Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom

[cambridge.org/mbi](https://www.cambridge.org/mbi)

Research Article

Cite this article: Uribe RA, Mendivil A, Pastor R, Atoche-Suclupe D, Aleman S, Flores L, Sepulveda F (2024). Unveiling a new species of Trapania (Gastropoda: Nudibranchia: Goniodorididae) from the South-eastern Pacific using anatomical and molecular tools. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 104, e121, 1–15. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315424001188) [10.1017/S0025315424001188](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315424001188)

Received: 5 July 2024 Revised: 15 November 2024 Accepted: 26 November 2024

Keywords:

biodiversity; El Niño; Humboldt Current Ecosystem; morphology; nudibranchs; phylogenetic

Corresponding author: Roberto A. Uribe; Email: uribealzamora@gmail.com

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/),

which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Unveiling a new species of Trapania (Gastropoda: Nudibranchia: Goniodorididae) from the South-eastern Pacific using anatomical and molecular tools

Roberto A. Uribe¹ **D**[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6593-3993) Alejandro Mendivil² **D**, Ruslan Pastor³ **D**, Dennis Atoche-Suclupe¹ (D[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5782-0227) Solange Aleman⁴ (D, Lorenzo Flores¹ (D and Fabiola Sepulveda⁵

¹Área de Macroalgas y Biodiversidad, Instituto del Mar del Perú – IMARPE, Av. La Ribera # 805, Huanchaco, La Libertad, Perú; ²Laboratorio de Biología y Sistemática de Invertebrados Marinos (LaBSIM), Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Lima, Perú; ³Área Funcional de Investigación Marino Costera (AFIMC), Instituto del Mar del Perú - IMARPE, La Punta, Callao, Perú; ⁴Laboratorio Costero de Tumbes, Instituto del Mar del Perú - IMARPE, Tumbes, Perú and ⁵Laboratorio de Eco-Parasitología y Epidemiología Marina (LEPyEM), Instituto de Ciencias Naturales Alexander von Humboldt, Facultad de Ciencias del Mar y Recursos Biológicos, Universidad de Antofagasta, Antofagasta, Chile

Abstract

Morphological and molecular methods were used to describe a new species of Trapania Pruvot-Fol, 1931 from shallow water kelp forests on the north-central coast of Peru. The new species, Trapania huarmeyana sp. nov., is distinguished from other species along the Eastern Pacific by external morphological characters such as its translucent white body with brown stripes and small spots on the dorsum, blotches on the base of the extra-branchial processes, extra rhinophoral processes and gill branches. Internally, T. huarmeyana sp. nov. is distinguishable by several morphological characteristics of the radula, jaws and genital organs. Phylogenetic trees recovered using Bayesian Inference and Maximum Likelihood analysis of DNA sequences support its distinct status and clarify its relationship to other species from the Eastern Pacific. This new species constitutes the first record of Trapania from the Humboldt Current Ecosystem, contributing to our understanding of the distribution of the genus in the South-eastern Pacific.

This article is registered in ZooBank under [http://Zoobank.org/F7633F9A-54E1-4080-8165-](http://Zoobank.org/F7633F9A-54E1-4080-8165-0C631C111BBB) [0C631C111BBB](http://Zoobank.org/F7633F9A-54E1-4080-8165-0C631C111BBB)

Introduction

The monophyletic genus Trapania Pruvot-Fol, 1931 is one of the 11 genera of the family Goniodorididae, and the largest in the subfamily Anculinae Pruvot-Fol, 1954 (Paz-Sedano et al., [2024](#page-13-0)a). Members of this highly diverse genus are characterized by having an elongated body and a single pair of extra-rhinophoral and extra-branchial appendages, with a single lateral tooth on each side of the radula (Smirnoff et al., [2022;](#page-14-0) Paz-Sedano et al., [2024](#page-13-0)a). In recent years, many new species of Trapania have been described from different regions around the world, including the Indo-Pacific (Gosliner and Fahey, [2008](#page-13-0); Smirnoff et al., [2022](#page-14-0)), the Eastern Pacific (Gosliner and Fahey, [2008](#page-13-0)), the Caribbean Sea (Valdés, [2009](#page-14-0)), Western Africa (Edmunds, [2009\)](#page-13-0), Argentina (Cetra and Roche, [2019\)](#page-13-0) and Australia (Paz-Sedano et al., [2024](#page-13-0)b). Recent studies have also led to the reassignment of certain species within the genus Trapania, particularly those found along the temperate coasts of the Eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean Sea (Paz-Sedano et al., [2022](#page-13-0)). These changes include the reclassification of Trapania hispalensis Cervera and García-Gómez, 1989, Trapania lineata Haefelfinger, 1960 and Trapania pallida Kress, 1968 as synonyms, and have resulted in the recognition of 55 valid Trapania species (MolluscaBase, [2024](#page-13-0)), highlighting the need for ongoing taxonomic revision within the genus.

Five species of *Trapania* have been previously described from the Eastern Pacific based on morphological data: Trapania velox (Cockerell, [1901\)](#page-13-0) from California, Trapania goslineri Millen and Bertsch, [2000](#page-13-0) from the Gulf of California, Trapania goddardi Hermosillo and Valdés, [2004](#page-13-0) from Mexico, Trapania inbiotica Camacho-García and Ortea, [2000](#page-13-0) from Costa Rica and Trapania darwini Gosliner and Fahey, [2008](#page-13-0) from the Galapagos Islands. Externally, T. darwini most closely resembles T. goslineri and T. velox (Gosliner and Fahey, [2008](#page-13-0)), all three species sharing a white body with dark markings and yellow ornamentations, although they differ in the pattern and distribution of these pigments. The body of T. goddardi is covered by irregular small or large brown blotches with no yellow pigment (Hermosillo and Valdés, [2004](#page-13-0)), while T. inbiotica presents irregular red patches arranged over the entire dorsum, varying in shape and size (Camacho-García and Ortea, [2000\)](#page-13-0). Despite significant differences in the external coloration between these species the diagnostic nature of the colour patterns should be

considered with caution because of intraspecific colour and morphological variability which direct the need to include molecular data to confirm taxonomic decisions (Padula et al., [2016](#page-13-0); Paz-Sedano et al., [2017](#page-13-0), [2022](#page-13-0)).

The coastal transition zone off northern Peru is characterized by the upwelling of nutrient-rich cool water, which dramatically increases biological diversity in benthic communities (Riascos et al., [2016](#page-14-0)). This zone includes habitat-forming species such as kelp forests, which are crucial for providing habitat complexity, food resources and shelter. Consequently, these forests support higher levels of biodiversity compared to adjacent ecosystems (Uribe et al., [2022](#page-14-0)). However, only 31 species of nudibranchs have been reported from the coast of Peru, indicating a relatively low species richness in this region compared to other areas of the continent (Grández et al., [2023](#page-13-0)). Notably, there are no recorded species of Trapania from Peruvian waters, and within the family Goniodorididae, only Okenia luna Millen, Schrödl, Vargas and Indacochea, 1994, has been reported from the central coast of Peru (Uribe et al., [2013\)](#page-14-0). The available information on nudibranchs in the South-eastern Pacific suggests a lack of research effort in this area (Uribe and Pacheco, [2012\)](#page-14-0), emphasizing the need for further taxonomic attention.

In the present study, we described a new species of Trapania from the north-central coast of Peru based on the combined use of molecular and morphological analyses, an integrative approach that has proven very useful for determining new species in little-explored areas such as the South-eastern Pacific (Ornelas-Gatdula et al., [2012;](#page-13-0) Hoover et al., [2017;](#page-13-0) Uribe et al., [2018;](#page-14-0) Valdés et al., [2018](#page-14-0)). We performed a detailed anatomical study in conjunction with phylogenetic and species delimitation analyses to verify the status of these specimens, including ecological information when available. Additionally, we used these results to perform a comparative analysis with other recorded Trapania from the Eastern Pacific.

Materials and methods

Sampling

Six specimens of an undescribed species of Trapania were collected in April 2023 from rocky subtidal sites in Rio Seco, Huarmey province, Ancash region, north-centre of Peru (−78.228080°, −9.807092°), at 8 m depth by SCUBA diving, during a monitoring of the biodiversity associated with the kelp forest Eisenia cokeri Howe, 1914. Samples were preserved in 95–99% ethanol and deposited at the Colección Científica del Instituto del Mar del Peru (IMARPE).

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

Three specimens were used for the molecular analysis. A small sample of foot tissue was cut for the DNA extraction. The DNA was isolated following a modified protocol based on Miller et al. ([1988](#page-13-0)) involving treatment with sodium dodecyl sulphate, digestion with proteinase K, NaCl protein precipitation and subsequent ethanol precipitation. DNA was eluted in nuclease-free water and quantified in a spectrophotometer BioSpec-nano.

Partial sequences from two mitochondrial genes, 16S ribosomal RNA (16S) and cytochrome oxidase c subunit I (COI), and one nuclear gene, histone 3 (H3), were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The primers used were 16Sar-L and 16Sbr-H for 16S (Palumbi, [1991\)](#page-13-0), LCO1490 and HCO2198 for COI (Folmer et al., [1994](#page-13-0)) and HexAF and HexAR for H3 (Colgan et al., [1998](#page-13-0)) (Supplementary Table S1). Each PCR had a final volume of 35 μl including five standard units of GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega, Madison, USA), 7 μl 5X PCR buffer,

5.6 μl MgCl₂ (25 mM), 2.1 μl BSA (10 mg ml⁻¹), 0.7 μl of deoxynucleotide triphosphate (dNTP) (10 mM), 10 pM of each primer and 3 μl template DNA. The optimized PCR conditions for 16S and H3 datasets started with an initial DNA denaturation at 94°C for 2 min; followed by 35–40 cycles of 30 s denaturation at 94°C, 30 s annealing at 50°C and 45 s–1 min extension at 72°C; with a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. For COI, we used an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation 94°C at 1 min, annealing 44°C at 30 s, extension 72°C at 1 min and final extension 72°C at 7 min. PCR amplifications were confirmed in a 1% agarose gel using GelRed™ fluorescent dye. PCR products were sent to Macrogen [\(http://www.macrogen.](http://www.macrogen.com) [com](http://www.macrogen.com)) for purification and sequencing of both DNA forward and reverse strands. Complementary sequences were assembled and edited using ProSeq v2.9 (Filatov, [2002\)](#page-13-0).

Sequence alignment

The sequences obtained in this study were aligned with the sequences of Trapania spp. retrieved from GenBank, along with seven other members of the Goniodorididae family and one member of each of the families Onchidorididae, Corambidae and Calycidordidae as outgroups [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0). The scientific names of all sequences used in this study were checked using MolluscaBase ([2024](#page-13-0)). Each alignment was conducted using MAFFT v.7 (Katoh and Standley, [2013](#page-13-0)), using the L-INS-i iterative algorithm for 16S, and G-INS-I for COI and H3 following Paz-Sedano et al. [\(2024](#page-13-0)a). Ambiguous aligned regions (with internal gaps) of 16S were removed using relaxed parameters to allow half the gaps with the GBlock 0.91.1 program (Castresana, [2000;](#page-13-0) Talavera and Castresana, [2007](#page-14-0); Lemoine et al., [2019](#page-13-0)). Finally, 16S, COI and H3 alignments were trimmed to 346, 594 and 328 base pairs, respectively. We concatenated the three aligned loci using Mesquite v.2.75 (Maddison and Maddison, [2011\)](#page-13-0). Sampled specimens used, voucher numbers, GenBank accession numbers and specimen localities are listed in [Table 1.](#page-2-0)

Phylogenetic analyses

Phylogenetic reconstruction was conducted for the concatenated dataset, using the Bayesian Inference (BI) performed in MrBayes v.3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, [2001](#page-13-0)) and the Maximum Likelihood (ML) performed in the webserver W-IQ-TREE v.2 (Trifinopoulos et al., [2016](#page-14-0); [http://iqtree.cibiv.](http://iqtree.cibiv.univie.ac.at/) [univie.ac.at/\)](http://iqtree.cibiv.univie.ac.at/). The evolutionary models were selected using jModelTest v.0.1.1 (Posada, [2008](#page-14-0)), based on the corrected Akaike information criterion (Akaike, [1974](#page-13-0)). The optimal models found by jModelTest were $TPM2uf + I + G$ for 16S, $GTR + I + G$ for COI and $TrN + G$ for H3, but, because not all evolutionary models are available in MrBayes software, we replaced them with $GTR + G + I$ (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, [2003](#page-14-0)).

BI was conducted with the following parameters: $nst = 6$, rates = invgamma and run for 10,000,000 generations. Analyses included two runs of four chains, with sampling every 1000 generations. Support for nodes in the BI tree topology was obtained by posterior probability burn-in the initial 25% of samples. Results were visualized in TRACER v.1.7 (Drummond and Rambaut, [2007\)](#page-13-0). For the ML analyses, we used the default options in the server W-IQ-TREE. The robustness of ML tree topology was assessed by bootstrap reiterations of the observed data 1000 times. The trees were visualized and edited in FigTree v.1.4.4 ([http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/\)](http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/). Following Muff et al. ([2022\)](#page-13-0) we defined the following five categories for BI nodal support as: PP = 1: fully supported; PP = 0.99–0.90: strongly supported; PP = 0.89–0.80: moderated support; PP = 0.79–0.70: weakly supported; $PP \leq 0.69$: not supported.

Table 1. Specimens used for molecular analysis, including locality data, GenBank accession numbers and museum voucher numbers

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued.)

Table 1. (Continued.)

Species delimitation analyses

The COI dataset was further interrogated to test the genetic relatedness of the candidate species T. huarmeyana sp. nov. sequences to congeners. Species delimitation was examined using Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD; Puillandre et al., [2012\)](#page-14-0) and Assemble Species by Automatic Partitioning (ASAP; Puillandre et al., [2021\)](#page-14-0). Sixty-six sequences of Trapania spp. were retrieved from GenBank and three were of the candidate species T. huarmeyana sp. nov. For the ABGD analysis, COI alignments were uploaded at [https://bioinfo.mnhn.fr/abi/public/](https://bioinfo.mnhn.fr/abi/public/abgd/abgdweb.html) $abgd/abgdweb.html$ using the default settings: Pmin = 0.001, Pmax = 0.1, Steps = 10, X (relative gap width) = 1.0, Nb bins = 20 and with Kimura (K2P) distance. The ASAP was conducted using the web tool ([https://bioinfo.mnhn.fr/abi/public/asap/](https://bioinfo.mnhn.fr/abi/public/asap/asapweb.html) [asapweb.html](https://bioinfo.mnhn.fr/abi/public/asap/asapweb.html)), and under the Kimura (K80) model, with a default ts/tv rate of 2.0, and a 0.05 threshold distance. Additionally, to compare the genetic distance among specimens of Trapania, we calculated the pairwise p-distance (between individual sequences and in average by species) for 16S, COI and H3 using MEGA v.6 (Tamura et al., [2013\)](#page-14-0).

Morphological examination

The external morphology of all collected specimens was examined using a stereomicroscope. Additionally, the internal morphology of two specimens was examined, with a focus on the digestive

and reproductive systems as the main internal traits for species identification and characterization. The reproductive organs were examined by removing them from the animal through a ventral incision and drawn under a Leica S APO dissecting microscope. The buccal mass of each examined specimen was removed and dissected to isolate the jaws and radula. Penises, jaws and radulae were rinsed in water, dried, mounted and sputter coated for examination under a FEI Inspect S50 variable pressure SEM at the Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos (Lima, Peru).

Results

Phylogenetic analysis

A total of two partial sequences of 16S (of 453 bp), three of COI (of 631–666 bp) and two of H3 (of 339 bp) were obtained for T. huarmeyana sp. nov. The concatenated dataset contained 1268 bp, with 455 parsimony informative sites. The BI and ML analyses of the concatenated dataset, which included 32 of the 55 species of Trapania, produced phylogenetic trees with partial concordance of statistical support (BI posterior probabilities [pp] and ML bootstrap values [mlb]) ([Figure 1](#page-5-0)). Both trees clustered the Trapania species in a monophyletic group ($pp = 0.99$; mlb = 100%), recovering two internal subclades with moderate-to-strong statistical support. The phylogenetic trees consistently grouped the Peruvian T. huarmeyana sp. nov. with Galapagos sequences

Figure 1. Bayesian consensus phylogenetic tree on the concatenate molecular data (16S + COI + H3) for Trapania spp. Values above branches refer to posterior probabilities of BI and values below branches represent bootstrap support values for ML. Only values >0.70 (Bayesian) and >75 (ML) are included. Coloured dots to the right of each species represent the geographic origin. Bars on the right indicate results of species delimitation analyses using ABGD (blue) and ASAP (grey) for COI dataset. White circle: no COI sequence to compare.

identified as T. goddardi by Smirnoff et al. ([2022\)](#page-14-0) showing strong-to-full support ($pp = 1$; mlb = 96%) as per Muff *et al.* ([2022](#page-13-0)). Trapania huarmeyana and T. goddardi clustered with T. velox from California within an eastern Pacific clade. In addition, the eastern Pacific clade grouped with the European species: T. cirrita Gosliner and Fahey, [2008](#page-13-0); T. sanctipetrensis Cervera, García-Gómez and Megina, 2000; T. orteai García-Gómez and Cervera, 1989; T. lineata Haefelfinger, 1960; T. maculata Haefelfinger, 1960 and the Indo-Pacific species T. palmula with strong support ($pp = 1$; mlb = 98%).

Species delimitation analysis

The ABGD analysis showed a tri-modal pairwise genetic distance (K2P) distribution with a gap located between 3 and 6% of the genetic distance and a second clear and wide barcode gap situated between 9 and 10% of the genetic distance (Supplementary

Figure S1A). ABGD analysis of the genetic pairwise distance in the aligned COI dataset recovered one partition of 28 candidate species with a prior maximal distance = 2.15% and barcode gap distance = 0.049 (Figure 1; Supplementary Figure S1B). Meanwhile, the best ASAP-score $(=4.5)$ partitioned the COI dataset into 24 putative species (Figure 1). ASAP grouped as the same species those sequences whose genetic distance was < 7.5%: T. naeva and T. circinata; T. kamagong, Trapania sp. A and T. stegodon; T. tamarraw and Trapania sp. B; T. darvelli and T. reticulata (Figure 1). Although ABGD and ASAP methods show slightly different results, both support the genetic divergence of the new candidate species from other congeners.

Intraspecific variability of T. huarmeyana sp. nov. using the COI dataset was 0.2–0.6% (between 1 and 4 polymorphic sites). The genetic divergence of T. huarmeyana sp. nov. between its congeners was greater than 11% with a minimum of 11.3%

Figure 2. Photographs of living animals. (A, B) Grouped animals and mass egg (red arrow) on the kelp Eisenia cokeri holdfast. (C) Dorsal view. (D) Lateral view (photo credits: R. Uribe).

divergence from its closest congeners, T. goddardi with 11.3% (Supplementary Table S2). For the 16S dataset, the intraspecific variability for T. huarmeyana sp. nov. was 0.6% (two polymorphic sites), with 4.5% divergence from T. goddardi with the next closest species being T. lineata (average = 6.5% sequence divergence) (Supplementary Table S3). Finally, using the H3 dataset, the two sequences of T. huarmeyana sp. nov. were 100% identical; and exhibited 3.4% divergence from T. goddardi and 4.5% from T. lineata with 4.5% (Supplementary Table S4).

Systematics

Order Nudibranchia Cuvier, 1817 Suborder Doridina Superfamily Onchidoridoidea Gray, 1827 Family Goniodorididae H. Adams and A. Adams, 1854 Genus Trapania Pruvot-Fol, 1931 Trapania huarmeyana sp. nov. The nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in

ZooBank ([http://zoobank.org/\)](http://zoobank.org/). The Life Science Identifier (LSID) for this publication is: urn: lsid:zoobank.org:act: A98A017A-0FE1-44C1-AB4E-78CB132873AB.

Type material

Holotype: 1 specimen, IMARPE 04-002333, Huarmey, Ancash region, Peru, 5.5 mm preserved length, April 2023.

Paratypes: 5 specimens, IMARPE 04-002373–IMARPE 04-002377, Huarmey province, Ancash region, Peru (same coordinates as holotype), 5–7 mm preserved length, April 2023.

Type locality: Huarmey province, Ancash region, Peru (−78.228080°, −9.807092°).

Etymology: The name Trapania huarmeyana sp. nov. is dedicated to Huarmey province, the type locality where the specimens were collected.

Diagnosis: Body translucent white, with anterior and posterior midline dark brown stripes and some brown spots on dorsum; with brown blotches on base of extra-rhinophoral processes, extra-branchial processes and gill branches. Radular formula: $28 \times 1.0.1$, lateral teeth without outer denticles and with 9-10 inner denticles. Jaws with several rows of pointed jaw elements. Penis with 10 rows of penial hooks, less developed basally.

External morphology: Living specimens up to \sim 8 mm length. Body narrow, elongated, becoming wider and higher at level of gill, narrowing gradually towards elongated and pointed tail. Colour translucent white, with a middorsal dark brown stripe running from rhinophores to anterior margin of gill, with two anterior extensions on base of oral tentacles and a middorsal posterior brown stripe running from posterior margin of gill to subdistal tail. Body ornamented with elongated to circular dark brown spots, most of them between rhinophores and gill. Additionally, large dark brown blotches on base of extrarhinophoral processes, extra-branchial processes and gill branches (Figure 2). Anterior margin of foot with a pair of thick propodial tentacles oriented backward [\(Figure 3:](#page-7-0) pt). Oral tentacles tapering, oriented forward, smaller than extra-rhinophoral processes, opaque white on distal half ([Figure 3](#page-7-0): ot). Rhinophores without rhinophoral sheath, with a pointed tip, with 7–8 lamellae decreasing in size distally, opaque white on frontal edge of lamellae and tip

Figure 3. External morphology of T. huarmeyana sp. nov. (A) Dorsal view. (B) Ventral view. Abbreviations: eb, extra-branchial processes; extra-rhinophoral processes; f, foot; gi, gill; mo, mouth; ot, oral tentacles; pt, propodial tentacles; ri, rhinophores.

(Figure 3A: ri). Pair of extra-rhinophoral processes digitiform, oriented backward, smaller than extra-branchial processes, opaque white distally (Figure 3A: er). Gill of three tripinnate translucent branches, arranged in a semicircular fashion, opaque white on tip and frontal edge of gill branches (Figure 3A: gi). Pair of extra-branchial processes thick, digitiform, oriented backward, opaque white distally (Figure 3A: eb). Anus surrounded by a gill semicircle (Figure 3A: an). Genital opening on right side of body. Foot elongated, narrow, opaque white on tail (Figure 3B: f).

Reproductive system. Gonad immersed into frontal region of visceral mass. Hermaphroditic duct slender and curved, inserting into ventral surface of ampulla slightly posterior to its midline ([Figure 4](#page-8-0)). Ampulla elongated, proximally pyriform, bifurcating distally into narrow oviduct and vas deferens ([Figure 4A:](#page-8-0) am). Prostate thick and rounded, with a single loop, narrowing into short and slightly curved deferent duct. Penial sac thick and elongated ([Figure 4B](#page-8-0)). Bursa copulatrix ovoid [\(Figure 4B,](#page-8-0) [C](#page-8-0): bc), smaller than prostate, connecting with long and slender vagina [\(Figure 4B](#page-8-0), C: va). Seminal receptacle small and spherical ([Figure 4B,](#page-8-0) [C:](#page-8-0) sr), entering into bursa copulatrix through narrow duct, connected by delicate uterine duct to nidamental gland. Nidamental gland larger than bursa, connecting to genital opening through narrow duct ([Figure 4:](#page-8-0) ng). Penis elongated, with several closely packed rows of hooked spines, thick and elongated distally, becoming smaller, slender and more densely packed proximally [\(Figure 5](#page-8-0)).

Central nervous system. Nerve ring dorsal on buccal mass, surrounding oesophagus ([Figure 6A, B\)](#page-9-0). Cerebral and pleural ganglia fused forming a cerebro-pleural complex [\(Figure 6C:](#page-9-0) clg). Eyes sessile. Cerebral nerves: c1, slender, running anteriorly, innervating oral tentacles; c2, slender, arising posterior to c1, inserting anteriorly into dorsal region of mouth; c3, thick, inserting into rhinophores, rhinophoral ganglion not developed; c4, thick, arising close to c3, inserting into ventral region of mouth. Pleural ganglia on posterior region of cerebral ganglia, with a thick nerve (pl) running posteriorly, inserting into dorsal body wall. Cerebro-pedal connectives short. Cerebro-buccal connectives very long and thin. Buccal ganglia ([Figure 6A](#page-9-0)–[C:](#page-9-0) bg) small, oval, attached on posterior region of buccal mass below oesophagus and salivary glands, with four very slender nerves. Pedal ganglia ([Figure 6C:](#page-9-0) pg) oval, smaller than cerebro-pleural complex, connected by a short pedal commissure. Pedal nerves: p1, slender, running anteriorly, innervating anteriormost foot and propodial tentacles; p2, slender, branched, arising posterior to p1, innervating anterior foot; p3, thick, running posteriorly, bifurcating into two branches, innervating medium and posterior foot, respectively; p4, only arising on right pedal ganglion, dorsal to p2–p3 nerves, running posteriorly inserting into penial sac and genital system. Visceral loop slender ([Figure 6C](#page-9-0): vl), with a nerve that runs posteriorly inserting into visceral mass.

Circulatory and excretory system. Heart on dorsal body wall inside pericardial cavity. Auricle funnel-shaped, translucent white, with internal longitudinal and transversal fibers. Ventricle pyriform, creamy yellow, thicker and longer than auricle. Blood gland not observed ([Figure 6D\)](#page-9-0).

Figure 4. Schematic view of reproductive organs. (A) Dorsal view. (B) Ventral view. (C) Lateral view. Abbreviations: am, ampulla; bc, bursa copulatrix; dd, deferent duct; hd, hermaphroditic duct; ng, nidamental gland; pr, prostate; ps, penial sac; sr, seminal receptacle; va, vagina.

Digestive system. Oral tube short with several oral glands on ventral surface. Buccal mass thick, with a slightly prominent dorsal rounded buccal pump extending anteriorly [\(Figure 6A, B\)](#page-9-0). Radula long, widening towards newly developed teeth, translucent white, formula: $28 \times 1.0.1$. Rachidian tooth absent. Lateral teeth with slightly curved, long falcate cusp displaced towards outer

Figure 5. Scanning electron micrographs of penis of the specimen 5 (IMARPE 04-00276) of T. huarmeyana sp. nov.

Figure 6. Anatomical details in T. huarmeyana sp. nov. (A) Right view of buccal mass. (B) Posterior view of buccal mass. (C) Ventral view of central nervous system. (D) Dorsal view of pericardium. Abbreviations: au, auricle; bg, buccal ganglia; bp, buccal pump; c1–c4, cerebral nerves; clg, cerebro-pleural complex; es, oesophagus; og, oral glands; p1–p4; pedal nerves; pc, pericardium; pd, pedal ganglia; pl, pleural nerve; sg, salivary glands; ve, ventricle; vl, visceral loop.

margin; with 9–10 inner pointed denticles decreasing in size towards radula centre; outer denticles not observed ([Figure 7A](#page-10-0)–D). Pair of triangular jaws, with 3–4 rows of closely packed yellow rodlets on masticatory border, rodlets lanceolated with a pointed tip ([Figure 7E, F](#page-10-0)). Pair of salivary glands very small and rounded, attached at the junction of oesophagus with buccal mass [\(Figure 8A,](#page-11-0) [B:](#page-11-0) sg). Oesophagus long, anteriorly swollen, posteriorly narrowing, inserting into frontal side of visceral mass ([Figure 8A:](#page-11-0) es). Digestive gland creamy white, occupying most of visceral mass ([Figure 8A](#page-11-0): dg). Stomach small, on left surface of visceral mass [\(Figure 8B:](#page-11-0) st). Intestine narrow, running one whorl around dorsal surface of digestive gland, curving to right side to open into anus [\(Figure 8B](#page-11-0): in).

Distributional range: Collected only from Huarmey, Ancash region, north-central Peru. Trapania huarmeyana sp. nov. probably with affinity to warm waters since it was found during the El Niño 2023/24 event.

Ecology: Specimens of T. huarmeyana sp. nov. were observed and photographed on holdfast of the kelp E. cokeri covered by Hydrozoa species and a yellow sponge (Demospongiae Sollas, 1885) that probably constitutes their diet [\(Figure 2A, B\)](#page-6-0). Solitary individuals were observed on rocky platforms inside the E. cokeri kelp forest [\(Figure 2C, D](#page-6-0)).

Reproduction: Trapania huarmeyana sp. nov. deposits spiral and transparent egg masses [\(Figure 2A, B](#page-6-0)).

Remarks: Externally, T. huarmeyana sp. nov. resembles T. velox from California and T. goslineri from Baja California and the Gulf of California, based on the white body and dark brown stripes and blotches on dorsum. However, T. velox has five brown stripes on the dorsum (Cockerell, [1901;](#page-13-0) MacFarland, [1929,](#page-13-0) [1966](#page-13-0)) and T. goslineri has numerous brown blotches (Millen and Bertsch, [2000](#page-13-0)), while T. huarmeyana sp. nov. has a single median brown stripe along the dorsum. Additionally, the appendages and the tails on these two species have an orange tip which is absent in T. huarmeyana sp. nov. Although T. darwini from Galapagos Islands also have brown blotches, these are dense and punctuated with cream spots (Camacho-García et al., [2005](#page-13-0); Gosliner and Fahey, [2008](#page-13-0)), while they are solid and scattered in T. huarmeyana sp. nov. Two additional species of Trapania have been described from the Eastern Pacific, T. inbiotica from Central America with dense red patches over the dorsum and appendages with tip yellow (Camacho-García and Ortea, [2000;](#page-13-0) Camacho-García et al., [2005\)](#page-13-0), and T. goddardi from Mexico with irregular brown blotches on dorsum (Hermosillo and Valdés, [2004\)](#page-13-0), but T. huarmeyana sp. nov. differs from them by the dorsal brown stripes and the absence of additional marking on appendage tips ([Table 2\)](#page-12-0).

The radular morphology of T. huarmeyana sp. nov. differs from T. goslineri, T. inbiotica and T. darwini by absence of outer denticles; T. inbiotica and T. darwini also differing from T. huarmeyana sp. nov. in the number of inner denticles. Although T. goddardi, T. goslineri and T. velox show a similar number of inner denticles to T. huarmeyana sp. nov., they differ in the number of rows: 17 in T. goddardi (Hermosillo and Valdés, [2004\)](#page-13-0), 24 in T. velox (MacFarland, [1929](#page-13-0)), 37–41 in T. goslineri (Millen and Bertsch, [2000](#page-13-0)) and 28 in T. huarmeyana sp. nov. Additionally, the jaws of T. inbiotica differ from T. huarmeyana

Figure 7. Scanning electron micrographs of radula. (A-D) View of complete rows and innermost teeth of the specimen 5 (IMARPE 04-00276), and specimen 6 (IMARPE 04-002377). (E–F) Detail of the jaws of the specimen 5.

sp. nov. in the presence of irregular elements (Camacho-García and Ortea, [2000\)](#page-13-0) ([Table 2](#page-12-0)).

A comparison of the reproductive system of T. huarmeyana sp. nov. shows that the hermaphroditic duct or preampullary duct in these animals enter the ampulla close to its midline, whereas in T. velox, T. goddardi and T. inbiotica it enters on its proximal end. Although in T. goslineri and T. darwini the preampullary duct enters the ampulla slightly more distally, it is even more distally positioned in T. huarmeyana sp. nov. The seminal receptacle in T. huarmeyana sp. nov., T. velox, T. goddardi and T. inbiotica enters into the base of the bursa copulatrix, but in T. goslineri and T. darwini it enters below the bursa (Gosliner and Fahey, [2008\)](#page-13-0). The deferent duct is long and narrow, widening into the thick penial sac in T. huarmeyana sp. nov., but this duct (also called ejaculatory duct) is wide in T. goddardi, T. darwini and T. inbiotica. In T. goslineri, the prostate narrows into a short deferent duct before widening again into a short penial sac (Millen and Bertsch, [2000\)](#page-13-0), but in T. huarmeyana sp. nov. the deferent duct and penial sac are longer. The penial sac is also elongated in T. velox (MacFarland, [1966\)](#page-13-0), but the deferent duct is much shorter than in T. huarmeyana sp. nov. ([Table 2\)](#page-12-0).

Discussion

A combination of morphological and molecular tools represents a significant advance in accelerating the biodiversity knowledge of some groups such as heterobranch sea slugs or which taxonomic data are lacking (Padula et al., [2016](#page-13-0); Valdés et al., [2018](#page-14-0); Smirnoff et al., [2022;](#page-14-0) Paz-Sedano et al., [2024](#page-13-0)b). This approach has been fundamental to increasing the species number in many taxa around the world, including the nudibranch family Goniodorididae from the tropical Indo-Pacific and Atlantic Oceans, with notable discoveries among the genera Trapania,
Bermudella, Ceratodoris, Murphydoris and Naisdoris Ceratodoris, Murphydoris and Naisdoris (Paz-Sedano et al., [2024](#page-13-0)b). Here, we studied the external and internal morphology, along with DNA sequences, to describe a new species of Trapania from the Humboldt province (South-eastern Pacific), a region that is unusually cool despite

Figure 8. Haemocel organs in T. huarmeyana sp. nov. (A) Right view. (B) Left view. Abbreviations: bc, bursa copulatrix; dg, digestive gland; es, oesophagus; in, intestine; ng, nidamental gland; pr, prostate; ps, penial sac; st, stomach.

its close affinity to the equator (Gutiérrez et al., [2016](#page-13-0); Ibanez-Erquiaga et al., [2018\)](#page-13-0). During strong El Niño events, many species (e.g. molluscs) extend their distributional range towards high latitudes due to the intrusion of larvae and adults (Goddard et al., [2020](#page-13-0)). However, when normal conditions are re-established, many may become trapped within coastal ecosystems. Although the genus Trapania has been mostly recorded in warm waters around the world, previous studies reported that it thrives in cold water regions with similar climate variability (Cetra and Roche, [2019;](#page-13-0) Paz-Sedano et al., [2022\)](#page-13-0).

Stressors such as marine heatwaves along of the Eastern Pacific Ocean, produced by warm events, are directly related to habitat loss (e.g. kelp forests) and subsequent alteration of species richness. Many species may survive at specific locations such as caves, bays and kelp forests, that provide refuge during strong warming events (Uribe and Pacheco, [2012;](#page-14-0) Araya and Valdés, [2016\)](#page-13-0). Recently, high temperatures recorded during the El Niño 2023/24 event have increased the migration of species of tropical origin to the temperate Humboldt province (authors observation), affecting the diversity of some taxonomic groups that inhabit coastal ecosystem, such as the little-known molluscs nudibranchs. Trapania huarmeyana sp. nov. may represent a recent migrant or may have been in the region for a long time but simply not been detected due to a lack of research effort, as suggested by Uribe and Pacheco ([2012\)](#page-14-0) for other Nudibranchia records in this geographical area.

Our phylogenetic analyses indicate T. huarmeyana sp. nov. and T. goddardi are sister species, i.e. they have a common ancestor. The sequences from Galapagos, identified as T. goddardi by Smirnoff et al. ([2022\)](#page-14-0) likely correspond to T. darwini (Gosliner and Fahey, [2008\)](#page-13-0), since T. goddardi was originally recorded in Mexico (Hermosillo and Valdés, [2004\)](#page-13-0). Regardless of the above, the East Pacific species for which genetic sequences were available in this study $(= T.$ huarmeyana n. sp, $T.$ goddardi and $T.$ velox) were grouped with species recorded in Europe, suggesting greater genetic closeness than with the Indo-Pacific species. The biogeographical zone of the Tropical Eastern Pacific extends from the Sea of Cortez (south of ∼29° N) to the northern Pacific coast of Peru (about 5° S) and was isolated from the Caribbean about 3.1 myr with the closure of the Isthmus of Panama (Coates and Obando, [1996\)](#page-13-0). The latitudinal limits of the region are set by western extensions of the continental coastline, where cool currents that flow towards the equator turn offshore towards the central Pacific (Robertson et al., [2004](#page-14-0)). It is also isolated from the central and western Pacific by the world's widest deepwater marine barrier to the dispersal of marine shore organisms, the 4000–7000 km Eastern Pacific Barrier (EPB) (Ekman, [1953](#page-13-0)) which may have existed for the past 65 myr (Grigg and Hey, [1992\)](#page-13-0). Consequently, the rise of the Panama isthmus is a more recent barrier to gene flow between the eastern Pacific and Atlantic Oceans. A scenario similar has been documented for the genus Phyllidiopsis (Phyllidiidae) and Hypselodoris

Table 2. Comparative characteristics of the species of Trapania living in the Eastern Pacific

(Chromodorididae), where several cases of vicariance between the tropical Indo-Pacific region and the Atlantic-eastern Pacific area followed by vicariance between the eastern Pacific and the Atlantic have been proposed (Valdés, [2001](#page-14-0); Alejandrino and Valdés, [2006](#page-13-0)). To test such biogeographic hypotheses in Trapania spp will require more sequencing of species from the eastern Pacific.

This record of T. huarmeyana sp. nov. adds support to the predictions of Uribe et al. ([2013](#page-14-0)) of undiscovered species heterobranch sea slug species on this region. Although recent investigation efforts have been made in the last decade in the Humboldt province (South-eastern Pacific) (Uribe et al., [2013](#page-14-0), [2018;](#page-14-0) Schrödl and Hooker, [2014](#page-14-0); Araya and Valdés, [2016](#page-13-0); Hoover et al., [2017;](#page-13-0) Mendivil and Cardoso, [2022](#page-13-0)a, [2022](#page-13-0)b), this finding indicates that further research is necessary to better understand the distribution and variation of this group in specific localities such as the poorly explored kelp forest ecosystems.

Supplementary Material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315424001188>.

Data. All data are available on request.

Acknowledgements. This research is part of the project 'Diversidad Biológica de la región Áncash' run by the Laboratorio Costero de Chimbote IMARPE. The genetic work was funded by the Plan de Fortalecimiento Universidades Estatales – Chile RED21992. We thank Niall McKeown for English editing on the manuscript.

Author contributions. R. A. U., A. M. and F. S. work in the conceptualization. R. P., R. A. U., D. A.-S., S. A. and L. F. collected samples. A. M. conducted the anatomical analysis. F. S. performed the molecular analysis. R. A. U., A. M. and F. S. wrote and revised the original draft. All authors read, revised and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interest. None.

Ethical standards. Not applicable.

Consent for publication. Not applicable.

References

- Akaike H (1974) A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control 19, 716–722.
- Alejandrino A and Valdés A (2006) Phylogeny and biogeography of the Atlantic and Eastern Pacific Hypselodoris Stimpson, 1855 (Nudibranchia, Chromodorididae) with the description of a new species from the Caribbean Sea. Journal of Molluscan Studies 72, 189–198.
- Araya JF and Valdés A (2016) Shallow water heterobranch sea slugs (Gastropoda: Heterobranchia) from the Región de Atacama, northern Chile. PeerJ 4, e1963.
- Camacho-García YE and Ortea J (2000) A new species of Trapania (Nudibranchia: Goniodorididae) from the Pacific coast of Central America. Revista de Biología Tropical 48, 317–322.
- Camacho-García YE, Gosliner T and Valdés A (2005) Guía de campo de las babosas marinas del Pacífico este tropical. California: Allen Press.
- Castresana J (2000) Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Molecular Biology and Evolution 17, 540–552.
- Cetra N and Roche A (2019) Una nueva especie del género Trapania (Nudibranchia: Goniodorididae) para el Mar Argentino. Acta Zoológica Lilloana 63, 1–10.
- Coates AG and Obando JA (1996) The geologic evolution of the Central American isthmus. In Jackson JBC, Budd AF and Coates AG (eds), Evolution and Environments in Tropical America, vol. 1. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, pp. 21–56.
- Cockerell TDA (1901) Three new nudibranchs from California. Journal of Malacology 8, 85–87.
- Colgan DJ, Mclauchlan A, Wilson GDF, Livingston S, Macaranas J, Edgecombe GD and Gray M (1998) Molecular phylogenetics of the Arthropoda: relationships based on histone H3 and U2 snRNA DNA sequences. Australian Journal of Zoology 46, 19–437.
- Drummond AJ and Rambaut A (2007) BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. BMC Ecology and Evolution 7, 214.
- Edmunds M (2009) Opisthobranchiate Mollusca from Ghana: Goniodorididae. Journal of Conchology 40, 37.
- Ekman S (1953) Zoogeography of the Sea. London: Sidgwick and Jackson.
- Filatov D (2002) Proseq: a software for preparation and evolutionary analysis
- of DNA sequence data sets. Molecular Ecology Notes 2, 621–624. Folmer O, Hoeh WR, Black MB and Vrijenhoek RC (1994) Conserved primers for PCR amplification of mitochondrial DNA from different invertebrate phyla. Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology 3, 294–299.
- Goddard JHR, Goddard WM and Goddard ZE (2020) Benthic heterobranch sea slugs (Gastropoda: Heterobranchia) from Santa Barbara County, California I. Review of the literature, and Naples Point, 2002–2019. Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences 66, 275–298.
- Gosliner TM and Fahey SJ (2008) Systematics of Trapania (Mollusca: Nudibranchia: Goniodorididae) with descriptions of 16 new species. Systematics and Biodiversity 6, 53–98.
- Grández A, Ampuero A and Barahona SP (2023) Peruvian nudibranchs (Mollusca, Gastropoda, Heterobranchia): an updated literature review-based list of species. ZooKeys 1176, 117–163.
- Grigg RW and Hey R (1992) Paleoceanography of the tropical eastern Pacific Ocean. Science 255, 172–178.
- Gutiérrez D, Akester M and Naranjo L (2016) Productivity and sustainable management of the Humboldt current large marine ecosystem under climate change. Environmental Development 17, 126–144.
- Hermosillo A and Valdés A (2004) Two new species of Dorid Nudibranchs (Mollusca, Opisthobranchia) from Bahía de Banderas, Mexico. Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences 55, 550–560.
- Hoover CA, Padula V, Schrödl M, Hooker Y and Valdés Á (2017) Integrative taxonomy of the Felimare californiensis and F. ghiselini species complex (Nudibranchia: Chromodorididae), with description of a new species from Peru. Journal of Molluscan Studies 83, 461–475.
- Huelsenbeck JP and Ronquist F (2001) MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 17, 754–755.
- Ibanez-Erquiaga B, Pacheco AS, Rivadeneira MM and Tejada CL (2018) Biogeographical zonation of rocky intertidal communities along the coast of Peru (3.5–13.5 S Southeast Pacific). PLoS ONE 13, e0208244.
- Katoh K and Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30, 772–780.
- Lemoine F, Correia D, Lefort V, Doppelt-Azeroual O, Mareuil F, Cohen-Boulakia S and Gascuel O (2019) NGPhylogeny.fr: new generation phylogenetic services for non-specialists. Nucleic Acids Research 47, W260–W265.
- MacFarland FM (1929) Drepania a genus of nudibrachiate mollusks new to California. Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences 18, 485–496.
- MacFarland FM (1966) Studies of Opisthobranchiate mollusks of the Pacific coast of North America. Memoirs of the California Academy of Sciences 6, 1–546.
- Maddison WP and Maddison DR (2011) Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis. Version 2.75.
- Mendivil A and Cardoso F (2022a) Primer registro de Berthellina ilisima (Gastropoda: Heterobranchia: Pleurobranchida) en aguas peruanas con descripción de su anatomía. Revista Peruana de Biología 29, e22906.
- Mendivil A and Cardoso F (2022b) Anatomical redescription of Aplysia (Aplysia) nigra and Aplysia (Varria) inca (Mollusca: Heterobranchia) with comments on Aplysia from Peru. Zootaxa 5222, 201–239.
- Millen S and Bertsch H (2000) Three new species of dorid nudibranchs from Southern California, USA, and the Baja California peninsula, Mexico. The Veliger 43, 354–366.
- Miller SA, Dykes DD and Polesky HFRN (1988) A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. Nucleic Acids Research 16, 1215.
- Molluscabase (2024) MolluscaBase. Trapania Pruvot-Fol, 1931. Accessed online 03 May 2024.
- Muff S, Nilsen EB, O'Hara RB and Nater CR (2022) Rewriting results sections in the language of evidence. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 37, 203–210.
- Ornelas-Gatdula E, Camacho-García Y, Schroedl M, Padula V, Hooker Y, Gosliner TM and Valdés A (2012) Molecular systematics of the 'Navanax aenigmaticus' species complex (Mollusca, Cephalaspidea): coming full circle. Zoologica Scripta 41, 374–385.
- Padula V, Bahia J, Stöger I, Camacho-García Y, Malaquias MAE, Cervera JL and SchrödL M (2016) A test of color-based taxonomy in nudibranchs: molecular phylogeny and species delimitation of the Felimida clenchi (Mollusca: Chromodorididae) species complex. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 103, 215–229.
- Palumbi S, Martin A, Romano S, Owen, Macmillan W, Stice L and Grabowski G (1991) The Simple Fool's Guide to PCR. Honolulu: Department of Zoology, University of Hawaii.
- Paz-Sedano S, Ortigosa D and Pola M (2017) A new Okenia Menke, 1830 from the Azores Island, Portugal. Spixiana 40, 13–22.
- Paz-Sedano S, Álvarez JMF, Gosliner TM and Pola M (2022) Reassessing North Eastern Atlantic-Mediterranean species of Trapania (Mollusca, Nudibranchia). Zoologica Scripta 51, 447–459.
- Paz-Sedano S, Cobb G, Gosliner TM and Pola M (2024b) Filling gaps in the knowledge of Goniodorididae taxa (Mollusca, Gastropoda, Nudibranchia) with description of seven new species. Zootaxa 5443, 523–547.
- Paz-Sedano S, Moles J, Smirnoff D, Gosliner TM and Pola M (2024a) A combined phylogenetic strategy illuminates the evolution of Goniodorididae nudibranchs (Mollusca, Gastropoda, Heterobranchia). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 192, 107990.
- Posada D (2008) jmodeltest: Phylogenetic model averaging. Molecular Biology and Evolution 25, 1253–1256.
- Puillandre N, Lambert A, Brouillet S and Achaz G (2012) ABGD, Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery for primary species delimitation. Molecular Ecology 21, 1864–1877.
- Puillandre N, Brouillet S and Achaz G (2021) ASAP: assemble species by automatic partitioning. Molecular Ecology Resources 21, 609–620.
- Riascos JM, Uribe RA, Donayre S, Flores D, Galindo O, Quispe C and González J (2016) Human footprints on benthic communities in marine reserves: a study case in the most productive upwelling system worldwide. Marine Ecology Progress Series 557, 65–75.
- Robertson DR, Grove JS and McCosker JE (2004) Tropical transpacific shore fishes. Pacific Science 58, 507–565.
- Ronquist F and Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MRBAYES 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19, 1572–1574.
- Schrödl M (2003) Sea Slugs of Southern South America. Systematics, Biogeography and Biology of Chilean and Magellanic Nudipleura (Mollusca: Opistobranchia). 1st Edn. Hackenheim: ConchBooks Press.
- Schrödl M and Hooker Y (2014) Sea slugs of Peru: Peruvian-Chilean faunal elements. Spixiana 37, 45–59.
- Smirnoff DS, Donohoo SA and Gosliner TM (2022) Extra-branchial processes manifest extra diversity: systematics of the genus Trapania (Nudibranchia: Goniodorididae) and nine new species descriptions. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 196, 270–313.
- Talavera G and Castresana J (2007) Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. Systematic Biology 56, 564–577.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A and Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30, 2725–2729.
- Trifinopoulos J, Nguyen LT, Von Haeseler A and Minh BQ (2016) WIQ-TREE: a fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. Nucleic Acids Research 44, 232–235.
- Uribe RA, Nakamura K, Indacochea A, Pacheco AS, Hooker Y and Schrödl M (2013) A review on the diversity and distribution of opisthobranch gastropods from Peru, with the addition of three new records: (Gastropoda, Heterobranchia). Spixiana 36, 43–60.
- Uribe RA and Pacheco AS (2012) First record of Spurilla neapolitana (Mollusca: Nudibranchia: Aeolidiidae) on the central coast of Peru (Humboldt Current Upwelling Ecosystem). Marine Biodiversity Records 5, e14.
- Uribe RA, Perea Á and Ortiz M (2022) Determining ecosystem properties and short-term dynamical simulations in Eisenia cokeri kelp forest (northcenter of Peru): implications for conservation and monitoring. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 269, 107813.
- Uribe RA, Sepúlveda F, Goddard JH and Valdés Á (2018) Integrative systematics of the genus Limacia OF Müller, 1781 (Gastropoda, Heterobranchia, Nudibranchia, Polyceridae) in the Eastern Pacific. Marine Biodiversity 48, 1815–1832.
- Valdés A (2001) Depth-related adaptations, speciation processes and evolution of colour in the genus Phyllidiopsis (Mollusca: Nudibranchia). Marine Biology 139, 485–496.
- Valdés Á (2009) A new species of Trapania Pruvot-Fol, 1931 (Mollusca, Gastropoda, Opisthobranchia, Nudibranchia) from the Caribbean Sea with an analysis of its phylogenetic relationships. Caribbean Journal of Science 45, 8–14.
- Valdés Á, Breslau E, Padula V, Schrödl M, Camacho Y, Malaquias MAE, Alexander J, Bottomley M, Vital X, Hooker Y and Gosliner TM (2018) Molecular and morphological systematics of Dolabrifera Gray, 1847 (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Heterobranchia: Aplysiomorpha). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 184, 31–65.