[cambridge.org/par](https://www.cambridge.org/par)

Research Article

Cite this article: Butler RA, Muller LI, Grove D, Trout Fryxell RT (2025). Ecological relationships of Haemaphysalis longicornis Neumann with other tick species on wildlife hosts at cow–calf farms implementing integrated pest management in eastern Tennessee. Parasitology 1–11. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182024001380) [10.1017/S0031182024001380](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182024001380)

Received: 6 April 2024 Revised: 10 October 2024 Accepted: 11 October 2024 First published online: 14 November 2024

Keywords:

exotic; Haemaphysalis longicornis; integrated pest management; invasive; wildlife–livestock interface

Corresponding author: Rebecca A. Butler; Email: rbutle25@vols.utk.edu

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Rebecca A. Butler¹ **D**, Lisa I. Muller², Dan Grove² and Rebecca T. Trout Fryxell¹

¹Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA and ²School of Natural Resources, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA

Abstract

Longhorned tick (Haemaphysalis longicornis) is an invasive tick species that parasitizes a variety of vertebrate hosts and transmits pathogens affecting humans and livestock in the United States (US). Unfortunately, the behaviour of this tick at the wildlife–livestock interface is not well understood. To better understand how H. longicornis uses wildlife hosts and interacts with established tick species on farm settings we sampled small and medium wildlife seasonally for a year, using Sherman and Tomahawk traps, on three H. longicornis-infested cattle farms in eastern Tennessee. We confirmed that wildlife host body regions and coinfesting tick species were associated with the likelihood that H. longicornis would be present on a host. In addition, ticks were less likely to be present on hosts when farmer led integrated pest management strategies were adopted and the environment was modified to reduce tick populations. Results from this study can be used to target host species for on-animal management of H. longicornis by using population management strategies or acaricidal applications. Activity patterns for when established tick species, with similar predicted geographic ranges as H. longicornis, are feeding simultaneously on hosts can also be used to predict when this exotic tick species will be present. Finally, reducing tick abundance in the environment can be important for on-animal control. These results are imperative for understanding how wildlife hosts harbour H. longicornis and its interactions with established tick species. These findings are useful for selecting tick management strategies specific to H. longicornis and understanding pathogen transmission due to cofeeding.

Introduction

The introduction of exotic and invasive vectors into the United States (US) is not only a concern for their negative ecological and economic effects (Marbuah et al., [2014](#page-9-0); Bradshaw et al., [2016](#page-8-0)), but also for potential health impacts (Lounibos, [2002](#page-9-0); Juliano and Philip Lounibos, [2005](#page-9-0)). Several tick species of great concern, due to their potential negative impact on the livestock industry, have invaded the US, including the red sheep tick Haemaphysalis punctata (Tufts and Diuk-Wasser, [2021](#page-10-0)), cattle fever ticks, Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) annulatus (Say) and Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus (Canestrini) (Lohmeyer et al., [2011](#page-9-0)), and the longhorned tick H. longicornis (Beard et al., [2018](#page-8-0)). The recent discovery of H. longicornis in the US is a public health concern due to its ability to transmit a variety of pathogens that impact humans and other animal hosts (Thompson et al., [2021\)](#page-10-0). Although H. longicornis is not known to use humans as a preferred host (Ronai et al., [2020\)](#page-10-0), it is able to harbour and transmit pathogens which negatively affects humans (Zhuang et al., [2018](#page-10-0); Stanley et al., [2020](#page-10-0)). Reports in the US found H. longicornis parasitizing a wide array of mammal and bird species (USDA-APHIS, [2023](#page-10-0)). Previous studies surveying H. longicornis on wildlife hosts found white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginiana), raccoon (Procyon lotor) and Virginia opossum (Didelphis virginiana) to be heavily infested with this tick species (Thompson et al., [2021,](#page-10-0) [2022\)](#page-10-0). Although this tick has been widely reported on companion and livestock animals (USDA-APHIS, [2023](#page-10-0)), its role in the transmission of pathogens from wildlife to humans and domesticated animals in the US is likely underreported. Cofeeding, attachment of 2 separate tick species feeding together on a host, between H. longicornis and other native tick species on companion and wildlife hosts in the US have been reported (Tufts et al., [2019](#page-10-0), [2021\)](#page-10-0). Cofeeding ticks can have negative implications for human health because they can obtain pathogens from one another; thus, H. longicornis may obtain pathogens from native tick species or vice versa (Tufts, [2021](#page-10-0); Price et al., [2022](#page-9-0)). For example, Bourbon virus and Anaplasma phagocytophilum from native cofeeding ticks were found in H. longicornis, which could have been transmitted by ticks feeding in proximity (Cumbie et al., [2022;](#page-8-0) Price et al., [2022](#page-9-0)). Trout Fryxell et al. [\(2023\)](#page-10-0) found H. longicornis follows similar phenological patterns to native tick species and these species were often found questing together in similar habitats. It is possible that native species could be interacting with H. longicornis in the environment as well as on hosts. Knowing how exotic and native tick species select host species is important for understanding pathogen transmission.

To better understand the community structure of H. longicornis on hosts at wildlife–livestock interfaces this study aimed to identify this species' associations with established tick species and wildlife hosts and producer led integrated pest management (IPM) strategies in eastern Tennessee. Although the goal of the present study was to identify factors associated with H. longicornis abundance and presence, which could be targeted for wildlife on-animal control on farms infested with this tick species, important findings for other tick species were also included. Here, it was hypothesized that established tick species and wildlife host characteristics (e.g. sex, age and weight) and body regions would be associated with H. longicornis abundance and presence, and producer led IPM would decrease the presence of this species on wildlife hosts. Factors identified from this research will be important for cost-effective management regimes for controlling H. longicornis on cow–calf farm settings.

Materials and methods

Site selection

Mammals were trapped in forested and edge habitats on 3 farms (farm 1, farm 2, farm 3), which consisted of upland hardwoods surrounded by fields of fescue in eastern Tennessee. Each farm had an established population of H. longicornis on site and a herd of beef cattle. Producer led IPM strategies were conducted on site at each farm and outlined in Butler and Trout Fryxell ([2023](#page-8-0)). These on-farm management strategies consisted of chemical control in the form of an on-animal acaracide for cattle, cultural control in the form of bush hogging pastures, and mechanical control by removing ticks, in forested, field, and edge habitats, from the environment using a corduroy drag. Farm 1 significantly reduced questing H. longicornis in the environment by 90% over 3 years; they bush hogged their pastures once monthly during the growing season (May–October), used an on-animal acaracide, and frequently removed ticks from the environment by mechanical control. Farm 2 did not reduce questing H. longicornis; they chose to bush hog their pasture once a year in the fall, did not use an on-animal acaracide, and moderately removed ticks from the environment by mechanical control. Farm 3 reduced their H. longicornis population by 68%; that producer bush hogged their pastures yearly in the fall, used an on-animal acaracide, and scarcely removed ticks from the environment by using mechanical control (Butler and Trout Fryxell, [2023\)](#page-8-0).

Mammal handling and tick collection

All wildlife were handled following guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes and Gannon, [2011](#page-10-0)) and identified to species using taxonomic keys outlined in Kellogg [\(1939\)](#page-9-0); Schwartz and Schwartz ([2016](#page-10-0)). Methods for handling small- and medium-sized wildlife were approved by the University of Tennessee, Knoxville (IACUC #2774-0620). Species, sex, age (subadult and adult), weight (g) and external morphological measurements (mm) were taken on each wildlife host. Small mammals were trapped using Sherman live traps $(2'' \times 2.5'' \times 6.5'', H. B. Sherman Traps, Inc., Tallahassee,$ FL, USA) baited with rolled oats and black oil sunflower seeds (Owen et al., [2015](#page-9-0); Butler et al., [2020](#page-8-0)). Medium-size mammals were trapped using medium $(16.5'' \times 5.75'' \times 5.75''$, Tomahawk Live Trap, Hazelhurst, WI, USA) and large $(32'' \times 10'' \times 12''$, Tomahawk Live Trap) tomahawk traps baited with sardines and marshmallows (Modarelli et al., [2020](#page-9-0)). In 2020–2021, a total of 42 Sherman live traps, 14 medium-sized tomahawk, and 10 large tomahawk traps were placed in forested and edge habitats on each farm. Traps were

run for 2-week intervals in every season, which was determined by equinox and solstice, to accommodate differences in tick–host feeding patterns determined by day length (Trout Fryxell et al., [2023\)](#page-10-0). Mammals were trapped in every season to understand H. longicornis on-host phenology throughout the year. Raccoons were sedated with 20 mg kg⁻¹ ketamine and 4 mg kg⁻¹ xylazine administered by intramuscular (IM) injection and reversed with 0.375 mg kg⁻¹ atipamezole IM (Eiden et al., [2015](#page-8-0); Johnson et al., [2024](#page-9-0)). Opossums were sedated with 10 mg kg⁻¹ ketamine and 2 mg kg⁻¹ xylazine IM, but a reversal was not used (Stoskopf et al., [1999;](#page-10-0) Kreeger and Arnemo, [2018\)](#page-9-0). Small mammals (rodents and squirrels) were sedated in an enclosed plastic box with cotton balls of isoflurane and monitored for sedation every 5 s or until the animal became drowsy (Bennett and Lewis, [2022\)](#page-8-0). Ticks were removed from trapped mammal hosts with forceps and stored in 80% ethanol. All ticks were identified to species and life stage using taxonomic keys but were not sized for engorgement status (Yunker et al., [1986](#page-10-0); Keirans et al., [1996](#page-9-0); Keirans and Durden, [1998;](#page-9-0) Egizi et al., [2019](#page-8-0)).

Statistical modelling

All analyses were performed in Statistical Analysis Software (SAS, ver. 9.4, Cary, NC, USA) with 2-tailed hypotheses $(\alpha = 0.05)$. The best fit model was chosen based on minimizing AIC and BIC as well as the −2 restricted (res) log likelihood statistics. Least squares means (LS Mean) Tukey–Kramer post-hoc pairwise comparisons were used for examination of each categorical variable. All mammal hosts collected were included in each model; further, season and tick life stage were not blocked on due to low sample sizes associated with some categorical variables. A generalized linear mixed model (PROC GLIMMIX) with negative binomial distribution was used to model associations between response variable tick abundance and the presence of each tick species, host morphological body region where ticks were collected and their interaction as a main effect (tick species \times body region). Collected ticks were categorized based on host morphological body regions appendage for ticks collected on the foot, leg, or axilla; ventrum for the thorax and abdomen; face for cervical spine, cranium, mandible and rostrum; posterior for anus, inguinal and tail; dorsum for dorsal and lateral regions; and pinna for the external ear regions ([Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0). Posterior region was dropped from all models due to low sample sizes. Models used to analyse tick interactions based on morphological body regions used each body part as the unit of observation. Each individual animal was used as a random effect in the model and the variables management type and mammal type were used as covariates. Mammal type represents small or medium mammals and management refers to the tick management strategy used on each farm following Butler and Trout Fryxell [\(2023](#page-8-0)). In addition, a hierarchical linear mixed model (PROC GLIMMIX) with a negative binomial distribution was built using each individual animal as a random effect with mammal type and management as covariates. Shannon index of species diversity was used as the response variable H to identify the diversity of tick species across host body regions using the formula (Shannon, [1948;](#page-10-0) Dejong, [1975](#page-8-0)), K as a constant and p_i is the proportion of the entire body region made up of species *i.* p_i was calculated by dividing the number of ticks collected on each body region by the total sum of ticks collected. Shannon index of species diversity was also calculated for the diversity of tick species on each mammal host.

$$
H = -K \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \ln p_i
$$

Chi-squared (PROC FREQ) analyses were then run to understand interactions between each tick species occurring on host

Figure 1. Morphological body regions where ticks were collected on small and medium wildlife hosts on farms in eastern Tennessee in 2020–2021. In addition, significant associations for tick species, Amblyomma americanum, Haemaphysalis longicornis, Dermacentor variabilis, and Ixodes scapularis, were labelled for each body region.

body region and P values were determined. Additionally, odds ratios and their 95% confidence intervals were examined for each tick species. Finally, a generalized linear model (PROC GLIMMIX) with a negative binomial distribution was constructed with each individual mammal as the unit of observation. This was done to understand relationships between H. longicornis abundance as a dependent variable and the total tick species present and management strategy used by each farm. Host characteristics sex, age, and weight did not contribute to model performance and were removed.

Results

There was a total of 11 088 trap nights resulting in the collection of 329 wildlife hosts comprised of 11 different mammal species with 6040 ticks on farms in eastern Tennessee. Farm 1 had 800 (13.24%) ticks on 31 mammal hosts out of 89 collected, farm 2 had 184 ticks (3.04%) on 21 mammal hosts out of 100 collected, and farm 3 had 5056 (83.70%) ticks on 52 wildlife hosts out of 140 collected. Overall, 40 northern short-tailed shrews (Blarina brevicauda), six southern flying squirrels (Glaucomys volans), one eastern woodrat (Neotoma floridana) and two eastern grey squirrels (Sciurus carolinensis) were captured but zero ticks were present on them ([Table 1;](#page-3-0) [Fig. 2\)](#page-5-0). There were 65 Virginia opossums with 843 ticks (mean ± standard error of the mean) (Shannon diversity index) (12.9 ± 4.59) (0.704) and 31 raccoons with 5096 ticks (164.3 ± 53.35) (0.964) collected [\(Table 1;](#page-3-0) [Fig. 2](#page-5-0)). Seventeen woodland voles (Microtus pinetorum) had a total of four ticks (0.2 ± 0.18) and a diversity index could not be calculated. Six golden mice (Ochrotomys nuttalli) with five ticks (0.8 ± 0.83) (0.673) , seven cotton deermice (Peromyscus gossypinus) with five ticks (0.7 ± 0.28) (0.500) , 74 white-footed deermice (Peromyscus leucopus) with 21 ticks (0.2 ± 0.07) (0.878) , 36 North American deermice (Peromyscus maniculatus) with 38 ticks (1.0 ± 0.34) (0.680) , and 44 eastern chipmunks (*Tamias* striatus) with 28 ticks (0.6 ± 0.38) (1.272) were collected ([Table 1](#page-3-0); [Fig. 2](#page-5-0)). There were as few as one and as many as six tick species found feeding together on infested wildlife hosts.

Haemaphysalis longicornis were recovered from raccoon more than any other host species; however, Virginia opossum was the second most frequented host and eastern chipmunk was the third $(F_{2, 137} = 3.75, P < 0.0001)$. Amblyomma americanum was most abundant on raccoon and second most abundant on Virginia opossum and eastern chipmunk, while the tick was least abundant on the white-footed deer mouse $(F_{3, 210} = 38.97,$

P < 0.0001). Dermacentor variabilis was most abundant on raccoon; second most abundant on Virginia opossum and North American deer mouse $(F_{7, 272} = 10.29, P < 0.0001)$; third most abundant were golden mouse, white-footed deer mouse, woodland vole, and cotton deer mouse; and least abundant on eastern chipmunks $(F_{7, 272} = 10.29, P < 0.0001)$. Ixodes scapularis was most abundant on raccoon and Virginia opossum; second most abundant on cotton deer mouse, North American deer mouse, and golden mouse; and least abundant on eastern chipmunk and white-footed deer mouse ($F_{6, 256}$ = 5.92, P < 0.0001). Ixodes cookei was most abundant on raccoon and second on Virginia opossum $(F_1, 94 = 17.96,$ P < 0.0001), whereas I. texanus was only found on raccoon.

Tick species cofeeding interactions differed by host body region

Interactions between tick species abundance \times host morphological body regions for all host species ($F_{12, 201} = 3.75, P < 0.0001$) were associated with tick abundance ([Table 2\)](#page-6-0). On appendage and pinna regions A. americanum had the highest abundance. There was no difference between A. americanum, D. variabilis, H. longicornis, or I. scapularis on the dorsum or face regions. Finally, A. americanum and H. longicornis had the highest abundance on the ventrum region (Figs 1 and [3\)](#page-7-0). Tick diversity was associated with the host body region where they were feeding $(F₄, 131 = 8.90, P < 0.0001)$. Pinna regions had the highest overall adjusted average diversity, but face and ventrum regions had a higher diversity compared to appendage and dorsum regions [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-7-0).

Ticks cooccurred differently on wildlife hosts depending on species

The likelihood of finding ticks on different regions when other tick species were present was also compared. There was a 37% decrease in the odds of D. variabilis being present on regions when and where A. americanum was also present (P value = 0.0011; odds ratio 0.3728; 95% Cl 0.2048–0.6787) and a 53% decrease in the odds of H. longicornis being present on a host's body region when *D. variabilis* was present (*P* value = 0.0315 ; odds ratio 0.5319; 95% Cl 0.2990–0.9465). However, there was a 209% increase in the odds of H. longicornis being present whenever A. americanum was also present (P value = 0.0002; odds ratio 3.0932; 95% Cl 1.7002–5.6275). Additionally, H. longicornis abundance was 45 times more likely to increase with a 1 unit increase

Table 1. Descriptive statistics depicting the mean±standard error (minimum range–maximum range) and total number of ticks separated by tick species and life stage for wildlife hosts collected on farms in East Tennessee in 2020–2021

Parasitology 5

male Haemaphysalis longicornis, lxodes scapularis, lxodes cookei or lxodes texanus, or female Amblyomma americanum were collected. No male Haemaphysalis longicornis, Ixodes scapularis, Ixodes cookei or Ixodes texanus, or female Amblyomma americanum were collected.

 $\frac{9}{5}$

in the number of tick species on a host $(F_{1, 325} = 13.11, P < 0.0001)$ [\(Fig. 5](#page-7-0)).

Pasture management implications reduced ticks on wildlife

The management strategy used by each producer was also associated with H. longicornis infesting wildlife or on-animal abundance $(F_{2, 325} = 13.11, P < 0.0001)$, where higher numbers were found on farms 2 and 3 compared to farm 1 but there was no difference in the number of ticks collected from farms 2 and 3 [\(Fig. 6](#page-7-0)). On farm 1 there were 7 total (3 larvae and 4 nymphs) H. longicornis found on one raccoon and five Virginia opossum. Farm 2 had 93 (68 larvae, 24 nymphs and 1 female) H. longicornis on three raccoons and seven Virginia opossum, and farm 3 had 3031 (2879 larvae, 140 nymphs and 12 females) H. longicornis on two eastern chipmunks, eight raccoons, and six Virginia opossum. The percentage (total for a single species/total animals collected) \times 100 of wildlife collected on farm 1 was 2.43% for raccoon, 6.39% for Virginia opossum, and 1.82% for eastern chipmunk; on farm 2 it was 1.82% for raccoon, 7.59% for Virginia opossum, and 1.82% for eastern chipmunk; and on farm 3 it was 5.16% for raccoon, 5.77% for Virginia opossum, and 9.72% for eastern chipmunk.

Discussion

There is a need to better understand tick ecology because of their effects on a variety of animal hosts including humans, wildlife, companion animals, and livestock, but the invasion of H. longi-cornis makes the need more urgent (Dinkel et al., [2021](#page-8-0)). Discerning interactions between invasive and established tick species are imperative for understanding tick community structure on hosts. This study successfully identified wildlife and established tick species associated with the presence of H. longicornis which can be targeted for tick surveillance and management. Although ixodid tick species only spend 10% of their total life cycle on hosts compared to the environment (Needham and Teel, [1991](#page-9-0)), knowing which host body regions are associated with tick attachment allows us to develop important tools for reducing large populations at a single time, which is especially important for a clonal species. In this study, tick and host body regions associated with H. longicornis presence that can be targeted for their control were identified. For example, targeting raccoon and Virginia opossum host's pinna, on farms infested with H. longicornis, would be an important on-animal control measure for exposing a larger abundance of ticks and tick species to acaracides.

Here wildlife host species types that were associated with tick parasitization but can also be evaluated as targets for on-farm tick control were identified. Haemaphysalis longicornis and other tick species were more likely to be present on medium-sized hosts compared to small mammals suggesting that managing these hosts may reduce tick populations on a farm. Raccoons had the highest abundance of H. longicornis, the greatest number of cofeeding tick species (6 species total) and the second highest tick Shannon diversity index. The next most heavily parasitized mammal after the raccoon was the Virginia opossum, which had the fourth highest tick Shannon diversity index. In previous studies in Tennessee, >90% of the ticks were collected from these 2 species and raccoons similarly had the highest tick species diversity (Kollars, [1993\)](#page-9-0). The high abundances of H. longicornis on these 2 species are concerning because they could serve as reservoir hosts for some Anaplasma and Rickettsia species (Boostrom et al., [2002](#page-8-0); Levin et al., [2002](#page-9-0)). Raccoons are known to have large variable home ranges in the Southeast (Hill et al., [2023](#page-9-0)) and females often seek out dens in forested or edge environments near agricultural areas when rearing young (Henner

Figure 2. Average number of ticks collected on wildlife hosts on farms in East Tennessee and the total number of hosts collected, denoted as $(n=1)$ under scientific name, in 2020–2021.

et al., [2004\)](#page-9-0). The Virginia opossum is also known to use edge environments and have large home ranges for its medium size (Hill et al., [2023\)](#page-9-0). These selection behaviours by raccoon and Virginia opossum coincide with H. longicornis habitat preferences (Trout Fryxell et al., [2023](#page-10-0)), suggesting that managing these animals may help prevent the spread and/or establishment of H. longicornis on a farm. Differences in the abundances of H. longicornis life stages, in which raccoon had more larvae but Virginia opossum had more females, could be due to adult life stages selecting less immature tick-infested hosts (Bloemer et al., [1988;](#page-8-0) Estrada-Peña et al., [2020;](#page-8-0) Tiffin et al., [2021\)](#page-10-0).

Eastern chipmunks are known to exist in relatively small home ranges outside of their burrows (Yahner, [1978\)](#page-10-0); therefore, decreased H. longicornis tick numbers could be due to fewer eastern chipmunk encounters. Eastern chipmunks also had the highest tick Shannon diversity index; decreased abundances could be due to negative interactions between tick species (Krasnov et al., [2007\)](#page-9-0). Although the lowest number of H. longicornis was found infesting eastern chipmunks, they can serve as a potential reservoir host for some Borrelia and Rickettsiales-related tickborne pathogens (Mclean et al., [1993;](#page-9-0) Keesing et al., [2014;](#page-9-0) Siy et al., [2021\)](#page-10-0). Despite collecting I. scapularis, D. variabilis, and A. americanum from rodents, H. longicornis were not found on these hosts. Peromyscus leucopus had the third highest tick Shannon diversity index, O. nuttalli and P. maniculatus had the fifth highest, and P. gossypinus had the sixth highest. Although H. longicornis is known to occasionally feed on rodents, they are not the primary host of this tick species and are not a major concern for transmission of rodent-associated pathogens such as B. burg-dorferi (Breuner et al., [2020;](#page-8-0) Ronai et al., [2020](#page-10-0)). Additionally, this study found I. scapularis to be more abundant on medium-size mammals compared to small mammals.

Overall, raccoons and opossums, had the highest likelihood of having invasive ticks present at the wildlife–livestock interface, which can be isolated for tick management in large abundances, were identified. Baiting regimes focused on these host species could be evaluated for acaracide applications to target H. longicornis and other important tick species on Tennessee farm settings since they feed and distribute a number of species. Additionally, raccoons and opossums are also likely to take advantage of livestock operations, by exploiting feed and watering systems, and come into frequent contact with cattle (Atwood et al., [2009\)](#page-8-0). Medium-size wildlife could be targeted for on-animal acaracide application by using methods modified from the 4 deer'4-poster' station (Solberg et al., [2003](#page-10-0)) or systemically with acaracide-treated feed (Pound et al., [1996\)](#page-9-0). These methods for controlling ticks on meso mammals should be further studied for tick control. Additionally, culling animals with extremely high population densities could also reduce the number of ticks on sites by decreasing tick host populations (Martin et al., [2023](#page-9-0)). However, for this method, population density counts would need to be well maintained to ensure that a species' populations are well managed.

Different tick species are known to parasitize certain regions of hosts' bodies, including the cervical spine, head, pinna, tail, legs, scrotum, and anus (Koch, [1982;](#page-9-0) Hart et al., [2022\)](#page-9-0). The inclination to parasitize different body regions could be a result of accessing host-seeking/attachment site, attraction to pheromones or host excessive heat, humidity, or protection from grooming (Hair et al., [1975](#page-9-0); Cardé and Baker, [1984;](#page-8-0) Shaw et al., [2003](#page-10-0); Carr and Salgado, [2019](#page-9-0); Lydecker et al., 2019). In this study, A. americanum, H. longicornis, D. variabilis, or I. scapularis interacted together equally on raccoon, Virginia opossum, and eastern chipmunk host's facial regions which also had the second highest average Shannon index of species diversity. Host pinna regions had the highest abundance and greatest tick diversity overall, although A. americanum presence outnumbered all other tick species. These results could be due to ticks using negative geotaxis, a mechanism in which ticks walk upwards to find available attach-ment sites upon encountering a host (Lees, [1948](#page-9-0); Kröber *et al.*, [2013\)](#page-9-0). Others have noted that tick selection of host pinna regions could be due to inaccessibility of hosts at grooming these areas (Guerin et al., [2000\)](#page-9-0). Previous research has identified various volatiles on the host's head, used during mating season, could attract ticks to this body region (Gassett et al., [1997\)](#page-9-0). Carr and Salgado ([2019\)](#page-8-0) found that ticks use their Haller's organ to detect radiant heat emitted from hosts which may explain the number and diversity of ticks removed from the host's head and pinna regions (Vianna and Carrive, [2005](#page-10-0)). Some tick species are highly attracted to host body regions that source $CO₂$ (Norval et al., [1992\)](#page-9-0), which could contribute to our outcome in which a greater abundance and diversity of tick species congregate on the head and pinna regions. Kaur et al. [\(2017\)](#page-9-0) reported that ticks generally chose highly vascular areas on host body regions with thinner skin, ticks infesting hosts head and pinna regions in our study could be due to similar results. Ticks could also be attracted to certain regions of hosts due to females emitting assembly pheromones, which signal clustering of ticks to enhance mating oppor-tunities (Sonenshine et al., [1985\)](#page-10-0). Additional research on interactions between native US tick species and H. longicornis pheromones should be further investigated.

Parasitology 7

Amblyomma americanum 17 larvae, 17 nymphs, and 2 males; Dermacentor variabilis 2 nymphs, 12 males, and 5 females; Haemaphysalis longicornis 281 larvae and 17 nymphs; Ixodes scapularis 8 larvae, 4 nymphs and 1 female; Ixodes cookei 1 larvae; and Ixodes texanus 1 female did not have the host body region recorded.

In our study, the presence of A. americanum on a host increased the likelihood that H. longicornis was also present. Haemaphysalis longicornis followed similar activity and host selection patterns as A. americanum, in which all life stages of both species were found predominantly on medium-size mammals but were almost non-existent on small mammals. Other studies investigating H. longicornis host use also found similar results in the US (Thompson et al., [2021;](#page-10-0) Tufts et al., [2021](#page-10-0); White et al., [2021\)](#page-10-0). Here, A. americanum and H. longicornis were found to equally occupy and interact on raccoon and Virginia opossum host's ventrum. Jang et al. ([2016](#page-9-0)) also noted the primary attachment sites for H. longicornis were the extremities, abdomen, and inguinal region. Cooccurrence of these 2 tick species on these regions may also be related to reduced competition or based on density dependence in available feeding space (Anderson et al., [2013](#page-8-0)). Since these 2 tick species were readily present together on the ventrum and pinna regions had the highest abundance of both tick species, targeting these regions for tick control with

Figure 3. Mean and 95% confidence intervals for where tick species, Amblyomma americanum, Dermacentor variabilis, Haemaphysalis longicornis, and Ixodes scapularis, were collected on wildlife hosts, Procyon lotor, Didelphis virginiana, Tamis striatus, Microtus pinetorum, Ochrotomys nuttalli, Peromyscus leucopus, P. gossypinus, and P. maniculatus, morphological body regions on farms in East Tennessee in 2020–2021.

an acaracide application could be important for the management of both species. Importantly, these two tick species are also found to have predicted geographic distributions which overlap one another in the US (Raghavan et al., [2019;](#page-9-0) Namgyal et al., [2020\)](#page-9-0). Investigations of questing ticks from the environment found a contrasting result where H. longicornis larvae and nymphs decreased when A. americanum larvae and nymphs increased (Trout Fryxell et al., [2023](#page-10-0)); perhaps suggesting competition at questing sites and not necessarily on the hosts. Future studies are necessary to understand interactions between environmental and host cues associated with tick-feeding patterns.

Contrastingly, the presence of tick species D. variabilis decreased the likelihood of A. americanum or H. longicornis also being present. Dermacentor variabilis had a lower presence on the ventrum, pinna, and appendage regions compared to A. americanum or H. longicornis, but a higher presence on the dorsum compared to H. longicornis. Haemaphysalis longicornis is found to interact in similar space with A. americanum but there appears to be possible competition between D. variabilis, which could have serious implications for the spread of tickborne diseases via

Figure 5. The log abundance of Haemaphysalis longicornis on wildlife hosts and the number of total tick species on farms in East Tennessee in 2020–2021.

cofeeding (Randolph et al., [1996\)](#page-9-0). For example, pathogens associated with A. americanum in the US which can be potentially transmitted to H. longicornis due to cofeeding include Bourbon and Heartland viruses and Ehrlichia, Anaplasma, and Rickettsia bacteria (Ewing et al., [1997](#page-9-0); Lee et al., [2005](#page-9-0); Wright et al., [2015;](#page-10-0) Godsey et al., [2016,](#page-9-0) [2021](#page-9-0); Beard et al., [2018;](#page-8-0) Cumbie et al., [2022](#page-8-0)). Future studies which investigate host attributes associated with H. longicornis and established tick species on-animal aggregations will be important for understanding tick community structure and pathogen transmission.

Haemaphysalis longicornis were more likely to be present on a host as the number of tick species on that host increased; overall, 1–5 tick species cofeeding on hosts were found. Competition between species is often the result of limited shared resources (Mirrahimi et al., [2014\)](#page-9-0). In this study, H. longicornis presence was predicted to occur when a greater number of tick species were present, suggesting a neutral relationship. In addition, H. longicornis was less likely to be present whenever it occurred alone with either tick species A. americanum, I. scapularis, or D. variabilis. Cofeeding ticks can transmit pathogens to one another

Figure 4. Adjusted mean Shannon diversity index for tick species, Amblyomma americanum, Dermacentor variabilis, Haemaphysalis longicornis, and Ixodes scapularis, collected on wildlife hosts, Procyon lotor, Didelphis virginiana, Tamis striatus, Microtus pinetorum, Ochrotomys nuttalli, Peromyscus leucopus, P. gossypinus, and P. maniculatus, morphological body regions on farms in East Tennessee in 2020–2021. Pinna regions (A) had significantly more diverse tick species compared to host appendage, dorsum, face, or ventrum (B).

Figure 6. The abundance of Haemaphysalis longicornis on wildlife hosts at three farms in East Tennessee in 2020–2021. Each farm adopted different integrated pest management techniques to control tick populations in the environment which ultimately affected the abundance on wildlife hosts. Farm 1 bush hogged monthly, dragged for ticks frequently, and used on-animal control for cattle; farm 2 used bush hogged yearly, dragged for ticks moderately, and used on-animal control for cattle; farm 3 bush hogged yearly, dragged for ticks scarcely, and did not use an on-animal control for cattle.

(Randolph et al., [1996](#page-9-0)). Although a diverse tickborne pathogen community infesting hosts and tick vectors could promote competition between pathogens which ultimately reduces their abundance (Genne et al., [2018](#page-9-0)), tick–pathogen community structure is less studied for H. longicornis in the US. Presently, established tick species which can be used to identify the presence of an H. longicornis on wildlife hosts were identified. The increased likelihood for H. longicornis to be present feeding on a host when multiple tick species are also present at the same time is a concern because the transmission risk is unknown for the cofeeding behaviour.

Importantly, significant IPM strategy effects on the host level; specifically, ticks were less likely to be present on wildlife sampled from farm 1 compared to wildlife sampled from farm 2 or 3, were observed. Previously the management decisions used by producers effectively reduced H. longicornis populations from the environment were documented (Butler and Trout Fryxell, 2023), and here, those management effects were seen on wildlife as well, fewer H. longicornis ticks were on wildlife from farm 1. The percentages for raccoon, opossum, and eastern chipmunk caught at each farm were also similar although the total number of wildlife species varied. Understanding interactions between the environment, hosts, tick population dynamics, and human exposure is important for creating a tick IPM strategy (Stafford et al., [2017\)](#page-10-0). Mechanical, cultural, and on-animal chemical control can reduce H. longicornis in the environment and on wildlife in a farm setting.

Different mammal host and tick species characteristics which can be targeted for control of an invasive tick at the wildlife–livestock interface were identified. Future studies may investigate on-host management regimes in the field to reduce H. longicornis. These could include culling or acaracide-baiting regimes to target medium-size hosts (raccoons and opossum), or behaviours associated with these hosts during periods such as mating season using attractants; however, these methods need to be evaluated. These medium-size hosts could also be targeted by directing management towards farm practices that allow access to livestock food. The likelihood that H. longicornis was present on a host was associated with the presence of multiple species feeding concurrently. Tick control that can target a greater number of tick species may help simultaneously reduce both invasive and established tick species. Identifying activity periods for when established tick species are feeding simultaneously could be important for future H. longicornis management. Subsequent research could focus on how semiochemicals on hosts affect tick-feeding strategies. This study identified host and tick variables which were associated with H. longicornis at the wildlife–livestock interface. In addition, previous research including producer led IPM strategies in the environment was identified that reduced the likelihood that ticks would be present on wildlife hosts. Although evaluation before culling animals is recommended, future studies should investigate H. longicornis management by targeting these variables to reduce tick presence.

Data availability statement. Data will be made available upon request from corresponding author.

Acknowledgements. We thank the farm owners for allowing Butler to sample wildlife to collect ticks on their properties.

Author contributions. R. A. B., L. I. M., D. G. and R. T. T. F. conceived research ideas. R. A. B. and R. T. T. F. designed the study. R. A. B. conducted data gathering, performed statistical analyses and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. All authors participated in manuscript review and editing.

Financial support. Funding for R. A. B. was provided by the Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology at the University of Tennessee. Additional support was provided by the medical and veterinary research fund. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests. None.

Ethical standards. All ethical standards were approved by the University of Tennessee IACUC under protocol #2774-0620.

References

- Anderson K, Ezenwa VO and Jolles AE (2013) Tick infestation patterns in free ranging African buffalo (Syncercus caffer): effects of host innate immunity and niche segregation among tick species. International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife 2, 1–9.
- Atwood TC, Deliberto TJ, Smith HJ, Stevenson JS and Vercauteren KC (2009) Spatial ecology of raccoons related to cattle and bovine tuberculosis in northeastern Michigan. The Journal of Wildlife Management 73, 647–654.
- Beard BC, Occi J, Bonilla DL, Egizi AM, Fonseca DM, Mertins JW, Backenson BP, Bajwa WI, Barbarin AM, Bertone MA, Brown J, Connally NP, Connell ND, Eisen RJ, Falco RC, James AM, Krell RK, Lahmers K, Lewis N, Little SE, Neault M, Pérez de León AA, Randall AR, Ruder MG, Saleh MN, Schappach BL, Schroeder BA, Seraphin LL, Wehtje M, Wormser GP, Yabsley MJ and Halperin W (2018) Multistate infestation with the exotic disease–vector tick Haemaphysalis longicornis – United States, August 2017–September 2018. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 67, 1312–1313.
- Bennett K and Lewis K (2022) Sedation and anesthesia in rodents. Veterinary Clinics of North America – Exotic Animal Practice 25, 211–255.
- Bloemer SR, Zimmerman RH and Fairbanks K (1988) Abundance, attachment sites, and density estimators of lone star ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) infesting white-tailed deer. Journal of Medical Entomology 25, 295–300.
- Boostrom A, Beier MS, Macaluso JA, Macaluso KR, Sprenger D, Hayes J, Radulovic S and Azad AF (2002) Geographic association of Rickettsia felis-infected opossums with human murine typhus, Texas. Emerging Infectious Diseases 8, 549–554.
- Bradshaw CJA, Leroy B, Bellard C, Roiz D, Albert C, Fournier A, Barbet-Massin M, Salles JM, Simard F and Courchamp F (2016) Massive yet grossly underestimated global costs of invasive insects. Nature Communications 7, 12986.
- Breuner NE, Ford SL, Hojgaard A, Osikowicz LM, Parise CM, Rosales Rizzo MF, Bai Y, Levin ML, Eisen RJ and Eisen L (2020) Failure of the Asian longhorned tick, Haemaphysalis longicornis, to serve as an experimental vector of the Lyme disease spirochete, Borrelia burgdorferi sensu stricto. Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases 11, 101311.
- Butler RA and Trout Fryxell RT (2023) Management of Haemaphysalis longicornis (Acari: Ixodidae) on a cow–calf farm in East Tennessee, USA. Journal of Medical Entomology 60, 1374–1379.
- Butler RA, Trout Fryxell RT, Houston AE, Bowers EK, Paulsen D, Coons LB and Kennedy ML (2020) Small-mammal characteristics affect tick communities in southwestern Tennessee (USA). International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife 12, 150–154.
- Cardé RT and Baker TC (1984) Sexual communication with pheromones. In Bell WJ and Carde RT (eds), Chemical Ecology of Insects. Boston, MA: Springer US, pp. 355–383.
- Carr AL and Salgado VL (2019) Ticks home in on body heat: a new understanding of Haller's organ and repellent action. PLoS ONE 14, e0221659.
- Cumbie AN, Trimble RN and Eastwood G (2022) Pathogen spillover to an invasive tick species: first detection of Bourbon virus in Haemaphysalis longicornis in the United States. Pathogens (Basel, Switzerland) 11, 454.
- Dejong TM (1975) A comparison of three diversity indices based on their components of richness and evenness. OIKOS 26, 222–227.
- Dinkel KD, Herndon DR, Noh SM, Lahmers KK, Todd SM, Ueti MW, Scoles GA, Mason KL and Fry LM (2021) A U.S. isolate of Theileria orientalis, Ikeda genotype, is transmitted to cattle by the invasive Asian longhorned tick, Haemaphysalis longicornis. Parasites and Vectors 14, 1-11.
- Egizi AM, Robbins RG, Beati L, Nava S, Evans CR, Occi JL and Fonseca DM (2019) A pictorial key to differentiate the recently detected exotic Haemaphysalis longicornis Neumann, 1901 (Acari, Ixodidae) from native congeners in North America. ZooKeys 818, 117–128.
- Eiden AL, Kaufman PE, Oi FM, Allan SA and Miller RJ (2015) Detection of permethrin resistance and fipronil tolerance in Rhipicephalus sanguineus (acari: Ixodidae) in the United States. Journal of Medical Entomology 52, 429–436.
- Estrada-Peña A, Nava S, Tarragona E, de la Fuente J and Guglielmone AA (2020) A community approach to the neotropical ticks-hosts interactions. Scientific Reports 10, 9269.
- Ewing SA, Dawson JE, Mathew JS, Barker RW, Pratt KW and Telford SR (1997) Attempted transmission of human granulocytotropic Ehrlichia (HGE) by Amblyomma americanum and Amblyomma maculatum. Veterinary Parasitology 70, 183–190.
- Gassett JW, Wiesler DP, Baker AG, Osborn DA, Miller KV, Marchinton RL and Novotny M (1997) Volatile compounds from the forehead region of male white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus). Journal of Chemical Ecology 23, 569–578.
- Genne D, Sarr A, Gomez-Chamorro A, Durand J, Cayol C, Rais O and Voordouw MJ (2018) Competition between strains of Borrelia afzelii inside the rodent host and the tick vector. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 285, 20181804.
- Godsey MS, Savage HM, Burkhalter KL, Bosco-Lauth AM and Delorey MJ (2016) Transmission of heartland virus (Bunyaviridae: Phlebovirus) by experimentally infected Amblyomma americanum (Acari: Ixodidae). Journal of Medical Entomology 53, 1226–1233.
- Godsey MS, Rose D, Burkhalter KL, Breuner N, Bosco-Lauth AM, Kosoy OI and Savage HM (2021) Experimental infection of Amblyomma americanum (Acari: Ixodidae) with Bourbon virus (Orthomyxoviridae: Thogotovirus). Journal of Medical Entomology 58, 873–879.
- Guerin PM, Kro T, Ber È, Mcmahon C, Guerenstein P, Grenacher S, Vlimant Á, Diehl P-A, Steullet P and Syed Z (2000) Chemosensory and behavioural adaptations of ectoparasitic arthropods. Nova Acta Leopoldina NF 83, 213–229.
- Hair JA, Sauer JR and Durham KA (1975) Water balance and humidity preference in three species of ticks. Journal of Medical Entomology 12, 37–47.
- Hart C, Schad LA, Bhaskar JR, Reynolds ES, Morley CP and Thangamani S (2022) Human attachment site preferences of ticks parasitizing in New York. Scientific Reports 12, 20897.
- Henner C, Chamberlain M, Leopold B and Burger L (2004) A multiresolution assessment of raccoon den selection. Journal of Wildlife Management 68, 179–187.
- Hill J, Miller M, Helton J, Chipman R, Gilbert A, Beasley J, Dharmarajan G and Rhodes O (2023) Raccoon spatial ecology in the rural southeastern United States. PLoS ONE 18, e0293133.
- Jang MS, Kim CM, Kim DM, Yoon NR, Han MA, Kim HK, Oh WS, Yoon HJ, Wie SH and Hur J (2016) Comparison of preferred bite sites between mites and ticks on humans in Korea. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 95, 1021–1025.
- Johnson SR, Ellis CK, Wickham CK, Selleck MR and Gilbert AT (2024) Comparison of ketamine-xylazine, butorphanol-azaperone-medetomidine, and nalbuphine-medetomidine-azaperone for raccoon (Procyon lotor) immobilization. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 60, 95–104.
- Juliano SA and Philip Lounibos L (2005) Ecology of invasive mosquitoes: effects on resident species and on human health. Ecology Letters 8, 558–574.
- Kaur D, Jaiswal K and Mishra S (2017) Epidemiological study of ixodid ticks infesting cattle reared by small holder farmers. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 5, 284–291.
- Keesing F, McHenry DJ, Hersh M, Tibbetts M, Brunner JL, Killilea M, LoGiudice K, Schmidt KA and Ostfeld RS (2014) Prevalence of humanactive and variant 1 strains of the tick-borne pathogen Anaplasma phagocytophilum in hosts and forests of eastern North America. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 91, 302–309.
- Keirans JE and Durden LA (1998) Illustrated key to nymphs of the tick genus Amblyomma (Acari: Ixodidae) found in the United States. Journal of Medical Entomology 35, 489–495.
- Keirans JE, Hutcheson HJ, Durden LA and Klompen JSH (1996) Ixodes (Ixodes) scapularis (Acari: Ixodidae): redescription of all active stages, distribution, hosts, geographical variation, and medical and veterinary importance. Journal of Medical Entomology 33, 297–318.
- Kellogg R (1939) Annotated list of Tennessee mammals. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 86, 245–303.
- Koch HG (1982) Seasonal incidence and attachment sites of ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) on domestic dogs in southeastern Oklahoma and northwestern Arkansas, USA. Journal of Medical Entomology 19, 293–298.
- Kollars TM (1993) Ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) infesting medium-sized wild mammals in southwestern Tennessee. Journal of Medical Entomology 30, 896–900.
- Krasnov BR, Stanko M and Morand S (2007) Host community structure and infestation by ixodid ticks: repeatability, dilution effect and ecological specialization. Oecologia 154, 185–194.
- Kreeger BR and Arnemo J (2018) Handbook of Wildlife Chemical Immobilization, 5th Edn. Laramie, Wyoming, USA: International Wildlife Veterinary Services.
- Kröber T, Bourquin M and Guerin PM (2013) A standardised in vivo and in vitro test method for evaluating tick repellents. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology 107, 160–168.
- Lee S-O, Na D-K, Kim C-M, Li Y-H, Cho Y-H, Park J-H, Lee J-H, Eo S-K, Klein TA and Chae J-S (2005) Identification and prevalence of Ehrlichia chaffeensis infection in Haemaphysalis longicornis ticks from Korea by PCR, sequencing and phylogenetic analysis based on 16S rRNA gene. Journal of Veterinary Science 6, 151–155.
- Lees AD (1948) The sensory physiology of the sheep tick, Ixodes ricinus L. Journal of Experimental Biology 25, 145–207.
- Levin M, Nicholson W, Massung R, Sumner J and Fish D (2002) Comparison of the reservoir competence of medium-sized mammals and Peromyscus leucopus for Anaplasma phagocytophilum in Connecticut. Vector Borne and Zoonotic Diseases 2, 125–136.
- Lohmeyer KH, Pound JM, May MA, Kammlah DM and Davey RB (2011) Distribution of Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus and Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) annulatus (Acari: Ixodidae) infestations detected in the United States along the Texas/Mexico border. Journal of Medical Entomology 48, 770–774.
- Lounibos LP (2002) Invasions by insect vectors of human disease. Annual Review of Entomology 47, 233–266.
- Lydecker HW, Etheridge B, Price C, Banks PB and Hochuli DF (2019) Landscapes within landscapes: a parasite utilizes different ecological niches on the host landscapes of two host species. Acta tropica 193, 60–65.
- Marbuah G, Gren IM and McKie B (2014) Economics of harmful invasive species: a review. Diversity 6, 500-523.
- Martin AM, Buttke D, Raphael J, Taylor K, Maes S, Parise CM, Ginsberg HS and Cross PC (2023) Deer management generally reduces densities of nymphal Ixodes scapularis, but not prevalence of infection with Borrelia burgdorferi sensu stricto. Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases 14, 102202.
- Mclean RG, Ubico SR and Cooksey III LM (1993) Experimental infection of the eastern chipmunk (Tamias striatus) with the Lyme disease spirochete (Borrelia burgdorferi). Journal of Wildlife Diseases 29, 527–532.
- Mirrahimi S, Perthame B and Wakano JY (2014) Direct competition results from strong competition for limited resource. Journal of Mathematical Biology 68, 931–949.
- Modarelli JJ, Westrich BJ, Milholland M, Tietjen M, Castro-Arellano I, Medina RF and Esteve-Gasent MD (2020) Prevalence of protozoan parasites in small and medium mammals in Texas, USA. International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife 21, 229–234.
- Namgyal J, Couloigner I, Lysyk TJ, Dergousoff SJ and Cork SC (2020) Comparison of habitat suitability models for Haemaphysalis longicornis Neumann in North America to determine its potential geographic range. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 17, 1–13.
- Needham GR and Teel PD (1991) Off-host physiological ecology of ixodid ticks. Annual Review of Entomology 36, 659–681.
- Norval R, Peter T and Meltzer M (1992) A comparison of the attraction of nymphs and adults of the ticks Amblyomma hebraeum and A. variegatum to carbon dioxide and the male-produced aggregation-attachment pheromone. Experimental & Applied Acarology 13, 179-186.
- Owen DAS, Carter TC and Rutan SA (2015) Influence of scent and season on Sherman live trap captures of Peromyscus. Proceedings of the Indiana Academy of Science 124, 32–37.
- Pound JM, Miller JA, George JE, Oehler DD and Harmel DE (1996) Systemic treatment of white-tailed deer with ivermectin-medicated bait to control free-living populations of lone star ticks (Acari: Ixodidae). Entomological Society of America 33, 385–394.
- Price KJ, Ayres BN, Maes SE, Witmier BJ, Chapman HA, Coder BL, Boyer CN, Eisen RJ and Nicholson WL (2022) First detection of human pathogenic variant of Anaplasma phagocytophilum in field-collected Haemaphysalis longicornis, Pennsylvania, USA. Zoonoses and Public Health 69, 143–148.
- Raghavan RK, Townsend Peterson A, Cobos ME, Ganta R and Foley D (2019) Current and future distribution of the lone star tick, Amblyomma americanum (L.) (Acari: Ixodidae) in North America. PLoS ONE 14, e0209082.
- Randolph SE, Gem L and Nuttall PA (1996) Co-feeding ticks: epidemiological significance for tick-borne pathogen transmission. Parasitology Today 12, 472–479.
- Ronai I, Tufts DM and Diuk-Wasser MA (2020) Aversion of the invasive Asian longhorned tick to the white-footed mouse, the dominant reservoir of tick-borne pathogens in the United States. Medical and Veterinary Entomology 34, 369–373.
- Schwartz CW and Schwartz ER (2016) The Wild Mammals of Missouri, 3rd Edn. Columbia, MO: University of Missouri Press.
- Shannon CE (1948) A mathematical theory of communication. The Bell System Technical Journal 27, 379–423.
- Shaw MT, Keesing F, Mcgrail R and Ostfeld RS (2003) Factors influencing the distribution of larval blacklegged ticks on rodent hosts. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 68, 447-452.
- Sikes RS and Gannon WL (2011) Guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in research. Journal of Mammalogy 92, 235–253.
- Siy PN, Larson RT, Zembsch TE, Lee X and Paskewitz SM (2021) High prevalence of Borrelia mayonii (spirochaetales: Spirochaetaceae) in fieldcaught Tamias striatus (rodentia: Sciuridae) from northern Wisconsin. Journal of Medical Entomology 58, 2504–2507.
- Solberg VB, Miller JA, Hadfield T, Burge R, Schech JM and Pound JM (2003) Control of Ixodes scapularis (Acari: Ixodidae) with topical self-application of permethrin by white-tailed deer inhabiting NASA, Beltsville, Maryland. Journal of Vector Ecology 28, 117–134.
- Sonenshine DE, Taylor' D and Corrigan G (1985) Studies to evaluate the effectiveness of sex pheromone-impregnated formulations for control of populations of the American dog tick, Dermacentor variabilis (SAY) (Acari: Ixodidae). Experimental & Applied Acarology 1, 23-34.
- Stafford KC, Williams SC and Molaei G (2017) Integrated pest management in controlling ticks and tick-associated diseases. Journal of Integrated Pest Management 8, 28.
- Stanley HM, Ford SL, Snellgrove AN, Hartzer K, Smith EB, Krapiunaya I and Levin ML (2020) The ability of the invasive Asian longhorned tick Haemaphysalis longicornis (Acari: Ixodidae) to acquire and transmit Rickettsia rickettsii (Rickettsiales: Rickettsiaceae), the agent of Rocky Mountain spotted fever, under laboratory conditions. Journal of Medical Entomology 57, 1635–1639.
- Stoskopf MK, Meyer RE, Jones M and Baumbarger DO (1999) Field immobilization and euthanasia of American opossum. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 35, 145–149.
- Thompson AT, White SA, Shaw D, Garrett KB, Wyckoff ST, Doub EE, Ruder MG and Yabsley MJ (2021) A multi-seasonal study investigating the phenology, host and habitat associations, and pathogens of Haemaphysalis longicornis in Virginia, U.S.A. Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases 12, 101773.
- Thompson AT, White SA, Doub EE, Sharma P, Frierson K, Dominguez K, Shaw D, Weaver D, Vigil SL, Bonilla DL, Ruder MG and Yabsley MJ (2022) The wildlife of ticks: using passive surveillance to determine the distribution and wildlife host range of ticks and the exotic Haemaphysalis longicornis, 2010–2021. Parasites and Vectors 15, 331.
- Tiffin HS, Skvarla MJ and Machtinger ET (2021) Tick abundance and life-stage segregation on the American black bear (Ursus americanus). International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife 16, 208–216.
- Trout Fryxell RT, Chavez-Lindell T, Butler RA and Odoi A (2023) Environmental variables serve as predictors of the invasive Asian longhorned tick (Haemaphysalis longicornis Neumann): an approach for targeted tick surveillance. PLoS ONE 18, e0292595.
- Tufts DM (2021) Association of the invasive Haemaphysalis longicornis tick with vertebrate hosts, other native tick vectors, and tick-borne pathogens in New York. International Journal of Parasitology 51, 149–157.
- Tufts DM and Diuk-Wasser MA (2021) First hemispheric report of invasive tick species Haemaphysalis punctata, first state report of Haemaphysalis longicornis, and range expansion of native tick species in Rhode Island, USA. Parasites and Vectors 14, 394.
- Tufts DM, Vanacker MC, Fernandez MP, Denicola A, Egizi A and Diuk-Wasser MA (2019) Distribution, host-seeking phenology, and host and habitat associations of Haemaphysalis longicornis ticks, Staten Island, New York, USA. Emerging Infectious Diseases 25, 792–796.
- Tufts DM, Goodman LB, Benedict MC, Davis AD, VanAcker MC and Diuk-Wasser M (2021) Association of the invasive Haemaphysalis longicornis tick with vertebrate hosts, other native tick vectors, and tick-borne pathogens in New York City, USA. International Journal for Parasitology 51, 149–157.
- USDA-APHIS (2023) National Haemaphysalis longicornis (Asian longhorned tick) situation report.
- Vianna DML and Carrive P (2005) Changes in cutaneous and body temperature during and after conditioned fear to context in the rat. European Journal of Neuroscience 21, 2505–2512.
- White SA, Bevins SN, Ruder MG, Shaw D, Vigil SL, Randall A, Deliberto TJ, Dominguez K, Thompson AT, Mertins JW, Alfred JT and Yabsley MJ (2021) Surveys for ticks on wildlife hosts and in the environment at Asian longhorned tick (Haemaphysalis longicornis)-positive sites in Virginia and New Jersey, 2018. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases 68, 605–614.
- Wright CL, Sonenshine DE, Gaff HD and Hynes WL (2015) Rickettsia parkeri transmission to Amblyomma americanum by cofeeding with Amblyomma maculatum (Acari: Ixodidae) and potential for spillover. Journal of Medical Entomology 52, 1090–1095.
- Yahner RH (1978) Burrow system and home range use by eastern chipmunks, Tamias striatus: ecological and behavioral considerations. Journal of Mammalogy 59, 324–329.
- Yunker CE, Keirans JE, Clifford CM and Easton ER (1986) Dermacentor ticks (Acari: Ixodoidae: Ixodidae) of the new world: a scanning electron microscope atlas. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 88, 609–627.
- Zhuang L, Du J, Cui XM, Li H, Tang F, Zhang PH, Hu JG, Tong YG, Feng ZC and Liu W (2018) Identification of tick-borne pathogen diversity by metagenomic analysis in Haemaphysalis longicornis from Xinyang, China. Infectious Diseases of Poverty 7, 1–8.