

# CERTAIN FOURIER TRANSFORMS OF DISTRIBUTIONS: II

EUGENE LUKACS AND OTTO SZÁSZ

**1. Introduction.** In an earlier paper (1), published in this journal, a necessary condition was given which the reciprocal of a polynomial without multiple roots must satisfy in order to be a characteristic function. This condition is, however, valid for a wider class of functions since it can be shown (2, theorem 2 and corollary to theorem 3) that it holds for all analytic characteristic functions. The proof given in (1) is elementary and has some methodological interest since it avoids the use of theorems on singularities of Laplace transforms. Moreover the method used in (1) yields some additional necessary conditions which were not given in (1) and which do not seem to follow easily from the properties of analytic characteristic functions. The purpose of this note is to supplement (1) by establishing these conditions and by deriving the results for rational functions which need not be the reciprocals of polynomials without multiple zeros. It should be remarked that Takano (3) extended the conditions of (1) to the reciprocals of polynomials having multiple roots.

**2. Necessary conditions for rational characteristic functions.** In this note we derive the following

**THEOREM.** *If the rational function  $\phi(t)$  is a characteristic function then the following three conditions are satisfied:*

(a)  $\phi(t)$  has no poles on the real axis. All the poles and zeros of  $\phi(t)$  are either all located on the imaginary axis or they occur in pairs  $\pm b + ia$ , symmetric with regard to this axis. If  $\phi(t)$  is written as the quotient of two polynomials then the degree of its numerator can not exceed the degree of its denominator.

(b) If  $b + ia$  ( $a, b$  real,  $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ ) is a pole of  $\phi(t)$  then  $\phi(t)$  has at least one pole  $i\alpha$  ( $\alpha$  real) such that  $\text{sgn } \alpha = \text{sgn } a$  and  $|\alpha| \leq |a|$ .

(c) Let  $a$  be the imaginary part of the poles of  $\phi(t)$  which are closest to the real axis in the upper (lower) half plane. If  $\phi(t)$  has not only the pole  $ia$  but also poles  $\pm b + ia$  ( $a, b$  real  $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ ) then

(i) no pole of the form  $b + ia$  can have a higher multiplicity than the pole  $ia$

(ii) if the only poles of  $\phi(t)$  with imaginary part  $a$  are  $ia$  and  $\pm b + ia$  and if these poles have equal multiplicity  $s$  we have

$$A_{1,s} a^s - 2|C_{1,s}| (a^2 + b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}s} \geq 0.$$

Here  $A_{1,s}$  is the coefficient of  $(1 - it/a)^{-s}$  and  $C_{1,s}$  is the coefficient of  $(1 - it/(a + ib))^{-s}$ , in the expansion of  $\phi(t)$  into partial fractions.

Received March 9, 1953.

Professor Szász died September 19, 1952.

Conditions (a) and (b) correspond to conditions (a) and (b) of **(1)**; the proof is practically identical with the proof given in the earlier paper so that we have now to establish only (c). We shall therefore assume from now on that  $\phi(t)$  satisfies (a) and (b). We can then write

$$(1) \quad \phi(t) = \frac{P(it)}{Q(it)}$$

where  $t$  is a real variable while  $P(z)$  and  $Q(z)$  are polynomials in  $z$  with real coefficients.

**3. Proof of condition (c).** We divide the zeros of  $Q(it)$  into four groups:

- (i) zeros  $i\beta_h$  ( $h = 1, \dots, \mu$ ) on the positive imaginary axis;
- (ii) zeros  $-i\alpha_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ ) on the negative imaginary axis;
- (iii)  $p$  pairs of complex zeros in the upper half plane  $iw_k$  and  $i\bar{w}_k$  where  $w_k = c_k + id_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, p$ );
- (iv)  $n$  pairs of complex roots in the lower half plane  $-iv_m$  and  $-i\bar{v}_m$  where  $v_m = a_m + ib_m$  ( $m = 1, \dots, n$ ).

The quantities  $a_m, b_m, c_k, d_k, \alpha_j, \beta_h$  are positive numbers. We denote by  $q_m, \rho_j, r_k, \sigma_h$  the multiplicities of the zeros  $v_m, \alpha_j, w_k, \beta_h$  respectively. It is sufficient to prove (c) only for one of the half planes. (See the argument used in **(1)**.) Therefore it is no restriction to suppose that  $Q(it)$  has zeros in the lower half plane. The assumptions of condition (c) imply then that  $\nu > 0$  and  $n > 0$ . According to condition (a) of our theorem, the degree of  $P(z)$  cannot exceed the degree of  $Q(z)$ ; we obtain therefore from (1) the decomposition of  $\phi(t)$  into partial fractions

$$(2) \quad \phi(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\rho_j} \frac{A_{j,\lambda}}{(1 - it/\alpha_j)^\lambda} + \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{\lambda=1}^{q_m} \left[ \frac{C_{m,\lambda}}{(1 - it/v_m)^\lambda} + \frac{\bar{C}_{m,\lambda}}{(1 - it/\bar{v}_m)^\lambda} \right] \\ + \sum_{h=1}^{\mu} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\sigma_h} \frac{B_{h,\lambda}}{(1 + it/\beta_h)^\lambda} + \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{\lambda=1}^{r_k} \left[ \frac{D_{k,\lambda}}{(1 + it/w_k)^\lambda} + \frac{\bar{D}_{k,\lambda}}{(1 + it/\bar{w}_k)^\lambda} \right].$$

If either  $\mu$  or  $p$  should be equal to zero, then the corresponding sum is omitted. From formulae (3.11), (3.12), (3.21), (3.22) of our earlier paper we can compute the frequency function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-itx} \phi(t) dt.$$

We see that, for  $x > 0$ ,

$$(3) \quad f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\rho_j} \frac{A_{j,\lambda} \alpha_j^\lambda}{\Gamma(\lambda)} x^{\lambda-1} e^{-\alpha_j x} \\ + \sum_{m=1}^n e^{-x a_m} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{q_m} \frac{x^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} [C_{m,\lambda} v_m^\lambda e^{-ix b_m} + \bar{C}_{m,\lambda} \bar{v}_m^\lambda e^{ix b_m}].$$

A similar expression can be found for  $f(x)$  if  $x < 0$  and  $\mu \neq 0$  or  $p \neq 0$  but is not needed in the sequel. If  $\mu = p = 0$  then  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x < 0$ .

We choose our notation so that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} a_1 &\leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n, \\ \alpha_1 &< \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $N$  the greatest integer such that  $a_j = a_1$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Clearly  $1 \leq N \leq n$  and we have  $a_N < a_{N+1}$  in case  $N < n$ . It is convenient to put

$$(4.2) \quad t = \max [q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N].$$

We can then write (3) for large positive values of  $x$  as

$$(5) \quad f(x) = e^{-x\alpha_1} x^{\rho_1-1} \left[ \frac{A_{1,\rho_1} \alpha_1^{\rho_1}}{\Gamma(\rho_1)} + o(1) \right] + e^{-x\alpha_1} x^{t-1} [h(x) + o(1)]$$

where

$$(5.1) \quad h(x) = \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} [C_{m,t} v_m^t e^{-ixb_m} + \bar{C}_{m,t} \bar{v}_m^t e^{ixb_m}].$$

The summation is here to be extended over all integers  $m$  ( $1 \leq m \leq N$ ) for which  $q_m = t$ .

Since we assume that conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied we have  $\alpha_1 \leq a_1$ . We write

$$(6.1) \quad s = \begin{cases} \rho_1 & \text{if } \alpha_1 < a_1, \\ \max [\rho_1, t] & \text{if } \alpha_1 = a_1. \end{cases}$$

We see then easily from (5) and (5.1) that for large positive values of  $x$ ,

$$(7) \quad f(x) = e^{-x\alpha_1} x^{s-1} [g(x) + o(1)],$$

where

$$(7.1) \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{A_{1,\rho_1} \alpha_1^{\rho_1}}{\Gamma(\rho_1)} & \text{if } \alpha_1 < a_1 \text{ or if } \alpha_1 = a_1 \text{ and } t < \rho_1 = s, \\ h(x) & \text{if } \alpha_1 = a_1 \text{ and } \rho_1 < t = s, \\ \frac{A_{1,s} \alpha_1^s}{\Gamma(s)} + h(x) & \text{if } \alpha_1 = a_1 \text{ and } \rho_1 = t = s. \end{cases}$$

We are now ready to establish condition (c). Let us first assume that  $a_1 = \alpha_1$  and  $\rho_1 < t$  then

$$f(x) = e^{-x\alpha_1} x^{s-1} [h(x) + o(1)].$$

It is seen from (5.1) that  $h(x)$  is an almost periodic function without constant term; according to the lemma given in (1),  $h(x)$  assumes also negative values. From the almost periodicity of  $h(x)$  it follows easily that  $f(x)$  must assume negative values for some sufficiently large values of  $x$ . This proves part (i) of condition (c).

We next consider the case  $a_1 = \alpha_1$  and  $\rho_1 = t = s$ . Since the function

$$\frac{A_{1,s} \alpha_1^s}{\Gamma(s)} + h(x)$$

is almost periodic we conclude from (5.1), (7) and (7.1) that  $f(x)$  is nonnegative for positive  $x$  if and only if

$$(8.1) \quad A_{1,s} \alpha_1^s + \sum_{m=1}^N [C_{m,s} v_m^s e^{-ixb_m} + \bar{C}_{m,s} \bar{v}_m^s e^{ixb_m}] \geq 0$$

for all  $x \geq 0$  (summation extended over integers  $m$  for which  $q_m = s$ ).

If we write  $C_{m,s} v_m^s = R_{m,s} [\cos \theta_{m,s} + i \sin \theta_{m,s}]$  we obtain easily from (8.1)

$$(8.2) \quad A_{1,s} \alpha_1^s + \sum_{m=1}^N 2 R_{m,s} \cos (\theta_{m,s} - b_m x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } x \geq 0.$$

If in particular  $N = 1$  this reduces to

$$A_{1,s} \alpha_1^s + 2 R_{1,s} \cos (\theta_{1,s} - b_1 x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } x \geq 0,$$

and therefore

$$(8.3) \quad A_{1,s} \alpha_1^s - 2 R_{1,s} \geq 0.$$

If we write here for  $R_{1,s} = |C_{1,s} v_1^s|$  and for  $\alpha_1 = a$  and for  $v_1 = ia + b$  we obtain the statement (ii) of condition (c).

It is worthwhile to remark that the above reasoning would still hold for certain irrational meromorphic functions.

#### REFERENCES

1. Eugene Lukacs and Otto Szász, *Certain Fourier transforms of distributions*, Can. J. Math., **3** (1951), 140–144.
2. Eugene Lukacs and Otto Szász, *On analytic characteristic functions*, Pacific J. Math., **2** (1952), 615–625.
3. Kinsaku Takano, *Certain Fourier transforms of distributions*, Tohoku Math. J. (2), **3** (1951), 306–315.

*National Bureau of Standards*

*University of Cincinnati*