m$, $\beta = \frac{1}{2} + n$, and apply the formula (4)

$$\cos n\pi E(\frac{1}{2} + n, \frac{1}{2} - n : : 2z) = \sqrt{(2\pi z)} e^z K_n(z), \dots \quad (6)$$

and it reduces to

$$K_m(z) K_n(z) = \frac{1}{4z\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{i=-i}^i \frac{1}{i} E\left(\frac{1+m+n}{2}, \frac{1+m-n}{2}, \frac{1-m+n}{2}, \frac{1-m-n}{2} : \frac{1}{2} : e^{i\pi} z^2\right). \dots \quad (7)$$

From this it can be deduced that

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^{l-1} K_m(\lambda) K_n(\lambda) E(p; \alpha_r : q; \rho_s : z/\lambda^2) d\lambda \\ = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\pi} E\left(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, \frac{l+m+n}{2}, \frac{l+m-n}{2}, \frac{l-m+n}{2}, \frac{l-m-n}{2} : \rho_1, \dots, \rho_q, \frac{l}{2}, \frac{l+1}{2} : z\right), \dots \quad (8)$$

provided that $p \geq q+1$, $R(l \pm m \pm n) > 0$, $| \arg z | < \pi$. For other values of p and q the result holds if the integral converges.

In proving this use is made of the formula (5)

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^{k-1} E(p; a_r : q; \rho_s : \lambda) E(l; \beta_t : m; \sigma_u : z/\lambda) d\lambda$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{\sin k\pi} \left\{ z^k E \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, \beta_1 - k, \dots, \beta_l - k : e^{\pm i\pi} z \\ 1 - k, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_q, \sigma_1 - k, \dots, \sigma_m - k \end{matrix} \right) - E \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1 + k, \dots, \alpha_p + k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_l : e^{\pm i\pi} z \\ 1 + k, \rho_1 + k, \dots, \rho_q + k, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m \end{matrix} \right) \right\}, \quad (9)$$

where $p \geq q+1$, $l \geq m+1$, $R(\alpha_r + k) > 0$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, p$, $R(\beta_t - k) > 0$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, l$, and $|arg z| < \pi$. For other values of p, q, l, m the result holds if the integral converges.

On substituting from (7) in the L.H.S. of (8), replacing λ by $\sqrt{z/\lambda}$ and applying (9) formula (8) is obtained. In the first of the two integrals the lower sign in $e^{\pm i\pi}$ in (9) should be employed, in the second integral the upper sign.

In particular, if $p = q = 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda^2/z} \lambda^{l-1} K_m(\lambda) K_n(\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\pi} E \left(\frac{l+m+n}{2}, \frac{l+m-n}{2}, \frac{l-m+n}{2}, \frac{l-m-n}{2}; \frac{l}{2}, \frac{l+1}{2}; z \right), \quad (10)$$

provided that $R(z) > 0$, $R(l \pm m \pm n) > 0$.

REFERENCES

- (1) MacRobert, T. M., *Complex Variable*, p. 353.
- (2) Watson, G. N., *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, (2), 23 (1925); Whipple, F. J. W., *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, (2), 24 (1926).
- (3) MacRobert, T. M., *loc. cit.*, p. 346.
- (4) MacRobert, T. M., *loc. cit.*, p. 351.
- (5) Ragab, F. M., *Proc. Glasg. Math. Ass.*, 1, (1953) 192.

UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW

and

FACULTY OF SCIENCE

EIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

CAIRO