## PRIME IDEALS IN MATRIX RINGS

# by ARTHUR D. SANDS

(Received 18th October, 1955)

1. Introduction. Let R be a ring and let  $R_n$  be the complete ring of  $n \times n$  matrices with coefficients from R.

If A is any subset of R, we denote by  $A_n$  the subset of  $R_n$  consisting of the matrices of  $R_n$  with coefficients from A.

If R is a ring with a unit element, the ideals  $\uparrow$  of  $R_n$  are the sets  $A_n$  corresponding to the ideals A of R. But if R has no unit element, this is not, in general, the case. It is however possible to establish for any ring R, with or without a unit element, results corresponding to the above one for two special types of ideals, namely, prime ideals and prime maximal ideals. Thus in § 2 it is shown that the prime and prime maximal ideals of  $R_n$  are the sets  $A_n$  corresponding to the prime and prime maximal ideals A of R.

In § 3 it is shown that if M is the M-radical of R, as defined by M. Nagata ((2), p. 338), then the M-radical of  $R_n$  is  $M_n$ .

In §4 it is shown that those maximal ideals of  $R_n$  which are of the form  $A_n$  are the sets  $A_n$  corresponding to the *prime* maximal ideals A of R, i.e., they are the prime maximal ideals of  $R_n$ .

An ideal P in a general ring R is said to be prime if the following condition is satisfied; if A and B are ideals of R such that  $AB \subseteq P$ , then  $A \subseteq P$  or  $B \subseteq P$ . An ideal of R is said to be semi-prime if it is an intersection of prime ideals of R. In (1), theorem 1, N. H. McCoy gives a set of alternative necessary and sufficient conditions that an ideal should be prime; we shall make use of several of these conditions in our proofs. We shall also make use of the fact that any ring R can be embedded in an over-ring (1, R) such that R is an ideal in (1, R), and (1, R) has a unit element. We shall also use a result of M. Nagata ((2), remark 2, p. 333) which states that if S is an ideal in the ring R and A is a semi-prime ideal in the ring S, then A is an ideal in R.<sup>‡</sup>

Throughout the paper we shall denote by  $[r]^{i,j}$  the matrix which has r as its (i, j)th coefficient and has all its other coefficients equal to zero.

2. Prime and prime maximal ideals in R.

THEOREM 1. The prime ideals of  $R_n$  are the sets  $A_n$  corresponding to the prime ideals A of R.

**Proof:** We first show that if A is a prime ideal of R, then  $A_n$  is a prime ideal of  $R_n$ . If A is any ideal of R, it is easily seen that  $A_n$  is an ideal of  $R_n$ . Let A be a prime ideal of R. Let  $[a_{ij}]$  and  $[b_{ij}]$  be matrices of  $R_n$  such that  $[a_{ij}]R_n[b_{ij}] \subseteq A_n$ . Suppose that  $[a_{ij}] \notin A_n$ . Let  $a_{kl}$  be a coefficient of  $[a_{ij}]$  which is not contained in A. Let r be any element of R and  $b_{pq}$ any coefficient from  $[b_{ij}]$ . Then  $[a_{ij}] [r]^{l, p}[b_{ij}]$  is a matrix of  $[a_{ij}]R_n[b_{ij}]$  which has the element

† Throughout this paper, ideal will mean two-sided ideal.

<sup>t</sup> For the sake of completeness we give the proof of this result.

Since a semi-prime ideal is an intersection of prime ideals, it is sufficient to prove the corresponding result for prime ideals.

Let S be an ideal in a ring R and A a prime ideal in the ring S. The ideal in R generated by A is A + RA + AR + RAR. Since S is an ideal of R containing A, S contains A + RA + AR + RAR. This ideal of R is a fortiori an ideal of S; hence  $S(A + RA + AR + RAR)S \subseteq SAS \subseteq A$ . But A is a prime ideal in S. Therefore  $A + RA + AR + RAR \subseteq A$ . It follows that A is an ideal in R.

This completes the proof.

#### ARTHUR D. SANDS

 $a_{ki}rb_{pq}$  as its (k, q)th coefficient. But  $[a_{ij}]R_n[b_{ij}] \subseteq A_n$ ; therefore  $a_{ki}rb_{pq} \epsilon A$ . This is true for each element r in R; hence  $a_{kl}Rb_{pq} \subseteq A$ . But A is a prime ideal and  $a_{kl} \notin A$ ; it follows by condition (3) of (1), theorem 1, that  $b_{pq} \epsilon A$ . This is true for each coefficient of  $[b_{ij}]$ ; hence  $[b_{ij}] \epsilon A_n$ . Thus, if  $[a_{ij}]R_n[b_{ij}] \subseteq A_n$  and  $[a_{ij}] \notin A_n$ , it follows that  $[b_{ij}] \epsilon A_n$ . Therefore  $A_n$  is a prime ideal in  $R_n$ .

We now show that every prime ideal of  $R_n$  is of this form. Let  $A^*$  be a prime ideal in  $R_n$ . We denote by A the set of elements of R which are coefficients in matrices of  $A^*$ .

*R* is an ideal in the ring (1, R). Therefore  $R_n$  is an ideal in  $(1, R)_n$ .  $A^*$  is a prime ideal in  $R_n$ . Hence, by the result of Nagata,  $A^*$  is an ideal in  $(1, R)_n$ . But (1, R) has a unit element. It follows that A is an ideal in (1, R) and that  $A^* = A_n$ . But the elements of A are contained in R; hence A is an ideal in R.

It remains to show that A is prime in R. Let a and b be elements of R such that  $aRb \subseteq A$ . Then  $[a]^{1,1}R_n[b]^{1,1} \subseteq A_n = A^*$ . But  $A^*$  is prime in  $R_n$ ; therefore  $[a]^{1,1}$  or  $[b]^{1,1}$  is contained in  $A_n$ . Hence a or b is contained in A. It follows that A is a prime ideal in R.

This completes the proof.

Since an ideal A in R is different from an ideal B in R if and only if  $A_n$  is different from  $B_n$ , it follows that the mapping  $A \rightarrow A_n$  sets up a one-to-one correspondence between the prime ideals of R and of  $R_n$ .

COROLLARY. The semi-prime ideals of  $R_n$  are the sets  $A_n$  corresponding to the semi-prime ideals A of R.

**THEOREM 2.** The prime maximal ideals of  $R_n$  are the sets  $A_n$  corresponding to the prime maximal ideals A of R.

**Proof:** We first show that the prime maximal ideals of  $R_n$  are of this form. Let  $A^*$  be a prime maximal ideal of  $R_n$  and let A be defined as in theorem 1. Then, since  $A^*$  is prime, it follows from theorem 1 that A is a prime ideal in R and that  $A^* = A_n$ . Let B be an ideal of R which strictly contains A. Then  $B_n$  strictly contains  $A_n$ . Hence, by the maximality of  $A_n$ ,  $B_n = R_n$ . Therefore B = R. It follows that A is a maximal ideal of R.

It remains to show that every ideal of this form in  $R_n$  is a prime maximal ideal. Let A be a prime maximal ideal of R. Then, by theorem 1,  $A_n$  is a prime ideal of  $R_n$ . Let  $B^*$  be an ideal of  $R_n$  which strictly contains  $A_n$ . Then the set B strictly contains the ideal A. Let b be an element of B which is not an element of A. Then, since A is prime, RbR is not contained in A; for  $RbR \subseteq A$  implies that  $bRbR \subseteq A$ . By condition (4) of (1), theorem 1, it follows that  $bR \subseteq A$  and hence that  $bRb \subseteq A$ . But from this it follows that  $b \in A$ . Thus RbR is not contained in A. But RbR is an ideal and A is a maximal ideal. Hence A + RbR = R. Thus if r is any element of R, there exist an element a of A and elements  $s_k$  and  $r_k$  of R such that  $r = a + \sum_k b r_k$ .

Let  $[r_{ij}]$  be any matrix of  $R_n$ . Then for each pair of integers i, j there exist an element  $a_{ij}$  of A and elements  $s_{ijk}$  and  $r_{ijk}$  of R such that  $r_{ij} = a_{ij} + \sum_{k} s_{ijk} b r_{ijk}$ . Thus

$$[r_{ij}] = [a_{ij} + \sum_{k} s_{ijk} br_{ijk}] = [a_{ij}] + \sum_{i,j} [\sum_{k} s_{ijk} br_{ijk}]^{i,j}.$$

Let  $b^*$  be a matrix of  $B^*$  with b as a coefficient, say in the (p, q)th position. Then  $[r_{ij}] = [a_{ij}] + \sum_{i,j \ k} \sum_{k} [s_{ijk}]^{i, \ p} b^* [r_{ijk}]^{q, j}$ . But  $B^*$  is an ideal of  $R_n$ ; therefore  $[s_{ijk}]^{i, \ p} b^* [r_{ijk}]^{q, j}$  is an element of  $B^*$ . Now  $[a_{ij}]$  is an element of  $A_n$  and so of  $B^*$ . It follows that  $[r_{ij}]$  is an element of  $B^*$ . But this is true for each matrix  $[r_{ij}]$  of  $R_n$ . Therefore  $B^* = R_n$ . Hence  $A_n$  is a maximal ideal and so a prime maximal ideal of  $R_n$ .

This completes the proof.

3. The M-radical of a matrix ring  $R_n$ .

M. Nagata defined the *M*-radical of a ring *R* to be the intersection of all prime ideals *A* of *R* such that R/A is a simple ring. It is easily seen that this is just the intersection of all prime maximal ideals of R.<sup>†</sup> We now use theorem 2 to show that the usual relationship between the radical of a ring *R* and the radical of  $R_n$  holds for the *M*-radical.

THEOREM 3. If M is the M-radical of a ring R, then the M-radical  $M(R_n)$  of  $R_n$  is equal to  $M_n$ .

**Proof:** Let  $A_{\alpha}$  be the complete set of prime maximal ideals of R. Then, by theorem 2,  $(A_{\alpha})_n$  is the complete set of prime maximal ideals of  $R_n$ . Hence

$$M(R_n) = \cap (A_\alpha)_n = (\cap A_\alpha)_n = M_n.$$

4. Maximal ideals in  $R_n$ .

**LEMMA.** Let A be a maximal ideal in a ring R. Then A is a prime ideal if and only if  $R^2$  is not contained in A.

*Proof:* Let A be prime. Then  $R^2 \subseteq A$  implies that  $R \subseteq A$ . This is not so, since A is maximal. Hence  $R^2$  is not contained in A.

Conversely, suppose that  $R^2$  is not contained in A. Let B and C be ideals such that  $BC \subseteq A$ . If neither B nor C is contained in A, it follows from the maximality of A that B+A=C+A=R. In this case  $R^2=(B+A)(C+A)\subseteq BC+A\subseteq A$ . But this contradicts the hypothesis that  $R^2$  is not contained in A. Hence either B or C is contained in A. Therefore A is prime.

**THEOREM 4.** Let A be a maximal ideal in a ring R. Then  $A_n$  is a maximal ideal in  $R_n$  if and only if A is a prime ideal in R.

*Proof:* Let A be a prime ideal in R; then, by theorem 2,  $A_n$  is a prime maximal ideal in  $R_n$ .

Conversely, suppose that A is not a prime ideal. Then, by the lemma,  $R^2 \subseteq A$ . Therefore  $R_n^2 \subseteq A_n$ . Let b be an element of R which is not an element of A. Consider the set  $B^*$  of  $R_n$ . consisting of all matrices of the form  $[a_{ij}] + [kb]^{1,1}$ , where  $[a_{ij}]$  is a matrix of  $A_n$  and k is an integer. Then  $B^*$  is strictly contained in  $R_n$  and strictly contains  $A_n$ . Clearly the difference of two matrices of  $B^*$  is a matrix of  $B^*$ . Also

$$R_n B^* \subseteq R_n^2 \subseteq A_n \subseteq B^*$$
 and  $B^* R_n \subseteq R_n^2 \subseteq A_n \subseteq B^*$ .

Therefore  $B^*$  is an ideal in  $R_n$ . Hence  $A_n$  is not a maximal ideal in  $R_n$ .

This completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

(1) N. H. McCoy, Prime Ideals in General Rings, Amer. J. Math., 71, 1949, 823-833.

(2) M. Nagata, On the Theory of Radicals In a Ring, J. Math. Soc. Jap., 3, 1951, 330-344.

### THE UNIVERSITY,

GLASGOW

 $\dagger$  We adopt the convention that the intersection of an empty set of ideals is the whole ring R.