

9. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration. Revised precautionary measures to reduce the possible risks of transmission of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD) by blood and blood products. ECRI—Healthcare Environmental Management System 1998;3:5.2,8,3-12.

Elizabeth Ann Bryce, MD
Katerina Dorovoni-Zis, MD
Dianne Trudeau
Margaret Sinclair
Fred J. Roberts, MD
Vancouver Hospital and
Health Sciences Centre
Vancouver, British Columbia
Canada

The authors express their appreciation to L. Chan, J. Dunham, J. Fialkow, L. Thain, and M. Walker for their assistance in developing the Creutzfeldt-Jakob protocol and for their efforts in managing this incident.

Disinfection of Hospital Laundry Using Ozone: Microbiological Evaluation

To the Editor:

We investigated a hospital laundry system that uses ozone gas as a disinfection agent. Ozone is a powerful oxidizing agent that has been used as a chemical disinfectant for water treatment in Europe since 1893.^{1,2} The use of ozone has increased in medicine lately due to the number of microorganisms resistant to chlorine.³

The process used for washing highly contaminated hospital linen can be summarized as follows: (1) execution of one washing cycle with conventional chemical products (humidification and pre-wash), (2) one washing cycle with ozone (4 mg/L) for 15 minutes, and (3) a softening cycle. Water samples were collected using sterile 20-mL syringes. Pre-wash samples were taken after 2 minutes of agitation without any additives. Post-wash samples were collected similarly, following the final cycle with ozonized water. The samples were evaluated for the most probable number of total coliforms and *Escherichia coli* using the chromatogenic defined substrate test method (Colilert; Idexx Laboratories, Westbrook, ME).

The most probable numbers (\pm SD) per 100 mL of *E coli* and of total coliforms were $1.3 \pm 0.3 \times 10^4$ and $3.74 \pm 1.8 \times 10^5$ pre-wash, and were

reduced to 0.1 ± 0.1 and 1.24 ± 1.13 , respectively, post-wash (each $P < .0001$). Thus, despite intense contamination of the rinsing water, ozone at 4 mg/L proved able to control the tested microorganisms.

Some studies have shown that many species, ie, *E coli*, *Streptococcus*, and *Bacillus*, can be inactivated by 30 seconds of exposure to an aqueous solution of ozone (0.2 mg/L).⁴

In the current study, we demonstrated that ozone used in a laundry processing system reduced by five logs the total number of coliforms and *E coli* present in hospital laundry rinsing water. However, comparative studies testing different conventional disinfectant agents are still necessary to establish the efficacy of ozone as a laundry disinfectant agent.

REFERENCES

- Block SS. Disinfection of drinking water, swimmingpool water, and treated sewage effluents. *Disinfection, Sterilization, and Preservation*. 4th ed. London, UK: Lea & Fabiger; 1991:713-729.
- Kawamura K, Kaneko M, Tsuyoshi H, Tagushi K. Microbial indicators for the efficiency of disinfection processes. *Water Sci Tech* 1986;10:175-184.
- Nebel C. Ozone, the process water sterilant. *Pharmaceutical Industry* 1984;2:16.
- Gurley B. Ozone: pharmaceutical sterilizant of the future? *Journal of Parenteral Science and Technology* 1985;39:256-261.

Claudia Catelani Cardoso, DVM
João E. Fiorini, PhD
Luciano R. Ferreira, PhD
Universidade de Alfenas
Alfenas, MG, Brazil
José W.B. Gurjão, ChemEng
White Martins Gases Inds. S/A
Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil
Luiz A. Amaral, PhD
UNESP
Jaboticabal, SP, Brazil

The authors thank Mr. Nascimento for the technical support; UNIFENAS, White Martins Gases Inds. (Praxair, Inc), for the technical and financial support; and Lavanderia Chanceler for technical assistance.

Impact of Nosocomial Infections on Outcome: Myths and Evidence

To the Editor:

In the editorial of the June 1999 issue (1999;20:392-394) of *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology*, regarding the impact of nosocomial infections on outcome, Dr. Jordi Rello

concludes that "... current evidence is providing a new perspective on the myth that its effect is decisive."¹ In obtaining that conclusion, Dr. Rello cites the publication of Dr. Lilia Soufir et al, in the same issue, regarding catheter-related bloodstream infection.² These articles are good pieces of evidence-based medicine, but I think Dr. Rello missed two points: (1) the impact of catheter-related bloodstream infection is debated, and thus this is a bad example to apply to other nosocomial infections; and (2) not every bacteremia is the same.

It is true that most reported bloodstream infections have been traced to catheter contamination; but, those are the reports from institutions that publish their results, which usually have research units and good nursing standards. Most reports of bacteremia from developing countries involve mainly *Klebsiella* and *Enterobacter*, organisms related to more extrinsic infusion contamination than to catheter contamination,³ as they are able to grow in parenteral fluids at room temperature. An endemic level of parenteral infusion contamination could exist in many hospitals throughout the world, because high-volume fluid bottles are being used to load burettes of different patients, bottles are left at room temperature for later use after initial manipulation, disposable syringes are used to inject different administrations sets, and vials of drugs designed to be used once are being used for multiple dosing. Some of these lapses in aseptic techniques could exist also for the growing number of patients receiving infusion therapy at home in developed countries.⁴

In our experience culturing in-use infusion fluids in Mexico, extrinsic contamination is common in many hospitals.^{5,6} Because of bias toward accepting publications from research-oriented hospitals, this type of problem has received little attention, and an immense international problem could be underestimated. *Klebsiella* and *Enterobacter* bacteremia is a disease of bigger impact on morbidity and mortality, particularly in neonatal units.

Thus, I consider that it is too soon to conclude that the study of the impact of bloodstream infection belongs in the field of mythology. We have observed a dramatic fall in mortality in a hospital after controlling infusate contamination, but have not made a comparative study.⁶ In this process of considering any defendant