

## Long-Term Lumateperone Treatment in Bipolar Disorder: Six-Month Open-Label Extension Study

Mauricio Tohen, MD, DrPH, MBA<sup>1</sup>, Suresh Durgam, MD<sup>2</sup>, Susan Kozauer, MD<sup>2</sup>, Ian D'Souza, PhD<sup>2</sup>, Richard Chen, PhD<sup>2</sup> and Sharon Mates, PhD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences, University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center, Albuquerque, NM, USA and <sup>2</sup>Intra-Cellular Therapies, Inc, New York, NY, USA

### Abstract

**Introduction.** Approved therapeutics for bipolar depression are associated with a range of undesirable side effects. Lumateperone (LUMA), a mechanistically novel antipsychotic that simultaneously modulates serotonin, dopamine, and glutamate neurotransmission, is FDA-approved for the treatment of schizophrenia and depressive episodes associated with bipolar I or bipolar II disorder. The efficacy of LUMA in bipolar depression was previously established in two Phase 3 trials, as monotherapy (NCT03249376) and as adjunctive to lithium or valproate (NCT02600507).

A recent Phase 3 multi-center trial, Study 401 (NCT02600494) investigated the efficacy and safety of LUMA in bipolar depression and comprised a 6-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled period and a 6-month open-label extension (OLE) period. Here, we report the results of the OLE period, examining long-term safety.

**Methods.** Patients, aged 18–75 years, with a clinical diagnosis of bipolar I or II disorder who were experiencing a major depressive episode (Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale [MADRS] Total score  $\geq 20$  and a Clinical Global Impression Scale-Bipolar Version, Severity [CGI-BP-S] score  $\geq 4$ ) were eligible for Study 401. Patients who completed the double-blind study were eligible for direct rollover into the OLE or were re-screened if completing the double-blind period prior to the initiation of the OLE. During the OLE, LUMA 42 mg was administered once-daily in the evening for 25 weeks.

The primary objective was safety and tolerability of LUMA as measured by incidences of adverse events (AEs) and changes in laboratory parameters, cardiometabolic measurements, electrocardiogram (ECG), and vital signs. The secondary objective was improvement/maintenance of symptoms of depression as measured MADRS and CGI-BP-S Total scores.

**Results.** A total of 127 patients were enrolled in the OLE, with 74 (58.3%) completing the study. Treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs) occurred in 73 patients (57.5%) with 54 (42.5%) experiencing a drug-related TEAE. TEAEs that occurred in  $\geq 5\%$  of patients were headache, dry mouth, dizziness, nausea, somnolence, anxiety, and irritability. Most TEAEs were mild or moderate in severity. Extrapyramidal-symptom-related TEAEs were rare. Most patients who had normal metabolic laboratory values at baseline remained normal during the treatment period. Mean changes in blood pressure, pulse rate, ECG, and body

morphology were minimal. Symptoms of depression improved as measured by the mean change from baseline to Day 175 in MADRS Total score ( $-8.9$ ) and CGI-BP-S Total score ( $-2.3$ ).

**Conclusion.** In patients with bipolar depression, long-term LUMA treatment was generally well tolerated with low risk of extrapyramidal symptoms, weight gain, and cardiometabolic effects. These data further support the safety, tolerability, and effectiveness of LUMA in patients with bipolar depression.

**Funding.** Intra-Cellular Therapies, Inc.

## Opioid-Induced Doctor Dolittle Phenomenon

Jack William Hirsch, BA

Ross University School of Medicine, Bridgetown, Barbados

### Abstract

**Background.** The Doctor Dolittle delusion, of animals conversing with the sufferer, has not heretofore been reported to occur with heroin intoxication.

**Methods.** This 23-year-old right-handed single male used heroin daily since the age of 19. For 2 years prior to presentation, 1 hour after injecting at least one bag of heroin IV, he developed hallucinations of animals talking to him. The voices would occur simultaneously with moving their mouths. Dogs would bark, cats would meow, and birds would squawk his name. Insects would engage him in friendly conversation. Different pitches of voice were produced from each animal; birds were high-pitched; squirrels, insects, and cats were lower-pitched; dogs were medium-pitched. As his intoxication resolved, the hallucinations also evaporated.

**Results.** Mental Status Examination: Oriented x 1, able to recall five digits forwards and three digits backwards. Able to remember none of four objects in 3 minutes without reinforcement and three with reinforcement. Able to spell the word “world” forwards but not backwards.

**Discussion.** The hallucination of animals talking, coincident with their mouths moving, articulating the words, associated with intoxication with high doses of heroin, with resolution with elimination of heroin, suggests opioid intoxication is the causative factor. The mechanism of zoopsia in Parkinson's disease has been attributed to dysfunction of the inferior longitudinal and inferior fronto-occipital fasciculi which relay visual information from the occipital cortex to the temporal and the orbitofrontal cortices. Heroin may have induced cortical inhibition, disinhibiting such pathways and thus facilitating these hallucinations. The simultaneous congruent auditory and visual hallucinations suggests a central origin of these, controlling both the auditory and visual system, such as the left superior and middle temporal gyrus, or possibly the cerebellar vermis. What is unique about the current description is that multiple animal and insect species were involved, and that the pitch of their voice was species specific (high-pitched in birds, and low-pitched in rodents and insects). The pitch may reflect the individual's personal hedonics towards the type of animal or the individual's interpretations of mass