

Cabbeling as a catalyst and driver of turbulent mixing

Josef I. Bisits^{1,2}, Jan D. Zika^{1,2}, and Taimoor Sohail^{1,2}

¹School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia
²Australian Centre for Excellence in Antarctic Science, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia **Corresponding author**: Josef I. Bisits, j.bisits@unsw.edu.au

(Received 15 December 2024; revised 26 March 2025; accepted 27 March 2025)

At constant pressure, a mixture of water parcels with equal density but differing salinity and temperature will be denser than the parent water parcels. This is known as cabbeling and is a consequence of the nonlinear equation of state for seawater density. With a source of turbulent vertical mixing, cabbeling has the potential to trigger and drive convection in gravitationally stable water columns and there is observational evidence that this process shapes the thermohaline structure of high-latitude oceans. However, the evolution and maintenance of turbulent mixing due to cabbeling has not been fully explored. Here, we use turbulence-resolving direct numerical simulations to investigate cabbeling's impact on vertical mixing and pathways of energy in closed systems. We find that cabbeling can sustain convection in an initially gravitationally stable two-layer configuration where relatively cold/fresh water sits atop warm/salty water. We show the mixture of the cold/fresh and warm/salty water is constrained by a density maximum and that cabbeling enhances mixing rates by four orders of magnitude. Cabbeling's effect is amplified as the static stability limit is approached, leading to convection being sustained for longer. We find that available potential energy, which is classically thought to only decrease with mixing, can increase with mixing due to cabbeling's densification of the mixed water. Our direct numerical dimulations support the notion that cabbeling could be a source of enhanced ocean mixing and that conventional definitions of energetic pathways may need to be reconsidered to take into account densification under mixing.

Key words: nonlinear instability, turbulent mixing

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Nonlinearities in the equation of state for seawater density result in oceanic processes that influence the distribution of seawater in the global ocean (Nycander, Hieronymus & Roquet 2015). These nonlinear processes in the ocean play an important role in the climate system as they affect estimates of steric sea level change (Gille 2004), are necessary for the formation of Antarctic intermediate water and layering of the deep waters in polar oceans (Nycander *et al.* 2015), influence the formation of sea ice (Roquet *et al.* 2022) and the internal pycnocline (Klocker *et al.* 2023) in the Southern Ocean. Despite the known importance of nonlinear processes, quantifying their impact on other oceanic processes, such as mixing, remains a challenge.

Cabbeling and thermobaricity are the two most important nonlinear processes in the ocean (Foster 1972; McDougall 1987; Nycander *et al.* 2015), with both having a significant impact on water mass transformation (Iudicone *et al.* 2008; Kasajima & Johannessen 2009; Klocker & McDougall 2010; Urakawa & Hasumi 2012; Groeskamp, Abernathey & Klocker 2016). Cabbeling occurs when two water parcels of equal density but differing absolute salinity (hereafter salinity or *S*) and conservative temperature (hereafter temperature or Θ) are mixed to create denser water than the parent water parcels (Witte 1902; Foster 1972). Fofonoff (1957) suggested that if relatively light, cold/fresh water mixes with denser warm/salty water, the gain in density from cabbeling may be sufficient for marginally stable profiles to become gravitationally unstable. This process is called cabbeling instability (Foster 1972).

It has been suggested that the cabbeling instability may have a strong influence on local mixing rates (Fofonoff 1957; Foster 1972). More recently, it was suggested that cabbeling plays a significant role in shaping the thermohaline structure of high-latitude oceans (Bisits, Zika & Evans 2024) where conditions for cabbeling are ideal due to the relatively large temperature inversions that form between the near-freezing surface waters and deeper, denser, warmer waters. The theoretical hypothesis that cabbeling can cause convection (Fofonoff 1957), along with evidence of cabbeling 's widespread influence in the high-latitude oceans (Bisits *et al.* 2024), suggest that cabbeling may be a contributor to enhanced mixing in the high-latitude oceans. However, to date, cabbeling as a catalyst for convection has not been observed (although observing profiles that are unstable to cabbeling would be very challenging due to the short time scales over which they would be present) or simulated using turbulence-resolving simulations.

In this study, we use direct numerical simulation (DNS) to investigate the effects of cabbeling on mixing and energetic pathways. Direct numerical simulation captures processes at all scales of motion, from millimetre-scale turbulence to the domain-scale dynamics, enabling the quantification of a closed energy budget, and accurate estimation of the sources and sinks of energy reservoirs. Direct numerical simulation has been employed to explore mixing and dissipation, for example Vreugdenhil, Gayen & Griffiths (2016) and Sohail et al. (2018, 2020), double diffusive effects on mixing in both the salt fingering regime, for example Shen (1997) and Ma & Peltier (2021), and the diffusive convection regime, for example Carpenter *et al.* (2012a,b), and more recently on oceandriven ice shelf melting (Middleton et al. 2021; Wilson et al. 2023). We use DNS in this study because cabbeling triggers mixing and flow dynamics that occur across all length scales, thus enhancing mixing rates over their molecular values. Further, DNS allows us to accurately compute how nonlinear processes influence energetics in closed systems. To our knowledge, this is the first use of DNS to explicitly simulate cabbeling, enabling a robust quantification of the impact of cabbeling on mixing rates and the energetic pathways that can form with a nonlinear equation of state.



Figure 1. (a) Densification of mixed water at the interface of lighter cold/fresh and denser warm/salty water in a two-layer system. (b) The two-layer system in panel (a) mapped onto $S - \Theta$ space where the blue dot is the shallow cold/fresh layer and the red dot is the deeper warm/salty layer. The mixed water (purple line) crosses the isopycnal at the deep water (dotted red contour) hence an instability will form between some of the mixed water and the deep water. All figures in this study are produced using Makie.jl (Danisch & Krumbiegel 2021).

2. Theory

2.1. Nonlinearities and mixing

Consider the hypothetical water column in figure 1(a) where there are two layers: lighter, relatively cold/fresh shallow water atop denser, warm/salty deep water. This water column is initially gravitationally stable. However, if some mixing occurs at the interface between the two layers, a spectrum of mixed water (i.e. the range of possible waters that can be formed by mixing the initial deep and shallow waters, hereafter mixed water) will form, some of which may be denser than the deeper water, thus forming an instability as in figure 1(a). Analysing the properties of the mixed water in salinity–temperature $(S - \Theta)$ space, where these variables are conserved, illustrates how and when nonlinearities and mixing lead to cabbeling.

Assuming the $S - \Theta$ properties are uniform within each layer of the water column in figure 1(*a*), mapping the initial state of the water column into $(S - \Theta)$ space will give just two points: the shallow cold/fresh water and the deep warm/salty water (blue and red dots respectively in figure 1*b*). At constant pressure, these two water parcels are gravitationally stable as the shallower water (blue dot) is to the left of the isopycnal at the deep water (red dotted contour line) – that is, $\rho_{shallow} - \rho_{deep} < 0$.

When the shallow and deep water masses are mixed by some form of turbulent mixing, the $S - \Theta$ properties of the resulting mixtures must fall along the straight line connecting them in $(S - \Theta)$ space (purple line in figure 1b). Note that the mixture falling on a straight line is only applicable for turbulent mixing that sufficiently elevates the salinity and temperature diffusivities to an approximately homogeneous rate which temporarily overrides the transport by molecular diffusion (Guthrie, Fer & Morison 2017). Due to the curvature of isopycnals, i.e. due to nonlinearity, as the water mixes, some of it becomes denser than the deep water, forming a gravitational instability between some of the mixed water and the deep water.

Fofonoff (1957) hypothesised that cabbeling leads to gravitational instability when shallower waters exceed a salinity and temperature threshold set by the $S - \Theta$ properties of deeper waters. Geometrically, this threshold can be seen by considering the tangent line to the deeper water in $S - \Theta$ space (dash-dot red line in figure 1*b*). Once the straight line connecting the shallow and deep waters is steeper than the tangent line to the deep

J.I. Bisits, J.D. Zika and T. Sohail

water, some of the mixture must be denser than the deeper water. Thus, provided the $S - \Theta$ properties of shallower waters are in the region between the tangent line and isopycnal to the deep water (the shaded red region in figure 1*b*), cabbeling can generate a gravitational instability in a previously gravitationally stable profile. The salinity and temperature threshold from Fofonoff (1957) can indicate when a cabbeling instability is present but gives no information about whether the instability triggers and drives further convective mixing, and if so, for how long. To quantify the effect the cabbeling instability can have on mixing we introduce a diagnostic for vertical diffusivity appropriate to DNS.

2.2. Effective diffusivity

Effective diffusivity is a measure of the vertical transport of a scalar field assuming the scalar field has been re-sorted so it monotonically increases with depth (Holmes *et al.* 2021). The effective diffusivity only requires knowledge of the tracer field to compute, and is appropriate for this study as we want to diagnose cabbeling's influence on the rate of vertical tracer transport. Diagnosing the effect cabbeling has on mixing is important for improvements to simulating convection in global ocean models.

We compute the effective diffusivity for the horizontally averaged salinity field

$$\kappa_{eff}(z*,t) = \frac{\partial \langle S \rangle_{xy} / \partial t}{\partial \langle S \rangle_{xy} / \partial z*},$$
(2.1)

where the z* vertical coordinate is a remapping of the horizontally averaged salinity field, indicated by $\langle \rangle_{xy}$, into a monotonic function. In (2.1), the numerator is the vertical transport across each depth and the denominator is the vertical gradient.

The effective diffusivity can be calculated using the entire three-dimensional salinity field reshaped into a single array. However, we choose to horizontally average because initially, and towards the end of our simulations, we have two layers that are largely homogeneous leading to many instances of zero vertical gradient. Note that taking the horizontal average prior to computing the effective diffusivity is only appropriate for domains that have uniform horizontal area.

To compute (2.3) at each depth level in our domain, we first horizontally average the three-dimensional salinity field then sort from saltiest to freshest (i.e. so the saltiest water is at the bottom of the domain). Cumulatively integrating the sorted salinity array from the bottom to the top of the domain gives the horizontally averaged salinity content at each depth, the time change of which is the flux across each depth and the numerator in (2.3). Dividing the flux array element-wise by the vertical gradient of the sorted horizontally averaged salinity field, gives the effective diffusivity at each depth.

2.3. Nonlinearities and the energetics of mixing

Changes in the effective diffusivity due to enhanced mixing are also reflected in the energy budget, which captures the fluxes of energy between reservoirs. Winters *et al.* (1995) proposed possible pathways of energy between different reservoirs in a density-stratified Boussinesq flow with a linear equation of state. Within a fixed volume, the integrated total potential energy

$$PE = g \int_{V} \rho z \mathrm{d}V, \qquad (2.2)$$

can be partitioned into background potential energy, *BPE*, and available potential energy, *APE*. The *BPE* is the minimum *PE* state achieved by adiabatic rearrangement of fluid parcels and the *APE* is the difference between the *PE* and the *BPE*. This partitioning means only *APE* can be converted into kinetic energy (*KE*), via reversible buoyancy fluxes.

Changes in *BPE* are due to irreversible mixing which always acts to increase the *BPE* at the expense of the *APE*.

Urakawa, Saenz & Hogg (2013) showed that in a global ocean model with a nonlinear equation of state, cabbeling can be a source of *APE* due to isopycnal diffusion creating a reversible exchange with the *BPE* reservoir. Here, we consider how cabbeling can be a source of *APE* via irreversible vertical mixing, which in current models for energetic pathways is only ever a sink for *APE*.

3. Simulations

We use DNS to explore how cabbeling can trigger and maintain convective turbulent mixing from an initially gravitationally stable state. Direct numerical simulation resolves the smallest scale at which turbulent motion occurs, typically the local Kolmogorov scale $\eta = (\nu^3 / \varepsilon)^{1/4}$ (where ν is viscosity and ε is kinetic energy dissipation), and, in some cases, the local Batchelor scale. Resolving all scales of turbulence is key in this study because mixing due to cabbeling can occur at any turbulent length scale. Further, DNS allows a precise calculation of the energy budget which is crucial for understanding how nonlinear processes can drive convective mixing.

The DNS used in this project was built using Oceananigans.jl (Ramadhan *et al.* 2020). The DNS is non-hydrostatic and solves the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations in three dimensions under the Boussinesq approximation. The temperature and salinity tracers are set with equal, isotropic molecular diffusivity values and are evolved using the 55-term polynomial approximation to the equation of state appropriate for Boussinesq models (Roquet *et al.* 2015). The boundary conditions for the momentum and tracers are horizontally periodic and zero-flux vertically. We set the kinematic viscosity $v = 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and equal diffusivity values for the salt and temperature tracers $\kappa = 1 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ resulting in a Prandtl number, $\text{Pr} = v/\kappa$, of 10. With a Prandtl number greater than one, the Batchelor scale Ba = $\eta/\sqrt{\text{Pr}}$ must also be resolved in the simulations. The domain's extent is $[L_x, L_y, L_z] = [0.07 \text{ m}, 0.07 \text{ m}, 1 \text{ m}]$ so that $x \in [-0.035, 0.035)$, $y \in [-0.035, 0.035)$ and $z \in [-1, 0]$. We choose a vertical extent for our domain which minimises the impact of thermobaricity (as thermobaricity only becomes significant at greater depths), thereby enabling an assessment of cabbeling instability in isolation (Harcourt 2005).

The resolution for the different experiments is set depending on the local length scale of the turbulence that is being resolved – see table 1 for the Kolmogorov and Batchelor scales, as well as the resolution set, in our simulations. The standard convergence criterion for DNS solutions requires a closed energy budget and fully resolved Kolmogorov and Batchelor scales (Gayen, Griffiths & Hughes 2014). Our simulations meet this convergence criterion except for experiment V during t = 6 min to t = 17 min where the local space-time minimum Batchelor length is slightly under resolved. For more details regarding the resolution set to resolve the Batchelor scale, and the energy budgets, please see Appendix A.

Although double diffusive effects have been shown to be important in a two-layer gravitationally stable system with cold/fresh water atop warm/salty water, e.g Carpenter *et al.* (2012*a*), we are specifically interested in the influence of nonlinear processes on turbulent vertical mixing. To allow us to focus on the effect of nonlinearities, we set the same diffusivity value (the thermal molecular diffusivity) for the salinity and temperature tracers thereby removing double diffusive effects from this study. Alternatively, we could opt to set an enhanced, equal turbulent diffusivity for both salinity and temperature, however, choosing the thermal molecular diffusivity eliminates the uncertainty involved

Experiment	$S (g kg^{-1})$	<i>Θ</i> (°C)	$\Delta \rho$ (kg m ⁻³)	η (mm)	Ba (mm)	$\begin{bmatrix} N_x, N_y, N_z \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{R_{\rho}}{-}$	Ra_S	Ra_{Θ}
Statically stable, Stable to cabbeling I II	34.694 34.551	0.5 -1.5	-0.0046 -0.0280	43.73 99.39	13.83 31.43	35, 35, 500 115, 115, 1650	<u> </u>	5.6×10^{7} 1.5×10^{9}	
Statically stable, Unstable to cabbeling III IV	34.568 34.580	-1.5 -1.5	-0.0142 -0.0046	3.13 2.06	0.99 0.65	115, 115, 1650 115, 115, 1650	1.16 1.05	$\begin{array}{c} 1.3\times10^9\\ 1.2\times10^9\end{array}$	1.1×10^9 1.1×10^9
Statically unstable V	34.590	-1.5	0.0035	1.55	0.49	115, 115, 1650	0.96	1.1×10^{9}	1.1×10^{9}

Table 1. Initial $S - \Theta$ properties for the shallow water and the initial density difference between the shallow and deep water, $\Delta \rho = \rho_{shallow} - \rho_{deep}$, in all experiments. The minimum space-time local Kolmogorov (η) and Batchelor (Ba) scales during the experiments and the resolution $[N_x, N_y, N_z]$ set to resolve these scales. Initial non-dimensional numbers shown are the diffusive density ratio, R_ρ , the salinity Rayleigh number, Ra_S , and the temperature Rayleigh number, Ra_{Θ} .



Figure 2. Snapshots of the flow evolution in the experiment IV. Potential density is shown on the x-z face (at y = -0.035) and the horizontal plane in the middle of the domain. Vertical velocity is shown on the y-z face (at x = -0.035) with the depth-averaged vertical velocity at the top (z = 0) of the domain.

in selecting an appropriate turbulent diffusivity, which is highly variable throughout the high-latitude oceans (e.g. Rippeth *et al.* (2015) for the Arctic Ocean). Further, we would also miss out on capturing scales of motion down to the molecular level if not resolving down to the molecular value for temperature.

The initial state for our experiments has two layers of equal thickness. The salinity and temperature are uniform within each layer but for a small amount of noise in the salinity field about the interface, $\mathcal{O}(2 \times 10^{-4} \text{g kg}^{-1})$, seeded to kick off turbulent motion (see figure 2 at time t = 0). Across all experiments we set the same initial salinity and temperature in the deeper layer $-S = 34.7 \text{g kg}^{-1}$ and $\Theta = 0.5 \text{ °C}$. To investigate the effect of cabbeling, five experiments were run each with different $S - \Theta$





Figure 3. Initial conditions for the experiments run in this study in $S - \Theta$ space. The deep water properties are fixed across all experiments (red circle) and the shallow water properties (coloured markers) vary so we can determine if convection can be triggered once the system is unstable to cabbeling (i.e. once the shallow water properties lie in the wedge between the dash-dot and solid grey lines). For the $S - \Theta$ values of the shallow water see table 1.

properties in the shallower layer. The initial conditions can be seen in $S - \Theta$ space in figure 3 with the values set in the shallow layer reported in table 1. Table 1 also shows relevant non-dimensional parameters for the experiments: diffusive density ratio, R_{ρ} , salinity and temperature Rayleigh numbers, $Ra_S = g\beta \Delta S H^3 / \nu \kappa$ and $Ra_{\Theta} = g\alpha \Delta \Theta H^3 / \nu \kappa$ respectively. We have used equation (1) from McDougall (1981) for the density ratio and the expressions for $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ on page 93 of McDougall (1981) to calculate the Rayleigh numbers. These expressions are more appropriate than evaluating α and β at midpoint salinity and temperature when the equation of state is nonlinear.

The initial salinity and temperature values used in our experiments are based on observations from the Weddell sea reported in Fofonoff (1957). They are broadly representative of high latitude upper ocean conditions – near freezing surface water atop deeper warm salty water. The cold conditions at high latitudes are ideal for cabbeling because the dependence of density on temperature becomes increasingly nonlinear as seawater approaches its freezing point (Roquet *et al.* (2022)), and temperature inversions often form between the surface and deeper waters there.

As in table 1, the initial conditions can be broken up into: statically stable, stable to cabbeling (I, II), statically stable, unstable to cabbeling (III, IV), and statically unstable (V). Experiment I has uniform temperature over the domain so that the density is only determined by the salinity scalar field. In I, a mixture of water parcels cannot exceed the maximum density of its parent waters, so we expect no instability to develop after the initial noise is dissipated. The initial conditions in experiment IV set a difference in both salinity and temperature between the two layers. Here, we expect to see a cabbeling instability develop at the interface of the two layers after a small amount of mixing has taken place. By setting the same initial density difference across the interface in experiments I and IV, we have a way of comparing the effect of cabbeling on marginally stable profiles without using a linear equation of state as this would change other aspects of the flow field.

Experiments II and III provide us with more information about when cabbeling can trigger, and its ability to sustain, an instability. Experiment II has $S - \Theta$ properties in the

shallow water which place it on the theoretical limit for stability to cabbeling suggested in Fofonoff (1957) (see figure 3). The $S - \Theta$ properties for experiment III place it on the midpoint between the tangent and isopycnal to the deep water. Similar to IV, this initial condition is unstable to cabbeling, giving us a way to compare how convection evolves when the initial state is unstable to cabbeling to different degrees. Experiment V is statically unstable, so we are able to compare any cabbeling driven convection to convection that is also driven by gravitational instability. Note that experiment V is also influenced by cabbeling, since, when the deep and shallow waters mix, all the mixed water is denser than both of its parent waters.

4. Results

In experiments I and II, the initial state is stable to cabbeling, meaning that after an initial enhancement in mixing due to the random noise in the salinity field, the salinity tracer mixes at approximately its parameterised value (see figure 5*b*). In experiments III and IV, the initial state is statically stable but unstable to cabbeling, leading to dense water forming at the interface after some mixing has taken place – see the snapshot at t = 3 min from experiment IV in figure 2. This instability then develops and begins to drive turbulent motion that eventually is present in the entire bottom layer (see the water column at times t = 13 min and t = 24 min in figure 2). Experiment V is statically unstable so we see convection immediately driven by the presence of a gravitational instability (again see figure 5*b*).

4.1. Density evolution

For cabbeling to form an instability from an initially gravitationally stable state, the maximum density of the mixed water, $\rho_{max} = \max\{\rho_{mixed water}\}$, must be denser than the deep water

$$\rho_{max} - \rho_{deep} > 0. \tag{4.1}$$

In our closed system, the density of the mixed water is constrained to a maximum along the straight line connecting the shallow and deep waters in $(S - \Theta)$ space – an example of this is the magenta dot in figure 1*b*. Hence, ρ_{max} will be a linear combination of the initial salinity and temperature values

$$\rho_{max} = \max\{\rho \left(S_{deep} + a\Delta S, \Theta_{deep} + a\Delta\Theta, p_0 \right) : a \in [0, 1] \}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\Delta S = (S_{shallow} - S_{deep})$, $\Delta \Theta = (\Theta_{shallow} - \Theta_{deep})$, p_0 is a reference pressure, taken to be 0 dbar in our case, and *a* is a parameter indicating the relative proportion of shallow and deep waters in a given mixture. We estimate (4.2) by computing the density along the straight line connecting the initial salinity and temperature of the two layers and taking the maximum.

Figure 4(*a*) shows the theoretical maximum density (red curve) along with the density attained at the interface at the first saved snapshot, t = 1 min, for experiments II–V (coloured markers). We calculate (4.2) with $S_{deep} = 34.7 \text{g kg}^{-1}$, $\Theta_{deep} = 0.5 \text{ °C}$, $\Delta \Theta = -2$, as we have in experiments II–V, over a range of values for ΔS with $p_0 = 0$. Note we have not included experiment I because it has a different $\Delta \Theta$. We clearly see that (4.2) is a very accurate prediction for the maximum density that is attained due to cabbeling in our experiments. Further, in experiment II, which is stable to cabbeling, we see that the maximum density does not exceed the deep water density whilst in experiments III and IV, which are statically stable but unstable to cabbeling, the maximum density is greater than the initial deep water density. This supports the hypothesis from Fofonoff (1957) for the onset of convection due to cabbeling and suggests that while the system is unstable to



Figure 4. (a) Mixed water density at the model interface at t = 1 min for experiments II–V (coloured markers) and theoretical maximum density (red line) calculated using (4.2). Experiments II–V have the same initial S_{deep} , Θ_{deep} and $\Delta\Theta$, so here ρ_{max} becomes a function of the initial ΔS . (b) Horizontally averaged density profiles during experiment IV with the theoretical maximum density for this experiment. In both panels the potential density is presented as an anomaly from 1000 kg m⁻³, i.e. $\sigma'_0 = \sigma_0 - 1000$.

cabbeling, mixing at the interface of the shallow and deep water will transform water to the theorised maximum density to sustain convection. Eventually, in a closed system, the majority of deep water, with the requisite amount of shallow water, will be brought to the maximum density at which point the system will be stable to cabbeling.

To investigate the density evolution, figure 4(b) shows horizontally averaged density profiles at various times during experiment IV, and (4.2). The profile at t = 1 min, shows mixing has caused the density at the interface to attain the density maximum. As the simulation runs, the cabbeling instability drives rapid mixing at the migrating interface, transforming the mixture of shallow and deep water to the density maximum. There also appears to be a regime shift at t = 400 min, where even though not all the deep water has been transformed to the maximum density, the nature of the mixing at the interface appears to be more diffuse than convection-driven, indicating the system is now stable to cabbeling.

4.2. Effective diffusivity

To further investigate the apparent regime shift from cabbeling-driven convection to diffusion, we compute the effective diffusivity for the salinity tracer in all experiments using (2.1). Figure 5(*a*) shows the horizontally averaged effective diffusivity at each depth for experiment IV. The cabbeling instability sustains high diffusivity in much of the deeper layer until $t \approx 200$ min. The diffusivity in the deeper layer then begins to decrease until $t \approx 400$ min after which point cabbeling instabilities appear to be mixed away supporting what we inferred from the density evolution in this experiment (figure 4*b*). Note, the high diffusivity at the very beginning of the simulation at the top and bottom of the domain in figure 5(*a*) is the initial salinity noise being mixed away in the sorted horizontally averaged salinity profile.

Until approximately t = 100 min in experiment III and t = 200 min in experiment IV, cabbeling driven convection leads to an average effective diffusivity of $\overline{\kappa_{eff}} \approx 1 \times 10^{-3}$ m² s⁻¹, two orders of magnitude greater than the value thought to characterise the global-averaged diapycnal diffusivity above 1000 m (Waterhouse *et al.* 2014) and similar in magnitude to experiment V which is statically unstable (see figure 5b). This suggests that being more unstable to cabbeling leads to longer periods of cabbeling driven convection



Figure 5. (a) Effective diffusivity for the horizontally averaged salinity field, calculated using (2.1), for experiment IV. (b) Depth-averaged effective diffusivity for the horizontally averaged salinity field from all experiments.

rather than higher diffusivity. After $t \approx 300$ min in experiment III and $t \approx 400$ min in experiment IV the average effective diffusivity in the system decreases to approximately 5×10^{-7} m² s⁻¹. This is still five times greater than the parameterised salinity diffusivity, $\kappa_S = 1 \times 10^{-7}$ m² s⁻¹, and experiments I and II that are stable to cabbeling where $\overline{\kappa_{eff}} \approx 1 \times 10^{-7}$ m² s⁻¹, but is an indication that the cabbeling instability is having a reduced impact on mixing.

4.3. Energetics

The turbulent mixing we see in the simulations requires a source of *KE*. In our closed, initially gravitationally stable system, the *APE* reservoir is the only possible source of *KE*. To track the effect cabbeling has on the *APE*, we compute the *PE* using (2.2) and determine the *BPE* as in Carpenter *et al.* (2012*a*) by reshaping and sorting the three-dimensional potential density field (referenced to 0 dbar) into a monotonically increasing array and defining a height coordinate which has the same number of elements as the sorted array over the vertical extent of our domain. Again, due to the depth range we are focusing on, the thermobaric effect is negligible. The *PE* and *BPE* are then referenced to the initial *PE*, *PE*_{t=0}, and non-dimensionalised so

$$\mathcal{PE} = \frac{PE_{t=0} - PE}{PE_{t=0}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{BPE} = \frac{PE_{t=0} - BPE}{PE_{t=0}}, \tag{4.3}$$

with $\mathcal{APE} = \mathcal{PE} - \mathcal{BPE}$.

1011 A17-10



Figure 6. (*a*) Non-dimensional *PE*, *BPE* and *APE* energy reservoirs during experiment IV. (*b*) The time derivative of the non-dimensional energy reservoirs throughout experiment IV.

Figure 6(*a*) shows the time series of the \mathcal{PE} , \mathcal{BPE} and \mathcal{APE} during experiment IV. We see an increase in \mathcal{APE} (red curve in figure 6*a*) at the beginning of the simulation due to cabbeling forming denser waters. Figure 6(*b*) shows the time derivative of the energy reservoirs throughout experiment IV. The positive sign of the \mathcal{APE} time derivative (red curve in figure 6*b*) indicates generation of \mathcal{APE} . In the time period 0 to \approx 20 min we see the most rapid increase in \mathcal{APE} and this is purely due to cabbeling forming denser water. The cabbeling generated \mathcal{APE} is then lost to the \mathcal{KE} and \mathcal{BPE} reservoirs from $t \approx 20$ min onward with intermittent production of \mathcal{APE} as the simulation runs. The time derivative of the \mathcal{APE} production from cabbeling till this point. However, \mathcal{APE} production reduces after t = 200 min.

The production of \mathcal{APE} is reflected in the effective diffusivity for this experiment – rapid convective mixing triggered and sustained by cabbeling producing \mathcal{APE} (until approximately t = 200 min) after which we see a decreasing trend in the effective diffusivity explained by cabbeling no longer producing \mathcal{APE} to sustain further convection. Exceptions to this trend are the three spikes in $\overline{\kappa_{eff}}$ between t = 300 min to 400 min (see figure 5b). The time derivative of the energy reservoirs and $\overline{\kappa_{eff}}$ during t = 250 min to 400 min from experiment IV are shown in figure 7. In figure 7, we see that during $t \approx 260 \text{ min to } 300 \text{ min}$ there is a production of \mathcal{APE} . By the time of the first spike in $\overline{\kappa_{eff}}$ (at $t \approx 310 \text{ min}$) the \mathcal{APE} is again decreasing suggesting some of the \mathcal{APE} produced during $t \approx 260 \text{ min to } 300 \text{ min}$ has been transferred to the \mathcal{KE} reservoir leading to the spike in $\overline{\kappa_{eff}}$ accompanied by a loss of \mathcal{APE} . This suggests cabbeling is contributing to the spikes in $\overline{\kappa_{eff}}$ during t = 300 min to 400 min to \mathcal{APE} followed by spikes in $\overline{\kappa_{eff}}$ during t = 300 min to 400 min to 400 min by producing \mathcal{APE} some of which is converted to \mathcal{KE} .

In experiments I and II, we found that after the initial APE injection (due to the random noise in the salinity field) was lost, there was no change in APE for the rest of the



Figure 7. Time derivative of the energy reservoirs and $\overline{\kappa_{eff}}$ during t = 250 min to 400 min from experiment IV. The left y-axis is the rate of changes in the non-dimensional energy reservoirs and the right y-axis is $\overline{\kappa_{eff}}$.

simulation (i.e. $d_t \mathcal{APE} = 0$, figure not shown). This results in the salinity tracer evolving approximately at its parameterised value (purple line in figure 5b). In experiment V, the initial state is statically unstable hence there is an initial source of *APE* for the *KE* to draw from. This results in high diffusivity occurring immediately in experiment V (see the yellow line figure 5b). Cabbeling still has an effect in experiment V by creating denser water at the interface, thereby generating *APE*. Experiment V differs from experiments III and IV because, even though in all cases cabbeling generates *APE* through densification of the mixed water at the interface, experiments III and IV have no initial *APE*, therefore enhanced mixing only occurs after cabbeling has generated a sufficient amount of *APE*.

5. Discussion

Our results demonstrate that cabbeling can trigger and sustain convection in profiles that are initially gravitationally stable. Whilst a cabbeling instability is present in our initially gravitationally stable experiments (III and IV) we see elevated diffusivity, which is the same order of magnitude as generated by the initially gravitationally unstable profile (experiment V), alongside a production of *APE*.

If cabbeling were having no effect in the global ocean then we would expect to see profiles approaching the static stability limit prior to the onset of convection. Instead the results of Bisits *et al.* (2024) show the cabbeling instability threshold describes well the stability limiting behaviour of profiles in the high-latitude oceans. In figure 8(a), we see that high diffusivity can be generated and sustained from a statically stable initial state (experiments III and IV). This supports the notion of a cabbeling instability threshold by demonstrating that the initial state need not be gravitationally unstable for the onset of convection. Instead, cabbeling can cause the gravitationally stable initial state to become unstable leading to convection. Combined with the results in Bisits *et al.* (2024), this reinforces the important role cabbeling may play in the high-latitude oceans.

The static density difference, $\Delta \rho$, between two waters with distinct $S - \Theta$ properties cannot predict when a cabbeling instability is present in statically stable profiles. From (4.1) we can define a cabbeling density difference, $\Delta \rho' = \rho_{max} - \rho_{deep}$, such that

$$\Delta \rho' = 0 \quad \text{stable to cabbeling,} \\ \Delta \rho' > 0 \quad \text{unstable to cabbeling,}$$
(5.1)



Figure 8. (a) Time average, indicated by $\langle \rangle_t$, of effective diffusivity ($\overline{\kappa_{eff}}$) during t = 11 min to 300 min of the experiments. Here, the x-axis is $\Delta \rho = \rho_{shallow} - \rho_{deep}$, meaning there is no indication of the profile being unstable to cabbeling. Panel (b) shows the same time average of $\overline{\kappa_{eff}}$ but this time the x-axis is $\Delta \rho' = \rho_{max} - \rho_{deep}$ which is a binary criteria for determining if a profile is unstable to cabbeling (equation (5.1)).

providing a binary distinction for the cabbeling instability. Panel (b) in figure 8 shows the time mean effective diffusivity for salinity, $\langle \overline{\kappa_{eff}} \rangle_t$, during t = 11 min to 300 min against the initial cabbeling density difference for all experiments. We see that that the stable to cabbeling experiments (I and II) have $\Delta \rho' = 0$, hence no instability can form from cabbeling. As a result we see no enhancement of $\overline{\kappa_{eff}}$. The statically stable, unstable to cabbeling experiments (III and IV) have $\Delta \rho' > 0$ and we see that elevated diffusivity is sustained in the time period t = 11 min to 300 min in those experiments. Using (5.1) for investigating the stability of profiles to cabbeling may yield further insight into the role of cabbeling in the global ocean.

Our results suggest that the cabbeling instability needs to be taken into account when simulating convection and included in models of energetic pathways. Typically, global ocean and coupled climate models employ convective adjustment schemes to diagnose, and instantaneously mix away gravitational instabilities. Therefore, these schemes do not take into account how a cabbeling instability can trigger and sustain convection when water columns are gravitationally stable. To accurately simulate convection in regions where the conditions for cabbeling are prime, e.g. at high latitudes, parameterisations may need to be adjusted to factor in the cabbeling instability.

Current models of energetic pathways, e.g. Winters *et al.* (1995); Hughes, Hogg & Griffiths (2009), define *APE* as gravitational potential energy relative to the lowest possible energy state under adiabatic redistribution (i.e. relative to the *BPE*). This

J.I. Bisits, J.D. Zika and T. Sohail

definition means that in a closed system, mixing can only reduce *APE* by transferring energy to the *BPE*. However, our simulations demonstrate that mixing leads to a production of *APE* while a cabbeling instability is present. Adapting models of energetic pathways to account for nonlinear processes, by for example, redefining *APE* or *BPE*, is crucial for increased understanding of the energetics of mixing in the ocean.

The simulations run in this study are designed to focus on cabbeling. However, there are other nonlinear and small-scale processes that impact mixing and energetics in the ocean. In particular, future work may consider understanding the impact and interplay of thermobaricity and/or double diffusive instabilities, yielding a more complete picture of fine-scale ocean mixing, energetics and dynamics. Regardless of the domain size in our experiment setup, cabbeling will lead to denser water than the deep water forming at the interface of the two layers, and enhanced mixing rates, from initial conditions that are unstable to cabbeling. That being said, changes in domain size and aspect ratio may lead to different flow structure thereby influencing our transport diagnostics, however, this is not something we investigate in this study (see Wang *et al.* 2020 for how domain aspect ratio influences flow structure in Rayleigh Bernard convection).

6. Conclusion

In this work, we have simulated convection triggered by density production due to cabbeling in a direct numerical simulation for the first time. We have demonstrated the impact of this 'cabbeling instability' on gravitationally stable vertical profiles. We show the significant influence cabbeling has on mixing rates and the energetics of mixing, and discuss the implications of these findings on global ocean modelling and observations.

In our cabbeling experiments, after an initial perturbation, mixing is enhanced until the fluid reaches a state where cabbeling can no longer destabilise the water column – that is, until the system becomes stable to cabbeling. This means the majority of the deep water in the two-layer system transforms to a predicted maximum density. We find that densification from cabbeling creates a source of gravitational APE which drives turbulent motion and generation of KE without any external forcing and continues to do so until the system is stable to cabbeling. This suggests either an exception to the rule that mixing always decreases the APE in a closed system, or that the definition of APE or BPE should be modified to account for nonlinear changes in density with mixing. These results highlight the importance of the cabbeling instability in both high-latitude ocean modelling and mixing, and emphasise the need to reconsider energy budgets to account for the influence of cabbeling instability on energy reservoirs.

Acknowledgements. This research was undertaken with the assistance of resources from the National Computational Infrastructure (NCI Australia), an NCRIS enabled capability supported by the Australian Government. We also wish to thank B. Gayen, T. McDougall and I. Conde for their discussions and insights during the course of this study. We also wish to thank three anonymous reviewers whose feedback significantly improved this study.

Funding. The authors acknowledge support from the Australian Research Council, grant number SR200100008 (J.I.B., T.S. and J.D.Z.). This research has been supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program (RTP) Scholarship (J.I.B.).

Declaration of interests. The authors report no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement. The code used to produce all model output, analysis and figures in this study can be found in the public code repository https://github.com/jbisits/CabbelingExperiments. Analysis data files used to produce the figures can be found at doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.28012616



Figure 9. Time series of the minimum local Batchelor scale in experiment V for the first 50 min. The orange dots indicate the saved snapshots where the local minimum Batchelor scale is not resolved.

Appendix A.

In table 1, we report the minimum space–time value for the local Batchelor length (Ba) during each experiment. Provided our grid resolution is less than the minimum Batchelor length (i.e. $\Delta x < Ba$, $\Delta y < Ba$ and $\Delta z < Ba$) the experiment will resolve the Batchelor scale at all points in space and time.

The horizontal and vertical resolution in experiment I is 2 mm with a Batchelor length of 13.83 mm giving us sufficient resolution to resolve the Batchelor scale at all points in space and time in experiment I. The horizontal and vertical resolution in experiments II–V is 0.6 mm. For experiments II–IV this again gives us sufficient resolution to resolve the local Batchelor scale at all points in space and time (see table 1 for the Batchelor length in these experiments). In experiment V we find the minimum local Batchelor scale is not resolved between t = 6 min to 10 min and t = 13 min to 17 min (see figure 9). We still have a closed energy budget (see below), so results from t = 6 min to 17 min are reported with confidence that the DNS solution converges even though we are slightly under resolving the Batchelor scale during this time.

To achieve a closed energy budget in our DNS experiments we require the production and dissipation of kinetic energy to balance (Gayen et al. 2014). Within our closed system, changes in the volume integrated (*KE*) reservoir are due to turbulent kinetic energy dissipation, ε , and the volume integrated buoyancy flux (which is the production of *KE*). Therefore, to achieve a closed energy budget we require

$$\frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} K E = \int_V b w \mathrm{d}V - \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_0},\tag{A1}$$

where ρ_0 is the reference density used in the simulations. To determine if (A1) is satisfied, we compute each term in (A1) individually. Figure 10 shows that, qualitatively the energy budget is balanced for all experiments. The titles in each panel also report the mean absolute error (MAE) between the left- and right-hand sides of (A1),

$$MAE = \left\langle \left| \frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{d}{dt} KE - \left(\int_V bw dV - \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_0} \right) \right| \right\rangle_t.$$
(A2)

The closure of our energy budget and resolution sufficient to resolve the Batchelor scale, show how we satisfy the standard convergence criteria for DNS solutions (Gayen et al. 2014).





Figure 10. Energy budget for the five simulations in this study. The blue line is the left-hand side of (A1) and the dashed orange line is the right-hand side of (A1). The dimensions of the y-label are Watts/ ρ_0 which in our case is m⁵s⁻³.

REFERENCES

BISITS, J.I., ZIKA, J.D. & EVANS, D.G. 2024 Does cabbeling shape the thermohaline structure of highlatitude oceans? J. Phys. Oceanogr. 54 (12), 2419–2430.

CARPENTER, J.R., SOMMER, T. & WÜEST, A. 2012a Simulations of a double-diffusive interface in the diffusive convection regime. J. Fluid Mech. 711, 411–436.

CARPENTER, J.R., SOMMER, T. & WÜEST, A. 2012b Stability of a double-diffusive interface in the diffusive convection regime. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 42 (5), 840–854.

1011 A17-16

- DANISCH, S. & KRUMBIEGEL, J. 2021 Makie.jl: flexible high-performance data visualization for Julia. J. Open Source Softw. 6 (65), 3349.
- FOFONOFF, N.P. 1957 Some properties of sea water influencing the formation of antarctic bottom water. *Deep* Sea Res. 4, 32–35.
- FOSTER, T.D. 1972 An analysis of the cabbeling instability in sea water. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 2 (3), 294-301.
- GAYEN, B., GRIFFITHS, R.W. & HUGHES, G.O. 2014 Stability transitions and turbulence in horizontal convection. J. Fluid Mech. 751, 698–724.
- GILLE, S.T. 2004 How nonlinearities in the equation of state of seawater can confound estimates of steric sea level change. J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans 109 (C3), C03005.
- GROESKAMP, S., ABERNATHEY, R. P. & KLOCKER, A. 2016 Water mass transformation by cabbeling and thermobaricity. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **43** (20), 10,835–10,845.
- GUTHRIE, J.D., FER, I. & MORISON, J.H. 2017 Thermohaline staircases in the amundsen basin: possible disruption by shear and mixing. J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans 122 (10), 7767–7782.
- HARCOURT, R.R. 2005 Thermobaric cabbeling over Maud Rise: theory and large eddy simulation. *Prog. Oceanogr.* 67 (1–2), 186–244.
- HOLMES, R.M., ZIKA, J.D., GRIFFIES, S.M., HOGG, A.Mc C., KISS, A.E. & ENGLAND, M.H. 2021 The geography of numerical mixing in a suite of global ocean models. J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst. 13 (7), 1–35.
- HUGHES, G.O., HOGG, A.M.C. & GRIFFITHS, R.W. 2009 Available potential energy and irreversible mixing in the meridional overturning circulation. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 39 (12), 3130–3146.
- IUDICONE, D., MADES, G., BLANKE, B. & SPEICH, S. 2008 The role of southern ocean surface forcings and mixing in the global conveyor. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 38 (7), 1377–1400.
- KASAJIMA, Y. & JOHANNESSEN, T. 2009 Role of cabbeling in water densification in the greenland basin. *Tech. Rep.* Ocean Science.
- KLOCKER, A., GARABATO, A.C.N., ROQUET, F., DE LAVERGNE, C. & RINTOUL, S.R. 2023 Generation of the internal pycnocline in the subpolar southern ocean by wintertime sea ice melting. *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans* **128** (3), e2022JC019113.
- KLOCKER, A. & MCDOUGALL, T.J. 2010 Influence of the nonlinear equation of state on global estimates of dianeutral advection and diffusion. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 40 (8), 1690–1709.
- MA, Y. & PELTIER, W.R. 2021 Parametrization of irreversible diapycnal diffusivity in salt-fingering turbulence using dns. J. Fluid Mech. 911, A9.
- MCDOUGALL, T.J. 1981 Double-diffusive convection with a nonlinear equation of state. part ii. laboratory experiments and their interpretation. *Prog. Oceanogr.* **10** (2), 91–121.
- MCDOUGALL, T.J. 1987 Thermobaricity, cabbeling, and water-mass conversion. J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans 92 (C5), 5448–5464.
- MIDDLETON, L., VREUGDENHIL, C.A., HOLLAND, P.R. & TAYLOR, J.R. 2021 Numerical simulations of melt-driven double-diffusive fluxes in a turbulent boundary layer beneath an ice shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 51 (2), 403–418.
- NYCANDER, J., HIERONYMUS, M. & ROQUET, F. 2015 The nonlinear equation of state of sea water and the global water mass distribution. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **42** (18), 7714–7721.
- RAMADHAN, A., WAGNER, G., HILL, C., CAMPIN, J.-M., CHURAVY, V., BESARD, T., SOUZA, A., EDELMAN, A., FERRARI, R. & MARSHALL, J. 2020 Oceananigans.jl: fast and friendly geophysical fluid dynamics on GPUs. J. Open Source Softw. 5 (53), 2018.
- RIPPETH, T.P., LINCOLN, B.J., LENN, Y.-D., GREEN, J.A.M., SUNDFJORD, A. & BACON, S. 2015 Tidemediated warming of arctic halocline by atlantic heat fluxes over rough topography. *Nat. Geosci.* 8 (3), 191–194.
- ROQUET, F., FERREIRA, D., CANEILL, R., SCHLESINGER, D. & MADEC, G. 2022 Unique thermal expansion properties of water key to the formation of sea ice on earth. *Sci. Adv.* **8** (46), 793.
- ROQUET, F., MADEC, G., MCDOUGALL, T.J. & BARKER, P.M. 2015 Accurate polynomial expressions for the density and specific volume of seawater using the TEOS-10 standard. *Ocean Model.* 90, 29–43.
- SHEN, C. Y. 1997 Numerical simulation of two-dimensional salt fingers. J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans 102 (C10), 23131–23143.
- SOHAIL, T., GAYEN, B. & MCC. HOGG, A. 2020 The dynamics of mixed layer deepening during open-ocean convection. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 50 (6), 1625–1641.
- SOHAIL, T., GAYEN, B. & HOGG, A. M. C. 2018 Convection enhances mixing in the southern ocean. Geophys. Res. Lett. 45 (9), 4198–4207.
- URAKAWA, L.S. & HASUMI, H. 2012 Eddy-resolving model estimate of the cabbeling effect on the water mass transformation in the southern ocean. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 42 (8), 1288–1302.
- URAKAWA, L.S., SAENZ, J.A. & HOGG, A.M. 2013 Available potential energy gain from mixing due to the nonlinearity of the equation of state in a global ocean model. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **40** (10), 2224–2228.

- VREUGDENHIL, C.A., GAYEN, B. & GRIFFITHS, R.W. 2016 Mixing and dissipation in a geostrophic buoyancy-driven circulation. J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans 121 (8), 6076–6091.
- WANG, Q., CHONG, K.L., STEVENS, R.J.A.M., VERZICCO, R. & LOHSE, D. 2020 From zonal flow to convection rolls in Rayleigh-Bénard convection with free-slip plates. J. Fluid Mech. 905, A21.
- WATERHOUSE, A.F., et al. 2014 Global patterns of diapycnal mixing from measurements of the turbulent dissipation rate. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 44 (7), 1854–1872.
- WILSON, N.J., VREUGDENHIL, C.A., GAYEN, B. & HESTER, E.W. 2023 Double-diffusive layer and meltwater plume effects on ice face scalloping in phase-change simulations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 50 (17), e2023GL104396.
- WINTERS, K.B., LOMBARD, P.N., RILEY, J.J. & D'ASARO, E.A. 1995 Available potential energy and mixing in density-stratified fluids. J. Fluid Mech. 289, 115–128.
- WITTE, E. 1902 Zur theorie der stromkabbelungen. Gaea Koln 38, 484-487.