

transmitted by the manuscripts could be not only a matter of textual criticism but also a way of proving that Pliny had read the *De bibliothecis*, thus giving modern scholars some means to develop a better understanding of this lost treatise.

Columbia University

UMBERTO VERDURA uv2116@columbia.edu doi:10.1017/S0009838824000247

AN ALLUSION TO THE BLINDING OF APPIUS CLAUDIUS CAECUS IN *AENEID* BOOK 8?*

ABSTRACT

This article argues that Virgil includes an allusion to the fourth-century censor Appius Claudius Caecus in Book 8 of the Aeneid. Three pieces of evidence point to this allusion: (1) wordplay, especially the near echo of 'Caecus' in 'Cacus'; (2) semantic associations between Cacus and darkness; and (3) repeated references to sight and Cacus' eyes. By invoking the memory of Appius, whose blinding in 312 B.C.E. allegedly came at the hands of Hercules as punishment for transferring control of the god's rites at the Ara Maxima to the state, Virgil underscores the importance of properly observing religious rituals. This aligns with Evander's original intent with the Hercules—Cacus story to prove to Aeneas and the Trojans that the Arcadians' religious practices are no uana superstitio (8.187).

Keywords: Virgil; Aeneid; Hercules; Cacus; Appius Claudius Caecus

The history of Hercules' cult at the Ara Maxima is marred by a curious incident in 312 B.C.E., when the censor at the time, Appius Claudius Caecus, transferred control of the cult from two private families, the Potitii and the Pinarii, to the state. According to the ancient sources, Hercules was so enraged by this unauthorized move that he blinded the censor, giving Appius his famous cognomen, Caecus. Although the blinding of Appius Claudius Caecus never appears directly in Roman authors' accounts of the origins of the

^{*} For comments and suggestions on earlier versions of this paper, I extend my sincere thanks to the participants in the 2017 Princeton University conference *Religion and the State in Classical Greece and Rome*, Dan-el Padilla Peralta and *CQ*'s anonymous reader.

¹ Potitii and Pinarii: Diod. Sic. 4.21.2; Dion. Hal. Ant. Rom. 1.40.4; Livy 1.7.12–14; Verg. Aen. 8.269–70; [Aur. Vict.] Origo gentis Romanae 8.4; Festus, Gloss. Lat. 4.343 Lindsay.

² censorem etiam [Appium] memori deum ira post aliquot annos luminibus captum ('even the censor Appius, on account of the mindful anger of the god, lost his sight a few years later', Livy 9.29.10); Appius uero luminibus captus est ('Appius, moreover, lost his sight', Val. Max. 1.1.17); cf. Serv. Aen. 8.270. For discussion of these passages and the significance of this story, see H.-F. Mueller, 'The extinction of the Potitii and the sacred history of Augustan Rome', in D.S. Levene and D.P. Nelis (edd.), Clio and the Poets: Augustan Poetry and the Traditions of Ancient Historiography (Leiden, 2002), 313–29. T.P. Wiseman, Clio's Cosmetics: Three Studies in Greco-Roman Literature (Leicester, 1979), 57–139 has suggested, however, that these reports may have been manufactured

[©] The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Classical Association. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is unaltered and is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use or in order to create a derivative work.

Ara Maxima, I suggest that we can detect a handful of allusions to this episode in Virgil's account of the Hercules-Cacus myth in Book 8 of the *Aeneid*.

Virgil alludes to Appius in three ways: with wordplay, with semantic associations between Cacus and darkness, and with a repeated emphasis on sight and Cacus' eyes. In the first place, one cannot help but wonder whether ancient readers would have heard in the name 'Cacus' a play on 'Caecus'. After all, variant spellings of Cacus' name are preserved among ancient authors such as Diodorus, who relates that the individual known as Cacus was actually a certain Cacius (Diod. Sic. 4.21.2). Modern scholars have likewise been willing to see echoes of Cacus in another differently spelled name—specifically, that of the Praenestine king Caeculus who appears in Book 7 of the *Aeneid*.³

Perhaps more compellingly, scholars have also suggested associations in the *Aeneid* between the name 'Cacus' and the adjective *caecus*. M. Paschalis has proposed that the description of Cacus' cave in Book 8 (*Caci* | ... *umbrosae penitus* ... *cauernae*, 8.241–2) recalls the description of the Trojan Horse's wooden belly in Book 2 (*caeco* ... *penitusque cauernas*, 2.19), and thus *Caci* is linked with *caeco*.⁴ Furthermore, K.W. Gransden argued that in Virgil's description of Cacus' 'blinding darkness' (*caligine caeca*, 8.253), the adjective *caeca* may be a wordplay on the name Cacus.⁵ By this logic, there is no reason not to see an inverse relationship between Cacus and Appius Claudius Caecus, where Cacus is a wordplay on Caecus, so that *caeca* can just as easily recall Caecus as Cacus. The evocation of Caecus in Cacus, as opposed to Cacus in *caeca*, is all the more suggestive since both words have masculine endings. This latter reading gains further support through Virgil's seeming etymological gloss for Cacus' blinding darkness—namely, that it 'snatches all sight away from the eyes' (*prospectum eripiens oculis*, 8.254). Cacus, in other words, generates something *caeca* that can then make someone *caecus*/Caecus.

Cacus' caligine caeca, however, is only one of many ways in which the monster embodies darkness, a quality that defines loss of sight. As Evander first directs Aeneas' attention to the monster's cave, he emphasizes its dark qualities: the cave, hidden away in a deep recess (uasto ... recessu, 8.193), is inaccessible to the sun (solis inaccessam radiis, 8.195).⁶ The pale faces of men hanging from the cave's entryway (ora ... pallida, 8.197) are marked by a colourlessness typical of descriptions associated with the Underworld, including a description that appears less than fifty lines later

by a later author intent on maligning the censor Appius, and this one author's bias then seeped into subsequent accounts by future annalists.

³ Verg. Aen. 7.678–81, with discussion about his similarities to Cacus in C.J. Fordyce, P. Vergilii Maronis Aeneidos libri VII–VIII (Oxford, 1977), ad loc. See also G. Radke, Die Götter altitaliens (Münster, 1965), 75–7, who refers to Cacus as the 'namesake' (Namensvetter) of Caeculus. Given the rivalry between Praeneste and Rome, dating back to the Latin War of the early fourth century B.C.E., using Cacus to invoke the memory of Caeculus would also make sense, especially since Praeneste was caught up in, and was on the losing side of, another civil war in the first century B.C.E., between Marius and Sulla; see A. Brelich, Tre variazioni romane sul tema delle origini (Rome, 1976²), 17–55.

⁴ M. Paschalis, Virgil's Aeneid: Semantic Relations and Proper Names (Oxford, 1997), 289–90 (§8.3.3).

⁵ K.W. Gransden, Virgil Aeneid Book VIII (Cambridge, 1976), ad loc.

⁶ Gransden (n. 5), ad loc. notes that *inaccessam* is a rare word and a Virgilian coinage, appearing also at *Aen.* 7.11–12: *diues inaccessos ubi Solis filia lucos* | *adsiduo resonat cantu.* Whereas Cacus' cave is inaccessible to the rays of the sun in Book 8, in Book 7 the rich daughter of the Sun (Circe) causes the inaccessible groves to echo with her constant singing.

(infernas ... sedes et regna ... | pallida, 8.244-5). Even the flames that Cacus himself pours forth are described as black (atros. 8.198). As the tale progresses, the cave's darkness looms larger: when Cacus steals Hercules' cattle, he hides them within the rocky gloom (saxo occultabat opaco, 8.211). When Hercules assaults the cave, he is depicted as laying bare the shadowy cavern (umbrosae penitus patuere cauernae, 8.242), wielding light as his weapon (trepident immisso lumine Manes. | ergo insperata deprensum luce repente, 8.246-7). Once Hercules commences his physical assault on the cave, the density of dark descriptors intensifies: Cacus first veils his dwelling in a blinding darkness (involuitque domum caligine caeca, 8.253), and he then wraps himself up in his cave's smoke-filled night, where its blackness is mixed with flames (glomeratque sub antro | fumiferam noctem commixtis igne tenebris, 8.254-5). As Hercules pushes deeper into the cave, Cacus redoubles his efforts to shroud it in darkness, so that the massive cave eventually seethes with a dark cloud (nebulaque ingens specus aestuat atra, 8.258). In the next line, Cacus appears in his final throes, vomiting forth useless fires amidst the darkness (hic Cacum in tenebris incendia uana uomentem, 8.259). Finally, after Hercules has choked the life out of his monstrous foe, he tears the doors off the black abode (domus atra, 8.262). Ultimately, this repeated emphasis on darkness throughout the passage strengthens the semantic links between Cacus and caecus/ Caecus, recasting the conflict between Hercules and Cacus as one between light (vision) and dark (blindness).

The third and final piece of evidence in favour of an allusion to Appius Claudius Caecus in Book 8 comes from Virgil's repeated focus on Cacus' eyes, a physical feature of the monster that no other Augustan author even mentions. Most significantly, Virgil always refers to Cacus' eyes in response to some action undertaken by Hercules: when Hercules begins raging for his stolen cattle, Cacus is depicted with 'worried eyes' (turbatumque oculis, 8 8.223); when Hercules finally kills Cacus, the monster's eyes pop out of his head (elisos oculos, 8.261); and when the Arcadians come to gaze upon the monster's corpse, they are struck by his 'fearsome eyes' (terribilis oculos, 8.266). It is suggestive, too, that in the first and last appearances of Cacus' eyes the monster and his eyes are being looked at by the Arcadians (uidere, 8.222; tuendo, 8.265), heightening the thematic significance of sight. In the end, Cacus, like Appius, is punished by Hercules with a literal loss of vision, and just as Appius' cognomen eternally associates the censor with his lost eyesight, so, too, is the enduring image of Virgil's Cacus one of a monster deprived of his eyes.

So, what does Virgil accomplish with this allusion? By referring to Appius' blinding in a passage that describes the origins of an early Roman religious practice, Virgil emphasizes the importance not merely of performing religious rituals but of performing

⁷ Cf. Lucr. 1.456 ditis profundi pallida regna; Tib. 1.10.38 pallida turba.

⁸ Although *turbatum oculis* is the reading transmitted in most manuscripts and is printed in R.A.B. Mynors, *P. Vergili Maronis opera* (Oxford, 1969), ad loc., Servius (*Aen.* 8.223), while seeming to endorse the reading of *oculis*, acknowledges an alternative tradition in which the reading is *oculi*, and thus the 'eyes' in question are presumably Evander's (*nostri ... oculi*). Gransden (n. 5), ad loc likewise favours the reading of *oculi* for a couple of reasons: (1) the pairing of *nostri* and *oculi* gives the lines (8.222–3) an 'effective "enclosing word-order", and (2) it inserts Evander into the story and heightens the sense of autopsy. Leaving *oculis*, however, retains the thematic emphasis on Cacus' eyes, which are a marked feature of the monster in his death. For a survey of the manuscript tradition, see L.M. Fratantuono and R.A. Smith, *Virgil*, Aeneid *8. Text, Translation, and Commentary* (Leiden, 2018), ad loc., who suggest that the line is 'perhaps a textual crux that should never have been'.

them properly and with the assent of the god. After all, Evander's principal motive in recounting the myth in the first place is to demonstrate to Aeneas and the Trojans the religious propriety of the Arcadians' practice, to prove that it is no *uana superstitio* (8.187) but rather, by implication, its converse: *religio*. And, in a potential further nod to the plight of Appius, what imperative does Evander first direct at Aeneas as he launches into the story about Hercules and Cacus? 'Look!' (*aspice*, 8.190). The myth in Virgil thus becomes a cautionary tale, a warning to all subsequent celebrants of Hercules' rites to follow the prescribed ritual lest they arouse the god's eye-popping rage.

Washington and Lee University

MATTHEW P. LOAR mloar@wlu.edu doi:10.1017/S0009838824000260

AN UNNOTICED TELESTICH IN VIRGIL, AENEID 8.246-9?*

ABSTRACT

The aim of this short note is to highlight a possible, hitherto unnoticed, telestich in Verg. Aen. 8.246–9, which presents the Greek word SEMA ('portent', 'wonder', 'prodigy', 'tomb'). To justify this identification, I will argue for its significance from its context in the poem (the battle between Hercules and Cacus), pointing out the insistence on the imagery of light and revelation, and the use of the phrase mirabile dictu, which appears in the same episode of the Aeneid, in the Latin poetic tradition.

Keywords: Virgil; Aeneid; telestich; SĒMA

245

The battle between Hercules and Cacus is a major episode in *Aeneid* Book 8 alongside the meeting between Aeneas and Evander and the description of the Shield of Aeneas. Toward the end, when Hercules opens Cacus' cave, Virgil makes reference to the conflict between light and darkness, and to the fear felt by Cacus when he sees that there is no way out (8.241–53):

at specus Caci detecta apparuit ingens regia, et umbrosae penitus patuere cauernae, non secus ac si qua penitus ui terra dehiscens infernas reseret sedes et regna recludat pallida, dis inuisa, superque immane barathrum

- ⁹ On the distinction, see e.g. Cic. *Nat. D. 2.71–2, Inu. rhet. 2.*165. For discussion of the distinction, see F. Santangelo, *Divination, Prediction and the End of the Roman Republic* (Cambridge, 2013), 38–47; C.A. Barton and D. Boyarin, *Imagine No Religion: How Modern Abstractions Hide Ancient Realities* (New York, 2016), 33–7.
- * I am most thankful to Professor Stephen Harrison and to CQ's reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions. This publication was supported with national funding by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) through the project UIDB/00019/2020.
- © The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Classical Association. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.