Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness

www.cambridge.org/dmp

Letter to the Editor

Cite this article: Palladino R, Bollon J, Ragazzoni L, Barone-Adesi F (2021) Effect of implementation of the lockdown on the number of COVID-19 deaths in four european countries. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep* **15**: e40–e42 doi: https://doi.org/10.1017/ dmp.2020.433.

First published online: 4 November 2020

Keywords:

COVID-19; lockdown; evaluation; late implementation; healthcare; health

Corresponding author:

Francesco Barone-Adesi, Email: francesco.baroneadesi@uniupo.it.

© Society for Disaster Medicine and Public Health, Inc. 2020. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Effect of Implementation of the Lockdown on the Number of COVID-19 Deaths in Four European Countries

Raffaele Palladino MD^{1,2,3}, Jordy Bollon MStat⁴, Luca Ragazzoni MD^{4,5} ⁽ⁱ⁾ and Francesco Barone-Adesi MD^{4,5} ⁽ⁱ⁾

¹Department of Public Health, University "Federico II" of Naples, Italy; ²Department of Primary Care and Public Health, Imperial College, London, UK; ³CIRMIS – Interdepartmental Center for Research in Healthcare Management and Innovation in Healthcare, University "Federico II" of Naples, Italy; ⁴Department of Translational Medicine, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy and ⁵CRIMEDIM – Research Center in Emergency and Disaster Medicine, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy

The coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic has rapidly evolved into a global health emergency.¹ However, there has been a substantial heterogeneity in timing and magnitude of the public health response to the pandemic among different countries.^{1–4} A key factor in explaining why China was successful in curbing the epidemic is that the government implemented containment measures in the Hubei Province in the very early phase of the epidemic.² On the contrary, Europe has been slower in responding to the emergency. In France, Italy, Spain, and the UK, the 4 European countries that have been impacted the most by the COVID-19 emergency, lockdown was enforced 13 to 16 days after Hubei's, when normalizing for the time when the outbreak hit 50 cases in all countries.² This prompts the question of how many COVID-19 deaths could have been avoided during the early phase of the pandemic if containment measures in European countries had aligned with China's lockdown.

We modeled the daily number of COVID-19 deaths in France, Italy, Spain, and the UK from January 23 to August 15. Data were downloaded from the COVID-19 Data Repository.¹ The time-series included a small amount of days in which the number of deaths was negative. We considered these figures as missing values and replaced them, interpolating the number of deaths recorded in the previous and in the following day. We estimated the effect of the national lockdown implementing an Interrupted Time Series analysis.⁵ Specifically, for each country, we modeled the time-series of daily deaths (Y_t) using the following quasi-Poisson regression model:

$$\log(Y_t) = \alpha + \beta_1 T + \beta_2 T_2 + e_t$$

where T is the time elapsed since the start of the observation period (January 23); T_2 is the time elapsed since the implementation of the lockdown (set to 0 before the lockdown); Y_t is the number of new deaths at time T; α is the intercept of the model; β_1 represents the trend of new cases before the lockdown; β_2 is the slope change following the lockdown; and e_t is the error term of the model.

To take into account the COVID-19 incubation period and the mean time between the symptoms onset and death, we assumed an 18-day lag between the national implementation of the lockdown and the start of its effects.⁶ Then, we created 4 separate counterfactual scenarios by predicting the daily number of deaths that would have been observed in the 4 countries if the lockdown had been implemented at the same time as Hubei's (3 days after the outbreak hit 50 cases). This timing would correspond to the following dates: February 25 for Italy, March 2 for France, March 3 for Spain, and March 6 for the UK. Finally, we estimated the relative change in the number of total deaths in the counterfactual scenario, compared to the observed one. All the analyses were performed using R software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Figures 1 and 2 display the daily and total number of deaths in the 4 countries. As of August 15, there were 31 174, 35 449, 30 731, and 41 361 deaths in France, Italy, Spain, and the UK, respectively. If an early lockdown had been implemented, the death toll would have been 2461 (95% CI: 1440 to 4272), 6769 (95% CI: 5652 to 8135), 6792 (95% CI: 4154 to 11 525), and 4071 (95% CI: 3281 to 5067), corresponding to a 92% (95% CI: 86% to 95%), 81% (95% CI: 77% to 84%), 78% (95% CI: 62% to 86%), and 90% (95% CI: 88% to 92%) relative reduction, as compared with observed data.

We found that a more rapid and homogeneous response would have avoided a substantial number of deaths. Our results underline the need of strengthening public health emergency preparedness at national and global levels. Currently, no health care system can sustain an



Figure 1. Observed (gray points), fitted (black line), and predicted (dashed line) number of new deaths in France, Italy, Spain, and the UK, assuming an early implementation of the national lockdown (3 days after the outbreak hit 50 cases in each country). Observed points were averaged over 3 days.



Figure 2. Observed (gray points), fitted (black line), and predicted (dashed line) total number of deaths in France, Italy, Spain, and the UK, assuming an early implementation of the national lockdown.

uncontrolled epidemic of COVID-19 or similar disease. Community containment measures are still the most important interventions to minimize the health impact of a possible second wave of infections, avoiding the unnecessary loss of lives.

Data Availability Statement. The data underlying this article are publicly available from the COVID-19 Data Repository at: https://github.com/CSSEGISandData/COVID-19/tree/master/csse_covid_19_data/csse_covid_19_time_series.

Author Contributions. RP, JB, and FBA conceived and designed the study; JB and FBA analyzed the data; RP, JB, LR, and FBA wrote the paper; and all authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict(s) of Interest. The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this paper.

Ethical Standards. The study was based on publicly available aggregate data. No ethics committee approval was necessary.

References

- GitHub. Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University. 2019 Novel Coronavirus COVID-19 (2019-nCoV) Data Repository. 2020. https://github.com/CSSEGISandData/COVID-19/tree/ master/csse_covid_19_data/csse_covid_19_time_series. Accessed August 20, 2020.
- Li C, Romagnani P, von Brunn A, Anders HJ. SARS-CoV-2 and Europe: timing of containment measures for outbreak control. *Infection*. 2020;48: 483-486.
- 3. Blavatnik School of Government, University of Oxford. Coronavirus government response tracker. 2020. https://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/ research-projects/coronavirus-government-response-tracker. Accessed August 1, 2020.
- Palladino R, Bollon J, Ragazzoni L, Barone-Adesi F. Excess deaths and hospital admissions for COVID-19 due to a late implementation of the lockdown in Italy. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17:epub, doi: 10.3390/ ijerph17165644.
- Bernal JL, Cummins S, Gasparrini A. Interrupted time series regression for the evaluation of public health interventions: a tutorial. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2017;46:348-355.
- Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet. 2020;395:1054-1062.