Project Gallery



Digital reconstruction of a serpent column at Chichen Itza's El Castillo

Scott McAvoy¹, Claudia García-Solís², Jeremy D. Coltman³, José Francisco Javier Osorio León², Francisco Pérez Ruiz², Dominique Rissolo¹, Travis William Stanton³, Jesús Manuel Gallegos Flores², Luis Alberto Rodríguez Catana² & Falko Kuester¹

Atop El Castillo, the largest pyramid within the Maya site of Chichen Itza, in Mexico's Yucatan Peninsula, stand two ruined columns that once portrayed the feathered serpent deity K'uk'ulkan. 3D-imaging technologies have identified scattered sculptural fragments belonging to these columns, allowing a digital reconstruction that opens new possibilities for their conservation.

Keywords: North America, Mexico, Maya, 3D scanning, digital reconstruction, serpent

Introduction

Chichen Itza's El Castillo (Pyramid of K'uk'ulkan) is one of Mesoamerica's most recognisable monuments. The structure had lost much of its original exterior stonework, including pieces of the monumental limestone feathered-serpent columns that support the portico of the upper temple (Figure 1). In 1905 the Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia (INAH) and the Carnegie Institute of Washington began a reconstruction effort that substantially modified the temple's exterior (Miller 2018). Though interpretations vary, some scholars regard the feathered serpent as a central deity, a manifestation of the wind, responsible for carrying the sun in its daily sojourn across the sky (Coltman 2023). While the site features many carved serpent heads, those associated with El Castillo's upper temple portico (Figure 2) are perhaps some of the most important, given their central location.

Received: 12 April 2025; Revised: 9 July 2025; Accepted: 2 August 2025

¹ Cultural Heritage Engineering Initiative, California Institute for Telecommunications and Information Technology, La Jolla, USA

² Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia, Mérida, Mexico

³ Department of Anthropology, University of California Riverside, USA Author for correspondence: Scott McAvoy ™ smcavoy@ucsd.edu

[©] The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Antiquity Publications Ltd. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creative commons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.




Figure 1. A photograph of El Castillo prior to reconstruction efforts (left) and a 2023 photogrammetric 3D reconstruction (right) (photograph from Maudslay 1902: volume III, pl. 57; figure by authors).




Figure 2. El Castillo temple, north side with central serpent columns. Drone image (left), high-resolution 3D model showing carved detail (centre) and photograph showing previous state (right) (photograph from Charnay 1882: 343; figure by authors).

Background

In 2023, a substantial 3D-scanning campaign, coupled with a new geospatial visualisation framework, resulted in the creation of the Chichen Itza 3D Archaeological Atlas (McAvoy et al. 2023). The project archive contains more than 750 individual assets, including multiple aerial lidar datasets showing the placement of buildings and topographic features beneath the dense forest canopy, mobile and terrestrial lidar datasets, photogrammetry datasets showing architectural features, and sub-millimetre structured light scans of artefacts from multiple museums and archaeological storage facilities. This system enabled a site-wide digital search for the missing pieces of the serpent columns, leading to the identification of four original fragments constituting the head and tail of the western column (see Table 1). The Atlas is a collaboration between INAH and the OpenHeritage3D project, which seeks to provide public access to 3D-survey data. By

Table 1. Fragments associated with the serpent columns.

ID	Description	Location	Dimensions (L×W×H) (m)	Estimated weight (kg)
1	North-west column	El Castillo superstructure	$0.70 \times 0.70 \times 2.50$	2190–2790
2	Head	North-east plaza	$0.90\times0.70\times0.80$	736–936
3	Tongue	North-east plaza	$0.50\times0.45\times0.25$	128-163
4	Tail tip	North-east plaza	$0.55 \times 0.40 \times 0.90$	451-574
5	Tail rattle	Venus Platform	$0.80\times0.70\times0.90$	768–978
6	Tail tip	El Castillo superstructure	$0.75 \times 0.67 \times 0.42$	481–612

enabling simplified navigation and measurement of full-resolution datasets in webbrowsers, we hope to empower site managers, researchers and the public to reuse these models in a multitude of ways.

Serpent columns at Chichen Itza

Serpent columns are found in multiple locations at Chichen Itza, with similar construction techniques. All are made from the same limestone common to La Gran Nivelación (De la Rosa-García 2024). The base of the column is a protruding serpent head, with cylindrical segments stacked on top and an L-shaped 'tail' capital composed of an overlapping scaled 'rattle' and plumed tip. These capitals once supported wooden lintels and are especially prone to damage as deterioration of the wood removes the tension holding the piece in place. The columns at the north entrance of El Castillo support a portico in front of the entrance to the temple's inner chamber. Each has a diameter of 0.7m and is approximately 2.5m tall. Both columns are missing their heads and large sections of their tailpieces, though the eastern column maintains a piece of the serpent's cheek (perhaps a Maya breath motif) and the lower segment (rattle) of the tail (Figure 2, centre). In front of the columns is a flat terrace (Figure 2, left).

The fragments

The western serpent column (fragment 1) was already damaged at the time of Désiré Charnay's visit to the site in the 1880s (Charnay 1887). The stable placement of the serpent heads suggests intentional destruction and the deliberate displacement of the fragments.

The serpent's head (fragment 2), sits in the plaza some 110m north-east of the western column, between the Venus Platform and temple of the big tables (Figures 3 & 4). Beside it sits a piece of the tongue (fragment 3) and a tip piece of tail (fragment 4), likely from the eastern column (Figure 4). The alignment of multiple 3D scans, showing matching dimensions and complementary fracture lines, confirms that this head originated from the western column. Additionally, a spiral shape on the serpent's cheek matches the bottom piece of its eastern sister column (Figure 5).




Figure 3. The column fragments and their find locations (figure by authors).

The rattle of the serpent's tail (fragment 5) lies east of the Venus Platform, found 120m north-northeast of the column, and 41m west of the head (Figure 4). The pairing with the western column is evidenced by the scale of the fragment, the alignment of crossing feathers with the tailbase still in place (Figure 5), and the matching form attached to the eastern column, though the provenance of this piece is unknown.

The tail's tip (fragment 6), was found still atop the temple, in a small pile of fragments just inside the exterior awning portico (Figure 4). Though the pieces now share very little of their borders, the pairing is evident from block dimensions, the break pattern on a small protrusion and the alignment of three small feathers overlapping between the rattle and the tip (Figure 5).

Method

The temple portico, remaining columns and individual fragments were digitised using an Artec Leo SLAM-based structured light scanner. Models vary in resolution between 0.3 and 0.5mm resolution, ranging from 10 million to 1.2 billion points, maintaining full data resolution. Given the scale and density of information involved, a specialised visualisation system was developed (Campiani *et al.* 2023) with the intention of removing the unsettling need to blindly reduce useful fine detail for analysis and visualisation.

Figure 4. The fragments in their find contexts (figure by authors).




Figure 5. Scattered fragments (green) digitally reconstructed on unmodified columns (white) (figure by authors).




Figure 6. 3D reconstruction of serpent column (figure by authors).

Results

The resultant model contextualises, with reasonable confidence, the original locations of five fragments belonging to the pair of serpent columns from the upper temple façade (Figure 6). The western column is thereby made nearly complete. Overall dimensions, shared decorative features and complementary break patterns between each paired fragment lend veracity to this reconstruction. These digital investigations contribute to a conservation plan involving the physical relocation and installation of the fragments into the positions outlined here. This use case is one of numerous potential applications for the Chichen Itza 3D Archaeological Atlas project, and it is our hope that this example will help communicate our vision for an open and accessible digital replica environment, enabling wide reuse of complex survey data.

Funding statement

Support for this work was provided by the Strauss Family Fund for Mesoamerican Studies, the Kinsella Fund, and the Qualcomm Institute at UC San Diego.

Author contribution: CRediT Taxonomy

Scott McAvoy: Conceptualization-Lead, Data curation-Lead, Formal analysis-Lead, Investigation-Lead, Methodology-Lead, Software-Lead, Visualization-Lead, Writing original draft-Lead. Claudia Garcia-Solís: Conceptualization-Equal, Formal analysis-Equal, Investigation-Equal, Methodology-Equal, Project administration-Lead, Writing original draft-Supporting, Writing - review & editing-Equal. Jeremy Coltman: Formal analysis-Supporting, Investigation-Supporting, Resources-Equal, Writing - original draft-Supporting, Writing - review & editing-Equal. Jose Francisco Osorio León: Conceptualization-Equal, Funding acquisition-Equal, Investigation-Equal, Methodology-Equal, Project administration-Equal. Francisco Pérez Ruiz: Conceptualization-Equal, Investigation-Equal, Methodology-Equal, Project administration-Equal. Dominique Rissolo: Conceptualization-Equal, Formal analysis-Equal, Investigation-Equal, Methodology-Equal, Project administration-Equal, Writing - review & editing-Supporting. Travis Stanton: Conceptualization-Equal, Investigation-Equal, Methodology-Equal, Project administration-Equal, Writing - review & editing-Supporting. Jesus Gallegos Flores: Conceptualization-Equal, Data curation-Equal, Investigation-Equal, Methodology-Equal, Software-Supporting. Luis Rodríguez Catana: Conceptualization-Equal, Formal analysis-Equal, Investigation-Supporting, Methodology-Equal. Falko Kuester: Funding acquisition-Equal, Project administration-Equal, Writing - review & editing-Equal.

References

CAMPIANI, A. et al. 2023. Developing an interoperable cloud-based visualization workflow for 3D archaeological heritage data: the Palenque 3D Archaeological Atlas. Digital Applications in Archaeology and Cultural Heritage 31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.daach.2023.e00293

CHARNAY, D. 1887. The ancient cities of the New World: being travels and explorations in Mexico and Central America from 1857–1882. London: Chapman and Hall.

COLTMAN, J. 2023. The flower world of Chichen Itza, in T.W. Stanton *et al.* (ed.) *East meets*

- west: Chichen Itza, Tula, and the early Postclassic Mesoamerican world (British Archaeological Reports International Series 3134): 167–201. Oxford: BAR.
- DE LA ROSA-GARCÍA, S., A. SIERRA-FERNÁNDEZ, C. GARCÍA SOLÍS, N. SOBERANES GARCÍA, P. QUINTANA, S. SERGIO GÓMEZ-CORNELIO & R. FORT. 2024. Fungal community dynamics on limestone at the Chichén Itzá archaeological site in Mexico driven by protective treatments. Science of The Total Environment 906. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sci totenv.2023.167563
- MAUDSLAY, A.P. 1902. Biologia Centrali-Americana Archaeology, volumes 3 & 5. London: Milpatron.
- McAvoy, S. *et al.* 2023. Chichen Itza 3D archaeological atlas. University of California. https://doi.org/10.34946/D6B88P
- MILLER, V.E. 2018. The Castillo-sub at Chichen Itza: a reconsideration, in L. Wren *et al.* (ed.) *Landscapes of the Itza: archaeology and art history at Chichen Itza and neighboring sites*: 171–97. Gainesville: University of Florida Press. https://doi.org/10.5744/florida/9780813054964.003.0006