

MOORE SPACES, SEMI-METRIC SPACES AND CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS CONNECTED WITH THEM

C. M. PAREEK

1. Introduction. In [1] Arhangel'skiĭ announced that any σ -paracompact p -space could be mapped onto a Moore space by a perfect map. However Burke [3] recently showed that this is not true in general and he gave an example of a T_2 , locally compact, σ -paracompact space which cannot be mapped onto a Moore space by a perfect map. Therefore the following question is suggested:

Question 1. How can the perfect preimages of Moore spaces be characterized?

In [7] Ponomarev established: "In order that a regular space X be paracompact, it is necessary and sufficient that for every open cover ω of the space X there exists an ω -mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ onto some metric space Y ". This suggests further questions.

Question 2. How can the spaces which admit ω -mappings onto Moore spaces be characterized?

Question 3. How can the spaces which admit ω -mappings onto semi-metric spaces be characterized?

The main aim of this note is to answer the questions raised above.

Definitions of terms not given here can be found in [1]. A regular space in this paper is assumed to be T_1 .

Acknowledgment. I am grateful to Dr. Kaul and Dr. Weston for reading the first draft of the manuscript.

2. ω -mappings and s -paracompact spaces.

Definition 2.1. Let ω be an open cover of a space X . A continuous mapping f from the space X onto some space Y is called an ω -mapping if for each point y in Y , there exists a neighbourhood O_y such that $f^{-1}O_y$ is contained in an element of the cover ω .

Definition 2.2. A topological space X is called s -paracompact if for each open cover \mathcal{U} of X there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of open covers of X such that the following conditions are satisfied:

Received May 21, 1971 and in revised form, September 12, 1972. This research was partially supported by a research associateship at the University of Saskatchewan.

- (a) $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{U}$ and \mathcal{V}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{V}_i for each i ;
- (b) for each x in X there is i_x such that x is in exactly one member of \mathcal{V}_i for all $i > i_x$;
- (c) for each x in X , $A_x = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$ is closed in X .

Definition 2.3. A T_1 -space X is a *semi-metric space* provided that there exists a distance function (or semi-metric) d such that for each (x, y) in $X \times X$, (1) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$; (2) $d(x, y) \geq 0$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ only if $x = y$; and (3) for every $M \subset X$, $\inf\{d(x, y) | y \in M\} = 0$ if and only if x is in the closure of M .

LEMMA 2.1. *If for every open cover ω of the space X there exists an ω -mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ onto a s -paracompact space Y , then X is a s -paracompact space.*

Proof. Let ω be an open cover of the space X . Then by the hypothesis there exists an ω -mapping f of the space X onto some s -paracompact space Y . For each y in Y , let O_y be an open neighbourhood of y such that $f^{-1}O_y$ is contained in some $U \in \omega$. Evidently, $\mathcal{O} = \{O_y | y \in Y\}$ is an open cover of Y . Since Y is s -paracompact, there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of open covers of Y such that (1) $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{O}$ and \mathcal{V}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{V}_i for each i ; (2) given y in Y there is i_y such that $y \in \mathcal{V}_i$ for all $i \geq i_y$, y is exactly in one member of \mathcal{V}_i ; and (3) for each y in Y , $A_y = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{st}(y, \mathcal{V}_i)$ is closed in Y . Let

$$\mathcal{W}_{i+1} = f^{-1}\mathcal{V}_i = \{f^{-1}V | V \in \mathcal{V}_i\} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and $\mathcal{W}_1 = \omega$.

Since \mathcal{V}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{V}_i for each i , \mathcal{W}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{W}_i for each i . Given x in X , there is a unique y in Y for which x belongs to $f^{-1}y$. Also for y in Y there is an i_y such that for all $i \geq i_y$, y is exactly one member of \mathcal{V}_i . By the construction of the sequence $\{\mathcal{W}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, we can conclude that x belongs to exactly one member of \mathcal{W}_i for all $i \geq i_y + 1$ and for any x in X , $A_x = f^{-1}A_{fx}$ is closed. Hence X is a s -paracompact space.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let X be a s -paracompact space. Then for each open cover ω of the space X there exists an ω -mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ onto some semi-metric space Y .*

Proof. Let $\omega = \{W_s | s \in S\}$ be an open cover of an s -paracompact space X . Then there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{W}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of open covers of X satisfying the condition that for each x in X , there is an i_x such that x is in exactly one member of \mathcal{W}_i for $i \geq i_x$, \mathcal{W}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{W}_i for each i and $A_x = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{st}(x, \mathcal{W}_i)$ for each x in X is closed, where $\mathcal{W}_1 = \omega$.

For each i , define $U_i = \bigcup \{W \times W | W \in \mathcal{W}_i\}$. Clearly $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a symmetric collection of subsets of $X \times X$ containing $\Delta = \{(x, x) | x \in X\}$ and $U_{i+1} \subset U_i$ for each i . Define on X an equivalence relation by setting $x \sim y$ if and only if $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i[x]$ for any pair of points $x, y \in X$. It is easy to verify that \sim is an equivalence relation on X . Note that $A_x = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i[x]$ for each x in X .

Define on X a new topology τ by setting a subset G of X to be open if and only if for each x in G , there is an i such that $U_i[x] \subset G$. Denote by X_τ the set X together with the new topology τ . Denote by $Y = X_\tau/\sim$ the quotient space of X_τ . Let $\psi: X \rightarrow X_\tau$ be the identity map and $\Phi: X_\tau \rightarrow Y$ be the quotient map. Now define $f = \Phi \circ \psi$. We claim that f is an ω -mapping of X onto Y and that Y is a semi-metric space.

f is an ω -mapping: Since τ is weaker than the original topology of X , ψ is continuous. Because Φ is the quotient map, it is continuous. Therefore $f = \Phi \circ \psi$ is continuous. That Φ is also an open map is seen as follows. Let U be an open subset of X_τ . To show that ΦU is open, it is enough to show that

$$U^\# = \Phi^{-1}\Phi U = \cup\{A_x|A_x \cap U \neq \emptyset, x \in X\}$$

is open in X_τ where $A_x = \cap_{i=1}^\infty U_i[x]$ for all x in X . If y belongs to $U^\#$, then $A_y \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Let $z \in A_y \cap U$. Then for some i we have $U_i[z] \subset U$, because U is an open subset of X_τ . But $A_y = A_z \subset U_i[z] \subset U$ implies that there is a j such that $y \in U_j[y] \subset U \subset U^\#$. Hence $U^\#$ is open and consequently, Φ is an open map. To each y in Y , assign $O_y = \Phi(\text{int}_\tau U_{i_x}[x])$ where x is such that $fx = y$ and i_x is an index for which x is in exactly one member of \mathcal{W}_{i_x} ; note that x is in the int $U_{i_x}[x]$. Now it is easy to show that $f^{-1}O_y$ is contained in some member of ω . Since y is arbitrary f is an ω -mapping of X onto Y .

Y is a semi-metric space: Define $\mathcal{W}'_i = f\mathcal{W}_i = \{fW|W \in \mathcal{W}_i\}$ for each i . It is easy to see that for each y in Y , $\{\text{st}(y, \mathcal{W}'_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a base for the open sets containing y . Also, \mathcal{W}'_{i+1} refines \mathcal{W}'_i for all i . Since \mathcal{W}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{W}_i for each i .

For $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, let $\alpha(y_1, y_2)$ denote the smallest integer n such that there is no element of \mathcal{W}'_n containing both y_1 and y_2 . If no such integer exists $\alpha(y_1, y_2) = \infty$. Now define $d: Y \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by setting $d(y_1, y_2) = 2^{-\alpha(y_1, y_2)}$ for (y_1, y_2) in $Y \times Y$. Then clearly, for each y, y_1, y_2 in Y , $d(y, y) = 0$ and $d(y_1, y_2) = d(y_2, y_1)$. Also, if $y_1 \neq y_2$, there is an open set U containing one of the points, say y_1 , and not containing y_2 ; since Y is a T_1 -space. Hence there is an n such that $y_1 \in \text{st}(y_1, \mathcal{W}'_n) \subset U$. Since $y_2 \notin U$ implies $y_2 \notin \text{st}(y_1, \mathcal{W}'_n)$, we have $d(y_1, y_2) \geq 1/2^n > 0$.

We note here that

$$\{y|d(y_0, y) < 1/2^n\} = s(y_0; 1/2^n) = \text{st}(y_0, \mathcal{W}'_n)$$

for each y_0 in Y and each n . For y is in $s(y_0; 1/2^n)$ if and only if $d(y_0, y) < 1/2^n$ if and only if $\alpha(y_0, y) > n$ if and only if there exists W in \mathcal{W}'_n such that $y_0, y \in W$, i.e., if and only if $y \in \text{st}(y_0, \mathcal{W}'_n)$. Now let $M \subset Y$. Then $y \in M^-$ if and only if $\text{st}(y, \mathcal{W}'_n) \cap M \neq \emptyset$ for each n if and only if $s(y; 1/2^n) \cap M \neq \emptyset$ for each n , i.e., if and only if $d(y, M) = 0$. Hence Y is a semi-metric space.

LEMMA 2.3. *Every semi-metric space X is s -paracompact.*

Proof. McAuley [5] pointed out that by using a proof analogous to that of Theorem 2 of [2], it follows that given any open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_s|s \in S\}$ of a semi-metric space X there exists a σ -discrete closed refinement $\mathcal{V} = \cup_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{V}_i$,

where $\mathcal{V}_i = \{V_\alpha^i | \alpha \in \Lambda_i\}$ for each i , such that each member of \mathcal{V}_i is contained in some member of \mathcal{V}_{i+1} for each i .

Denote by $U_{\alpha,s}$ a member of \mathcal{U} which contains V_α^i and let $O_\alpha^i = X - \cup\{V_\beta^i | \beta \in \Lambda_i, \alpha \neq \beta\}$. Define

$$\mathcal{W}_{i+1} = \{O_\alpha^i \cap U_{\alpha,s}^i | \alpha \in \Lambda_i\} \cup \{(X - \cup\{V_\alpha^i | \alpha \in \Lambda_i\}) \cap U_s | s \in S\}$$

for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{U}$. Now it is easy to see that the sequence $\{\mathcal{W}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X satisfies (a) $\mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{U}$ and \mathcal{W}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{W}_i for each i ; (b) for each x in X , there is an i_x such that x is in exactly one member of \mathcal{W}_i for all $i \geq i_x$; and (c) for each x in X , $A_x = \cap_{i=1}^\infty \text{st}(x, \mathcal{W}_i)$ is closed in X . Hence X is s -paracompact.

THEOREM 2.4. *A topological space X is s -paracompact if and only if for each open cover ω of X there exists an ω -mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ onto some semi-metric space Y .*

The proof follows from Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3.

3. ω -mappings and Moore spaces.

Definition 3.1. A topological space X is called *d-paracompact* if for each open cover \mathcal{U} of X there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_i = \mathcal{U}$ and \mathcal{V}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{V}_i for each i ;
 - (ii) given i and x in X , there is j (j depending on i and x) and some V in \mathcal{V}_i such that $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_j) \subset V$;
 - (iii) given i and x in X , there is j such that for any y in $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_j)$ there is k_y such that $\text{st}(y, \mathcal{V}_{k_y}) \subset \text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$.
- (One may note that for each x in X , $A_x = \cap_{i=1}^\infty \text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$ is closed in X .)

Definition 3.2. A topological space X is called *developable* if there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X satisfying the condition that for each x in X and any open set U containing x , there is i such that $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i) \subset U$. A regular developable space is called a Moore space.

LEMMA 3.1. *Every developable space X is a d -paracompact space.*

The proof follows immediately from the definition of developable spaces.

LEMMA 3.2. *If for each open cover ω of the space X there exists an ω -mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ onto a d -paracompact space Y , then X is a d -paracompact space.*

The proof is similar to Lemma 2.1.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let X be a d -paracompact space. Then for each open cover ω of the space X there exists an ω -mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ onto some T_1 developable space Y .*

Proof. Let X be a d -paracompact space. Then for each open cover ω of X , there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X satisfying

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_i = \omega$ and \mathcal{V}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{V}_i for each i ;
- (ii) given i and x in X there is a $j > i$ (depending on x and i) and some V in \mathcal{V}_j such that $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_j) \subset V$; and
- (iii) given i and x in X , there is a j such that for any y in $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_j)$, there is a k_y such that $\text{st}(y, \mathcal{V}_{k_y}) \subset \text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$.

Define a new topology τ on X by taking $\{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ as a base for the neighbourhood system at x in X_τ . Denote by X_τ the set X with the new topology τ . Define an equivalence relation \sim on X by setting $x \sim y$ if and only if $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$. Let $Y = X_\tau/\sim$ be the quotient space of X_τ , let $\Phi: X_\tau \rightarrow Y$ be the quotient map, and let $\psi: X \rightarrow X$ be the identity map. Define $f = \Phi \circ \psi$. Then we claim that f is an ω -mapping of X onto Y and Y is a T_1 developable space.

Using a proof analogous to Lemma 2.3 one can show that f is an ω -mapping of X onto Y and that Φ is open.

Y is a developable space: First note that $\Phi^{-1}\Phi \text{int}_\tau A = \text{int}_\tau A$ where A is any subset of X . Define $\mathcal{W}_i = \{\Phi \text{int}_\tau V \mid V \in \mathcal{V}_i\}$ for each i . We claim that $\{\mathcal{W}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a development for Y . Let $y \in Y$ and let U be an open set in Y containing y . Then $f^{-1}y \subset f^{-1}U$, i.e.,

$$(\Phi \circ \psi)^{-1}y \subset (\Phi \circ \psi)^{-1}U \text{ implies } \Phi^{-1}y \subset \Phi^{-1}U.$$

For some x in X , $\Phi^{-1}y = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$. Since $\Phi^{-1}U$ is open and contains $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$ we have $\text{st}(\Phi^{-1}y, \mathcal{V}_i) \subset \Phi^{-1}U$ for some i . Also, it follows from Note [2] that Y is T_1 . Hence the lemma is proved.

THEOREM 3.4. *A topological space X is a d -paracompact space if and only if for each open cover ω of X there exists an ω -mapping of X onto some T_1 developable space.*

The proof follows from Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3.

Remark. In view of Theorem 9 of Bing [2] and Theorem 3.4, it is easy to show that a space X is d -paracompact if and only if for each open cover \mathcal{U} of X there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X satisfying the following properties:

- (a') $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{U}$ and \mathcal{V}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{V}_i for each i ;
- (b') for each x in X there is an i_x such that x is exactly in one member of \mathcal{V}_i for all $i \geq i_x$;
- (c') given i and x in X , there is j such that for any y in $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_j)$ there is a k_y such that $\text{st}(y, \mathcal{V}_{k_y}) \subset \text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$.

LEMMA 3.5. *A topological space X is a regular d -paracompact space if and only if for each open cover \mathcal{U} of X there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{U}$ and \mathcal{V}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{V}_i for each i ;
- (2) given i and x in X , there is j (j depending on i and x) and some V in \mathcal{V}_j such that $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_j)^- \subset V$;

(3) given i and x in X , there is j such that for any y in $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_j)$ there is a k_y such that $\text{st}(y, \mathcal{V}_{k_y}) \subset \text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of a regular d -paracompact space X . Then there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{W}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X satisfying the following properties:

(1') $\mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{U}$, for each i the closure of each member of \mathcal{W}_{i+1} is contained in some member of \mathcal{U} , and \mathcal{W}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{W}_i for each i ;

(2') given i and x in X , there is j (j depending on i and x) and some W in \mathcal{W}_i such that $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{W}_j) \subset W$; and

(3') given i and x in X , there is a j such that for any y in $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{W}_j)$ there is a k_y such that $\text{st}(y, \mathcal{W}_{k_y}) \subset \text{st}(x, \mathcal{W}_i)$.

Now given an integer i_0 assume we can construct a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X satisfying (i) $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{U}$ and \mathcal{V}_{j+1} refines \mathcal{V}_j for each j ; (ii) given $i < i_0$ and x in X , there is a j (depending on i and x) and some V in \mathcal{V}_i such that $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_j) \subset V$; (iii) given j and x in X , there is a l such that for any y in $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_l)$ there is a k_y such that $\text{st}(y, \mathcal{V}_{k_y}) \subset \text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_j)$. Now define $\{\mathcal{V}'_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, a sequence of open covers of X such that $\mathcal{V}'_i = \mathcal{V}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, i_0$ and $\mathcal{V}'_{i_0+j} = \mathcal{W}_{i_0+j+1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ where $\{\mathcal{W}_{i_0+j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of open covers of X satisfying (a') $\mathcal{W}_{i_0+1} = \mathcal{V}_{i_0+1}$ for each i , the closure of members of \mathcal{W}_{i_0+j+1} is contained in some member of \mathcal{V}_{i_0+1} , and \mathcal{W}_{i_0+j+1} refines \mathcal{W}_{i_0+j} ; (b') given i and x in X , there is j (j depending on i and x) and some V in \mathcal{V}'_i such that $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}'_j) \subset V$; (c') given i and $x \in X$, there is a j such that for any y in $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}'_j)$ there is k_y such that $\text{st}(y, \mathcal{V}'_{k_y}) \subset \text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}'_i)$. Hence by the induction there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{W}'_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X satisfying conditions 1, 2 and 3. This proves the lemma.

The converse is trivial.

LEMMA 3.6. *Let X be a regular d -paracompact space. Then for each open cover ω of the space X there exists an ω -mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ onto some Moore space Y .*

Proof. Let X be a regular d -paracompact space. Then by Lemma 3.5 for each open cover ω of X , there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X satisfying (i) $\mathcal{V}_1 = \omega$ and \mathcal{V}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{V}_i for each i ; (ii) given i and x in X there is $j > i$ (j depending on x and i) and some V in \mathcal{V}_i such that $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_j) \subset V$; and (iii) given i and x in X , there is a j such that for any y in $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_j)$, there is k_y such that $\text{st}(y, \mathcal{V}_{k_y}) \subset \text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$.

Define a new topology τ on X by setting $\{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ as a base for the neighbourhood system at x in X . Denote by X_τ the set X with the new topology τ . Define an equivalence relation \sim on X by setting $x \sim y$ if and only if $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$. Let $Y = X_\tau / \sim$ be the quotient space of X_τ , $\Phi: X_\tau \rightarrow Y$ be the quotient map, and $\psi: X \rightarrow X_\tau$ be the identity map. Now define $f = \Phi \circ \psi$. Then as in Lemma 3.3 we can show that f is an ω -mapping of X onto Y and Y is a developable space. It remains to show that Y is regular.

For this, it is enough to show that $\{st(x, \mathcal{V}_i)^-\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a base for the neighbourhood system at x in X in the topology τ .

Let U be an open subset of X relative to τ and let x be in U . Then for some i we have $x \in st(x, \mathcal{V}_i) \subset U$. By condition (ii) on the sequence of covers $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ we have

$$x \in st(x, \mathcal{V}_k)^- \subset V \subset st(x, \mathcal{V}_i) \subset U$$

for some k and V in \mathcal{V}_i . Hence $\{st(x, \mathcal{V}_i)^-\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a base at x in X in the topology τ . Y is obviously T_1 (by the remark in Definition 3.1).

LEMMA 3.7. *If for each open cover ω of the space X there exists an ω -mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ onto a regular d -paracompact space Y , then X is a regular d -paracompact space.*

We leave the proof of Lemma 3.7 to the reader.

THEOREM 3.8. *A topological space X is regular and d -paracompact if and only if for each open cover ω of X there exists an ω -mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ onto some Moore space.*

The proof follows from Lemmas 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7.

4. Perfect mappings and Moore spaces.

Definition 4.1. Let X be a topological space. A *decomposition* of X is a collection \mathcal{A} of nonempty subsets of X such that $X = \cup\{A \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$. A *compact decomposition* of X is a decomposition \mathcal{A} of X such that every member of \mathcal{A} is a compact subset of X .

Definition 4.2. Let X be a topological space, let \mathcal{A} be a decomposition of X and let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . Then \mathcal{U} is called *cover modulo the decomposition \mathcal{A}* of X if for A in \mathcal{A} and U in \mathcal{U} , $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ implies $A \subset U$.

Definition 4.3. Let X be a topological space and let \mathcal{A} be a decomposition of X . Then X is said to have *development modulo a decomposition* provided that there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X satisfying the following properties:

- (a) \mathcal{V}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{V}_i for each i ;
- (b) \mathcal{V}_i is a cover modulo the decomposition \mathcal{A} of X for each i ;
- (c) for each A in \mathcal{A} and any open set U of X containing A there is an i such that $st(A, \mathcal{V}_i) \subset U$.

Definition 4.4. A topological space X is said to be *developable modulo a decomposition* provided that for some decomposition \mathcal{A} of X there exists a development modulo a decomposition \mathcal{A} .

Definition 4.5. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of a space X onto Y is called *perfect* if f is closed, continuous and $f^{-1}y$ is compact for y in Y .

LEMMA 4.1. *If a topological space X is a perfect preimage of a developable space Y , then X is developable modulo a compact decomposition.*

Proof. Let f be a perfect mapping of a space X onto a developable space Y . Since Y is developable there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of Y such that for each y in Y , $\{st(y, \mathcal{V}_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a base for the neighbourhood system at y . Without loss of generality, assume that \mathcal{V}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{V}_i for each i . Then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{A} = \{f^{-1}y | y \in Y\}$ is a compact decomposition of X and $\{\mathcal{W}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a development modulo the compact decomposition \mathcal{A} for X , where $\mathcal{W}_i = \{f^{-1}V | V \in \mathcal{V}_i\}$ for each i . Hence X is developable modulo a compact decomposition.

LEMMA 4.2. *If a regular space X is developable modulo compact decomposition, then there exists a perfect mapping of X onto some Moore space Y .*

Proof. Suppose X is a regular developable modulo compact decomposition space. Then there exists a compact decomposition $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of X and there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X such that it is a development modulo compact decomposition \mathcal{A} . Define on X an equivalence relation by setting $x \sim y$ if and only if $x, y \in A_\alpha$ for some α in Λ . Let $Y = X/\sim$ be the quotient space of X with the quotient topology and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be the quotient map. It is easy to see that f is continuous and compact; i.e., $f^{-1}y$ is compact for each y in Y . Let C be a closed subset of X . Then

$$C^\# = \cup \{A_\alpha | A_\alpha \cap C \neq \emptyset; \alpha \in \Lambda\}$$

is a subset of X . We shall show that $C^\#$ is closed. Suppose $y \notin C^\#$. Then there is an A_{α_y} for some $\alpha_y \in \Lambda$ such that $y \in A_{\alpha_y}$ and $A_{\alpha_y} \cap C = \emptyset$. Therefore for some i we have $C \cap st(y, \mathcal{V}_i) = \emptyset$. But then $st(y, \mathcal{V}_i) \cap C^\# = \emptyset$, for otherwise some A_α will be contained in $st(y, \mathcal{V}_i)$ and will intersect C . Hence $y \notin C^\#$ implies y is not a limit point of $C^\#$. Consequently, $C^\#$ is a closed subset of X . Now $f^{-1}fC = C^\#$ and Y carries the quotient topology, so that fC is closed. Hence f is a perfect mapping of X onto Y . Now we show that Y is a Moore space.

For each i define $\mathcal{W}_i = \{int fV | V \in \mathcal{V}_i\}$. We claim that $\{\mathcal{W}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a development for Y . Let $y \in Y$ and U be any open set containing y . Since f is continuous $f^{-1}U$ is open. Now there is i such that $st(f^{-1}y, \mathcal{V}_i) \subset f^{-1}U$ and therefore $st(y, \mathcal{W}_i) \subset U$. By the fact that V in \mathcal{V}_i which intersects $f^{-1}y$ contains $f^{-1}y$ and the fact that f is continuous and closed, $int fV \neq \emptyset$ for V in \mathcal{V}_i and each i . That Y is regular follows trivially. Hence Y is a Moore space and the lemma is proved.

THEOREM 4.3. *A regular space X is developable modulo compact decomposition if and only if there exists a perfect mapping of X onto some Moore space Y .*

The proof follows from Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2.

In view of Theorem 3.1 in [6] we take the definition of p -space as follows:

Definition 4.6. A topological space X is called a p -space if there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X satisfying the properties: if $\{F_s\}_{s \in S}$ is a family of closed sets with finite intersection property and there is x in X such that for each i there is V in \mathcal{V}_i containing x and F_{s_i} for some $s_i \in S$, then $\bigcap\{F_s\}_{s \in S} \neq \emptyset$.

THEOREM 4.4. *A topological space X is a regular d -paracompact p -space if and only if for each open cover ω of X there exists a perfect ω -mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ onto some Moore space Y .*

Proof. If X is a regular d -paracompact p -space, by using the techniques of Lemma 3.5, for each open cover ω of X there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X satisfying (a) $\mathcal{V}_1 = \omega$ and \mathcal{V}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{V}_i for each i ; (b) given i and x in X , there is j (j depending on i and x) and some V in \mathcal{V}_i such that $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_j) \subset V$; (c) given i and x in X , there is a j such that for any y in $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_j)$ there is k_y such that $\text{st}(y, \mathcal{V}_{k_y}) \subset \text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$; and (d) if $\{F|F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is a family of closed sets with finite intersection property and there is x in X such that for each i some F in \mathcal{F} is contained in $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$ then $\bigcap\{F|F \in \mathcal{F}\} \neq \emptyset$. Now using a proof analogous to Theorem 3.4, one can show that there exists a perfect mapping of X onto a Moore space Y .

The converse follows from Lemma 3.7 and [1].

Remark. Theorem 4.4 in view of Theorem 4.3 suggests that a regular d -paracompact p -space is developable modulo compact decomposition. We conjecture that a regular developable modulo compact decomposition space is a d -paracompact space.

5. One-to-one continuous mappings.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let X be a d -paracompact space with the diagonal a G_δ -set in $X \times X$. Then there exists a T_1 developable space Y and a one-to-one continuous map f from X onto Y .*

Proof. If X is a d -paracompact space with the diagonal a G_δ -set in $X \times X$, then in view of [4, p. 112, Lemma 5.4], there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open covers of X satisfying the conditions: (a) \mathcal{V}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{V}_i for each i and for any x in X we have $\{x\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$; (b) given i and x in X , there is j (j depending on i and x) and some V in \mathcal{V}_i such that $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_j) \subset V$; and (c) given i and x in X , there is a j such that for any y in $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_j)$ there is a k_y such that $\text{st}(y, \mathcal{V}_{k_y}) \subset \text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)$.

Let $Y = X$ and define a topology on Y by taking $\{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{V}_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ as a base for the neighbourhood system at x in X . Denote by τ the topology defined above. Now it is easy to see that the sequence $\{\mathcal{W}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, where

$$\mathcal{W}_i = \{\text{int}_\tau V | V \in \mathcal{V}_i\}$$

for each i , is a development for Y . Also, for each y in Y , $\{y\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \text{st}(y, \mathcal{W}_i)$

implies Y is a T_1 -space. Now define $f: X \rightarrow Y$ by setting $fx = x$. Clearly f is a one-to-one continuous map. Hence the proposition is proved.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let X be a s -paracompact space with the diagonal a G_δ -set in $X \times X$. Then there exists a semi-metric space Y and a one-to-one continuous map f from X onto Y .*

We leave the proof of the above proposition to the reader.

6. Problems.

6.1. Is it true that a normal d -paracompact p -space always admits a perfect mapping onto a normal Moore space?

6.2. Is a normal d -paracompact space metacompact?

6.3. Is a normal metacompact space d -paracompact?

6.4. Find necessary and sufficient conditions for an s -paracompact space to be d -paracompact.

REFERENCES

1. A. V. Arhangel'skii, *Mappings and spaces*, Russian Math. Surveys **21** (1966), 115–162.
2. R. H. Bing, *Metrization of topological spaces*, Can. J. Math. **3** (1951), 175–186.
3. D. K. Burke, *Subparacompact spaces*, Proc. of the Washington State University conference on general topology (March 1970), 39–49.
4. J. G. Ceder, *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 105–125.
5. L. F. McAuley, *A note on complete collectionwise normality and paracompactness*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 796–799.
6. C. M. Pareek, *Characterization of p -spaces*, Can. Math. Bull. **14** (1971), 459–460.
7. V. I. Ponomarev, *On paracompact and finally compact spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **2** (1961), 1510–1512.

*University of Saskatchewan,
Regina, Saskatchewan*