SEMI-HOMOMORPHISMS OF RINGS

S.A. Hug

In this note, following Kaplanasky's study of semi-automorphisms of rings and Herstein's study of semi-homomorphisms of groups, we present a general study of semi-homomorphisms of rings.

0. Introduction

Perhaps the notion of a semi-homomorphism was conceived as a common generalization of both the notion of a homomorphism and an anti-homomorphism. The study was begun, for semi-automorphisms only, by Anchochea [1] and Kaplanasky [4]. Anchochea, studied semi-automorphisms of quaternion algebras and division algebras and proved that if A is a simple algebra of characteristic $\neq 2$, then a semi-automorphism of A is either an automorphism or an anti-automorphism. This was extended by Kaplanasky [4] to simple algebras over any field. Later on Hua [3] proved that a semi-automorphism of any skewfield is either an automorphism or an anti-automorphism. Herstein [2] proceeded later on to study semi-homomorphisms of groups. Here, following Herstein and Kaplanasky, we present the study of semi-homomorphisms of rings in general.

1. Main Results

DEFINITION 1. Let R be a ring and S a subset of R; We call S a semi-subring, if for all $x,y \in S$

Received 18 August 1986. The author is grateful to ICTP, Trieste for a two weeks grant in the summer of 1985 where the idea of this paper was conceived and to Monash University for a visiting grant in 1986, when this paper was completed.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/87 \$A2.00 + 0.00

(i)
$$x + y + x \in S$$
.

(ii)
$$xyx \in S$$
.

Every subring is a semi-subring but the converse need not be true.

DEFINITION 2. A mapping $\phi:R\to S$ between two rings is called a semi-homomorphism, if for $a,b\in R$

(i)
$$\phi(a+b+a) = \phi(a) + \phi(b) + \phi(a)$$
 and

(ii)
$$\phi(aba) = \phi(a) \phi(b) \phi(a)$$

hold. Clearly any homomorphism or antihomomorphism is a semi-homomorphism but the converse need not be true; for example the constant function from \mathbf{Z}_2 to \mathbf{Z}_2 having value 1, is a semi-endomorphism of \mathbf{Z}_2 but not an endomorphism.

The set $K = \{x \mid \phi(x) = 0\}$ if it exists is called the kernel of the semi-homomorphism ϕ . One notices that K and $\phi(K)$ are then semi-subrings of R and S respectively.

PROPOSITION 3. If $\phi:R\to R'$ is a semi-homomorphism of rings, then for a ϵ R

$$\phi(-a) = -\phi(a) .$$

Proof. $\phi(a) = \phi[a + (-a) + a] = \phi(a) + \phi(-a) + \phi(a)$ from which our result follows.

LEMMA 4. A semi-homomorphism $\phi: R \to R'$ of rings will be a homomorphism of the underlying additive groups if the characteristic of the codomain $R' \neq 2$,

Proof. For $a, b \in R$

$$\phi(a + b) = \phi[(a + b) + [-(a + b)] + a + b]$$

$$= \phi[a + b + [-(a + b)] + b + a].$$

$$= \phi(a) + \phi[b + [-(a + b)] + b] + \phi(a) .$$

$$= \phi(a) + \phi(b) + \phi[-(a + b)] + \phi(b) + \phi(a) .$$

$$= 2\phi(a) + 2\phi(b) - \phi(a + b) \text{ by proposition 1.3}$$

That is $2[\phi(a+b) - \phi(a) - \phi(b)] = 0.$ Thus $\phi(a+b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$ since char $R' \neq 2$.

A consequence of Lemma 4 is the corollary:

COROLLARY 5. For a semi-homomorphism $\phi: R \to R'$ with char $R' \neq 2$. we have $\phi(-na) = -n \phi(a)$ for any integer n.

PROPOSITION 6. If $\phi: R \to R'$ is a semi-homomorphism of rings with identities 1,1' respectively and if $1' \in \phi(R)$, then $[\phi(1)]^2 = 1'$. If further R' is a nontrivial ring without zero divisors, then $\phi(1) = 1'$ or -1' (which are distinct when char $R' \neq 2$).

Proof. If $1' = \phi(r)$ for $r \in R$ then $\phi(r) = \phi(1) \phi(r) \phi(1)$ so $\phi(r) = [\phi(1)]^2$. Hence $[\phi(1)]^2 = 1'$.

Now $\left[\phi(1)\right]^2 - 1' = 0 \Rightarrow \left[\phi(1) + 1'\right] \left[\phi(1) - 1'\right] = 0$ from which the second part follows.

PROPOSITION 7. If $\phi: F \to F'$ is a semi-homomorphism of fields, then the kernel of ϕ is either zero or a regular semi-subring, provided char $F' \neq 2$.

Proof. One notices that if the char F' = 2, the kernel may fail to exist. For the definition of regularity, we refer to [3]. Thus if $K \neq 0$, let $a \neq 0 \in K$, then $a^{-1} = a^{-1}aa^{-1} \in K$. Thus $a = aa^{-1}a$ for $a^{-1} \in K$.

PROPOSITION 8. For fields F.F' and a semi-homomorphism $\phi:\ F o F'$, if a
eq 0 ϵ F , does not belong to the kernel of ϕ , then

$$\phi(a^{-1}) = [\phi(a)]^{-1}.$$

 $\phi(a) = \phi(aa^{-1}a) = \phi(a) \phi(a^{-1}) \phi(a)$ $\phi(a^{-1}) = \left[\phi(a)\right]^{-1}.$ Therefore

PROPOSITION 9. If $\phi: F \to F'$ is not a null semi-homomorphism of fields, then

$$\phi(1) \in Centre \ of \ \phi(F)$$
.

Proof. Since ϕ is not null $1 \notin \text{Ker } \phi$, if $\text{Ker } \phi$ exists. Therefore as $\phi(1) = [\phi(1)]^{-1}$ by proposition 8; that is $[\phi(1)]^2 = 1'$ $\phi(r) = \phi(1)\phi(r)\phi(1) .$ so

 $\phi(1)\phi(r) = \phi(r)\phi(1) .$

Now we ask when is a semi-homomorphism a homomorphism?

We present a sufficient condition in a special situation.

and

THEOREM 10. A semi-monomorphism $\phi: R \to R'$ of rings will be a monomorphism, if

- (i) char $R' \neq 2$,
- (ii) $\phi(R)$ is a skew subfield of R' and
- (iii) $\phi(2y + yz) 2 \phi(y) = \phi(yzy) [\phi(y)]^{-1}$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 4, (i) guarantees ϕ to be a homomorphism for the underlying additive groups. If either of y,z=0, then $\phi(y)$ and $\phi(z)=0$, or $\phi(z)=0$ and $\phi(yz)=0$, so in either case $\phi(yz)=\phi(y)$ (z).

When both $y,z \neq 0$, then $\phi(y)$ and $\phi(z)$ both $\neq 0$ so $\phi(yzy) = \phi(y) \ \phi(z) \ \phi(y)$

implies $\phi(y) \ \phi(z) = [\phi(yzy)] [\phi(y)]^{-1}.$

Also $\phi(2y + yz) = \phi(y + yz + y) = \phi(y) + \phi(yz) + \phi(y)$ that is $\phi(2y + yz) - 2\phi(y) = \phi(yz)$.

Hence by (iii), $\phi(yz) = \phi(y) \phi(z)$.

One notices that (iii) in Theorem 10 can be equivalently replaced by

(iii)'
$$\phi(zy) = [\phi(y)]^{-1} \phi(yzy).$$

The open problem here is to find the necessary and sufficient condition for a semi-homomorphism to be a homomorphism.

THEOREM 11. For commutative ring R and R' with identities, if $\phi: R \to R'$ is an identity-preserving semi-homomorphism, and char $R' \neq 2$, then ϕ is a homomorphism or an antihomomorphism.

Proof. We know by Lemma 4, ϕ is an additive homomorphism.

Now
$$\phi[(x+y).1(x+y)] = \phi(x+y).1'.\phi(x+y)$$

that is $\phi[x.x+x.y+y.x+y.y] = \phi(x)\phi(x) + \phi(x)\phi(y) + \phi(y)\phi(x) + \phi(y.y)$.

Then using $\phi(x,1,x) = \phi(x),1',\phi(x)$ and since ϕ is additive we have,

$$\phi(xy) + \phi(yx) = \phi(x)\phi(y) + \phi(y)\phi(x)$$
that is
$$2\phi(xy) = 2\phi(x)\phi(y)$$
that is
$$\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y).$$

A slight weakening of Hua's theorem now reads as:

THEOREM 12. If $\phi: K \to K'$ is an identity preserving semi-monomorphism of skew fields, then ϕ is either a monomorphism or an

anti-monomorphism, provided char $K' \neq 2$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 4, one proceeds to prove this result exactly as in Hua [3].

Remarks. (A) The set of semi-endomorphisms of an abelian group A form a ring, the ring of semi-endomorphisms of the group A which contains the ring of endomorphism as a subring, under the usual pointwise addition and composition of functions. Thus any ring (R, +, .) can be embedded into the ring of semi-endomorphism of (R, +).

(B) The concept of a semi-homomorphism becomes more significant when both the additive and the multiplicative structures are not commutative, for example, near-ring [4]. This case if left for future study.

References

- [1] G. Anchochea, "On semi-automorphisms of division algebras", Ann. of Math., 48 (1947), 147-153.
- [2] I.N. Herstein, "Semi-homomorphisms of groups", Canad. J. Math. 20 (1968), 384-388.
- [3] L.K. Hua, "On the automorphisms of a field", *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 35 (1949), 386-389.
- [4] I. Kaplanasky, "Semi-automorphisms of Rings", Duke Math. J., 14 (1947), 521-525.
- [5] N.H. CcCoy, Theory of rings, (Collier-Macmillan, 1968).
- [6] G. Pilz, Near-rings, (North Holland, 1977).

Department of Mathematics Monash University, Clayton Victoria 3168, Australia.

and

Faculty of Science Sana'a University YEMEM ARAB REPUBLIC.