

# A Banach space of functions of generalized variation

A.M. Russell

In this note we show that  $BV_k[a, b]$ , the linear space of functions of bounded  $k$ th variation on  $[a, b]$ , is a Banach space under the norm  $\|\cdot\|_k$ , where

$$\|f\|_k = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} |f^{(s)}(a)| + V_k(f; a, b).$$

## Introduction

It is well known that  $BV[a, b]$ , the class of functions of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$  is a Banach space under the norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$ , where

$$\|f\|_1 = |f(a)| + V_1(f; a, b).$$

We generalize this result by showing that when  $k$  is an integer greater than one,  $BV_k[a, b]$  is a Banach space under the norm  $\|\cdot\|_k$ , where

$$\|f\|_k = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} |f^{(s)}(a)| + V_k(f; a, b),$$

and where, for convenience of notation,  $f^{(s)}(a)$  means  $f_+^{(s)}(a)$ , and  $f_+^{(s)} = (f_+^{(s-1)})'_+$ . The definitions of  $BV_k[a, b]$  and  $V_k(f) \equiv V_k(f; a, b)$

---

Received 21 July 1976. In a recent private communication the author has learnt that Dr Frank Huggins, University of Texas at Arlington, has shown, in particular, that  $BV_2[a, b]$  is a Banach space under  $\|\cdot\|_2$ . He thanks him for this communication.

can be found in Russell [1].

We also take the opportunity to improve some results of Russell [1]. In particular we present a sharper version of Theorem 4, and take this opportunity to point out that "a set of measure zero" can be replaced by "a countable set" in Theorem 12.

### Preliminaries

We readily observe that  $\|\cdot\|_k$  satisfies all properties of a norm except possibly that  $\|f\|_k = 0$  implies  $f = 0$ . Accordingly, if  $\|f\|_k = 0$ , then  $V_k(f; a, b) = 0$ , and this implies that

$$Q_k(f; x_i, \dots, x_{i+k}) = 0$$

for any  $(k+1)$  points  $x_i, \dots, x_{i+k}$  in  $[a, b]$ . Using a well known property of divided differences, we conclude that  $f$  must be a polynomial of degree  $(k-1)$  at most. That  $f = 0$  now follows readily.

We now improve our characterization of  $BV_k[a, b]$ . In Russell [1] it was shown that

$$BV_k[a, b] = \{f : f = f_1 - f_2, \text{ where } f_1 \text{ and } f_2 \text{ are } 0-, 1-, \dots, k\text{-convex functions having right and left } (k-1)\text{th Riemann * derivatives at } a \text{ and } b \text{ respectively}\}.$$

If  $k = 2$  it follows immediately that the  $(k-1)$ th Riemann \* derivatives at  $a$  and  $b$  can be replaced by the usual right and left hand derivatives respectively. Assume now that  $k \geq 3$ , and that  $f \in BV_k[a, b]$ . Then

according to Theorem 12 of Russell [1],  $f^{(k-2)}$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  and belongs to  $BV_2[a, b]$ . Thus  $f_+^{(k-1)}(a)$  and  $f_-^{(k-1)}(b)$  must exist.

We summarize the previous discussion in the following

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $k$  is an integer greater than or equal to one, then*  
 $BV_k[a, b] = \{f : f = f_1 - f_2, \text{ where } f_1 \text{ and } f_2 \text{ are } 0-, 1-, \dots, k\text{-convex functions having right and left } (k-1)\text{th derivatives at } a \text{ and } b \text{ respectively}\}.$

Our next result is an improved version of Theorem 4 of Russell [1].

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $f \in BV_{k+1}[a, b]$ , and  $k \geq 0$ , then*

*$Q_k(f; y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k)$  is bounded when  $a \leq y_i \leq b$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ .*

*More precisely,*

$$(1) \quad |Q_k(f; y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k) - Q_k(f; a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k)| \leq V_{k+1}(f; a, b),$$

*where  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k$  is a fixed  $\pi$  subdivision of  $[a, b]$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k$  be a fixed  $\pi$  subdivision of  $[a, b]$ , and let  $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k$  be another  $\pi$  subdivision of  $[a, b]$  such that  $a_0 < \dots < a_{k-1} < y_0 < a_k < y_1 < \dots < y_k$ . Re-label the points

$a_0, \dots, a_k, y_0, \dots, y_k$  as  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k+1}$ , where

$$x_i = a_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1,$$

$$x_k = y_0,$$

$$x_{k+1} = a_k,$$

$$x_i = y_{i-k-1}, \quad i = k+2, \dots, 2k+1.$$

Using Theorem 1 of Russell [1], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_k(f; y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k) - Q_k(f; a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k) \\ &= \alpha_1 Q_k(f; y_0, a_k, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}) + \beta_1 Q_k(f; a_k, y_1, \dots, y_k) \\ &\quad - \alpha_2 Q_k(f; a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, y_0) - \beta_2 Q_k(f; a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, y_0, a_k) \\ &\quad \text{where } \alpha_1 + \beta_1 = 1 = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \\ &= \alpha_1 Q_k(f; x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{2k}) + \beta_1 Q_k(f; x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}) \\ &\quad - \alpha_2 Q_k(f; x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) - \beta_2 Q_k(f; x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \\ &= [Q_k(f; x_k, \dots, x_{2k}) - Q_k(f; x_0, \dots, x_k)] \\ &\quad + \beta_1 [Q_k(f; x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}) - Q_k(f; x_k, \dots, x_{2k})] \\ &\quad + \beta_2 [Q_k(f; x_0, \dots, x_k) - Q_k(f; x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^k [Q_k(f; x_i, \dots, x_{i+k}) - Q_k(f; x_{i-1}, \dots, x_{i+k-1})] \\
&\quad + \beta_1 [Q_k(f; x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}) - Q_k(f; x_k, \dots, x_{2k})] \\
&\quad + \beta_2 [Q_k(f; x_0, \dots, x_k) - Q_k(f; x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})] \\
&= \alpha_2 [Q_k(f; x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) - Q_k(f; x_0, \dots, x_k)] \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=2}^k [Q_k(f; x_i, \dots, x_{i+k}) - Q_k(f; x_{i-1}, \dots, x_{i+k-1})] \\
&\quad + \beta_1 [Q_k(f; x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{2k+1}) - Q_k(f; x_k, \dots, x_{2k})] .
\end{aligned}$$

Taking absolute values now, and noting that  $0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \beta_1 \leq 1$ , gives the required inequality.

An argument similar to that above establishes (1) in cases corresponding to other relative distributions of the sets of points  $y_0, \dots, y_k$  and  $a_0, \dots, a_k$ .

**COROLLARY.** If  $f \in BV_{k+1}[a, b]$ , and  $k \geq 0$ , then

$$(2) \quad \sup_{\pi} |Q_k(f; x_0, \dots, x_k)| - \inf_{\pi} |Q_k(f; x_0, \dots, x_k)| \leq V_{k+1}(f; a, b) .$$

**REMARK.** The inequality (2) is best possible as illustrated by the case  $k = 1$ ,  $a = 0$ ,  $b = 1$ , and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \quad 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} , \\ x - \frac{1}{2} & , \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1 . \end{cases}$$

**THEOREM 3.** If  $f \in BV_{k+1}[a, b]$ , and  $k \geq 0$ , then  $f \in BV_k[a, b]$ , and

$$(3) \quad V_k(f; a, b) \leq k(b-a) [V_{k+1}(f; a, b) + \inf_{\pi} |Q_k(f; x_0, \dots, x_k)|] .$$

**Proof.** The first part of the theorem follows from Theorem 10 of Russell [1].

For the second part, it follows from Theorem 2, Corollary, that for any  $\pi$  subdivision  $x_i, \dots, x_{i+k}$  of  $[a, b]$ ,

$$(x_{i+k}-x_i) |Q_k(f; x_i, \dots, x_{i+k})| \\ \leq (x_{i+k}-x_i) [V_{k+1}(f; a, b) + \inf_{\pi} |Q_k(f; x_0, \dots, x_k)|] .$$

Summing from  $i = 0$  to  $i = n - k$ , and taking the supremum gives (3).

REMARK. The constant in (3) is best possible as illustrated by the case  $k = 1$ ,  $a = 0$ ,  $b = 1$ ,  $f(x) \equiv x$ .

### Main results

**THEOREM 4.** If  $\{g_n\}$  is a sequence of functions in  $BV_{k+1}[a, b]$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , such that  $\|g_n\|_{k+1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\|g_n\|_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof.** It follows immediately from Theorem 10 of Russell [1] that  $g_n \in BV_k[a, b]$  for all  $n$ . Since  $\|g_n\|_{k+1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$\|g_n\|_{k+1} < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $n > N(\varepsilon)$ . Hence, whenever  $n > N(\varepsilon)$ ,

$$(4) \quad \sum_{s=0}^k |g_n^{(s)}(a)| < \varepsilon ,$$

and

$$(5) \quad V_{k+1}(g_n; a, b) < \varepsilon .$$

Since  $g_n \in BV_{k+1}[a, b]$ ,  $|g_n^{(k)}(a)|$  exists and is less than  $\varepsilon$  whenever  $n > N(\varepsilon)$ , by (4). Hence, whenever  $n > N(\varepsilon)$ ,

$$\inf_{\pi} |Q_k(g_n; x_0, \dots, x_k)| < 2\varepsilon k! .$$

It now follows from Theorem 3 that  $V_k(g_n; a, b) \rightarrow 0$ , and hence that

$$\|g_n\|_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty .$$

We now consider a Cauchy sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $BV_k[a, b]$ .

Consequently, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$(6) \quad \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \left| f_m^{(s)}(a) - f_n^{(s)}(a) \right| + V_k(f_m - f_n; a, b) < \varepsilon,$$

whenever  $m, n$  exceed  $N(\varepsilon)$ .

If  $\{f_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $BV_k[a, b]$ , it follows from Theorem 4 that  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for each  $x \in [a, b]$ . Accordingly, we define

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x), \quad x \in [a, b].$$

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $f_n \in BV_k[a, b]$  for all  $n$ , and  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ ,  $x \in [a, b]$ , then  $f \in BV_k[a, b]$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $S_\pi(f)$  denote an approximating sum for  $V_k(f; a, b)$ . Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $BV_k[a, b]$ , so that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N(\varepsilon)$  such that  $\|f_m - f_n\|_k < \varepsilon$  whenever  $m$  and  $n$  exceed  $N(\varepsilon)$ . Therefore, whenever  $m, n$  exceed  $N(\varepsilon)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_\pi(f_m - f_n) \\ = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} |Q_{k-1}(f_m - f_n; x_i, \dots, x_{i+k-1}) - Q_{k-1}(f_m - f_n; x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k})| < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\pi$  subdivisions of  $[a, b]$ . Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in the last inequality gives

$$S_\pi(f - f_n) \leq \varepsilon$$

for all  $\pi$  subdivisions of  $[a, b]$ , and whenever  $n > N(\varepsilon)$ . Let  $n_0$  be a fixed integer exceeding  $N(\varepsilon)$ , and let  $\sup_\pi S_\pi(f_{n_0}) = K_{n_0}$ . Then

$$S_\pi(f) \leq S_\pi(f - f_{n_0}) + S_\pi(f_{n_0}) \leq \varepsilon + K_{n_0}$$

for all  $\pi$  subdivisions of  $[a, b]$ . Hence  $f \in BV_k[a, b]$ , as required.

It now remains to show that  $\|f_n - f\|_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; that is, that

$$(7) \quad \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \left| f_n^{(s)}(a) - f^{(s)}(a) \right| + V_k(f_n - f) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is clear that  $V_k(f_n - f)$  and  $f_n(a)$  both converge to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so we now show that  $f_n^{(s)}(a) - f^{(s)}(a) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  when  $s = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ .

We first observe that  $f^{(s)}(a)$  exists when  $s = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ , because  $f \in BV_k[a, b]$ . Let  $s = k-1$ . Then it follows from Theorem 12

of Russell [1] that  $f^{(k-1)}(x)$  exists on  $[a, b]$ , except possibly on a countable set. For each  $n$ , let  $A_n = \{x : f_n^{(k-1)}(x) \text{ exists}\}$ , so that

$[a, b] \setminus A_n$  is countable. Let  $x > a$ , and  $x \in A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ . Since

$V_k(f_m - f_n) < \varepsilon$  whenever  $m, n$  exceed  $N(\varepsilon)$ ,

$$|Q_{k-1}(f_m - f_n; x, x+h, \dots, x+(k-1)h)|$$

$$- Q_{k-1}(f_m - f_n; a, a+h, \dots, a+(k-1)h)| < \varepsilon,$$

for all  $\pi$  subdivisions of  $[a, b]$  such that  $a + (k-1)h < x$ . Letting  $h \rightarrow 0$  gives

$$\left| \left[ f_m^{(k-1)}(x) - f_n^{(k-1)}(x) \right] - \left[ f_m^{(k-1)}(a) - f_n^{(k-1)}(a) \right] \right| \leq (k-1)! \varepsilon,$$

whenever  $x \in A$  and  $m, n$  exceed  $N(\varepsilon)$ . Therefore, using (6), it follows that

$$\left| f_m^{(k-1)}(x) - f_n^{(k-1)}(x) \right| \leq (k-1)! \varepsilon + \left| f_m^{(k-1)}(a) - f_n^{(k-1)}(a) \right| < (k-1)! \varepsilon + \varepsilon,$$

whenever  $x \in A$  and  $m, n$  exceed  $N(\varepsilon)$ . Thus  $\{f_n^{(k-1)}(x)\}$  converges uniformly to  $\phi(x)$ , say, on  $A$ .

Since  $f_n^{(k-2)}$  is absolutely continuous on  $[a, b]$ , it follows that

$$f_n^{(k-2)}(x) - f^{(k-2)}(a) = \int_a^x f_n^{(k-1)}(t) dt,$$

and hence that

$$f^{(k-2)}(x) - f^{(k-2)}(a) = \int_a^x \phi(t) dt .$$

Consequently  $f^{(k-1)}(x)$  exists, almost everywhere, and equals  $\phi(x)$ . In particular,

$$f^{(k-1)}(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^{(k-1)}(a) .$$

Similarly,

$$f^{(s)}(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^{(s)}(a) \quad \text{when } s = 1, 2, \dots, k-2 .$$

Returning to (7) we see that  $\|f_n - f\|_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and so we conclude that  $BV_k[a, b]$  is a Banach space under  $\|\cdot\|_k$ .

#### Reference

- [1] A.M. Russell, "Functions of bounded  $k$ th variation", *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 26 (1973), 547-563.

Department of Mathematics,  
University of Melbourne,  
Parkville,  
Victoria.