

and equinoxes. In this way, we might test the influence of the increasing (February–April) or decreasing (August–October) photoperiod, and insufficient (November–January) or excessive (May–July) daylight.

Since Partonen & Lönngqvist do not report monthly frequencies, we cannot apply these criteria to the Finnish data. However, we have applied them to a Portuguese sample of 34 longitudinally followed bipolar patients (Pio-Abreu & Pires, 1985), and to 178 female admissions for mania and depression (Boto *et al*, 1991). Both studies revealed a peak of bipolar episodes during the equinoctial periods, where depressions predominate from February to April, and manias between August and October. In contrast, mixed and switching episodes, as well as some unipolar depressions, tended to occur around the solstices.

Although these results are consistent with an extensive review by Wehr & Rosenthal (1989), they may be idiosyncratic to Portugal. Since sunshine varies with latitude, more studies are needed worldwide in order to understand the problem better. However, it would be preferable if results were presented in terms of monthly frequencies, and not simply as the required figures for testing seasonality as conventionally defined.

**Boto, I., Craveiro, A. & Pio-Abreu, J. L. (1991)** Manias e depressões: distribuição sazonal e relação com factores climáticos. *Psiquiatria Clínica (Coimbra)*, **12**, 171–174.

**Partonen, T. & Lönngqvist, J. (1996)** Seasonal variation in bipolar disorder. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **169**, 641–646.

**Pio-Abreu, J. L. & Pires, I. C. (1985)** Incidência sazonal das psicoses afectivas bipolares. *Psiquiatria Clínica (Coimbra)*, **6**, 181–188.

**Wehr, T. A. & Rosenthal, N. E. (1989)** Seasonality and affective illness. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, **146**, 829–839.

**J. L. Pio-Abreu** Psychiatric Clinic, University Hospital of Coimbra, 3049 Coimbra, Portugal

### Terminology of learning disability

**Sir:** Few would disagree with Reid (1997) that learning disability is not an ideal term. It may also be true that it was adopted by the Royal College of Psychiatrists simply for the sake of political correctness. This, however, even when coupled with the objection that the term contains no medical or psychiatric dimension, provides no adequate grounds for yet a further unwelcome change in terminology.

Mental handicap, the term generally discarded in the UK but nevertheless still favoured by Dr Reid and many others,

remains less appropriate than learning disability for two important reasons. The first is, as Reid himself points out, because of the objections of those suffering from the condition and able to express an opinion. The second is the difficulty experienced by the general public in distinguishing between mental handicap and mental illness, largely because of the use of the word 'mental'. Not surprisingly, this confusion led to the assumption that mental handicap was primarily a medical problem. If now, instead, it is thought that the term learning disability implies that the condition is essentially educational, rather than register dismay we should instead throw our hats in the air. This description does after all contain a greater element of truth.

The problems of people with learning disability can be met only by a multi-disciplinary approach. It is unlikely that the emphasis on the word 'learning' can diminish the contribution of medicine, particularly psychiatry, to the care of this group.

**Reid, A. H. (1997)** Mental handicap or learning disability. A critique of political correctness. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **170**, 1.

**Gwyn Howells** Belvedere House, Fort George, St Peter Port, Guernsey GY1 2SJ

manipulation of mental images (i.e. non-verbal thinking), the invention of words or neologisms to express new ideas for which no words previously existed, the phenomenon of ambiguity in language, etc. (Pinker, 1995). Thus, if language is not thought, the notion that language predetermines how we think loses much of its plausibility. It is our thought which contaminates the euphemisms, not the euphemisms which disinfected our thought. For these reasons also Reid is right: in the case of mental handicap or learning disability it is our attitudes which must change, not our terminology.

**Liddell, H. G., Scott, R. & Jones, H. S. (1961)** *A Greek–English Lexicon*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

**Pinker, S. (1995)** *The Language Instinct*. Harmondsworth: Penguin.

**Reid, A. H. (1997)** Mental handicap or learning disability. A critique of political correctness. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **170**, 1.

**P. Crichton** Department of Psychological Medicine, Royal Marsden NHS Trust, Fulham Road, London SW3 6JJ

### Valproate and neuroleptic medication

**Sir:** Barnes *et al* (1996) point out the paucity of data on adjunctive use of valproate in the treatment of psychotic disorders only partially responsive to neuroleptics.

We have conducted an open trial of 17 out-patients (six male; mean age 34; s.d. 10 years) to ascertain whether valproate can be used to 'spare' neuroleptics in patients with bipolar disorder with psychosis ( $n=13$ ) and schizoaffective disorder ( $n=5$ ). All patients had been stabilised on neuroleptics for at least six months. Mean pre-valproate neuroleptic dose was 260 mg chlorpromazine equivalents per day (s.d. 150 mg; range 25–500). In the six months post-valproate, only two patients required ongoing neuroleptics, with doses of 100 and 200 mg chlorpromazine equivalents daily (prior doses 200 and 500 mg, respectively).

This preliminary study, with the methodological limitations inherent in open, non-randomised, non-blind designs, nevertheless raises the possibility of wider use of valproate to spare neuroleptics in patients with bipolar and schizoaffective disorders, and potentially schizophrenia as well (three further treatment-resistant schizophrenia patients have been commenced on valproate