For Allgaier, Herodotus and Thucydides have the same basic idea of inscriptions: they are an unstable, ineffective, tendentious form of memory and thus inferior to what their own work can guarantee. Allgaier's exegetical line is undoubtedly sharp, but it occasionally appears one-sided, particularly regarding Herodotus. Herodotus' references to inscriptions can also be viewed in relation to a more general framework, content and context. For example, mentioning the stelae Darius erected on the Bosphorus (Chapter 3.2) that were later transferred to Byzantium neither describes a Darius incapable of securing a lasting memory through inscriptions nor emphasizes the superiority of the historian's work: it foregrounds the final victory of the Greeks which erased all memory of Persian power. In presenting the Thermopylae epigrams, especially the second (7.228.1–3), as examples of tendentious epigraphy, Allgaier overlooks the famous line $\tilde{\omega}$ ξεῖν', ἀγγέλλειν Λακεδαιμονίοις ὅτι τῆδε κείμεθα τοῖς κείνων ῥήμασι πειθόμενοι ('Go tell the Spartans, thou that passest by, that here obedient to their words we lie', trans. A.D. Godley, 1922) that reiterates the theme of the Spartans' renowned obedience of the law. This was expressed earlier by Demaratus and certainly espoused by Herodotus (7.104.4).

One can agree with the idea that Herodotus and Thucydides wanted to create imperishable works and that the epigraphs were mainly utilized to characterize protagonists and highlight the abilities of the two historians. Particularly in the case of Herodotus, however, Allgaier seems to imagine an author who, from the proem on, is proclaiming the validity of his work by repeatedly downplaying the role of inscriptions because they are subject to disappearance, displacement and manipulation. This seems an exaggeration in the absence of any explicit statement to that effect. Allgaier does also not consider the possibility that some inscriptions, especially for Herodotus, were already embedded in the narratives he had received.

ROBERTA FABIANI® Università Roma Tre Email: roberta.fabiani@uniroma3.it

BOCKSBERGER (S.M.) **Telamonian Ajax: The Myth in Archaic and Classical Greece.** Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2021. Pp. xviii + 278. £75. 9780198864769. doi:10.1017/S0075426924000429

Ajax is a strange figure in Agamemnon's army. He has the reputation of being a first-class warrior, and yet he is curiously something of an 'also-ran' compared with some of his colleagues. In scholarly tradition, too, he has received less attention than some other heroes, and as Sophie Bocksberger notes in her introduction, hers is the first monograph fully devoted to Ajax and his reception in archaic and classical Greece. Luckily for Ajax, Bocksberger has written an excellent account of his origins and development in the wider context of Greek, and specifically Atheno-Aeginetan, politics. Bocksberger combines breadth and depth, considering the shifting relations between the Greek *poleis* which laid claim to Ajax through historical, geographical and artistic lenses, while offering close and sensitive readings of the texts in which Ajax features: many of these are fragmentary or complex, and demand careful interpretation, which she provides in three long but clearly signposted chapters. These are equipped with well-chosen maps and copious illustrations.

Chapter 1 explores Ajax in pre-Homeric tradition, through a discussion of how extant Homeric information hints at pre-Homeric traditions now somewhat lost to us as the *Iliad* and *Odyssey*'s characterizations of heroes became canonical, supplanting variant, opposing traditions (75). A hallmark of Bocksberger's work is her systematic 'detective work' and this chapter untangles the relationship between Homer and the epic cycle to take us back to a pre-Homeric Ajax. Especially intriguing is Bocksberger's argument that an epic, but

un-Homeric tradition in which Ajax is invulnerable can be seen as a kind of ghost tradition in the Iliad (42-47) in which he is never wounded, though often in the thick of combat; someone invulnerable is also an ideal person to retrieve Patroclus' body, as he does in the *Iliad*, just as he retrieves Achilles' body on vases and in non-Homeric epic. Bocksberger argues (25) that Ajax emerges in the late Bronze Age as a Salaminian hero, and that his lineage in Panhellenic, pre-Homeric tradition is Aeacid, like Achilles, with whom he has significantly close associations (32): Aegina claimed the Aeacids, so Ajax's original associations are with Salamis and Aegina. It is frequently noted that Ajax does not have as close a relationship with the gods as other main Iliadic heroes do, and that there are cases in the *Iliad* where Athena could have helped him but apparently chooses not to, suggesting that she is indifferent or even hostile to him (as she is, of course, in Sophocles' Ajax). Bocksberger connects these traditions with the earlier tradition in which he is invulnerable. As an invulnerable hero, Ajax might need less divine protection than some other heroes, but it is easy to see how a diminished reliance on the gods could slide into arrogance that brings divine retribution upon him (56-63). The lesser Ajax was also hated by Athena and Bocksberger argues that the two homonymous heroes share enough characteristics to have originally been one and the same (71–73).

In Chapter 2, Bocksberger explores Ajax's Aeginetan connections, combining close readings of the poems of Pindar and Bacchylides celebrating Aeginetan victors with the broader context of Aeginetan history and the geographical and political relationships between Aegina, Salamis and Athens to trace a gradual development of the character and deeds of Ajax from a non-Athenian perspective. Her approach is clear and methodical and well anchored in solid scholarship. The final chapter explores Ajax in Athens and the influence of history and politics influence on mythological narratives. In the Archaic era, Athens' struggle to control Salamis made Ajax an attractive figure to claim as an Athenian ally, and in the post-Cleisthenic era, effort is made to co-opt him as a full Athenian hero in the context of Atheno-Aeginetan rivalry. However, after the eclipse of Cimon (a descendant of Ajax via his Philaid ancestry) and Aegina's surrender to Athens in 457, Ajax somewhat loses his political potency, and his decline is reflected in a diminished presence in vase painting. Particularly interesting is the discussion of Ajax in early democratic Athens, in which he can be read as both an aristocratic hero, as the ancestor of the Philaids, but also a democratic hero as the eponym of one of the tribes, and his Salaminian origins also connect him to 480's great victory. Bocksberger also offers worthwhile accounts of Ajax on Greek vases, a fascinating account of Ajax in the fragments of Aeschylus and, as a fitting ending to the book, a discussion of Sophocles' Ajax which, as she argues, encapsulates certain major characteristics of Ajax which her earlier chapters have traced.

In this short review it is impossible to do full justice to Bocksberger's excellent account, which is grounded in a fine command of previous scholarship, nuanced reading and an ability to see the bigger picture. The indignant, also-ran hero should be somewhat mollified at last.

Sophie Mills University of North Carolina at Asheville Email: smills@unca.edu

BRIDGES (E.) Warriors' Wives: Ancient Greek Myth and Modern Experience. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2023. Pp. viii + 234. £25. 9780198843528. doi:10.1017/S0075426924000260

Emma Bridges' Warriors' Wives examines the experiences of mythical women married to Trojan War-era heroes in tandem with those of military wives in the modern age.