

# Appendix A

## An Aside on $O(4)$

$O(4)$  is the group defined by the multiplication properties of the set of orthogonal matrices which keep the quadratic form

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 x_i^2 \tag{A.1}$$

invariant. If

$$\vec{x}' = O\vec{x} \tag{A.2}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{x}' \cdot \vec{x}' &= \vec{x} \cdot O^T O \cdot \vec{x} = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} \\ &\Rightarrow O^T O = 1 \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

where  $\vec{x}$  is a four-dimensional vector. Looking in the neighbourhood of the identity, we find, with  $O = 1 + \delta$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} O^T O &= (1 + \delta^T)(1 + \delta) = 1 + \delta + \delta^T + o(\delta^2) = 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \delta + \delta^T = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

This means that  $\delta$  must be an anti-symmetric,  $4 \times 4$  matrix. This defines the Lie algebra of  $O(4)$ . The complete set of anti-symmetric  $4 \times 4$  matrices is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (M_{\mu\nu})_{\sigma\tau} &= \delta_{\mu\sigma}\delta_{\nu\tau} - \delta_{\mu\tau}\delta_{\nu\sigma} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\epsilon_{\lambda\rho\sigma\tau}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

It is easy to calculate

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\sigma\tau}] = \frac{1}{4}(\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\epsilon_{\lambda\rho\gamma\delta}\epsilon_{\sigma\tau\delta\beta}\epsilon_{\sigma\tau\alpha\beta} - \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau}\epsilon_{\sigma\tau\gamma\delta}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\epsilon_{\lambda\rho\delta\omega}). \tag{A.6}$$

We can expand this further; it is easy to do some of the sums over dummy indices, but it is more illuminating to define

$$J_i = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}(M_{jk})_{lm} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}(\delta_{jl}\delta_{km} - \delta_{jm}\delta_{kl}) = \epsilon_{ilm} \tag{A.7}$$

and

$$K_i = (M_{0i})_{lm}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Then the commutators

$$\begin{aligned} [J_i, J_j] &= \epsilon_{ijk} J_k \\ [J_i, K_j] &= \epsilon_{ijk} K_k \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

follow directly, with  $J_1 = M_{23}$ ,  $J_2 = M_{31}$  and  $J_3 = M_{12}$ . To calculate  $[K_i, K_j]$  we consider the generators

$$\tilde{J}_1 = M_{12}, \quad \tilde{J}_2 = M_{20}, \quad \tilde{J}_3 = M_{01}, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

which generate the subgroup that leaves the form  $x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2$  invariant. Then because of rotational symmetry we must have

$$[\tilde{J}_i, \tilde{J}_j] = \epsilon_{ijk} \tilde{J}_k. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

(We can check this, for example, with  $[\tilde{J}_1, \tilde{J}_2] = [M_{12}, M_{20}] = [M_{12}, M_{20}] = [J_3, -K_2] = -\epsilon_{321} K_1 = K_1 = M_{01} = \tilde{J}_3$ .) Thus

$$[\tilde{J}_2, \tilde{J}_3] = [M_{20}, M_{01}] = [-K_2, K_1] = \tilde{J}_1 = M_{12} = J_3 \quad (\text{A.12})$$

thus

$$[K_1, K_2] = J_3 \quad (\text{A.13})$$

hence rotational covariance dictates the general relation

$$[K_i, K_j] = \epsilon_{ijk} J_k. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

The combinations

$$M_i^\pm = \frac{1}{2} (J_i \pm K_i) \quad (\text{A.15})$$

satisfy the commutators

$$[M_i^\pm, M_j^\pm] = \epsilon_{ijk} M_k^\pm \quad (\text{A.16})$$

while

$$[M_i^+, M_j^-] = 0. \quad (\text{A.17})$$