

## Red meat from animals offered a grass diet increases plasma and platelet *n*-3 PUFA in healthy consumers

A. J. McAfee<sup>1</sup>, E. M. McSorley<sup>1\*</sup>, G. J. Cuskelly<sup>2</sup>, A. M. Fearon<sup>3</sup>, B. W. Moss<sup>3</sup>, J. A. M. Beattie<sup>3</sup>, J. M. W. Wallace<sup>1</sup>, M. P. Bonham<sup>1</sup> and J. J. Strain<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Biomedical Sciences, Northern Ireland Centre for Food and Health, University of Ulster, Cromore Road, Coleraine BT52 1SA, Northern Ireland*

<sup>2</sup>*School of Biological Sciences, Institute of Agri-Food and Land Use, Queens University, Belfast BT9 5AG, Northern Ireland*

<sup>3</sup>*Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute (AFBI), Belfast BT9 5PX, Northern Ireland*

(Received 12 October 2009 – Revised 5 July 2010 – Accepted 6 July 2010 – First published online 1 September 2010)

### Abstract

Red meat from grass-fed animals, compared with concentrate-fed animals, contains increased concentrations of long-chain (LC) *n*-3 PUFA. However, the effects of red meat consumption from grass-fed animals on consumer blood concentrations of LC *n*-3 PUFA are unknown. The aim of the present study was to compare the effects on plasma and platelet LC *n*-3 PUFA status of consuming red meat produced from either grass-fed animals or concentrate-fed animals. A randomised, double-blinded, dietary intervention study was carried out for 4 weeks on healthy subjects who replaced their habitual red meat intake with three portions per week of red meat (beef and lamb) from animals offered a finishing diet of either grass or concentrate (*n* 20 consumers). Plasma and platelet fatty acid composition, dietary intake, blood pressure, and serum lipids and lipoproteins were analysed at baseline and post-intervention. Dietary intakes of total *n*-3 PUFA, as well as plasma and platelet concentrations of LC *n*-3 PUFA, were significantly higher in those subjects who consumed red meat from grass-fed animals compared with those who consumed red meat from concentrate-fed animals ( $P < 0.05$ ). No significant differences in concentrations of serum cholesterol, TAG or blood pressure were observed between groups. Consuming red meat from grass-fed animals compared with concentrate-fed animals as part of the habitual diet can significantly increase consumer plasma and platelet LC *n*-3 PUFA status. As a result, red meat from grass-fed animals may contribute to dietary intakes of LC *n*-3 PUFA in populations where red meat is habitually consumed.

**Key words:** Red meat: Long-chain *n*-3 PUFA: Grass-fed animals

Red meat produced from grass-fed animals is recognised as a dietary source of long-chain (LC) *n*-3 PUFA<sup>(1–4)</sup>. A ruminant diet of grass, compared with cereal-based concentrate feeds, is rich in  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid (ALA) (18:3*n*-3), thereby allowing the elongation of LC *n*-3 PUFA and their incorporation into muscle tissue<sup>(5)</sup>. A recent scientific opinion from the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) panel indicates that 250 mg LC *n*-3 PUFA per d is an Adequate Intake for adults to reduce the risk of CVD<sup>(6–8)</sup> as a result of the anti-thrombotic and anti-inflammatory effects induced by these fatty acids<sup>(9,10)</sup>.

Oily fish is the unsurpassed richest dietary source of LC *n*-3 PUFA, but oily fish is consumed by only one-third or less of the UK consumer population<sup>(11)</sup>. In comparison, meat is consumed by the majority of the UK and Irish population and in larger quantities than fish (134 *v.* 35 g/d by Irish consumers)<sup>(11–13)</sup>. Red meat, particularly that produced from grass-fed animals, is also a source

of docosapentaenoic acid (DPA) (22:5*n*-3), which is not present in any significant amount in fish<sup>(14,15)</sup>. Recent evidence shows that DPA can be interconverted to both EPA (20:5*n*-3) and DHA (22:6*n*-3) in rats<sup>(16)</sup>, suggesting that it may exert similar health benefits to EPA and DHA<sup>(17,18)</sup>. Although red meat cannot be compared to oily fish in terms of its actual LC *n*-3 PUFA content and overall fatty acid profile, it is possible that red meat makes a greater contribution to total dietary intakes of LC *n*-3 PUFA than oily fish based on the present levels of consumption. This contention has been supported by Australian data, where the consumption of beef and lamb from predominantly grass-fed animals contributes 28% of total LC *n*-3 PUFA intakes, compared with 48% from oily fish<sup>(15)</sup>.

Although lean red meat is known to be a bioavailable source of LC *n*-3 PUFA<sup>(19)</sup>, it is currently unknown to what extent the animal finishing diet has an impact on this bioavailability. The aim of the present study was to

**Abbreviations:** ALA,  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid; DPA, docosapentaenoic acid; FAME, fatty acid methyl esters; LC, long chain.

\* **Corresponding author:** Dr E. M. McSorley, fax +44 2870 324375, email em.mcsorley@ulster.ac.uk

investigate the effects of regular moderate consumption of beef and lamb from grass-fed animals on LC *n*-3 PUFA status among free-living healthy adults. The secondary aim was to investigate the possible effects on serum cholesterol, TAG and blood pressure.

## Methods

### *Subjects and study design*

The 4-week study was a double-blind, randomised dietary intervention in forty healthy and free-living volunteers (twenty males and twenty females). All the volunteers were recruited from staff and students at the University of Ulster. Exclusion criteria included those with high cholesterol ( $>5.0$  mmol/l)<sup>(20)</sup>, high blood pressure (systolic  $>140$  and diastolic  $>90$  mmHg)<sup>(21)</sup>, those on prescribed medication or taking dietary supplements containing PUFA, those who consumed oily fish or *n*-3 PUFA-enriched foodstuffs more than twice a month and those with a BMI  $<18.5$  or  $>30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>. A further exclusion criterion was with respect to volunteers who habitually consumed more than three portions of red meat per week. Participants were randomly allocated to one of two groups: to consume red meat from animals that had been offered a finishing diet of grass or to consume red meat from animals that had been offered a finishing diet of concentrate. During each week of the 4-week intervention, participants in each group were provided with, and required to consume in place of their habitual red meat intake, one portion of mince beef (250 g raw weight), one sirloin steak (200 g raw weight) and four small lamb medallion pieces (240 g raw weight). The weekly consumption of these meats, taking into account an approximate 32% weight loss during cooking<sup>(22)</sup>, did not exceed the limit of 500 g/week as recommended by the World Cancer Research Fund<sup>(23)</sup>. Taking this weight loss into account, the weekly and daily intakes of red meat consumed by study participants were estimated to be 469 and 67 g, respectively. Participants were instructed not to consume any oily fish during the 4-week study period, but were otherwise encouraged to follow their normal dietary habits. Meat was kept at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  until transferred to subjects in a cool bag, and the subjects were required to cook and prepare the supplied meat at home. Before the study, the participants were invited to attend a cookery demonstration by a trained home economist from the Livestock and Meat Commission. The aim of the demonstration was to make the study volunteers aware of how to handle red meat safely before consumption, as well as providing several recipe ideas. The present study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the University of Ulster Research Ethics Committee (REC-FCBMS-08-150). A written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

### *Red meat characteristics*

Red meat was sourced from producers in Northern Ireland who offered diets to animals under experimental conditions. Eight beef cattle and forty-four lambs of a similar age, sex and breed were used in the study. Half of the animals were offered a finishing diet of fresh grass only, while the other half of the animals were offered a finishing diet of concentrate only for a minimum period of 6 weeks before slaughter. The typical composition of concentrate feeds offered in this region has been defined previously as a mixture of cereal, maize and soya with a vitamin/mineral mix<sup>(3)</sup>. The compliance of the producers to strictly offer these diets to animals was monitored by the members of the research team throughout the 6 weeks. At the end of the pre-slaughter feeding regimen, animals were slaughtered according to routine practice at a commercial abattoir (Dunbia, Dungannon, Northern Ireland), after which strip loins were chilled for an ageing period of 16 d and lamb loins for 7 d. Beef topsides were used to prepare mince beef samples with the addition of a small amount of adipose tissue (5%), to produce a fat content similar to that which is commercially available. After ageing, lamb loins were cut into small boneless medallions and sirloin steaks were cut from the strip loins. All the portions were vacuum sealed and stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  until required for the human subject intervention study.

### *Lipid extraction*

Additional samples ( $n$  31) were taken from meat from each treatment group for confirmatory fatty acid analysis where possible. Meat samples were thawed and dissected to separate lean tissue, removing adipose tissue and discarding bone and connective tissue components. Total lipid was extracted from the lean tissue according to an adaptation of the Folch *et al.*<sup>(24)</sup> method. Sub-samples of lean and adipose tissue were homogenised in a chloroform-methanol (2:1, v/v) mixture, antioxidant (butylated hydroxytoluene, 20 mg/ml) was added and homogenised samples were then filtered. Filtrate from lean and adipose tissue was mixed with 0.37% KCl and allowed to settle overnight. The lower phase containing the lipid component was re-filtered and further evaporated under N<sub>2</sub>. Sub-samples were taken for total lipid estimation by oven drying at  $40^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were prepared using the transesterification method<sup>(25)</sup> by adding 5% 2 M-KOH in anhydrous methanol.

### *Quantification of fatty acid methyl esters*

FAME were quantified using a Varian CP 3800 GC (Varian Associates Limited, Walton-on-Thames, Surrey, UK) equipped with a temperature programmable injector operated in the split mode and a flame ionisation detector. Separation of the FAME was performed on a BPX70 capillary GC column (SGE Analytical Science, Milton Keynes, Bucks,

UK) (length 120 m, internal diameter 0.25 mm and film thickness 0.25  $\mu\text{m}$ ), using He as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The samples were injected at a starting oven temperature of 50°C and the temperature was then ramped by 20°C/min to 120°C, then by 2°C/min to 180°C and finally by 4°C/min to 225°C, where it was held for 40 min. Fatty acids were identified by their retention time with reference to those of commercially available fatty acid standards (37 Supelco FAME mix; Sigma Aldrich Company Limited, Gillingham, Dorset, UK) and were quantified by use of internal standard C13:0 and C21:0 which were added before extraction (Sigma Aldrich Company Limited).

### Blood samples

Fasting blood samples were collected at baseline and post-intervention. Serum, plasma and platelets were extracted within 1 h. Plasma and serum aliquots were obtained by spinning whole blood at 2500 g for 15 min at 4°C. Platelets were extracted by centrifuging whole blood slowly at 150 g for 15 min and subsequently harvesting the top layer of platelet-rich plasma. The platelet-rich plasma was centrifuged at 2500 g for 15 min to obtain a pellet, which was washed with Tris-HCl (pH 7.6, 4°C) and re-suspended in 500  $\mu\text{l}$  Tris-HCl. Prepared samples were then stored at -80°C until subsequent analyses.

### Biochemical analysis

Total lipid was extracted from plasma and platelet tissue using a method adapted from Folch *et al.*<sup>(24)</sup>. Internal standard, heptadecanoic acid (C17:0), was added to all the samples before extraction at a concentration of 1 mg/ml. The lipid extracts were esterified with boron trifluoride in methanol (Sigma Aldrich Company Limited). FAME were quantified using an Agilent 5975C GC MS (Agilent Technologies UK Limited, Stockport, UK) operated in split mode with a BPX70 capillary GC column (SGE Analytical Science) (length 100 m, internal diameter 0.25 mm and film thickness 0.25  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and using He as the carrier gas. The samples were injected at a temperature of 160°C and the temperature was ramped at 2°C/min to 208°C and held for 15 min and then at 1°C/min to 220°C, where it was held for 25 min. Fatty acids were identified by their retention time with reference to those of commercially available fatty acid standards (Sigma Aldrich Company Limited) and were quantified by use of an internal standard, heptadecanoic acid (C17:0) (Sigma Aldrich Company Limited).

Serum total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and TAG were analysed using the ILAB 650 Clinical Chemistry Analyser with ILAB test reagents (Instrumentation Laboratory, Warrington, Cheshire, UK). HDL-cholesterol was measured using the direct method. LDL-cholesterol was calculated using the Friedewald equation, formulated as<sup>(26)</sup>:

$$\text{LDL} = \text{total cholesterol} - \text{HDL} - \text{TAG}/2.2.$$

### Dietary assessment

Dietary intake was assessed at baseline and at the 3-week point of the intervention using a 4 d food diary, which the subjects completed over two weekdays and two weekend days. The reported intake at 3 weeks was taken to represent the mean food and nutrient intakes during intervention. This assessment allowed mean daily macro-nutrient, micronutrient and fatty acid intake of each subject before and during the study period to be evaluated. Fatty acid measurements from meat consumed by the study participants were used to supplement the existing data for beef and lamb within food composition tables. Any meat consumed before the study and recorded in baseline food diaries was assumed to have a fatty acid composition comparable to that of meat from concentrate-fed animals. The prevalence of under-reporting (kcal/d) of energy intake was determined using the formula energy intake reported:BMR <1.1, adapted from Goldberg *et al.*<sup>(27)</sup>. Subjects completed an additional meat diary on days where they consumed any of the meat portions to aid compliance by recording details of any leftovers.

### Anthropometric and blood pressure measures

Weight (kg) and height (m) of the participants were measured at baseline (with weight also measured at post-intervention) using calibrated scales and a stadiometer, respectively. BMI was calculated as weight (kg)/height<sup>2</sup> (m<sup>2</sup>). Blood pressure was measured at both baseline and post-intervention using a blood pressure monitor (Omron, Milton Keynes, Bucks, UK).

### Statistical analysis

Sample size was determined using power calculations based on a similar study<sup>(19)</sup> where the consumption of beef significantly increased LC *n*-3 PUFA concentrations within plasma phospholipids of healthy subjects, with a difference between means of 0.59/100 g and with a standard deviation of 0.37. It was predicted that a sample of thirty subjects (*n* 15) would be required to find significant differences at a level of 5% and with a power of 80%. Therefore, it was decided that a sample size of forty (*n* 20) would allow for potential dropouts during the study.

The SPSS version 11.5 (Chicago, IL, USA) statistical package was used for all data analysis. Data were initially tested for normality. Comparisons of baseline characteristics, platelet fatty acid status and serum lipids between groups were analysed by one-way ANOVA. Where there were significant differences between values at baseline, differences in plasma fatty acid status, nutrient intake and meat fatty acid composition between groups at post-intervention were analysed by ANCOVA, adjusting for appropriate covariates with Bonferroni correction. All the data are presented as means and standard deviations or means

**Table 1.** Basal characteristics of the study participants (*n* 38)  
(Mean values with their standard deviations, except for age (Mean (range)))

Characteristics	Grass group ( <i>n</i> 18)		Concentrate group ( <i>n</i> 20)		<i>P</i> *
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Sex ( <i>n</i> )					
Male	9	–†	10	–†	–†
Female	9	–†	10	–†	–†
Age (range) (years)	25 (19–41)	–†	26 (18–41)	–†	0.688
Ht (m)	1.70	0.08	1.72	0.11	0.341
Wt (kg)	66.90	12.43	69.80	16.01	0.207
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	22.90	3.00	23.50	3.60	0.265
SBP (mmHg)	117.94	11.91	121.55	14.67	0.414
DBP (mmHg)	76.61	5.49	79.70	9.20	0.223
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	4.07	0.68	4.74	1.14	0.075
LDL (mmol/l)	2.16	0.64	2.50	1.15	0.123
HDL (mmol/l)	1.44	0.31	1.54	0.38	0.354
TAG (mmol/l)	0.99	0.34	1.16	0.61	0.315

SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

\* Significance in mean values between groups at baseline in one-way ANOVA (*P*<0.05).

† Not calculated.

with their standard errors where appropriate and all the data were considered significant at *P*<0.05.

## Results

Of the forty volunteers who were recruited, two withdrew as a result of being unable to commit to the study requirements. Therefore, eighteen subjects in the group who consumed meat from grass-fed animals and twenty subjects

in the group who consumed meat from concentrate-fed animals successfully completed the study by consuming all portions of provided beef and lamb per week for 4 weeks. None of the subjects reported difficulty with compliance, and meat intakes during the intervention were not significantly different from baseline. No subjects reported in their food diaries of having consumed either oily fish or any *n*-3 PUFA-enriched foodstuffs during the week before the study commenced or during the

**Table 2.** Fatty acid composition of plasma at baseline and post-intervention according to study group (% of total fatty acids)  
(Adjusted mean values with their standard errors)

Fatty acids	Grass group ( <i>n</i> 18)				Concentrate group ( <i>n</i> 20)				<i>P</i> *	<i>P</i> †
	Baseline	SEM	Post-intervention	SEM	Baseline	SEM	Post-intervention	SEM		
C14:0	1.01	0.09	1.19	0.12	1.24	0.13	1.28	0.11	0.160	0.521
C16:0	24.33	0.75	25.44	1.42	26.99	2.13	27.67	1.34	0.269	0.361
C16:1 <i>c</i>	1.91	0.34	1.49	0.26	1.66	0.20	1.13	0.24	0.524	0.354
C18:0	6.34	0.24	8.05	0.38	7.23	0.68	6.89	0.36	0.249	0.020
C18:1 <i>c</i>	24.23	0.64	20.68	2.32	22.97	1.42	22.44	2.19	0.443	0.540
C18:2 <i>n</i> -6 (LA)	27.85	0.83	25.29	2.38	26.98	1.75	27.54	2.25	0.665	0.499
C18:3 <i>n</i> -3 (ALA)	1.31	0.09	1.64	0.17	1.35	0.07	1.04	0.16	0.723	0.014
C18:1 <i>t</i> 11 (TVA)	0.43	0.04	3.45	1.39	0.43	0.04	0.54	1.32	0.126	0.137
C18:2 <i>c</i> 9, <i>t</i> 11 (CLA 9,11)	0.97	0.03	2.24	0.53	0.81	0.09	2.09	0.49	0.970	0.910
C20:4 <i>n</i> -6 (AA)	8.06	0.26	7.65	0.82	7.08	0.61	7.05	0.77	0.167	0.388
C20:5 <i>n</i> -3 (EPA)	0.70	0.09	0.95	0.13	0.95	0.08	0.70	0.13	0.041	0.216
C22:5 <i>n</i> -3 (DPA)	1.01	0.12	1.08	0.12	1.01	0.09	0.86	0.11	0.993	0.182
C22:6 <i>n</i> -3 (DHA)	0.81	0.09	1.57	0.22	1.29	0.19	0.69	0.20	0.041	0.004
SFA‡	31.68	0.91	34.55	2.02	35.46	2.88	36.25	1.91	0.241	0.735
MUFA§	26.14	0.67	22.14	2.39	24.64	1.41	23.65	2.26	0.361	0.629
Total <i>n</i> -6 PUFA	35.91	0.78	33.06	2.57	34.06	1.98	34.47	2.43	0.411	0.725
LC <i>n</i> -3 PUFA¶	2.68	0.29	3.61	0.39	3.29	0.29	2.23	0.38	0.090	0.008
Total <i>n</i> -3 PUFA**	3.93	0.31	5.39	0.49	4.53	0.31	3.14	0.46	0.089	0.019
<i>n</i> -6: <i>n</i> -3††	9.18	0.47	6.21	1.19	8.20	0.79	12.87	1.09	0.306	<0.001

LA, linoleic acid; ALA,  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid; TVA, *trans*-vaccenic acid; CLA, conjugated linoleic acid; AA, arachidonic acid; DPA, docosapentaenoic acid.

\* Significance in mean values between groups at baseline.

† Significance in mean values between groups at post-intervention with baseline value as covariate in ANCOVA (*P*<0.05).

‡ SFA: sum of 14:0, 16:0 and 18:0.

§ MUFA: sum of 16:1*c* and 18:1*c*.|| Total *n*-6 PUFA: sum of LA and AA.¶ LC *n*-3 PUFA: sum of EPA, DPA and DHA.\*\* Total *n*-3 PUFA: sum of ALA, EPA, DPA and DHA.†† *n*-6:*n*-3: total *n*-6/total *n*-3.

4-week study period. Results of the meat diaries showed subjects reported consuming almost all provided portions of mince (97%), steak (99%) and lamb (98%) throughout the 4 weeks. No subjects reported difficulty in consuming any of the meat provided. Dietary data were available for thirty-seven of the thirty-eight subjects.

**Anthropometry**

Mean values for the subjects' age, height, weight, BMI, blood pressure and lipid profiles at baseline are presented in Table 1. There were no significant differences with respect to any subject characteristics between the two groups at baseline.

**Plasma and platelet fatty acids**

Table 2 shows plasma fatty acid composition at baseline and post-intervention for each study group (expressed as % total fatty acids). There were significant differences between groups at baseline for EPA ( $P=0.04$ ) and DHA ( $P=0.04$ ). In response to the intervention, stearic acid (18:0), ALA, DHA, LC  $n-3$  PUFA and total  $n-3$  PUFA were significantly increased ( $P<0.05$ ), and the  $n-6:n-3$  ratio was significantly decreased ( $P<0.01$ ) within the group that consumed meat from grass-fed animals compared with the group that consumed meat from concentrate-fed animals.

Table 3 shows platelet fatty acid composition at baseline and post-intervention for each study group (% total fatty acids). There were no significant differences in fatty acid data between groups at baseline. In response to the intervention, EPA, DPA, DHA, LC  $n-3$  PUFA and total  $n-3$  PUFA were significantly increased ( $P<0.05$ ) and the  $n-6:n-3$  ratio was significantly decreased ( $P<0.001$ ) within the group that consumed meat from grass-fed animals compared with the group that consumed meat from concentrate-fed animals.

**Serum lipids and lipoproteins**

In response to the intervention, there were no significant differences in serum lipids, lipoproteins, TAG or blood pressure between the study groups (data not shown).

**Nutrient intakes**

Under-reporting was identified in fourteen of the seventy-four completed food diaries, using the equation energy intake:  $BMR < 1.1$ , adapted from Goldberg *et al.*<sup>(27)</sup>. Removing these diaries did not result in any notable changes to group intakes of energy, macronutrients or fatty acids; therefore, it was decided not to exclude them from the analysis. At baseline, arachidonic acid (20:4 $n-6$ ) and DPA intakes ( $P=0.04$ ) were significantly greater within the group consuming meat from grass-fed animals

**Table 3.** Fatty acid composition of platelets at baseline and post-intervention according to study group (% of total fatty acids) (Observed mean values and standard deviations)

Fatty acids	Grass group (n 18)				Concentrate group (n 20)				P*	P†
	Baseline	SD	Post-intervention	SD	Baseline	SD	Post-intervention	SD		
14:0	1.44	0.31	1.82	0.31	1.55	0.28	1.60	0.22	0.360	0.161
16:0	18.39	3.19	16.28	2.15	16.85	5.46	16.83	2.83	0.302	0.524
16:1c	4.96	1.15	5.75	0.81	4.97	0.79	5.58	0.82	0.972	0.516
18:0	11.22	2.09	9.49	2.35	11.31	3.92	10.02	2.37	0.937	0.505
18:1c	15.33	6.71	14.45	4.79	14.92	6.52	15.62	5.48	0.848	0.507
18:2 $n-6$ (LA)	9.19	2.17	9.11	1.26	9.07	2.79	9.73	2.37	0.889	0.350
18:3 $n-3$ (ALA)	6.61	1.53	7.67	1.07	6.49	1.15	7.03	1.41	0.805	0.149
18:1 $t11$ (TVA)	3.30	0.76	3.84	0.54	3.32	0.58	3.72	0.55	0.951	0.509
18:2c9, $t11$ (CLA 9,11)	4.96	1.15	5.75	0.81	4.88	0.86	5.58	0.82	0.804	0.516
20:4 $n-6$ (AA)	8.72	0.54	7.67	1.07	8.87	3.46	8.43	2.47	0.885	0.261
20:5 $n-3$ (EPA)	4.96	1.15	5.75	0.81	4.88	0.86	4.58	1.31	0.804	0.003
22:5 $n-3$ (DPA)	6.61	1.53	7.67	1.07	6.49	1.15	6.43	1.35	0.805	0.005
22:6 $n-3$ (DHA)	4.96	1.14	5.75	0.81	4.93	0.79	4.58	1.45	0.941	0.006
SFA‡	30.42	5.16	26.57	3.94	29.17	8.49	27.33	4.75	0.592	0.609
MUFA§	20.29	5.85	20.21	4.16	21.89	8.83	21.19	4.89	0.520	0.524
Total $n-6$ PUFA	17.91	4.38	16.78	1.33	17.95	5.46	18.16	4.15	0.981	0.215
LC $n-3$ PUFA¶	16.52	5.52	19.19	3.11	16.31	3.27	15.59	3.11	0.843	<0.001
Total $n-3$ PUFA**	23.13	5.34	26.86	4.34	22.81	3.92	22.62	2.31	0.832	0.002
$n-6:n-3$ ††	0.83	0.36	0.63	0.09	0.82	0.35	0.80	0.12	0.922	<0.001

LA, linoleic acid; ALA,  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid; TVA, *trans*-vaccenic acid; CLA, conjugated linoleic acid; AA, arachidonic acid; DPA, docosapentaenoic acid.

\* Significance in mean values between groups at baseline.

† Significance in mean values between groups at post-intervention in one-way ANOVA ( $P<0.05$ ).

‡ SFA: sum of 14:0, 16:0 and 18:0.

§ MUFA: sum of 16:1c and 18:1c.

|| Total  $n-6$  PUFA: sum of LA and AA.

¶ LC  $n-3$  PUFA: sum of EPA, DPA and DHA.

\*\* Total  $n-3$  PUFA: sum of ALA, EPA, DPA and DHA.

††  $n-6:n-3$ : total  $n-6$ /total  $n-3$ .

**Table 4.** Fat and fatty acid intakes at baseline and post-intervention according to study group (mg/d) (Adjusted mean values with their standard errors)

Nutrient	Grass group ( <i>n</i> 18)				Concentrate group ( <i>n</i> 20)				<i>P</i> *	<i>P</i> †
	Baseline		Post-intervention		Baseline		Post-intervention			
	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM		
Total fat (g/d)	58.86	4.18	77.26	4.53	66.63	4.72	73.41	4.53	0.228	0.568
SFA (g/d)	22.94	1.65	30.76	2.05	25.91	1.82	30.68	2.05	0.236	0.928
MUFA (g/d)	17.71	1.32	25.81	1.88	20.77	1.48	23.21	1.88	0.133	0.388
PUFA (g/d)	7.55	0.79	9.14	0.92	9.21	0.81	8.68	0.92	0.151	0.687
18:2 <i>n</i> -6 (LA)	881.12	170.02	648.00	228.01	621.05	171.23	1045.02	228.04	0.272	0.292
18:3 <i>n</i> -3 (ALA)	92.34	15.02	136.45	21.45	82.32	20.01	133.42	21.22	0.647	0.995
20:4 <i>n</i> -6 (AA)	31.27	3.15	43.11	3.02	20.71	3.00	33.14	3.45	0.040	0.014
20:5 <i>n</i> -3 (EPA)	20.38	3.25	28.78	3.15	22.46	3.20	18.67	3.42	0.280	0.053
22:5 <i>n</i> -3 (DPA)	17.01	1.00	22.14	1.31	15.06	2.00	12.67	1.22	0.040	<0.001
22:6 <i>n</i> -3 (DHA)	9.53	7.00	14.24	7.05	10.09	2.00	12.80	9.21	0.855	0.291
LC <i>n</i> -3 PUFA	46.91	9.00	65.15	9.78	47.61	6.00	44.14	13.12	0.448	0.721
Total <i>n</i> -3 PUFA	139.25	18.00	201.61	18.25	129.93	21.30	177.56	18.01	0.792	0.085
Total <i>n</i> -6 PUFA	913.35	204.12	558.12	202.13	641.75	152.01	857.41	202.36	0.569	0.369
<i>n</i> -6: <i>n</i> -3	6.61	0.78	2.77	2.42	4.98	1.41	4.83	2.42	0.589	0.159

LA, linoleic acid; ALA,  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid; AA, arachidonic acid; DPA, docosapentaenoic acid.

\* Significance in mean values between groups at baseline.

† Significance in mean values between groups at post-intervention with baseline value as covariate in ANCOVA ( $P < 0.05$ ).

than those consuming meat from concentrate-fed animals (Table 4); therefore, baseline intakes of each fatty acid were adjusted for in subsequent analyses between groups. During the intervention, arachidonic acid (20:4*n*-6) ( $P = 0.01$ ) and DPA intakes ( $P < 0.001$ ) were significantly increased in the group consuming meat from grass-fed animals compared with intakes in the group consuming meat from concentrate-fed animals. There were no other significant differences between groups in response to the intervention. The mean total daily intake of LC *n*-3 PUFA in subjects in the group consuming meat from grass-fed animals during the intervention was 65 mg/d compared with 44 mg/d in the group consuming meat from concentrate-fed animals. Dietary analysis showed that red meat and other meats were responsible for contributing 94 and 6% of total LC *n*-3 PUFA during the intervention within the group consuming meat from grass-fed animals and 87 and 13% within the group consuming meat from concentrate-fed animals.

#### Fatty acid composition of meat portions

Table 5 shows the concentrations of fatty acids in meat portions from grass-fed and concentrate-fed animals (mg/100 g muscle). Focus has been given to the fatty acid composition of muscle, as it is common to remove adipose tissue before consumption; therefore, the fatty acid composition of muscle should have a greater impact on status<sup>(28)</sup>. Results show that the total fat content was significantly increased in all meat portions from concentrate-fed animals than that from grass-fed animals ( $P < 0.01$ ). Beef steaks from grass-fed animals had significantly higher concentrations of ALA, EPA, LC *n*-3 PUFA and total *n*-3 PUFA ( $P < 0.05$ ) than steaks from concentrate-fed animals. Mince beef from grass-fed animals had significantly lower concentrations of linoleic acid (18:2*n*-6), arachidonic

acid and total *n*-6 PUFA, with significantly higher ALA, EPA, LC *n*-3 PUFA and total *n*-3 PUFA than mince from concentrate-fed animals ( $P < 0.01$ ). Lamb from grass-fed animals had significantly lower concentrations of total SFA, linoleic acid and arachidonic acid ( $P < 0.001$ ) and significantly higher conjugated linoleic acid (18:2*c*9, *t*11), DPA, LC *n*-3 PUFA and total *n*-3 PUFA than lamb from concentrate-fed animals ( $P < 0.05$ ). Ratios of *n*-6:*n*-3 were significantly lower in all meat portions from animals offered grass compared with those offered concentrate ( $P < 0.001$ ). The sum of (total *n*-6, total *n*-3):SFA ratio was significantly higher in lamb from grass-fed animals ( $P < 0.001$ ). DHA was not detected in the beef mince samples in meat from either grass-fed or concentrate-fed animals, and it was not significantly increased within steaks or lamb from grass-fed animals possibly owing to limited elongation of this LC *n*-3 PUFA<sup>(29)</sup>. Steaks, mince and lamb from grass-fed animals contained 25.97, 28.38 and 36.94 mg of LC *n*-3 PUFA per 100 g muscle, respectively, compared with 18.69, 16.86 and 28.94 mg per 100 g muscle from concentrate-fed animals.

#### Discussion

The present study demonstrates that moderate consumption of red meat from grass-fed animals can contribute to increased plasma and platelet LC *n*-3 PUFA concentrations among healthy individuals. Sinclair *et al.*<sup>(19)</sup> previously reported that 500 g/d of lean beef could increase plasma concentrations of LC *n*-3 PUFA compared with an intake of 30–100 g/d of beef. In the present study, the approximate daily intake of red meat (67 g) is similar to the quantity which 88% of the Irish population are presently consuming<sup>(12)</sup>, suggesting that it may be possible to modify total LC *n*-3 PUFA intakes in this population

**Table 5.** Fat content and fatty acid composition of meat portions from animals fed a diet of grass or concentrate (mg/100 g muscle)  
(Adjusted mean values with their standard errors)

Fatty acids	Beef steaks					Beef mince					Lamb				
	Grass (n 5)		Concentrate (n 4)		P*	Grass (n 3)		Concentrate (n 4)		P*	Grass (n 10)		Concentrate (n 5)		P*
	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM		Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM		Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM	
Total fat (g/100g)	2.49	0.39	5.31	0.98	0.01	7.76	0.35	9.46	0.24	0.002	3.35	0.09	5.99	0.61	0.001
SFA†	1409.02	44.09	1384.58	64.13	0.78	3747.94	90.85	3572.07	68.87	0.23	1853.46	9.36	1902.09	15.10	0.03
MUFA‡	1416.48	40.41	1349.4	58.77	0.42	3741.79	77.73	3905.65	58.92	0.19	1535.99	12.14	1533.27	19.59	0.92
18:1t11 (TVA)	68.99	22.53	86.99	24.19	0.63	203.03	14.45	192.93	10.32	0.64	174.33	8.49	160.37	13.7	0.46
18:2c9,t11 (CLA)	15.30	1.99	18.27	2.14	0.37	64.19	7.26	63.87	5.19	0.98	73.17	2.66	27.89	4.29	<0.001
18:2n-6 (LA)	87.62	16.11	161.45	23.44	0.04	145.41	15.83	223.52	12.00	0.01	113.13	3.07	137.39	4.96	0.001
20:4n-6 (AA)	19.36	1.25	25.83	1.82	0.02	19.70	1.15	26.39	0.87	0.005	26.89	0.83	18.52	1.34	<0.001
Total n-6 PUFA§	106.98	16.81	187.28	24.45	0.03	165.11	16.01	249.91	12.14	0.008	140.03	3.68	155.92	5.94	0.06
18:3n-3 (ALA)	33.19	1.08	17.52	1.57	<0.001	70.04	3.53	32.49	2.67	<0.001	52.94	0.86	43.24	1.38	<0.001
20:5n-3 (EPA)	13.24	0.66	6.39	0.96	<0.001	14.47	0.79	5.79	0.59	<0.001	16.56	1.03	13.96	1.66	0.26
22:5n-3 (DPA)	11.84	0.88	10.33	1.29	0.41	13.91	1.35	11.07	1.02	0.19	16.48	0.54	11.49	0.88	<0.001
22:6n-3 (DHA)	0.35	0.31	0.99	0.36	0.44	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	3.91	0.29	3.48	0.47	0.51
LC n-3 PUFA	25.97	1.30	18.69	1.89	0.02	28.38	2.02	16.86	1.53	0.006	36.94	1.66	28.94	2.68	0.04
Total n-3 PUFA¶	59.16	1.64	36.21	2.39	<0.001	98.42	5.34	49.35	4.05	<0.001	89.89	2.02	72.17	3.26	<0.001
n-6:n-3**	2.29	0.57	8.39	0.83	<0.001	1.73	0.29	6.78	0.22	<0.001	2.07	0.54	3.14	0.09	<0.001
P:S††	0.14	0.01	0.18	0.02	0.17	0.10	0.01	0.10	0.01	0.15	0.18	0.004	0.14	0.01	<0.001

TVA, *trans*-vaccenic acid; CLA, conjugated linoleic acid; LA, linoleic acid; AA, arachidonic acid; ALA,  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid; DPA, docosapentaenoic acid; ND, not detected.

\* Significance in mean values between treatment groups with total fat content as covariate in ANCOVA ( $P < 0.05$ ).

† SFA: sum of 10:0,12:0,14:0,15:0,16:0,17:0,18:0.

‡ MUFA: sum of 14:1c,15:1c,16:1c,16:1t,17:1c,18:1c9,18:1c11.

§ Total n-6 PUFA: sum of LA and AA.

|| LC n-3 PUFA: sum of EPA, DPA and DHA.

¶ Total n-3 PUFA: sum of ALA, EPA, DPA and DHA.

\*\* n-6:n-3: total n-6/total n-3.

†† P:S: sum of (total n-6, total n-3):SFA.

without changing dietary habits. Furthermore, this intake is below the upper limit of red meat consumption advised by the World Cancer Research Fund<sup>(23)</sup>, and, as such, is not thought to cause any negative effect to health.

Animals were offered grass for a 6-week period before slaughter. The LC *n*-3 PUFA concentrations found within meat from grass-fed animals compared well with those reported by others for beef<sup>(30,31)</sup> and lamb<sup>(2)</sup>. Intake of LC *n*-3 PUFA when red meat from grass-fed animals was included in the diet was estimated at 65 mg/d, compared to 44 mg/d when red meat from concentrate-fed animals was consumed. The difference in LC *n*-3 PUFA intake between groups attributed to the red meat consumed was estimated at 18 mg/d, an acknowledgeable low intake which was nonetheless shown to contribute to increased plasma and platelet LC *n*-3 PUFA status. Fish consumption can make it difficult to isolate and measure the effect of meat consumption on *n*-3 PUFA status<sup>(32)</sup>. In the present study, however, the subjects omitted fish from their diet for the 4-week study duration and were infrequent consumers of *n*-3 PUFA-enriched foodstuffs. The dietary data suggest that red meat was the primary component responsible for the rise in blood concentrations of LC *n*-3 PUFA within the group that consumed meat from grass-fed animals compared with the group that consumed meat from concentrate-fed animals. Limitations associated with dietary analysis and food composition tables must be considered in the interpretation of dietary intake data, where LC *n*-3 PUFA data for many foodstuffs are lacking.

In the present study, an increase in DHA status occurred within the consumers of meat from grass-fed animals. The synthesis of DHA from ALA and EPA is known to be relatively poor<sup>(33)</sup>; however, it is probable that DPA could be used to synthesise some DHA in consumers of red meat. The rate of this synthesis has been proposed to be 37% in humans and was recently described in an animal study where DPA supplementation increased DHA status<sup>(16,34)</sup>. As DHA synthesis occurs in a peroxisomal reaction, it is also possible that this step may be independently regulated from the typical LC *n*-3 PUFA elongation pathway<sup>(34)</sup>. While acknowledging the complexity of DHA metabolism, it is possible that the observed increase in DHA status within the consumers of meat from grass-fed animals is a result of increased DPA intakes during the intervention, which were significantly greater than intakes within the consumers of meat from concentrate-fed animals.

In the group that consumed meat from grass-fed animals, the increase in LC *n*-3 PUFA concentrations in platelets was more pronounced than in plasma. As plasma is an effective short-term marker of LC *n*-3 PUFA status<sup>(35)</sup>, it is possible that some wash-out of LC *n*-3 PUFA had occurred between the time of the last meal of meat from grass-fed animals and blood collection at the end of the intervention. In comparison, platelets are a better reflection of long-term LC *n*-3 PUFA status<sup>(35)</sup>, and

the significant increases observed in both plasma and platelet measures confirm the bioavailability of LC *n*-3 PUFA from red meat from grass-fed animals. Plasma fatty acid values measured in the present study compare well to those of similar studies, albeit where plasma phospholipids were measured<sup>(19,32,36,37)</sup>.

It was not surprising to observe no significant differences in serum concentrations of cholesterol, TAG or blood pressure between groups. Firstly, there is inconsistent evidence that low doses of LC *n*-3 PUFA can reduce total or LDL-cholesterol serum concentrations<sup>(38–40)</sup>. Generally, the LC *n*-3 PUFA are recognised for their ability to decrease TAG concentrations and this potential has been shown predominantly with LC *n*-3 PUFA doses >450 mg/d<sup>(41)</sup>. Therefore, it is probable that a combined effect of the low dose of LC *n*-3 PUFA received through the meat from grass-fed animals, the short study duration and the absence of hyperlipidaemia in subjects resulted in a lack of effect on TAG concentrations in the present study. In addition, there is a lack of evidence to show that LC *n*-3 PUFA can reduce blood pressure at low doses or in non-hypertensive individuals<sup>(42)</sup>. Nonetheless, it is important to acknowledge the aspect that red meat consumption had no effect on serum cholesterol, TAG or blood pressure in the present study, as it concurs with other studies showing moderate red meat consumption has no negative effects to health<sup>(43,44)</sup>.

Other means of increasing LC *n*-3 PUFA content of meat include addition of oilseeds or fish oil in the animal diet<sup>(45–47)</sup>. For example, Medeiros *et al.*<sup>(46)</sup> showed reduced concentrations of vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 in rats consuming beef from cattle offered a flaxseed-supplemented diet compared to a typical diet of maize. Moreover, another study showed human consumption of linseed-enriched animal products to cause an increase in plasma concentrations of LC *n*-3 PUFA<sup>(48)</sup>. However, the advantages of meat from grass-fed animals are that the content of total fat, SFA or *trans*-fatty acids in the meat are not simultaneously increased<sup>(49)</sup>, the palatability is not affected as natural levels of  $\alpha$ -tocopherol in the grass reduce susceptibility to lipid peroxidation<sup>(29,50)</sup> and offering animals a grass diet would be more cost-effective to the producer and more sustainable with respect to the environment than feeding concentrates to the animals. However, future studies should consider increasing the length of the finishing period to allow greater increments in LC *n*-3 PUFA concentrations in meat tissue of grass-fed animals to occur.

Overall, the present study has shown that an animal diet of grass before slaughter can help to increase the LC *n*-3 PUFA content of red meat. Furthermore, increases in plasma and platelet concentrations of LC *n*-3 PUFA were observed among consumers of this meat. This observation may have implications for the red meat industry, where increased production of red meat from grass-fed animals would have greater appeal to the consumer,

adding marketable value to the product. Furthermore, the consumption of red meat from grass-fed animals may contribute to raising the overall LC *n*-3 PUFA intake closer to the recommended intake of 450 mg/d<sup>(6)</sup> without a change being made to dietary habits, which in turn would be beneficial for cardiovascular health.

### Conclusions

The present study is novel in the sense that an animal diet of grass before slaughter has been shown to significantly have an impact on LC *n*-3 PUFA status in free-living healthy consumers of red meat and at a level of consumption similar to the present intakes among the Irish population. Overall, the results of the present study suggest that consumption of red meat from grass-fed animals may provide valuable amounts of LC *n*-3 PUFA to the consumer and increased production of red meat from grass-fed animals may thereby help to increase LC *n*-3 PUFA intakes of consumers.

### Acknowledgements

The present study was funded by the Department of Employment and Learning Co-operative Award in Science and Technology, AgriSearch and the Livestock and Meat Commission for Northern Ireland. The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest. All authors have contributed to the paper and agree with the present version of the paper. E. M. Mc. S., J. M. W. W., J. J. S. and G. J. C. helped to design the study. A. J. Mc. A. contributed to volunteer recruitment, collection of data, laboratory work, data interpretation and writing of the paper. J. A. M. B. contributed to analysis of fatty acids in meat by GC technology. G. J. C., A. M. F. and B. W. M. assisted with the sourcing of meat and the preparation of meat portions. J. M. W. W. and E. M. Mc. S. contributed to data interpretation and writing of the paper. G. J. C., A. M. F., B. W. M., M. P. B. and J. J. S. also contributed to writing of the paper.

### References

1. Leheska JM, Thompson LD, Howe JC, *et al.* (2008) Effects of conventional and grass-feeding systems on the nutrient composition of beef. *J Anim Sci* **86**, 3575–3585.
2. Arousseau B, Bauchart D, Calichon E, *et al.* (2008) Effect of grass or concentrate feeding systems and rate of growth on triglyceride and phospholipid and their fatty acids in the *M. longissimus thoracis* of lambs. *Meat Sci* **66**, 531–541.
3. French P, Stanton C, Lawless F, *et al.* (2000) Fatty acid composition, including conjugated linoleic acid, of intramuscular fat from steers offered grazed grass, grass silage, or concentrate-based diets. *J Anim Sci* **78**, 2849–2855.
4. Nuernberg K, Dannenberger D, Nuernberg G, *et al.* (2005) Effect of a grass-based and a concentrate feeding system on meat quality characteristics and fatty acid composition of longissimus muscle in different cattle breeds. *Livest Prod Sci* **94**, 137–147.
5. Dewhurst RJ, Scollan ND, Lee MRF, *et al.* (2003) Forage breeding and management to increase the beneficial fatty acid content of ruminant products. *Proc Nutr Soc* **62**, 329–336.
6. EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition, and Allergies (NDA) (2010) Scientific Opinion on Dietary Reference Values for fats, including saturated fatty acids, polyunsaturated fatty acids, monounsaturated fatty acids, *trans* fatty acids, and cholesterol. *EFSA J* **8**, 1461. <http://www.efsa.europa.eu> (accessed July 2010).
7. Mozaffarian D, Ascherio A, Hu FB, *et al.* (2005) Interplay between different polyunsaturated fatty acids and risk of coronary heart disease in men. *Circulation* **111**, 157–164.
8. Hu FB, Bronner J, Willett WC, *et al.* (2002) Fish and omega-3 fatty acid intake and risk of coronary heart disease in women. *JAMA* **287**, 1815–1821.
9. Calder PC (2004) *n*-3 Fatty acids and cardiovascular disease: evidence explained and mechanisms explored. *Clin Sci* **107**, 1–11.
10. Ruxton CHS, Reed, Simpson MJA, *et al.* (2004) The health benefits of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids: a review of the evidence. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **17**, 449–459.
11. Henderson L & Gregory J (2002) *National Diet and Nutrition Survey: Adults Aged 19–64 Years. Vol 1: Types and Quantities of Foods Consumed*. London: The Stationery Office.
12. Cosgrove M, Flynn A & Kiely M (2005) Consumption of red meat, white meat and processed meat in Irish adults in relation to dietary quality. *Br J Nutr* **93**, 933–942.
13. Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance (2001) *North South Ireland Food Consumption Survey: Food and Nutrient Intakes, Anthropometry, Attitudinal Data & Physical Activity Patterns*. Food Safety Promotion Board, Dublin. <http://www.iuna.net> (accessed September 2009).
14. Williams P (2007) Nutritional composition of red meat. *Nutr Diet* **64**, S113–S119.
15. Howe P, Meyer B, Record S, *et al.* (2006) Dietary intake of long-chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids: contribution of meat sources. *Nutrition* **22**, 47–53.
16. Kaur G, Begg DP, Barr D, *et al.* (2010) Short-term docosapentaenoic acid (22:5 *n*-3) supplementation increases tissue docosapentaenoic acid, DHA and EPA concentrations in rats. *Br J Nutr* **103**, 32–37.
17. Akiba S, Murata T, Kitatani K, *et al.* (2000) Involvement of lipoxygenase pathway in docosapentaenoic acid-induced inhibition of platelet aggregation. *Biol Pharm Bull* **23**, 1293–1297.
18. Rissanen T, Voutilainen S, Nyssönen K, *et al.* (2000) Fish-oil derived fatty acids, docosahexaenoic acid and docosapentaenoic acid, and the risk of acute coronary events: the Kuopio ischaemic heart disease risk factor study. *Circulation* **102**, 2677–2679.
19. Sinclair AJ, Johnson L, O'Dea K, *et al.* (1994) Diets rich in lean beef increase arachidonic acid and long-chain omega 3 polyunsaturated fatty acid levels in plasma phospholipids. *Lipids* **29**, 337–343.
20. Wood D, De Backer G, Faergeman O, *et al.* (1998) Task force report: prevention of coronary heart disease in clinical practice: recommendations of the second joint task force of European and other societies on coronary prevention. *Atherosclerosis* **140**, 190–270.
21. Ramsey LE, Williams B & Johnson GD (1999) British Hypertension Society guidelines for hypertension management: summary. *BMJ* **319**, 630–635.
22. Matthews RH & Garrison YJ (1975) *Agriculture Handbook No. 102 Food Yields Summarized by Different Stages of Preparation*. Washington, DC: US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service.

23. World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research (2007) Food, nutrition and the prevention of cancer: a global perspective. Washington, DC: American Institute for Cancer Research. <http://www.dietandcancerreport.org> (accessed September 2009).
24. Folch J, Lees M & Sloane Stanley GH (1957) A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipides from animal tissues. *J Biol Chem* **226**, 497–509.
25. BS 684, British Standard Method of Analysis of Fats and Fatty Oils. Section 2.34 (2001) *Preparation of Methyl Esters of Fatty Acids*. London: British Standards Institution.
26. Warnick GR, Knopp RH, Fitzpatrick V, *et al.* (1990) Estimating low density lipoprotein cholesterol by the Friedewald equation is adequate for classifying patients on the basis of nationally recommended cutpoints. *Clin Chem* **36**, 15–19.
27. Goldberg GR, Black AE, Jebb SA, *et al.* (1991) Critical evaluation of energy intake data using fundamental principles of energy physiology: 1. Derivation of cut-off limits to identify under-reporting. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **45**, 569–581.
28. Nute GR, Francombe MA & Dransfield E (1983) *Consumer Attitudes to fatness in meat*. Proceedings of the 5th Home Economics Research Conference, Cardiff, UK.
29. Wood JD, Enser M, Fisher AV, *et al.* (2008) Fat deposition, fatty acid composition and meat quality: a review. *Meat Sci* **78**, 343–358.
30. Realini CE, Duckett SK, Brito GW, *et al.* (2004) Effect of pasture vs. concentrate feeding with or without antioxidants on carcass characteristics, fatty acid composition, and quality of Uruguayan beef. *Meat Sci* **66**, 567–577.
31. Nurnberg K, Nurnberg G, Ender K, *et al.* (2002) *N*-3 fatty acids and conjugated linoleic acids of longissimus muscle in beef cattle. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **104**, 463–471.
32. Li D, Zhang H, Hsu-Hage BH, *et al.* (2001) The influence of fish, meat and polyunsaturated fat intakes on platelet phospholipid polyunsaturated fatty acids in male Melbourne Chinese and Caucasian. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **55**, 1036–1042.
33. Arterburn L, Bailey Hall E & Oken H (2006) Distribution, interconversion and dose response of *n*-3 fatty acids in humans. *Am J Clin Nutr* **83**, 1467S–1476S.
34. Pawlosky RJ, Hibbeln JR, Novotny JA, *et al.* (2001) Physiological compartmental analysis of alpha-linolenic acid metabolism in adult humans. *J Lipid Res* **42**, 1257–1265.
35. Fekete K, Marosvolgyi T, Jakobik V, *et al.* (2009) Methods of assessment of *n*-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid status in humans: a systematic review. *Am J Clin Nutr* **89**, Suppl., 2070S–2084S.
36. Vidgren H, Agren J, Schwab U, *et al.* (1997) Incorporation of *n*-3 fatty acids into plasma lipid fractions and erythrocyte membranes and platelets during dietary supplementation with fish, fish oil, and docosahexaenoic acid-rich oil among healthy young men. *Lipids* **32**, 697–705.
37. Hodge A, Simpson J, Gibson R, *et al.* (2006) Plasma phospholipid fatty acid composition as a biomarkers of habitual fat intake in an ethnically diverse cohort. *Nutr Metab Cardiovas* **17**, 415–426.
38. Gorjao R, Azevedo-Martins AK, Rodrigues HG, *et al.* (2009) Comparative effects of DHA and EPA on cell function. *Pharmacol Ther* **122**, 56–64.
39. Harris WS, Kris-Etherton PM & Harris KA (2008) Intakes of long-chain omega-3 fatty acid associated with reduced risk for death from coronary heart disease in healthy adults. *Curr Atheroscler Rep* **10**, 503–509.
40. Balk EM, Lichtenstein AH, Chung M, *et al.* (2006) Effects of omega-3 fatty acids on serum markers of cardiovascular disease risk: a systematic review. *Atherosclerosis* **189**, 19–30.
41. Geleijnse JM, Giltay EJ, Grobbee DE, *et al.* (2002) Blood pressure response to fish oil supplementation: meta-regression analysis of randomized trials. *J Hypertens* **20**, 1493–1499.
42. Hodgson J, Wards NC, Burke V, *et al.* (2007) Increased lean red meat intakes does not elevate markers of oxidative stress and inflammation in humans. *J Nutr* **137**, 363–367.
43. Flynn NA, Naumann HD, Nolph GB, *et al.* (1982) Dietary meats and serum lipids. *Am J Clin Nutr* **35**, 935–942.
44. O'Dea K, Traianedes K, Chisholm K, *et al.* (1990) Cholesterol-lowering effect of a low-fat diet containing lean beef is reversed by the addition of beef fat. *Am J Clin Nutr* **52**, 491–494.
45. Scollan N, Hocquette J, Nuernberg K, *et al.* (2006) Innovations in beef production systems that enhance the nutritional and health value of beef lipids and their relationship with meat quality. *Meat Sci* **74**, 17–33.
46. Medeiros DM, Hampton M, Kurtzer K, *et al.* (2007) Feeding enriched omega-3 fatty acid beef to rats increases omega-3 fatty acid content of heart and liver membranes and decreases serum vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 and cholesterol levels. *Nutr Res* **27**, 295–299.
47. Ponnampalam EN, Sinclair AJ, Egan AR, *et al.* (2001) Effect of dietary modification of muscle long-chain *n*-3 fatty acids on plasma insulin and lipid metabolites, carcass traits, and fat deposition in lambs. *J Anim Sci* **79**, 895–903.
48. Weill P, Schmitt B, Chesneau G, *et al.* (2002) Effects of introducing linseed in livestock diet on blood fatty acid composition of consumers of animal products. *Ann Nutr Metab* **46**, 182–191.
49. Scollan ND, Dhanoa MS, Choi NJ, *et al.* (2001) Biohydrogenation and digestion of long chain fatty acids in steers fed on different sources of lipid. *J Agri Sci* **136**, 345–355.
50. Moloney AP, Mooney MT, Kerry JP, *et al.* (2001) Producing tender and flavoursome beef with enhanced nutritional characteristics. *Proc Nutr Soc* **60**, 221–229.