Page numbers: **bold** = table, *italics* = figure.

```
AA, 193, 194, 197, 201, 204
                                                   beyond nation-state, 280-84
  Accession Agreement of EU to ECHR ('AA'
                                                   chapter structure, 274-75
                                                   co-existence of judicial and non-judicial
         agreed at Reykjavik, March 2023),
          177, 191, 201
                                                           remedies, 309, 310
  Article 01(1) (in footnote), 186
                                                   definition, 272
  Article 03(1), 194
                                                   division of competences, 309
  Article 03(2), 195, 197
                                                   elements, 272
  Article 03(3), 195
                                                   enforcement by EU authorities, 274, 275-84
  Article 03(3) in footnote, 180
                                                   enforcement stages, 274, 275, 276-80, 285,
  Article 03(5), 195
  Article 03(7), 197, 198
                                                      investigation, 278-79, 282, 287, 291, 292
  Article 03(8), 196
                                                      monitoring, 276-78, 287
                                                      sanctioning, 279-80, 291, 292
  Article 04a, 202
  Article 36(4), in footnote, 198
                                                   evolution of concept, 274, 280-84
  EU accesstion to ECHR, 179
                                                   functional role, 272
  protocols not ratified by all EU Member
                                                   identification of gaps, 306-10
         States (in footnote), 186
                                                   implementation, 274, 275, 284-305
AA (2013 version), 195, 196, 201
                                                      DG COMP, 289-97
Aarhus Compliance Committee, 190, 217
                                                      ESMA, 285-89
Aarhus Convention (2005), 191, 217
                                                      OLAF, 297-305
abilities doctrine, 66, 67, 74
                                                    judicial versus non-judical remedies,
ability to give evidence, 68, 70, 86
                                                           284-305
  interrelationship with effective judicial
                                                   legislative design of enforcement, 309
         protection, 70, 71-77
                                                   legislative practice, 275
abuse of power, 15, 277
                                                   lessons, 309-10
access to court, 212, 213, 283
                                                   'must be more than access to court', 283
  DG COMP, 293-94
                                                   procedural limb, 272
  ESMA, 286-88
                                                   recommendations, 275, 310
  OLAF, 301-2
                                                   socio-legal literature, 274, 275
                                                   testing of remedies systems, 271-310
  'severely limited' (EU), 212
access to justice, 9, 151, 153, 212, 346
                                                 accessibility, 100, 145, 248, 256, 331, 338,
  applicable fundamental rights, 274, 276-80
  beyond judge, 280-84
                                                 Accession Agreement. See AA
```

accountability, 127, 129, 130, 252, 253, 265,	damages liability as remedy for fundamental
429	rights violations, 38–43
diffusion, 171	EU factual conduct, 324–27
'effective orchestration through public	against Frontex (pending), 218
messaging', 153	fundamental rights remedy, 36-63
political, 284	harm and compensation (types), 41
public appearance versus substantive, 131	joint liability between EU and Member
accountability forums, 146, 151, 153	States, 55–60
actio popularis, 214, 215, 225, 226	establishing joint liability (attribution and
action for annulment, 8, 17-21, 93, 94, 152,	causation), 56–59
168, 192, 198, 200, 216, 301, 307,	implementing joint liability (court
425, 428, 429. See also Article 263	competence and parallel
TFEU	proceedings), 59–60
admissibility, 60, 70, 86, 319, 322, 341	lack of clear admissibility/substance stages,
in footnote, 340	70
applicants (non-privileged), 18	liability law (main functions), 38-43
applicants (privileged), 18	compensating harm caused by
applicants (semi-privileged), 18	fundamental rights, 38–40
'centrepiece of remedies system', 422	condemning undesired behaviour, 41–42
Court of Justice (jurisprudence), 28–35	vindicating rights, 42-43
crucial instrument to review lawfulness of	literature (in footnote), 37
EU action, 20	'might be admissible, but will always fail or
directives not yet transposed into national	merits' (soft law cases), 382
law (systematic inadmissibility), 85	'not very effective for fundamental rights
EU factual conduct, 319–22	remedy' (two factors), 61
fundamental rights, 13-35	quantitative glance (CJEU damages case law
General Court (jurisprudence), 21–28	in proceedings), 43–47
looking beyond, 426	soft law, 381–82
pleas, 17, 20	'unlawfulness' as condition for EU
possible outcomes, 13	fundamental rights liability, 47–55
procedural fundamental rights dominate	CJEU's approach to 'sufficiently serious
case law, 35	breach' test in fundamental rights
procedural rules (constraint), 20	cases, 53-55
procedure over substance (thus far), 35	no conferral of rights by charter
rules, 17	principles, 48–49
standing, 18–21	three arguments against applying
standing (heavy burden of proof), 77-82	'sufficiently serious breach' test to
standing (pleas to lighten burden of proof),	fundamental rights, 49–53
82–87	action for damages (requirements on
standing for bringing (broad view), 100	evidence)
strengths and weaknesses, 35	ability to seek judicial redress, 87-93
unavailability (soft law), 381	effective participation (restriction), 87-93
action for damages, 8, 36-63, 216, 301, 363,	action for failure to act, 189, 192, 216, 319,
424, 426. See also TFEU Article 268	362. See also TFEU: Article 265
juncto Article 340	EU factual conduct, 322–23
admissibility, 60	against Frontex (pending), 218
in footnote, 340	actori incumbit probatio: initial burden to give
charter rights (successful damages cases), 45	evidence falls on claimant, 69
conclusion (better utilisation of for	adjudication, 125, 136, 140, 146, 150
fundamental rights protection in	right to within reasonable time, 54
EU), 60–63	usage (in footnote), 125

administrative action, 50, 130, 313, 317, 326,	double-hatting EDPS, 417–21
329, 347, 348, 357, 364	interplay with data protection rules, 412-17
in footnote, 318	AI Act Proposal (Articles)
administrative review: soft law, 384-88, 390	Annex III, 411
administrative tribunals (Australia/UK): in	Article 01, 410
footnote, 126	Article 02, 412
admissibility of evidence, 65, 69, 86	Article 05(a), 411
in footnote, 65	Article 05(b), 411
Advocates General, 70	Article 05(c), 411
AG Bobek, 85, 86, 374, 381, 382	Article 10(3), in footnote, 412
AG Bot, 376	Article 10(5), in footnote, 412
AG Campos Sánchez-Bordona: in footnote,	
	Article 53, 418 Article 53(1b), 419
389 AC Cruz Villalón 48	
AG Cruz Villalón, 48	Article 53(1f), 420
in footnote, 186	Article 53(a), 419
AG Hogan, 77	Article 57(a), 418
AG Jacobs, 99, 189	Article 59(8), 417
in footnote, 4	Article 63(6), 418
UPA Opinion (2002), 82	Article 68(a), 415, 418
AG Mengozzi, 42	recital 41, 416
AG Rantos, 32, 59	AI and fundamental rights, 391–421
AG Roemer	conclusion, 421
'ontological grounds' of Article 173, 78	double-hatting EDPS, 417–21
Plaumann case (1963), 78, 79	protection gaps, 421
AG Saugmandsgaard Øe	remedial possibilities, 406-17
Associação Sindical dos Juízes Portugueses	access, 407–10
case (2017), 375	AI Act Proposal, 410–17
AG Sharpston, 32	AI Act Proposal (application to border
AG Wathelet, 376	surveillance), 411–12
Association de médiation sociale (AMS) case	AI Act Proposal (interplay with data
(2013), 48	protection, 412–17
Opinions (full list), xxvii–xxviii	reviewability, 409, 410
aerial surveillance, 391, 402, 405, 406, 421	risks (EU border surveillance), 392–406
Frontex, AI-powered, 393–99	AI Liability Directive (proposed, 2022), 63
'Agency'. See Frontex	AI Office, 418
AI, 9, 250, 253, 423, 428	AI systems, 393, 410, 411, 412, 415, 419
EU border surveillance, 392–406	definition, 392
Frontex, 393–99	in footnote, 416
AI-powered aerial surveillance, 397–99	AI tools, 392, 393, 394, 397, 398, 404
risks to fundamental rights	AIRE Centre, 220
diverse nature, 399–406	Albania, 143
risk of discrimination, 403–5	algorithmic risk assessments, 397, 404, 408
risks to 'other substantive rights', 405-6	algorithms, 250, 393, 394, 396, 398, 402, 403,
risks to privacy and data protection,	406, 408. See also ETIAS
400-3	alliance and conflict systems in courtroom
'human-centric approach', 410, 420	(Andersen), 212
manual-review requirement, 404, 408, 409,	Alonso de León, Sergio, 354
414	alternative dispute resolution (ADR), 8, 228,
AI Act Proposal, 391, 409, 410–17, 421	234
application to border surveillance (scope),	EU directive (2013), 256
411-12	in footnote, 234, 256

alternative dispute resolution (ADR) (cont.)	authority and measures, 139-40, 146
passim, 245–57	decisions 'legally binding', 134
amicus curiae (friend of court), 219, 220	one exception (in footnote), 134
Amsterdam, 225	definition (broad), 133
Andersen, Ellen, 212	expertise and funding, 146, 147–48, 149
Anderson, Terence: in footnote, 77	increasingly 'judicialized', 129, 130
annulment. See action for annulment	'individual interest orientation', 137
antitrust proceedings, 290, 291, 295, 296	judicative function, 134
appeals procedures, 329	offer accountability 'in quintessentially
ARAs. See automated risk assessments	adjudicative fashion', 129
arbitral tribunals, 230, 231, 235, 237, 238, 240,	'often conceptualised as quasi-judicial', 139
243	portrait, 133–34
area of freedom, security and justice (AFSJ),	'share many characteristics with judicial
392, 394	institutions', 139
soft law, 377-79	Bogucki, Artur: in footnote, 416
Arnull, Anthony, 381, 383	Bosphorus doctrine. See ECtHR (cases)
Article I tribunals (USA): in footnote, 129	Bourdieu, Pierre (in footnote), 126
artificial intelligence. See AI	Bovend'Eerdt, Koen, xi, 9, 271-310, 423, 425,
Asylum Procedures Directive (2013)	426
Article 14, 377	Briggs LJ, 254, 255
Article 15, 377	Brito Bastos, Felipe, 355
Article 15(3)(c), 377	Broberg, M.: in footnote, 108
asylum seekers, 111, 220, 374. See also EUAA	Brussels Convention, 235
in footnote, 136, 216	Brussels I bis Regulation (2012), 235
attributability problem, 358–59	Bundesverfassungsgericht, 159, 174, 175
attribution	CJEU ruling rejected (ECB PSPP case,
and causation (sequential questions), 56	2020), 173, 175
clear rules required, 63	non-publication of complaints not accepted
definition (action for damages), 56	for decision (in footnote), 175
threshold, 59	Bundesverfassungsgericht (cases)
austerity, 163, 170, 375, 376, 377	Atlanta case (2000), 167
EU-induced, 167–70	full list, xxix–xxx
Austria, 80, 160	Maastricht case (2000), 172
automated risk assessments, 393-97, 401, 402,	Solange I case (1974), 163, 164, 165, 166,
404, 410	167
definition, 393	Wünsche Handelsgesellschaft (Solange II)
Azoulai, L.: in footnote, 106	case (1986), 166
,,	Bündnis 90/Grünen, 172
Belgium, xxix, 111, 173	Bündnis Bürgerwille, 173
Bentham, Jeremy, 96	burden of proof, 65, 93, See also onus probandi
Treatise on Judicial Evidence (1825), 95	in footnote, 65
	iii lootilote, 05
binding legal effects, 20, 50, 320, 321, 348, 381	Comment
in footnote, 322	Campact, 173
Bitcoin blockchain, 251	Canada: British Columbia, 248
Blomgren Amsler, Lisa, 252, 253	Canada-EU Trade Agreement (CETA), 174
in footnote, 253	capabilities (Nussbaum), 66
Boards of Appeal. See BoAs	Cappelletti, M., 2
BoAs, 123, 124, 125, 129, 140, 150, 151, 152,	case law, 107, 139, 155, 183, See also (for
341, 388, 389, 426	example) CJEU (cases)
access to justice perspective, 150	CJEU versus ECtHR, 184, 185, 186, 187,
administrative review bodies, 334	188, 190, 193

causal link, 58, 59, 91, 92, 325, 326, 381, 382	Article 35(3), 380
in footnote, 88, 325	Article 36, 49
causation, 59, 88	Article 37, 49
clear rules required, 63	Article 38, 49, 380
definition (action for damages), 56	Article 41, 27, 31, 44, 46, 52, 134, 291, 306,
threshold, 58	343, 407
ceremony (neo-institutional organisation	right to sound administration (qv), 330
theory), 131	Article 41(1), 330, 342
CFR, 1, 3, 19, 109, 110, 171, 428	Article 41(2), 330
actions for damages, 43, 44	Article 41(3), 43, 331
'can guide interpretation of Treaties', 426	Article 43
determination of whether provision contans	in footnote, 330
'right' or 'principle', 49	right to lodge complaints with
entry into force (2009), 158	Ombudsman (qv) , 331
EU soft law, 374	Article 47, 2, 5, 32, 36, 42, 44, 46, 47, 50,
incorporation into EU law, 2, 423	62, 64, 76, 77, 85, 86, 94, 97, 129,
'rights' versus 'principles', 48	134, 231, 240, 306, 308, 318–19,
only violations of former risk damages, 48	320, 325, 343, 385, 418, 424, 426.
'should extend to soft law', 380	See also effective judicial protection
social and economic rights, 168	Article 47(2), 74
CFR (Articles)	Article 48, 44, 306
Article 01, 316, 399	Article 49, 306
Article 02, 316, 405	Article 51, 17, 375
Article 03, 316	Article 51(1), 103
in footnote, 188	Article 51(2), 188
Article 04, 316	Article 52, 32
in footnote, 188	Article 52(1), 47, 368, 382, 400, 415
Article 06, 315, 405	Article 52(3), 33, 52
Article 07, 45, 114, 214, 215, 278, 298, 306,	Article 52(4), 52
	Article 52(5), 48
315, 316, 349, 400 in footnote, 45, 188	CFSP, 46, 47, 178, 181, 424
	EU accession to ECHR, 199–204
Article 08, 45, 114, 214, 215, 315, 400, 414	
in footnote, 45	benefits, 196–204
Article 11, 380	current gap in effective protection, 199–201
Article 15, 374	key to abbreviation (Common Foreign and
Article 16, 45, 85, 316, 374	Security Policy), 24
Article 17, 45, 85, 316, 374	Chamon, Merijn, xi, 9, 366–90, 422, 423, 425,
Article 18, 144, 316, 400	427 'Charter'. See CFR
Article 19, 316	
Article 20, 114, 378	Charter of Fundamental Rights, See CFR
Article 21, 45, 114, 352, 378, 400, 404	Civil Courts Structure Review (UK), 254
right to non-discrimination (qv), 403	civil law, 248
Article 22, 114	in footnote, 38
Article 23, 114	civil liberties, 156, 174, 190
Article 24, 351, 380	challenges to EU intrusion on personal
Article 25, 49	liberties, 170–71
Article 26, 49	civil service (of EU), 45, 192
Article 31, 44	Civil Service Tribunal, xxvi
Article 34(1), 49	in footnote, 44
Article 34(3), 49	civil society, 155, 156, 160, 161, 162, 169, 170,
Article 35, 49	174, 175, 414

CJEU, 1, 9, 14, 192	sufficiently serious breach test, 49–55, 61
acte clair and acte éclairé, 158	refusal to lighten burden of proof, 93
action for annulment, 36	third-party interventions ('not easily allowed
action for damages (appeals on points of law	access'), 211
only), 43	treatment of fundamental rights complaints,
annuls EU regulations (rather than acts),	114–15
114	'two-speed effective judicial protection', 94
approach to action for damages, 47	two-step test (criminal cooperation), 184
association between evidence and effective	understanding of hierarchy of European
judicial protection, 67	legal norms, 158
attribution tests, 57	'very reticent to annul EU acts', 118
'axiological assumptions', 95, 96	view of Article 47 CFR, 424
'broad interpretation of own jurisdiction',	will be subject to jurisdiction of ECtHR
199	(upon EU accession to ECHR), 183
competence limited to pleas of law, 20, 21	CJEU (cases)
'complements jurisprudence of General	Abdulrahim case (2013), 40
Court', 35	Achbita case (2017), 187
composite procedures (case law), 346	Achmea case (2018), 236, 237
composite procedures ('failure to clarify	Addis case (2020), 378
essential aspects of judicial review'),	Akzo and Akcros joined case (2007), 320,
365	328
conditions for liability, 43	Al-Aqsa case (2012), 33
deference to national courts 'almost absent',	Aranyosi case (2016), 185
115, 118	Association Greenpeace France ruling
direct access 'limited', 219	(1999), 362
economic sanctions case law, 87	Asturcom case (2009), 239
EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and	Atlanta case (1995), 166
Opinion 2/13), 178	Bank Refah Kargaran case (2020), 200
fundamental rights reasoning, 424	Baustahlgewebe case (1998), 183
'has not developed coherent approach to	Belgium v Commission (2018), 381, 382,
attribution', 57	384
'has seldom qualified an act as both	Bergaderm case (2000), 88, 89, 93
regulatory and self-executing', 84	Berlusconi ruling (2018), 359, 360-63, 365
'incoherent approach to attribution', 58	Bevándorlási és Állgmpolgársági Hivatal
'inconsistent terminology', 57	case (2018), 374
internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390	Bollman case (1973), in footnote, 105
joint liability between EU and Member	Borelli case (1992), 359–63, 365
States, 55–60	Brasserie du Pêcheur case (1996), 88, 93
legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4	Case Opinion 1/17 (2019), xxi, 16
looking beyond, 426–27	Centraal Israëlitisch Consistorie van België
'may not annul or declare primary EU law	case (2020), 111
invalid', 180	Codorníu case (1994), 82
preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114	Digital Rights Ireland case (2014), 183, 214,
procedures of decisional nature, 357	215
purpose, 371	Eco Swiss case, 239
reliance in decisions on ECB's	Elitaliana v Eulex Kosovo case (2015), xix,
Administrative Board of Review, 139	200, 201
restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not	ERTA case (1971), in footnote, 312
properly clarified'), 371	Factortame case (1990), 73
sole authority claimed to review validity of	Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case
EU acts, 156	(2021), 288, 382, 384, 390

FIAMM case (2008), 93	Otaro Ramos 0000 (2017) 74 81
Foto-Frost case (1987), 158, 171, 282, 307,	Otero Ramos case (2017), 74, 81 Plaumann case (1963), 78, 79, 82, 83, 87,
358, 359	93, 94, 133, 155, 164, 168, 170, 189,
French Republic v People's Mojahedin	211, 216, 217, 218, 226, 383, 387
Organization of Iran (2011), 30–32	Poland v European Parliament and Council
full list, xiii–xxii	of EU (2022), 34–35
Funke ruling (2023), 364	Pringle case (2012), 375
Gascogne Sack Deutschland case (2013), 54	Randstad [variously spelled] Italia case
Grimaldi ruling (1989), 358	(2021), 77
Groupe Gascogne v Commission (2013), 54	Rewe case (1976), 73, 95
Hauer case (1979), 165	in footnote, 73
Homoki v Commission (2021), 300	Rosneft case (2017), 200
Hungary v European Parliament and	San Giorgio case (1983), 74, 81
Council of EU (2022), 34–35	Schecke case (2010), 103
IBM v Commission (1981), 301	Schindler Holding Ltd v European
Internationale Handelsgesellschaft case	Commission (2013), 33–34
(1970), 164	Schrems I case (2015), 183, 215
Inuit case (2013), 318, 327	Sharpston case (2021), 32
in footnote, 83	Steffensen case (2003), 75
Jeanningros ruling (2020), 361	Stichting Greenpeace Council case (1998),
Jégo-Quéré case (2004), 81, 99, 383, 384	217
Johnston case (1986), 73, 95	Sturgeon case (2009), 102
in footnote, 73	Sweden v Commission (2007), 355
<i>Kadi</i> judgment (2008), 30, 87	T.Port case (2003), 91
established two principles, 24	Tillack case, xvi, 316, 324, 326, 330, 332,
Kampffmeyer case (1967), 59, 60, 89	339
Kempter case (2008), in footnote, 105	in footnote, 323
Kendrion case (2013), 54	TUM case (1991), 407
Kočner v EUROPOL (currently under	TWD rule (1994), 100
appeal), 58	Unibet case (2007), 384
Komstroy case (2021), 236, 237	UPA case (2002), 82, 88, 383, 384
KS and KD case (pending), 200, 203	Van Gend en Loos case (1963), 72, 78, 95,
La Quadrature du Net case (2020), 188	273
Laval case (2007), 187	Vendrame v Commission (pending), 300
Ledra Advertising joined case (2016), 376,	Viking case (2007), 187
381	Wünsche Handelsgesellschaft case (1982),
Les Verts case (1986), 4, 17, 95, 327, 365	166
Liga van Moskeeën case (2018), 114	CJEU (procedural fundamental rights reviews)
Ligue des droits humains judgment (2022),	circumscribed, yet not inconsequential,
404	30-35
Lisrestal case (1996), 353	lawfulness of limitations to fundamental
Mallis joined case (2016), 376	rights (fourfold requirement), 32
Mediocurso case (2000), 354	legally-structured tests, 32
Mellifera case (2020), 217	CJEU (Rules of Procedure), 104, 106, 107
Mostaza Claro case (2006), 238, 239	Article 023(2), in footnote, 106
Mulder (milk quotas) case (1992), 103	Article 060, in footnote, 115
N.S. and M.E joined case (2011), 220	Article 094 (in footnote), 104
Nölle case (1991), 407	Article 096, 106, 220
Nord Stream 2 appeal, 84–87	Article 097, 219
Opinion 2/13 (2014), xviii, 178, 195, 196,	Article 097, in footnote, 106
197, 201, 236, 237	Article 130(2), 85

OTTH 1	Tr Form
CJEU case law	EU accession to ECHR, 177–205
action for damages proceedings (quantitative	completeness principle, 95
glance), 43–47	composite procedures
effective judicial protection 'central	access to justice, 365
fundamental right', 22	access to justice (difficulties), 357
principles of evidence (ability to give	access to justice (factual action), 357, 358–59
evidence), 68	access to justice (problem of attributability),
principles of evidence (distribution of	357, 35 ⁸ –59
evidentiary duties), 68	'administrative action criterion', 348
principles of evidence (two families), 68	admissibility, 359
procedural entitlements recognised by, 68	available remedies (to violations of
CJEU Statute	fundamental rights), 347
Article 23, 106	case law, 346, 349, 353–56, 359–64, 365
Article 25, 152	categorisation, 346
Article 42, 152	chapter structure, 346–47
Article 58a(3), in footnote, 125	chapter thesis, 347
recent reforms, 134	conclusions, 364–65
CJEU's jurisprudence	of decisional nature, 350
action for annulment (qv) , 28–35 action for annulment involving fundamental	definition, 9, 345
rights (numerical evidence), 29–30	discretion, 360, 361
appeals against General Court decisions, 29	factual conduct, 348–50, 364
'virtually impossible to win an appeal', 29	finding competent court, 356–64 separation of jurisdiction, 356–57
ClientEarth, 217	fundamental procedural rights, 352–56
Coman-Kund, Florin, xi, 9, 311–44, 423, 426,	fundamental rights violations, 345–65
	fundamental rights which might be violated,
commercial arbitration, 237, 243	346, 348–50
Commission. See European Commission	further research, 364, 365
Commission Delegated Regulation (2012), 285	'horizontal' versus 'vertical', 346
Common Agricultural Policy, 103, 112, 350	identification of appropriate judicial forum,
Common Foreign and Security Policy. See	348
CFSP	judicial protection (gaps), 347, 357, 365
common law, 220	lack official definition and clear
in footnote, 38, 129, 371	conceptualisation, 345
communications, 101, 250, 278, 292, 298, 349	literature review available, 345
in footnote, 188, 314	structural shortcomings, 365
Community Plant Variety Office, 386	'substantive' fundamental rights, 350-52
BoA attached to, 134	sufficient remedies (availability), 345-65
companies, 37, 109, 111, 156, 158, 161, 163,	term coined by Herwig C. Hofmann (in
167, 170, 174, 277, 296	footnote), 345
competition law, 183, 300, 307, 314, 316, 349,	conferral, 172, 234, 243, 423
350	confidentiality, 96, 140, 230, 292
Commission's guidelines, 369	in footnote, 140, 252, 299
in footnote, 66, 186	configuration of elites (Andersen), 212
parallel behaviour 'strong evidence' of	constitutional courts, 159, 160, 161, 162, 175
concerted practice, 70	constitutional identity, 159, 175
competition policies, 26, 294	constitutional review, 161, 162
complaints mechanism, 50, 143, 259, 262,	Constitutional Treaty, 423
265, 338, 342	constitutions, 168
complete system of remedies, 4, 8, 16, 227,	rights (first-generation versus second-
244, 327, 424, 430	generation), 159

consumer law, 245, 256	de jure, 280, 284, 287, 289, 307, 309
consumer protection, 238, 242, 256, 380	Demková, Simona, xi, 9, 391–421, 423, 427,
Consumidor.gov.br, 251	428
contradictory debate, 68, 69, 87	Denmark: constitutional identity, 175
Convention. See ECHR	DG COMP, 275, 284, 289–97, 300
corporate procedures: admissibility, 359	access to court, 293-94
Council of Europe. See also ECtHR	access to justice, 294-97
guidelines on ODR mechanisms (2021),	acts in parallel with national authorities, 275
256, 264, 266	Hearing Officers, 295–96, 297, 308
Reykjavik Summit (May 2023), 177	independence, 296–97
Steering Committee for Human Rights, 202	investigative and sanctioning powers, 291
Council of European Union, 18, 24, 26	legal framework (safeguards and defence
Court of First Instance, 183, 217, 354	rights), 291–93
in footnote, 183	manual of procedures, 292
later 'General Court' (qv), 189	political accountability, 294–95
Court of Justice of EU. See CJEU	powers mostly 'of coercive nature', 291
COVID-19 pandemic, 173, 249	Regulation (2003), 290, 291
credit rating agencies (CRAs), 285, 286, 287	Article 11(6), 290
Credit Rating Agency Regulation (CRAR,	Regulation (2004), 291
2011), 286, 287, 288	remedies (judicial), 293–94
Annex III, 287	remedies (non-judicial), 294–97
Article 23(b), 287	Digital Rights Ireland, 214, 215
Article 23(e), 287	Digital Services Act (DSA), 246, 254, 258, 259,
Article 36(a), 287	262
Article 36(e), 287	adoption (2022), 379
cultural and legal frames (Andersen), 212	Article 02(h), in footnote, 258
culture, 252, 264, 284	Article 21, 265
Curia database, 109	Article 34, 380
Curtin, Deirdre: in footnote, 127, 153	out-of-court dispute settlement bodies
cybersecurity, 253, 256	(prospective), 266
Cybersettle, 248, 250	digital sphere: soft law interferences with
Cyprus: pension system, 377	fundamental rights (practice), 379-80
	direct actions, 8, 61, 64, 95, 97, 100, 104, 117,
Data Governance Act (DGA, 2022), 379	211, 318, 328
data protection, 23, 183, 214, 220, 262, 315,	evidentiary requirements (limitation to
396. See also CFR Article 8	private parties' seeking of judicial
AI risks, 400–3	redress, 70
data protection authorities (DPAs), 415	evidentiary requirements (obstruction of
Ireland, 223	access to legal remedy), 70
data protection rules. See also right to data	possibility for third-party interventions, 221
protection	strategic litigation, 216–19
'far from homogeneous', 413	direct concern, 78, 83, 84, 98, 155, 168, 189,
interplay with AI Act Proposal, 412–17	410, 424
Data Retention Directive, 214	applied talis qualis in failure to act
data sharing, 350, 365	proceedings, 79
De Coninck, Joyce, xi, 8, 36–63, 424, 425,	possibility to establish in name of 'effective
426, 429	judicial protection', 85
de facto, 280, 284	probandum, 86
De Fazio, Gianluca: legal opportunity	direct effect doctrine, 78, 95
structures (three dimensions), 212	Directorate General for Competition. See DG
de Gregorio, Giovanni: in footnote, 258	COMP

discretion, 51, 53, 92, 369, 370, 409	Article 13, 190, 256. See also right to remedy
absence of, 79	in footnote, 272
can relate to policy choices and assessment	Article 35, 192
of fact, 361	Article 36, 194
definition, 361	Article 36(4), 197
form a decision might take, 361	Article 46, 40, 184
whether to exercise a power, 361	ECN+ Directive (2019), 292, 295, 297
dispute resolution mechanisms, 227, 229, 230,	e-commerce, 245, 247, 250, 252
234, 236, 240, 241, 243, 244, 245	economic operators, 19, 37, 90, 289
addition of fourth party (technology), 249	economic rights, 156
eBay, 247	challenges to EU trade regimes, 163–67
in footnote, 253	ECtHR, 8, 178, 226, 371, 372, 428
traditional (three-party), 249	admissibility requirements, 191–93
distributed ledger technologies (DLTs), 251	case law, 52, 278
domestic law, 189, 243, 370	case law (quantitative analysis), 40
in footnote, 194	diagnostic test (five main stages) (Letsas),
double jeopardy. See ne bis in idem	371
drones, 391, 398, 402, 405	exhaustion rule, 192
Dublin system, 220	'Greek hot spots for asylum seekers', 2023
due process, 17, 252, 256, 310	(in footnote), 136
Dutch Council for Refugees (DCR), 224	judgments ('binding nature'), 185
duty of care, 407, 408	third-party interventions, 211
duty to give reasons, 355, 356	ECtHR (cases)
Article 41 CFR, 353	A, B and C v Ireland (Grand Chamber,
Dworkin's Herculean judge, 149	2010), 372
2 working receased judge, 149	Al-Jedda v United Kingdom (2011): in
eBay, 246, 247	footnote, 203
eBay: Resolution Centre, 245	Behrami and Saramati case (2007), 203
EBCG Agency. See Frontex	in footnote, 203
EBCG Regulation (2019), xxxviii, 336, 337	Bivolaru and Moldovan case (2021),
Article 097(3), in footnote, 337	182
Article 098, 338	Bosphorus case (2005), 181, 182, 187, 190,
Article 098(1), in footnote, 338	204
Article 111, 335	Cha'are Shalom Ve Tsedek v France (2000),
Article 111(2) (in footnote), 335	372
Article 111(3) (in footnote), 336	Connolly case (2008), 181
in footnote, 135	Dangeville v France (2002), 189
recital 24 (in footnote), 135	Dhahbi case (2014), 188
recital 42 (in footnote), 135	full list, xxviii–xxix
ECHR, 17, 33, 50, 158, 236, 370, 428	Menarini Diagnostics Srl v Italy (2011), 34
EU accession, 177–205, 424	Posti and Rahko v Finland (2002), 190
'just satisfaction' for violations, 40	Sanofi Pasteur v France (2020), 188
ECHR (Articles)	Spasov case, 189
Article 03, 182	ECtHR (intensity of review: four aspects),
in footnote, 188	187–91, 204
Article 06, 33, 188, 190, 256, 296, 308. See	doctrine of positive obligations, 187, 204
also right to fair trial	locus standi requirements, 189
Article 06(1), 188, 189, 190	margin of appreciation, 187
Article 08, 349	'rather procedural <i>Dhahbi</i> case law', 188
in footnote, 188	ECtHR: Practical Guide on Admissibility
Article 09, 372	Criteria (2022), in footnote, 372
* **	

complaints, 339 conformity assessments, 418 double-hatting, 417–21 key to abbreviation (European Data Protection Supervisor), 338 offers' quite effective legal protection', 340 participate in organisation of regulatory sandboxes, 419 role (protecting fundamental rights), 420 role in AI Office, 418 supervisory role, 420 Edwards, Lilian: in footnote, 412 effective judicial protection, 8, 16, 22, 23, 25, 64, 67, 93, 97, 205, 231, 240, 319, 366, 383, 425, 429. See also Article 47 CFR access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee', 73 by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 74, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and remedies, 76 EEctronic Frontications, 188, 245 Electronic frontication, 214 Eliantonio, Mariolina, x	EDPS, 342, 343, 392, 412, 413, 415, 421, 426 clear obligation when dealing with	'outcome-oriented notion', 71, 72 preliminary observations, 71
double-hatting, 417–21 key to abbreviation (European Data Protection Supervisor), 338 offers 'quite effective legal protection', 340 participate in organisation of regulatory sandboxes, 419 role (protecting fundamental rights), 420 role in Al Office, 418 supervisory role, 420 Edwards, Lilian: in footnote, 412 effective judicial protection, 8, 16, 22, 23, 25, 64, 67, 93, 97, 205, 231, 240, 319, 366, 383, 425, 429, See also Article 47 CFR access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee', 73 by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and	complaints, 339	scope, 71
key to abbreviation (European Data Protection Supervisor), 338 offers 'quite effective legal protection', 340 participate in organisation of regulatory sandboxes, 419 role (protecting fundamental rights), 420 role in AI Office, 418 supervisory role, 420 Edwards, Lilian: in footmote, 412 effective judicial protection, 8, 16, 22, 23, 25, 64, 67, 93, 97, 205, 231, 240, 319, 366, 383, 425, 429. See also Article 47 CFR access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee', 73 by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and		uniformity, 71
effet utile, 72 effective legal protection', 340 participate in organisation of regulatory sandboxes, 419 role (protecting fundamental rights), 420 role in Al Office, 418 supervisory role, 420 Edwards, Lilian: in footnote, 412 effective judicial protection, 8, 16, 22, 23, 25, 64, 67, 93, 97, 205, 231, 240, 319, 366, 383, 425, 429. See also Article 47 CFR access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee', 73 by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading for procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition, 67 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and	double-hatting, 417–21	effectiveness test (rules on evidence), 74
effet utile, 72 effective legal protection', 340 participate in organisation of regulatory sandboxes, 419 role (protecting fundamental rights), 420 role in Al Office, 418 supervisory role, 420 Edwards, Lilian: in footnote, 412 effective judicial protection, 8, 16, 22, 23, 25, 64, 67, 93, 97, 205, 231, 240, 319, 366, 383, 425, 429. See also Article 47 CFR access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee', 73 by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading for procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition, 67 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and	key to abbreviation (European Data	effectiveness-rights correlation, 72
offers 'quite effective legal protection', 340 participate in organisation of regulatory sandboxes, 419 role (protecting fundamental rights), 420 role in AI Office, 418 supervisory role, 420 Edwards, Lilian: in footnote, 412 effective judicial protection, 8, 16, 22, 23, 25, 64, 67, 93, 97, 205, 231, 240, 319, 366, 383, 425, 425, 429. See also Article 47 CFR access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee', 73 by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition, 67 definition in action for damages), 87–93 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and	Protection Supervisor), 338	
participate in organisation of regulatory sandboxes, 419 role (protecting fundamental rights), 420 role in AI Office, 418 supervisory role, 420 Edwards, Lilian: in footnote, 412 effective judicial protection, 8, 16, 22, 23, 25, 64, 67, 03, 97, 205, 231, 240, 319, 366, 383, 425, 429, See dlso Article 47 CFR access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee', 73 by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading f 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 thandard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition in fortoote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and	offers 'quite effective legal protection', 340	electronic communications, 188, 245
sandboxes, 419 role (protecting fundamental rights), 420 role in Al Office, 418 supervisory role, 420 Edwards, Lilian: in foothote, 412 effective judicial protection, 8, 16, 22, 23, 25, 64, 67, 93, 97, 205, 231, 240, 319, 366, 383, 425, 429. See also Article 47 CFR access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee', 73 by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 linitr, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'tho-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and		Electronic Frontier Foundation, 214
role (protecting fundamental rights), 420 role in AI Office, 418 supervisory role, 420 Edwards, Liliam: in footnote, 412 effective judicial protection, 8, 16, 22, 23, 25, 64, 67, 93, 97, 205, 231, 240, 319, 366, 383, 425, 429. See also Article 47 CFR access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee', 73 by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 74, 79, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and	sandboxes, 419	
role in Al Office, 418 supervisory role, 420 Edwards, Lilian: in footnote, 412 effective judicial protection, 8, 16, 22, 23, 25, 64, 67, 93, 97, 205, 231, 240, 319, 366, 383, 425, 420. See also Article 47 CFR access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee', 73 by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 13, 75 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and	role (protecting fundamental rights), 420	
Edwards, Lilian: in footnote, 412 effective judicial protection, 8, 16, 22, 23, 25, 64, 67, 93, 97, 205, 231, 240, 319, 366, 383, 425, 429. See also Article 47 CFR access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee', 73 by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 74, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and	role in AI Office, 418	English High Court, 171, 203
effective judicial protection, 8, 16, 22, 23, 25, 64, 67, 93, 97, 205, 231, 240, 310, 366, 383, 425, 429. See also Article 47 CFR access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee', 73 by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71-77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and	supervisory role, 420	entrepreneurs, 163, 167
effective judicial protection, 8, 16, 22, 23, 25, 64, 67, 93, 97, 205, 231, 240, 310, 366, 383, 425, 429. See also Article 47 CFR access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee', 73 by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71-77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and		
64, 67, 93, 97, 205, 231, 240, 319, 366, 383, 425, 429. See also Article 47 CFR access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee', 73 by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and		
366, 383, 425, 429. See also Article 47 CFR access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee', 73 by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and	64, 67, 93, 97, 205, 231, 240, 319,	e-Privacy Directive (2002): in footnote, 413
equal treatment, 23, 28 in footnote, 66, 226 equivalence, 75, 238, 239, 384 in footnote, 66, 226 equivalence, 75, 238, 239, 384 in footnote, 281 error in law, 31, 238 ESM, 167, 173 MoUs, 375, 377, 381 ESM Treaty (2012), 375 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and	366, 383, 425, 429. See also Article	
access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee', 73 by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and		
by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and equivalence, 75, 238, 239, 384 in footnote, 281 error in law, 31, 238 ESM, 167, 173 MoUs, 375, 377, 381 ESM Treaty (2012), 375 ESMA, 274, 307, 308, 309 access to court, 286–88 access to justice, 285–89 availability of judicial review, 287 founding regulation (2010, amended 2019), 288 Article 03, 289 Article 60, 2, 289 independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (indicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer		
by third country, 25 choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and in footnote, 281 error in law, 31, 238 ESM, 167, 173 MoUs, 375, 377, 381 ESM Treaty (2012), 375 ESMA, 274, 307, 308, 309 access to court, 286–88 access to justice, 285–89 availability of judicial review, 287 founding regulation (2010, amended 2019), 288 Article 03, 289 Article 60, 289 Article 60, 289 Article 60, 289 independence, 289 independence, 289 independence, 289 independence, 289 independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer		equivalence, 75, 238, 239, 384
choice of procedures, 95 competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveless Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and	by third country, 25	
competition policies, 26 EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and	choice of procedures, 95	error in law, 31, 238
EU and national institutions (various fields of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and MoUs, 375, 377, 381 ESM Treaty (2012), 375 ESMA, 274, 307, 308, 309 access to court, 286–88 access to justice, 285–89 access to justice, 285–89 access to pustice, 285, 89 arcise to justice, 285–89 access to pustice, 285–89 access to pustice, 285, 89 arcise to justice, 285–89 access to justice, 287 founding regulation (2010, amended 2019), article 62, 289 independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (indicial), 286–89 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer		ESM, 167, 173
of law), 26 holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and ESM Treaty (2012), 375 ESMA, 274, 307, 308, 309 access to count, 286–88 access to justice, 285–89 availability of judicial review, 287 founding regulation (2010, amended 2019), Article 05, 280 Article 05, 280 Article 60(a), 289 Article 60(a), 289 Article 60(a), 289 Article 60(a), 289 independence, 289 'independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308	EU and national institutions (various fields	
holistic reading (some scholarly endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and ESMA, 274, 307, 308, 309 access to court, 286–88 access to justice, 285–89 availability of judicial review, 287 founding regulation (2010, amended 2019), 288 Article 03, 289 Article 60, 287 Article 60(a), 289 Article 60(a), 289 Article 60(a), 289 independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer		ESM Treaty (2012), 375
endorsement), 75 holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and access to court, 286–88 access to justice, 285–89 availability of judicial review, 287 founding regulation (2010, amended 2019), 288 Article 03, 289 Article 05, 289 Article 60(a), 289 Article 60(a), 289 independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	holistic reading (some scholarly	ESMA, 274, 307, 308, 309
holistic reading (some support in case law), 75 holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and access to justice, 285–89 availability of judicial review, 287 founding regulation (2010, amended 2019), 288 Article 03, 289 Article 05, 289 Article 60(a), 289 Article 60(a), 289 independence, 289 'independence, 289 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer		access to court, 286-88
holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and founding regulation (2010, amended 2019), 288 Article 03, 289 Article 60, 289 Article 62, 289 independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer		access to justice, 285-89
holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94 interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and founding regulation (2010, amended 2019), 288 Article 03, 289 Article 60, 289 Article 62, 289 independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	75	availability of judicial review, 287
interrelationship with effective ability to give evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and 288 Article 03, 289 Article 05, 289 Article 60(a), 289 Article 62, 289 independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94	founding regulation (2010, amended 2019),
evidence, 70, 71–77 lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch), 75 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 42, 46, 49, 52, and 59, 289 Article 62, 289 independence, 289 'independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and Article 03, 289 Article 60, 2, 289 independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	interrelationship with effective ability to give	
Article 10-16, 287 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 42, 46, 49, 52, and 59, 289 Article 62, 289 independence, 289 'independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and Article 10-16, 287 Article 42, 46, 49, 52, and 59, 289 Article 62, 289 independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	evidence, 70, 71–77	Article 03, 289
Article 10-16, 287 limit, 32 restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 42, 46, 49, 52, and 59, 289 Article 62, 289 independence, 289 'independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and Article 10-16, 287 Article 42, 46, 49, 52, and 59, 289 Article 62, 289 independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch),	
restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and Article 60(a), 289 Article 62, 289 independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	75	Article 10-16, 287
restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87 standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75 standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and Article 60(a), 289 Article 62, 289 independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	limit, 32	Article 42, 46, 49, 52, and 59, 289
standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition (in footnote), 67 definition (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and independence, 289 'independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	restricted by evidence requirements, 77–87	Article 60(a), 289
between EU and Member states), 76, 77, 94 input legitimacy', 287 'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition (in footnote), 67 definition (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and 'independent agency lacking fully-fledged input legitimacy', 287 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75	Article 62, 289
reflective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity	
'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	between EU and Member states),	'independent agency lacking fully-fledged
'two-speed', 94 effective participation, 68, 70, 94 definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and 'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by private actors, 288 on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	76, 77, 94	input legitimacy', 287
definition, 67 definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and ensured on-site inspections, 307 positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	'two-speed', 94	'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by
definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	effective participation, 68, 70, 94	
definition (in footnote), 67 effective participation (restriction in action for damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and positioned above national authorities, 275 procedural safeguards ('clarity' question), 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	definition, 67	on-site inspections, 307
damages), 87–93 effectiveness Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 'clear definition lacking', 71 national systems of procedures and 287 remedies (judicial), 286–88 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308 ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer		
effectiveness remedies (judicial), 286–88 Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 'clear definition lacking', 71 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308 national systems of procedures and ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer		procedural safeguards ('clarity' question),
Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94 remedies (non-judicial), 288–89 'clear definition lacking', 71 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308 national systems of procedures and ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer	damages), 87–93	
'clear definition lacking', 71 ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308 national systems of procedures and ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer		remedies (judicial), 286-88
national systems of procedures and ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer		remedies (non-judicial), 288–89
remedies, 76 (IIO), 287		
	remedies, 76	(IIO), 287

ECMA D	ELL EQUID
ESMA: Recommendations (2013) on scope of	EU accession to ECHR, 177–205, 424
CRAR, 286	benefits of accession, 179–91
ETIAS, xxxvii, 394–97, 399, 401–4, 405, 408,	external remedies filling two protection
412, 421	gaps, 179, 180–83
risk algorithm, 397, 409, 410, 413, 414	greater coherency between EU and
ETIAS Central Unit 200	ECHR, 179, 183–86 substantive effects of accession on
ETIAS Central Unit, 395, 410, 414	
identical to 'Frontex' (qv), 395 ETIAS National Units, 397, 409	practices, 179, 186–91
	CFSP, 199–204
ETIAS Regulation (2018), 409, 410	conclusion, 204–5
Article 14, 403 Article 33(1), 396	procedural practicalities after accession,
in footnote, 395	191–98 co-respondent mechanism, 194–97, 204
EU	ECtHR admissibility requirements, 191–93
asylum <i>acquis</i> , 377	prior involvement procedure, 197–98, 204
border surveillance (risks to fundamental	shared or concurrent responsibility, 194–97
	would silence 'charges of double standards',
rights), 392–406 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of	180
	EU administrative authorities
legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12	acountability, 351
common asylum policy, 262	infringement of EU fundamental rights, 347
executive actors' 'lack of electoral	EU agencies, 127, 385
accountability', 153	list of, with own BoA (in footnote), 133
'expanding competence', 227, 242	soft law, 369
foreign missions, 171	EU Agency for Asylum. See EUAA
'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53	EU Agency for Large-Scale IT Systems. See eu-
fundamental rights violations (ODR as	LISA
redress mechanism), 261–66	EU authorities, 6–7
fundamental rights violations (role of	definition (broad versus narrow), 6
national courts in redressing),	EU Aviation Safety Agency, 134
155–76	EU citizen, 99, 137, 331
general system of evidence, 64–71	EU consumer ODR platform, 256–58
as lawmaker, 6, 7	design shortcoming, 264
as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23	EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725)
level of democratic accountability 'lags	EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308,
behind' (in footnote), 128	309, 310
multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring	EU factual conduct, 9, 311-44, 359, 364
protection of rights in same fashion),	act with 'binding legal effects', 320-21
307	in footnote, 322
positive obligations (sometimes lacks means	act without 'binding legal effects' (way to
or competence), 53	review legality), 321-22
power, 3, 5	acts of 'physical' conduct, 315
range of action 'ever growing', 99	and fundamental rights, 311, 312-16
response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft	closing legal protection gap, 344
law), 378–79	composite procedures, 358-59
rule intended to confer rights on individuals	conceptual reflections, 311, 312-15
(mode of determination), 48	conclusion, 344
specific interest in upholding fundamental	judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340
rights, 13	action for damages, 324-27
'vast executive expansion since 1990s',	action of annulment, 319–22
123	failure to act, 322–23

gaps and shortcomings, 327-29	looking beyond, 427–28
plea of illegality, 327	principle of autonomy, 236
preliminary reference procedure, 323–24	uniform application (argument to limit
right to effective judicial remedy, 318-19	international arbitration), 238-39
legal protection against fundamental rights	uniformity, 107, 118, 120
violations, 317–18	uniformity of interpretation, 237
non-judicial remedies, 311, 329–40, 341	EU law enforcement
BoAs, 334–35	direct, 281
Frontex fundamental rights complaint	indirect, 280
mechanism, 335-38	'necessitates other forms of control'
legal review of EU executive agencies	(functional perspective), 283
acts, 333-34	EU law enforcement authorities, 9
Ombudsman, 331–33	legal frameworks, 284–305
right to good administration, 329–31	testing of remedies systems, 271-310
overall assessment, 311, 340-42	EU law enforcement authorities (examples)
'prescribed and confined by law', 317	DG COMP (qv), 289–97
reflections and recommendations, 311,	ESMA (qv), 285–89
342-44	OLAF (qv), 297–305
risk for fundamental rights, 315-16	EU legal order, 7, 14, 16, 17, 18, 24, 35, 36,
underpinning implicit legal act, 322	107, 185, 238, 239, 301, 317, 346,
underpinning implicit physical act, 321	356, 406
way forward (effective administrative	arbitration (role), 233, 243
remedies plus judicial review), 344	backbone, 34
EU Human Rights Review Panel, 200	court-centricity (literature survey, in
EU institutions, 116, 151	footnote), 124
failures, 322	effectiveness, 239
'failures' (examples), in footnote, 322	maturity, 35
invocation of fundamental rights protection, 18	preliminary reference procedure, 99
non-judicial, 222–24	principle of freedom of proof, 85
procedural obligations, 14	'shaped like few others by single judicial
EU Integrated Border Management (EIBM),	institution', 124
405	soft law, 367
EU integration	uniformity, 120
centrality of CJEU, 227	EU legislator, 118, 246, 256, 280, 302, 310,
challenges (political rights), 172-74	365, 379, 385, 386, 390, 410, 418,
EU Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)	428, 430
BoA attached to, 134	'can do no wrong', 96, 422
in footnote, 133	EU Review Bodies. See Review Bodies
EU Intellectual Property Office Regulation	EU sanctions, 19, 24, 30, 54, 199, 282, 349
(2017), 385	absence of duty to notify before adoption, 31
EU judicial architecture, 427	factual basis for imposition, 25
composite procedures, 345-65	illegality (whether breach of fundamental
international arbitration (constitutional	rights), 54
limits), 235–40	judicial review (approach), 24
separation of jurisdiction, 364	Kadi judgment (principles), 24
separation of jurisdiction 'cornerstone',	maintaining individual on list, 31
356–57	procedure followed to adopt these measures,
EU law, 99, 103, 111	24
challenge to legality of before CJEU, 16	statement of reasons (appropriateness), 25
declarations of invalidity, 183	third-country compliance with fundamental
full list, xxxiii–xxxix	rights, 25
	-

EU Travel Information and Authorisation	European Code of Good Administrative
System. See ETIAS	Behaviour: in footnote, 331
EUAA, 135, 144, 148, 262, 265, 266, 350, 351	European Commission, 18, 26, 194, 292, 314,
Article 13 of establishing Regulation (2021	349, 351, 362, 369, 378, 382, 385,
version), 377	396
focus, 143	annual reports, 295
FROs (authority and measures), 140, 142,	confidentiality of documents (General
143-44	Court), 96
guidance (2019), 377	ESM MoUs, 375-77
soft law interferences with fundamental	Guidelines to DG COMP on method of
rights (practice), 377-78	setting fines, 292
EUAA: Management Board, 377	'independence questioned', 297
eu-LISA, 351, 392, 395, 413	rules of political accountability to European
EURODAC, 339, 394, 395	Parliament, 294
Euro-expertise, 213, 225, 429	European Commission: Framework
European Anti-Fraud Office. See OLAF	Agreement with EP, 294
European arrest warrant, 185	European Competition Network (ECN), 290,
in footnote, 109	307
European Artificial Intelligence Office. See AI	'lacks legal personality', 293
Office	passim, 293–97
European Asylum Policy Regulation (2021),	rules for case allocation (in footnote), 290
262	European Consumer Centre, 257
European Asylum Support Office, 135	European Convention for Protection of
European Banking Authority (EBA): in	Human Rights and Fundamental
	Freedoms. See ECHR
footnote, 313, 316	
European Board for Digital Services (EBDS),	European Count of Auditors (ECA)
379	European Court of Auditors (ECA), 18,
European Border and Coast Guard Agency.	221–22, 223, 295, 302
See Frontex	European Court of Human Rights. See
European Border and Coast Guard	ECtHR
Regulation. See EBCG Regulation	European Criminal Records Information
(2019)	System (ECRIS-TCN), 394
European Border Surveillance System	European Data Innovation Board (EDIB), 379
(EUROSUR), 339, 398, 399	European Data Protection Board (EDPB),
fusion services, 398	223, 379, 412, 419
European Central Bank, 18, 125, 173, 314,	European Data Protection Supervisor. See
375	EDPS
Administrative Board of Review, 139	European Economic Community, 1
arrangements (procedural and	European exceptionalism, 178
institutional), 140	European Food Safety Authority, 386
opinions 'not legally binding', 139	in footnote, 355
whether may be referred to as a 'BoA' (in	European Investment Bank, 224
footnote), 139	European Ombudsman. See Ombudsman
European Central Bank: Joint Inspection	European Parliament, 18, 27, 145, 262, 289,
Teams, 358	294, 295, 388, 415
European Centre for Disease Prevention and	AI legislation (2023 amendments), in
Control (ECDC) Regulation (2022	footnote, 391
revision), 385	Committee on Petitions, 223
European Chemicals Agency (ECHA)	European Public Prosecutor's Office (EPPO),
BoA attached to, 134	315
in footnote, 134, 334	in footnote, 299, 315, 317, 339
· 21· 221	. ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

European Research Council Executive	evidentiary duties: distribution (definition), 69
Agency (ERCEA), 333	evidentiary entitlements: main purpose
European Research Executive Agency (REA),	(fairness), 68
333	ex ante authorisation, 292, 308
European Securities and Markets Authority.	ex ante consultation, 278, 287
See ESMA	ex hypothesi argument, 80
European Social Charter, 158	ex post, 58, 123, 178, 302, 303, 306, 309
European Stability Mechanism. See ESM	Excessive Deficit Procedure, 168
European Supervisory Authorities (ESAs): in	executive agencies
footnote, 313	legal review (EU factual conduct), 333-34
European Supervisory Authorities: Joint Board	Regulation (2003), xxxiv, 333
of Appeal, 147	in footnote, 329
European Supervisory Authority Regulations	
(2010, amended 2019), 386–89	Facebook, 215, 254, 260
Europol, 63, 395, 396, 409, 420	facti probandi
in footnote, 317	admissibility, 78, 81
Europolis, 173	'relevant facts', 65
Eurozone crisis, 172, 173, 375	facti probans (facts that prove probandum), 80
Eurozone Member States, 375	factual action
evidence	composite procedures, 348–50
associative view, 67	factual conduct, 50, 348, 423
'can hinder judicial protection provided by	examples, 313
Treaty provisions', 70	two different senses, 313
concept and system in EU law, 65	failure to act proceedings
definition (in footnote), 64	admissibility, 70
EU law or general system 'cannot exist', 66	standing (heavy burden of proof), 77–82
general system (EU), 64–71	standing (pleas to lighten burden of proof),
harm suffered (abnormality), 90	82–87
national rules, 66	'fake validity' question (Krajewski), 102
probative value, 69	Fenger, N.: in footnote, 108
procedural perspective, 65	Fink, Melanie, xi, 1–9, 36–63, 142, 422–30
processual perspective, 66	in footnote, 101
secondary law instruments, 66	Foodstuff Directive (1989), 75
sectoral systems, 66	Foodwatch, 173
evidence as enabler or filter (action brought by	foreign policy, 17, 30
private parties), 64–97	'largely exempt from judicial review', 171
action for damages, 87–93	formal legally-binding acts. See legal acts
conclusion, 93–97	(binding)
effective ability to give evidence, 71–77	forum shopping, 225, 288 fourth branch: in footnote, 128
effective judicial protection, 71–77 evidence requirements restricting effective	
	France, 82, 130, 160 Frankfurt administrative court, 164, 165, 166
access to remedy, 77–87 general system of evidence (EU), 64–70	Frankfurt administrative court, 164, 165, 166 Atlanta case, 166
evidence requirements (restriction on effective	
access to remedy), 77–87	free movement, 235, 372, 373, 374 free proof system, 69
burden of proof (pleas to lighten), 82–87	in footnote, 69
direct concern criterion, 78	freedom from harm, 157, 163
individual concern, 78	freedom of assembly, 159, 170
legality review, 77–87	freedom of assembly, 139, 170 freedom of expression, 13, 159, 170, 181, 259
standing (heavy burden of proof), 77–82	Article 11 CFR, 380
evidence rules, 8, 229	freedom of religion, 114, 187
, , ,	O / 1/ **/

freedom of speech, 150, 258, 261 Freiheitliche Partei Österreichs (FPÖ): in footnote, 81 Freiwillige Selbstkontrolle Multimedia-Diensteanbieter (FSM), 260 Frontex, 4, 7, 56, 125, 133, 135, 140, 216, 265, 266, 315, 316, 332, 339, 349, 351, 393, 402, 405, 406, 413, 420, 421, 429 ability to file complaints against, 138 AI systems, 391 AI-powered aerial surveillance, 393–99 automated risk assessments, 393–97 complaint mechanism (EU factual conduct), 335–38 complaints mechanism, 342, 427 revision (2022), in footnote, 335, 338 complaints mechanism (alleged shortcomings), 336–38 forefront of border surveillance, 393–99 FROs (authority and measures), 140–43 FROs (complaint mechanism), in footnote, 126 FROs (expertise and funding), 148, 149 fundamental rights violations, 4 fundamental rights violations, 4 fundamental rights violations, 4 fundamental rights ensitivity (criticism and praise), 142 'lack of individualised accountability mechanisms', 145 maritime operations in Mediterranean, 171 Ombudsman's 'special report' to European Parliament (from 2013), 152 Ombudsman's 'strategic inquiries', 145 structural problems (identification), 143 'team members' (in footnote), 144 Frontex Executive Director (ED), 336–38 Frontex Management Board: in footnote, 336 Frontex Regulation (2019), 263 front-LEX, 216, 218 FROs, 123, 131, 150, 264, 265, 266, 426 access to justice perspective, 150 authority and measures, 140–44, 146 EUAA, 140, 142, 143–44 expertise and funding, 147, 148–49 Frontex, 140–43, 148, 149, 336–37, 339	no authority to remedy complaints, 141 'not yet subject to intense academic scrutiny', 124 'only review admissibility of complaints', 141 recommendations, 124 'relatively novel institutions', 140 responsibilities, 136 roles, 140 situational embeddedness, 140 short portrait, 135–36 'various tools', 138 'fundamental principle of EU law', 42, 231. See also 'general principle of EU law' fundamental rights, 1, 7–8 AI, 391–421 compliance (procedural), 13 compliance (substantive), 13 conceptualised as individual entitlements, 19 concretisation process, 52 courts 'the guardians', 138 essential values of society, 15 EU factual conduct and, 312–16 joint responsibility (EU and Member States), 425 protection through action for annulment (constraint), 20 regulate relationship between EU/Member States versus individuals, 48 reluctance to engage in reasoning, 423–24 risks (EU border surveillance), 392–406 risks (EU factual conduct), 315–16 Fundamental Rights Agency, 138, 140, 152, 398 fundamental rights complaints, 8 framing, 118 further research required, 118 preliminary reference procedure (qv), 98–120 'secondary part' of claims, 117 fundamental rights liability 'clarity' requirement, 52, 53, 61 'unlawfulness' as condition, 47–55 Fundamental Rights Officers. See FROs fundamental rights protection
access to justice perspective, 150	'clarity' requirement, 52, 53, 61
	'unlawfulness' as condition, 47–55
	Fundamental Rights Monitors, 141, 143
expertise and funding, 147, 148-49	Fundamental Rights Officers. See FROs
Frontex, 140–43, 148, 149, 336–37, 339	
'handle serious incident reporting' (internal	action for damages, 36-63
complaint mechanism), 141	better utilisation of action for damages,
'hardly silver bullet against structural flaws',	60–63
142	EU-specific regime, 1
lack of enforcement powers, 265	Review Bodies, 123–54
main task, 137	three levels, 9

fundamental rights violations	'recent expansion', 382
committed by EU itself, 6	'remarkable contribution', 'significant role',
composite procedures, 345–65	28
EU factual conduct, 311-44	standing rules, 24
EU liability to damages (three conditions),	'sufficiently serious breach' test, 50
47	General Court (cases)
international aribitation (as supplementary	ADDE v Parliament (2019), 27
tool), 227–44	Aisha Muammer case (2021), 24
legal protection against EU factual conduct,	Belgium v Commission (2015), 381
317–18	Bowland (2009), 363
ODR mechanisms, 258–61	Branco I ruling (1995), 356
online dispute resolution (ODR), 245–66	Dole Fresh Fruit International case (2003),
role of national courts in redressing), 155–76	-
'simple' versus 'reprehensible', 55	91 Edinger case (2001), 80
structural root causes, 427	
structurar root causes, 42/	FIAMM case (2005), 92, 93
Colombon Mono	France-Aviation case (1995), 354
Galanter, Marc, 225	full list, xxii–xxvi
Garthy, B., 2	Hautala case (1999), xxiii, 200, 201
Gas Directive (2009, amended 2019), 84	Italy v Commission (2020), 28
GC. See General Court	Klymenko v Council (2019), 25
GDPR (2016/679 version), xxxvi, 379, 413	Kočner v EUROPOL (2021), 59
Article 09(2), 415	Malagutti (2004), 363
Article 22, 414	Minister for Justice and Equality (2018),
Article 22(3) as revised in 2017 and 2018,	26
402, 408	Nord Stream 2 case (2020), 84, 85
in footnote, 396	Pharma Mar v Commission (2020), 27
GDPR (2016/680). See Law Enforcement	Prodifarma e.a. v Commission (1990), 71
Directive (2016/680)	Sison v Council (2011), 54
GDPR (2018/1725), xxxvii, 338–40, 413, 417,	Sped-Pro S.A. v Commission (2022), 26
418, 420, 421	T.Port case (2001), 91
Article 52(2), 417	ThyssenKrupp (2018)
Article 63, 417, 418	also General Court case (2011) and
Article 64, 417, 418	CJEU case (2021), xxi, xxiv–xxv, 369,
Article 64(1), in footnote, 341	379
General Court, 14, 20, 134, 192	Tillack case, xxiv
annulment of EU law in 312 cases, 22	in footnote, 316, 323, 324, 326, 330, 339
cases alleging breaches of substantive rights,	Wilson-Holland case (2001): in footnote,
28	354
cases relating to procedural issues, 23	WS and Others v Frontex (2023), 429
in charge of most cases against EU	General Court's jurisprudence (action for
institutions, 20	annulment in EU law), 21–28
competence to hear actions for damages at	influence of procedural rights, 23-28
first instance, 43	numerical evidence, 21–23
confidentiality of Commission documents,	General Data Protection Regulation. See
96	GDPR
fundamental rights (types) receiving special	general interest, 18, 32, 33, 51, 400
attention, 22	'general principle of EU law', 17, 22, 33, 186,
inadmissibility rulings, 168	317, 342, 399, 407
previously 'Court of First Instance', 189	General Product Safety Directive (2002), 351
quantitative influence of EU fundamental	Geneva Convention (1951) and Protocol
rights 'relatively limited', 22	(1967), 144

Gentile, Giulia, xii, 8, 13-35, 422, 424, 426, 429	individual concern probandum, 86
Gerards, Janneke, 372	redefinition suggested by Jacobs (2002), 83
German constitutional court. See	individuals, 8, 9, 18, 111, 116, 156, 161, 174,
Bundesverfassungsgericht	179
Germany, 59, 78, 79, 91, 160, 161, 162	access to justice, 273
constitution, 174	'central role within EU legal system', 36
federal administrative court, 166	important actors, 273
ODR process, 259	individuation: desired level (two criteria), 80
rules on evidence, 75	informalisation, 142, 144, 366, 368, 389
Gkliati, Mariana, 144	instant implicit decision (concept), 321, 322, 325
good administration, 14, 137, 317, 408, 428,	in footnote, 323
See also right to good administration	institutions. See EU institutions
Gragl, Paul, 186	inter partes stage, 291
Grand Chamber, 108, 115, 115, 119	Inter-American Court of Human Rights: in
Greece, xxx, 144, 168, 169, 218	footnote, 40
asylum-seekers (in footnote), 136	international arbitration, 428
constitution, 169	benefits, 229–30
highest administrative court, 169	CJEU approach, 235–39
in footnote, 376	argument of uniform application of EU
Greenpeace, 217	law, 238–39, 240
Grimheden, Jonas, 141, 148	interim conclusion, 239–40
Grimmenstein, Marianne, 174	principle of autonomy, 236–37, 239, 243,
Grozdanovski, Ljupcho, xii, 8, 64–97, 422,	244
424, 425, 426	conclusion, 243–44
Gündel, Jörg, 383	constitutional limits within EU judicial
Canaci, 101g, 303	system, 228, 235–40
Halberstam, Daniel, 152	constitutional potential within EU judicial
Hanf, Dominik: in footnote, 134	system, 228, 240–43
hard law, 367, 388	practical implications, 242–43
harm, 93, 160	way forward, 241–42
Hauer, Liselotte, 165	defining, 228–29
Hearing Officers (DG COMP), 295–96, 297,	EU law, 228, 232–35
308	EU's competence and arbitration, 232–34
Hertog, Leonhard den (in footnote), 337	regulation of arbitration (legal
hierarchy of legal norms, 158	instruments), 234–35
higher law: sources, 15	
Hillion, Christophe, 203	limitations, 230–31 model, 228–31
Hofmann, Andreas, xii, 8, 155–76, 425	new way forward (EU fundamental rights
Hofmann, Herwig: in footnote, 345, 367	violations), 227–44
home, 278, 316. See also CFR Article 7	no provision in 'the Treaties', 232
human dignity, 399, 400. See also right to	
	'should in no way replace EU judicial system', 242
human dignity	
Hungarian constitutional court, 175	supplementary tool for EU fundamental
:	rights violations, 227–44
impartiality, 27, 69, 74, 280, 284, 296, 330,	international commercial arbitration definition, 228
342, 407	
in footnote, 252	International Council for Online Dispute
'in law we trust' presumption, 72	Resolution (ICODR): in footnote, 252
indicia, 70	International Covenant on Civil and Political
individual concern, 79, 80, 82, 83, 98, 155, 173, 189, 190, 216, 410, 424	Rights: compensation for violations, 40
1/5, 109, 190, 210, 410, 424	international human rights, 39, 42, 158, 406

international law, 36, 92, 428	Karagianni, Argyro, xii, 9, 271–310, 423, 425,
list, xxxix	426
private, 228, 232–34, 241	Kelsenian model of hierarchy of norms, 17
public, 38, 39, 57, 233	Kelsenian pyramid of legal sources, 15
international trade, 90, 243	Kenner, Jeff, 374
Internationale Handelsgesellschaft case (1969–	Kerber, Markus C., 173
1974), 163–65	Kosovo, 171, 200
internet, 246, 254, 258	in footnote, 203
Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and	Krajewski, Michał, 6, 19, 145, 148
Numbers (ICANN), 245, 247	Krommendijk, Jasper, xii, 8, 177–205, 424,
in footnote, 253	428
interoperability, 401	
definition (in footnote), 401	Latvia, xxx, 168
Interpol, 395	law enforcement, 241, 423
investor-state arbitration, 237	definition, 271
Ireland, 160, 169, 182, 214, 215, 220	Law Enforcement Directive (2016/680), xxxvi,
Isiksel, T. (in footnote), 185	413
Italy, 74, 77, 175, 213	Article 11, 414
IUROPA dataset, 109	in footnote, 413
	law of evidence: ideal principles, 65
Jääskinen, Niilo, 384	Łazowski, Adam, 201
Johansen, Stian Øby, 171, 205	LED. See Law Enforcement Directive
joint liability	legal action, 209, 218, 277
EU and Member States (action for	legal acts, 2, 314, 321, 327, 334, 407, 423, 424,
damages), 55–60, 62	427
literature (in footnote), 55	EU factual conduct underpinning implicit,
judicial protection	322
essence of EU system of, 425	in footnote, 84, 314, 317, 327, 340
'two-speed', 424	legal acts (binding), 9, 311, 313, 317, 318, 320,
judicial remedies	322, 323, 325, 333, 339, 343
DG COMP, 293–94	definition, 312
ESMA, 286–88	in footnote, 312, 313, 328, 376
EU factual conduct, 318-29, 344	legal acts (non-binding), 313
ex ante, 306	in footnote, 313
limits, 422–23	soft law (qv) , 311
OLAF, 301–2	legal aid, 170, 255
versus non-judicial remedies, 284–305	legal certainty, 34, 55, 230, 306, 307, 428
judicial review	legal culture, 161, 210, 425
definition, 15	legal expertise, 157, 213, 219, 224, 225, 229,
EU executive agencies acts, 333-34	429
evidence requirements restricting effective	in footnote, 147
access to remedy, 77–87	legal mobilisation
hallmark of rule of law, 16	definition, 210
modalities according to which litigants give	literature, 210
evidence, 82	legal opportunities, 210, 213, 226
overview of EU model, 15–17	dimensions (Andersen), 212
plea for lightening admissibility burden (two	legal opportunity structures, 157–60, 211, 212,
types), 82	217, 219, 226
justice (concept): 'humanist approach', 67	access to courts, 160
justiciable rights, 212	availability of rights to challenge EU acts,
EU remedies system, 212	158–59
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*)*))

legal opportunity structures (cont.) closed, 211–12	invocation of fundamental rights protection, 18
legal orders, 103, 156, 186, 273, 281, 307	Memoranda of Understanding (MoU), 167, 168,
coherence, 15	170
legal persons, 38, 116, 192, 222, 263, 276, 289,	Meta, 223
298, 315, 329, 331, 383, 386, 416	Meta Oversight Board, 254, 258
legal profession, 162, 174	annual report, 265
legal professional privilege, 279, 291, 292, 296,	charter (2019), 260
299, 306	first annual report (2022), 261
legislation: full list, xxxiii-xxxix	passim, 260–66
legislative acts: versus 'regulatory acts' (in	procedures for appeals, 260
footnote), 84	statistics, 261
legislative clarity, 34, 310	Meyer, John W.: in footnote, 126, 130, 131,
legislative courts (USA): in footnote, 129	142
legitimacy assets, 128, 140, 152	migration and asylum: further reading (in
legitimate expectations, 55, 103	footnote), 135
Lenaert, Koen, 129	migration law, 7, 213, 222, 225
Leskinen, Charlotte (in footnote), 186	Migration Law Clinic (Vrije Universiteit
Letsas, George, 371	Amsterdam), 225
lex arbitri, 229	Moldova, 237
liability law, 38–43, 62	Möllers, Christoph: in footnote, 128
compensating harm, 38–40	Montaldo, Stefano, 280
compensation can be of pecuniary or non-	Montesquieu, Spirit of Laws (1748): in
pecuniary nature, 40	footnote, 128, 130
preventing undesired behaviour, 41-42	multi-level administration, 55, 61
use of term, 38	. , , , .
in footnote, 38	naming and shaming, 143, 151
vindicating rights, 42-43	in footnote, 313, 316
Libya, 222, 223, 405	national apex courts, 156, 159, 160, 161
litigant characteristics, 160-62	national authorities, 26, 63, 155, 181, 189,
locus standi, 98, 217	265, 275, 279, 285, 300, 309, 394
in footnote, 83	passim, 345–63
lack of argument, 218	soft law, 369, 370, 377, 379
national rules, 100	national competent authority: definition, 417
strict requirements, 178, 183, 189, 192, 198,	national competition authorities (NCAs)
204, 217, 221	'independence questioned', 297
López Zurita, Lucía, xii, 8, 98–120, 425, 428	passim, 289–97
Lucke, Bernd, 173	powers laid down in national law, 292
Lustig, D., 15, 16	national courts, 9, 59, 68, 98, 102, 105, 113,
Luxembourg, 82	114, 185, 238, 247, 324
shorthand for 'CJEU', 106, 224, 307	central role (bringing preliminary reference procedures to CJEU), 104–5
Maas, Herman: in footnote, 369	central role within model established by
maladministration, 132, 133, 137, 145, 149,	Article 267 TFEU, 117
224, 289, 305, 331, 332, 385	composite procedure cases, 345, 356-63
examples (in footnote), 332	EU remedies system (over-reliance on),
Massachusetts Amherst Center, 247	424–26
Mehr Demokratie, 173	inclusion of pre-emptive opinion, 114
Member States, 18, 425, 427	judicial protection of private parties, 78
action for damages (joint liability with EU),	lack competence to rule on OLAF's
55–60	investigative acts, 307
enforcement autonomy, 281	obligation to review preparatory measures,
	362

role, 8	NGOs, 19, 109, 111, 116, 171, 210, 217, 218,
stripped of jurisdiction by CJEU ('certain	222, 263
composite procedures'), 360	non-binding measures, 131, 150, 373
national courts (lists of cases), xxix-xxxi	non-contractual liability, 50, 55, 63, 87, 88, 92,
Belgium, xxix	326, 344, 381
England and Wales, xxxi	Article 340 TFEU (qv), 87, 341
Germany, xxix–xxx	may not differ between EU and Member
Greece, xxx	States, 88
Latvia, xxx	system of evidence (overall design), 88
Netherlands, xxx	non-discrimination, 23, 34, 114, 213, 352, 404,
national courts (role in redressing fundamental	414, See also right to non-
rights violations by EU), 155–76	discrimination
access to courts, 157, 160	in footnote, 66
availability of rights to challenge EU acts,	three EU directives, 226
157, 158–59	non-judicial mechanisms, 6, 274, 302, 312,
chapter offering (limitations), 175	318, 426, 430
conclusions, 174–76	non-judicial remedies, 306, 308
legal opportunity structures, 156, 157–60	DG COMP, 294–97
litigant characteristics, 156, 157, 160–62	ESMA, 288–89
rights-based litigation against EU Acts	EU factual conduct, 329–40, 344
(empirical overview), 156, 162–74	versus judicial remedies, 284–305
civil liberties, 163, 170–71	limits, 308
economic rights, 163–67	non-pecuniary compensation, 40, 52, 62
political rights, 172–74	in footnote, 328
social rights, 163, 167–70	non-pecuniary harm, 38, 40, 326
national judges, 5, 157, 383	'broad notion' in EU liability law, 39
national law, 73, 84, 104, 158, 303, 308, 383	remedies, 39
national litigation culture, 425	non-privileged applicants, 2, 333
national procedural rules, 117, 214, 241, 281,	in footnote, 189
offectiveness (CIFII ease law) 81	non-refoulement, 143, 316, 349, 351, 406, See
effectiveness (CJEU case law), 81 national rules, 74, 81, 100, 163, 220, 221, 425	also CFR Articles 18 and 19
in footnote, 281	norms: types (Terpan), 367
	noyb, 215, 223 Nussbaum, Martha C., 66
national sources of rights: legal mobilisation against EU acts, 155–76	Nussbaum, Martila C., 00
national sovereignty, 307	obiter dictum, 203
in footnote, 66	OCMC. See Online Civil Money Claims
natural language processing (NLP), 250	ODR [online dispute resolution (qv)]
ne bis in idem, 23, 290, 291	Regulation (2013), xxxv, 256, 262
Netherlands, xxx, 213, 224, 248	OLAF, 284, 297–305, 307, 316
district court (in footnote), 185	access to court, 301–2
system of constitutional adjudication	access to count, 301 2
'missing', 162	acts in support of national authorities, 275
welfare allocation scandal, 404	complaints mechanism, 304–5
Netzwerkdurchsetzungsgesetz (NetzDG), 260	digital forensics operations, 299
Neustadt an der Weinstraße administrative	external investigations, 298, 301
court, 165	in footnote, 339
New Public Management, 127	internal investigations, 298
New York City, 248	investigatory body, 298
New York Convention, 230, 234, See also	judicial remedies, 301–2
international arbitration	key to abbreviation, 275
signed (1958), in footnote, 234	legal framework, 299
NextGenerationEU, 173	iegai iiainework, 299
1 text conclusion 1/3	

OLAF (cont.)	redressing fundamental rights violations,
legal framework (revised, 2020), 302	245–66
legal framework (safeguards and defence	technological component, 249–51
rights), 299-301	online dispute resolution mechanisms
mission, 297	Blomgren Amsler framework, 252–53
non-judicial remedies, 302–5	design, 251–53
review (external), 303-5	examples, 246, 254–61
review (internal), 303	EU consumer ODR platform, 254, 256–58
role of Ombudsman, 305, 308	ODR mechanisms set up by judiciary,
OLAF: Controller of Procedural Guarantees,	254–56
303–5, 308	redress of fundamental rights violations,
OLAF: Review Team, 303	254, 258–61
Ombudsman, 123, 140, 150, 223, 264, 265,	stages, 251, 253
266, 289, 339, 426, 428	online dispute resolution redress mechanism
access to justice perspective, 150	for EU fundamental rights violations
authority and measures, 145-46	(design options), 246, 263–66
criticism of Frontex, 152	1. establishment of goals, 263
duties, 132	engagement with stakeholders, 263
EU factual conduct, 331–33, 342	3. consideration of context and culture, 264
expertise and funding, 148, 149	decisions regarding structure and
lack of enforcement powers, 265	procedure, 264–65
legal basis (Article 228 TFEU), 263	5. funding, 265
'major limitation', 332	6. periodic evaluations, 266
mandate, 145	online platforms, 258
'non-binding and structure-focused	DSA definition (in footnote), 258
approach', 145	internal complaint handling system (right to
orientation 'towards improving	appeal), 259
administrative procedures', 137	onus probandi, 93, See also burden of proof
own initiative inquiries, 132, 145	organisational expertise, 137, 147, 148, 149, 152
'quasi-legal route', 284	Owusu-Bempah, Abenaa: procedural abilities,
recommended (twice)establishment of	67
individual review mechanisms to	
Frontex (in footnote), 135	pacta sunt servanda principle, 92
reports, 124, 145	para-law function, 368
right to lodge complaints with (Article 43	Passalacqua, Virginia, 213
CFR), 331	passenger name record (PNR), xxxvi, 394, 404
role vis-à-vis OLAF, 305, 308	in footnote, 394
short portrait, 132–33	Peake, Katrina, 374
soft law, 385	Pergantis, Vassilis Pergantis, 205
strategic inquiries, 133, 145	personal data processing operations, 315 personal interview, 377, 378
'various tools', 138 Online Civil Money Claims, 249, 250, 251,	personal liberties, 170–71
254–56, 258, 263	Pescatore, Pierre, 99
online dispute resolution, 8, 428	physical acts, 313, 320, 321, 322, 323, 325,
definition, 245	332, 333, 344
elements, 246–53	in footnote, 327
from private actors to public sector,	Pijnenburg, Annick, 171
246–53	Plaumann doctrine. See 'CJEU (cases)'
redress mechanism for EU fundamental	plea of illegality
rights violations, 261–66	Article 277 TFEU, 319
design options, 263–66	EU factual conduct, 327
legal basis, 261–63	pleas, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 29, 82
2	

pluralism, 34, 35, 175, 259, 380	limit (3) procedural freedom of court,
PNR. See passenger name record	104, 107–8
Polakiewicz, Jörg (in footnote), 186	private applicants and fundamental rights
policy cycle, 368, 370	claimants, 112
Polish constitutional court, 175	framing of claim, 112
political accountability, 289, 306, 308	fundamental rights treatment by CJEU,
DG COMP, 294-95	112
OLAF, 302	private applicants and fundamental rights
political opportunity structures, 211	(assessment), 100, 116–19
political rights, 156	private applicants and fundamental rights
challenges to EU policies and EU	(mapping), 100, 111–15, 116
integration, 172–74	case distribution among chambers, 115
political will, 152, 284	claimants, 111-13
Portugal, 160, 168, 169, 170, 375	framing of claim, 113-14
Portuguese Constitutional Court: in footnote,	framing of claim (breach of fundamental
376	rights or CFR used without specific
post-legislative guidance, 368, 377	fundamental rights framing, 113
post-legislative soft law, 368, 369	fundamental rights treatment by CJEU,
Poulou, Anastasia, 376	114–15
praemissa maior (higher law), 15	intervention of EU institutions, 116
praemissa minor (secondary measures), 15	policy areas, 113
preliminary reference procedure, 8, 95, 190,	summary of findings, 112
211, 224, 225, 282, 371, 425, See	questions of interpretation, 102, 108, 110,
also TFEU: Article 267	113, 118, 119
admissibility, 323	raison d'être, 107
	references on validity, 101, 102
constraints, 383–84 EU factual conduct cases, 323–24	reformulation, 108, 114
judicial review (difficulties), 323 limitations, 119	use against EU (inherent limitations), 100, 103–8, 118
mobilisation (strategic litigation), 213–16	use against EU (possibilities), 100–3
possibility for third-party interventions, 219	challengeable acts, 100–1
role, 99	types of grounds, 103
soft law, 382–84 whether also 'citizens' infringement	types of questions, 101–2
	validity rulings, 102, 108, 110, 113, 114,
procedure', 99	118, 119
'works as decentralised infringement	'prescribed by law', 368, 370, 372
procedure', 99	presumption of innocence, xxxvi, 23, 69
preliminary reference procedure (fundamental	pre-trial ODR, 254
rights complaints), 98–120	primary law, 2, 76, 96, 101, 102, 117, 119, 120,
conclusion, 119–20	172, 180, 195, 331, 423
empirical material and research process,	in footnote, 341, 414
100, 109–11	includes CFR, 99
claimants, 109	principle of equality, 170
framing of claim, 110	between men and women, 74
fundamental rights treatment, 110	principle of equality of arms, 68, 85
empirical material and research process	in footnote, 68
(limitation of study), 109	principle of legality, 280, 291, 306, 317
inherent limitations in procedure	privacy, 13, 183, 223, 253. See also 'right to
limit (1) central role of national courts,	privacy' and 'right to respect for
104-5	private life'
limit (2) reduced role of parties in	AI risks, 400–3
proceedings, 104, 105–6	Privacy International, 214

private actors, 155, 266, 276, 277, 285, 286,	quasi-judicial, 334, 415
287, 289, 306, 307, 308, 428	in footnote, 258
private applicants: fundamental rights	meaning 'often remains unclear' (in
complaints (assessment), 100,	footnote), 139
116–19	quid iuris, 353
private law, 38, 39, 232, 246	quia iuris, 353
in footnote, 133	Rademacher, Timo, 50, 344
private parties, 9, 386, 425	in footnote, 319, 325, 328
action before EU courts (evidence as	Ranchordás, Sofia, 420
enabler or filter, qv), 64–97	Rapid Exchange of Information System
availability of remedies (judicial and non-	(RAPEX), 351, 352, 363, 364
judicial), 306	ratione personae, 181
vindication of fundamental rights within EU	Rauchegger, Clara, xii, 8, 36–63, 424, 425,
remedies system, 4	426, 429
pro bono legal clinics, 161, 171	Raz, Joseph: in footnote, 15
probandum, 79, 84, 93	receptivity of judiciary, 157, 162, 174, 212
in footnote, 83	CJEU judgment on politically salient issues,
probatio diabolica, 81	212
procedural abilities, 67, 82, 86, 90, 93	Rechtwijzer platform (2014–2017), 248, 250
effectiveness, 93	in footnote, 248
litigants' entitlements, 67	recurso de amparo, 3
theoretical vantage point, 73	Redressing Fundamental Rights Violations by EU $$
procedural autonomy, 74, 77, 94, 306, 307,	book aim, 4–5
383	book scope, 5–8
procedural entitlements, 68, 74, 75, 87	three lines of enquiry, 4
procedural fairness, 23, 25, 28, 67, 96, 308	Redressing Fundamental Rights Violations by
procedural law, 65, 94, 95, 117, 232, 362	EU (book scope), 5–8
procedural rights, 75, 295, 307, 330, 348, 391,	EU authorities, 6–7
421	fundamental rights, 7–8
CJEU, 30–35	remedies system, 5–6
composite procedures, 352–56	Redressing Fundamental Rights Violations by
General Court, 23–28	EU (book structure), 8–9
professional associations, 169, 174	conclusion, 422–30
profiling, 396, 401	final remarks, 429–30
GDPR definition (in footnote), 396	pushing boundaries, 8, 245–66
prohibition of torture, 171, 188, 316	remedies before CJEU, 8, 98–120
Article 4 CFR, 349	remedies beyond CJEU, 8, 123-54
in footnote, 52	testing of remedies systems, 8–9, 391–421
proportionality, 32, 51, 164, 234, 243, 280,	Regulation 1049/2001 (right to access
291, 292, 306, 317, 373, 386, 415	documents), 85
public administration, 312, 314	Regulation 2019/1896. See EBCG Regulation
accountability, 331	(2019)
public interest, 18, 33, 51, 136, 160, 214, 231	regulatory acts, 18, 83, 84
public sector purchasing programme (PSPP),	in footnote, 84
173	regulatory sandboxes, 418, 419, 420
pushing boundaries, 8, 245–66	Regulatory Scrutiny Board, 389
international arbitration (supplementary tool	relevance, 65, 70, 77
for EU fundamental rights	definition (in footnote), 77
violations), 227–44	remedies before CJEU, 8, 98–120
online dispute resolution (ODR), 245–66	action for annulment, 13-35
strategic litigation, 8, 209–26	action for damages, 36–63

., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., .	
evidence as enabler or filter (action brought	peril of ceremony (mimicry of justice),
by private parties), 64–97	130–31, 150
preliminary reference procedure	tripartite government, 128–30
(fundamental rights complaints),	authority emerges 'only incrementally', 145,
98–120	146, 148, 149
remedies beyond CJEU, 8, 123–54	characteristics (taxonomy), 124, 136–50
EU accession to ECHR, 177-205	authority and measures, 136, 138-46, 149
national courts (role in redressing violations	expertise and funding, 137, 146–49, 150
by EU), 155–76	interim conclusion, 132-50
Review Bodies, 123–54	orientation towards public or individual
remedies system, 4, 5–6, 9	interest, 136, 137–38
creative use, 221–25	complentarity, structure, ambivalence, 123–54
definition, 5	conclusion (key takeaways), 124, 151, 153-54
filling the gaps, 430	crucial advantage, 151
final remarks, 429–30	definition, 124
gaps, 423	'issue non-binding normative material', 140,
jewels in crown, 16	146
'simply outdated', 429	'less formal authority than courts', 126
testing, 391–421	'most efficient when teaming up with other
whether has potential to enable individuals	accountability forums', 146
to vindicate their fundamental rights,	non-binding normative output, 151
5	possibilities for reform, 124, 150–53
whether infringements of rights should be	more money, more wit, 152–53
treated differently from other	teaming up, 150-52, 153
breaches of EU law, 5	'quick fix to EU executive's accountability
whether tailor-made required, 5	and legitimacy deficits', 130
remedies system (limits), 422–26	role in protecting fundamental rights, 123-54
judicial remedies and outdated vision of EU	short portraits, 124, 132–36
as lawmaker, 422–23	structural issues, 151
over-reliance on national courts, 424-26	Review Bodies (three types)
reluctance to engage in fundamental rights	Boards of Appeal (qv), 133–34
reasoning, 423–24	Fundamental Rights Officers (qv), 135–36
remedies system (potential), 426–29	Ombudsman (qv) , 132–33
applicants with agency, 428–29	reviewable act, 35, 321, 328, 346, 357, 409
looking beyond action for annulment, 426	Article 263 TFEU, 322, 341
looking beyond CJEU, 426–27	right to access courts, 68, 281, 293, 306
looking beyond EU law, 427–28	right to access documents, 27, 85, 291, 292,
technology as opportunity, 428	296, 300, 306
repeat litigants, 172, 174, 222, 225	right to access lawyer, 292, 299, 306
res judicata, 230, 231, 238, 239	right to asylum, 316, 349, 377, 391, 398, 406
Research Network on EU Administrative Law	Article 18 CFR, 405
(ReNEUAL): in footnote, 343	right to avoid self-incrimination, 279, 291, 296,
Ress, J., 190	299, 306
Review Bodies, 8, 123–54, 426, 427	'right to remain silent', 292
access to justice perspective, 150	right to be heard, 27, 28, 31, 68, 280, 291, 292,
advantages, 126	296, 306, 348, 353, 354
ambivalent new normal, 124-32	Article 41 CFR, 353
complementarity and structural focus,	general obligation, 28
125–26	right to conduct business, 45, 46, 85, 103, 164,
functional differentiation, 127–28	187, 276, 279, 316, 351
interim conclusion, 132	Article 16 CFR (qv) , 352

right to consumer protection: Article 38 CFR,	right to respect for private and family life, 45,
380	278, 315, 352, 375. See also 'right to
right to data protection, 45, 332, 338-40, 380,	privacy'
400	Article 7 CFR (qv), 380
Article 8 CFR (qv), 352	in footnote, 188
right to decent living, 169, 170	right to respect for rights of child, 351
'right to fair working conditions', 44, 45	Article 24 CFR, 380
'right to work', 159	right to social security, 157, 167
right to effective defence, 25, 31, 44, 68, 91	right to vote, 157, 159, 174
right to effective remedy, 9, 14, 37, 42, 50, 61,	'right to democracy', 174
73, 74, 75, 82, 85, 86, 93, 114, 130,	'right to free and fair elections', 172
241, 256, 281, 318, 323, 341, 346,	rights enforcement, 241, 244, 428
365, 408, See also effective judical	Rocca, Penelope, 368
protection	Romania, 168, 170
Article 13 ECHR (in footnote), 272	Rowan, Brian: in footnote, 126, 130, 131, 142
Article 47 CFR (qv), 53, 353, 406	rule (supremacy) of law, 2, 14, 34, 140, 317,
EU factual conduct, 318–19	362, 365, 399, 400, 419, 426, 429
procedural, 82	crucial manifestion, 16
right to fair trial, 44, 67, 68, 74, 75, 85, 166,	different visions, 35
185, 256, 278, 291, 298, 306	essence, 406
Article 47(2) CFR, 75 Article 6 ECHR, 256	EU notion (CJEU articulation), 34
in footnote, 14, 296	requirements on individuals, 271 requirements on those who govern, 271
right to good administration, 22, 27, 44, 141,	role, 271
277, 306, 310, 391, 407, 408, 421	Rule of Law Conditionality Framework, 34
Article 41 CFR (qv), 52, 353, 407	evidence-based approach, 34
EU factual conduct, 329–31	rule of law crisis, 156, 297
right to health care, 157, 159, 169	rule of law debate, 272
right to housing, 159, 167	rules (tenets) of law, 88, 89, 325, 332
right to human dignity, 170, 316, 377	rules of evidence
Article 1 CFR (qv), 380	national, 74
right to liberty, 315	national (unrealistic burdens on private
Article 6 CFR, 349	parties), 81
right to life, 53, 157, 163, 170, 171, 316, 332,	Rules of Procedure of Court of Justice. See
	CJEU (Rules of Procedure)
372 Article 2 CFR, 405	Russian Federation
right to non-discrimination, 45, 391, 403, 405,	EU soft law, 378–79
	exclusion from Council of Europe, 177
421 Article 21 CFR (qv), 380, 403	exclusion from Council of Europe, 1//
right to occupational freedom, 156, 165, 166	Sarmiento, Daniel, 105
right to becupational needom, 130, 103, 103	Scandinavia, 160, 161
Article 3 CFR, 316	Schengen Area, 392, 395, 397, 399
'right to integrity of person', 316	Schengen Information System (SIS), 351, 352,
'right to security of person', 315	363, 394, 395, 412
right to seeding of person, 315 right to privacy, 23, 170, 278, 282, 291, 298,	in footnote, 317
306, 332, 400	Schengen Visa Code, 378
Article 7 CFR, 306	Schermers, H.: in footnote, 106
in footnote, 271	Schmidt-Kessen, Maria José, xii, 8, 245–66,
right to property, 23, 33, 38, 45, 46, 85, 156, 159,	428
165, 166, 169, 182, 279, 316, 376	Scholten, Miroslava, xii, 9, 271–310, 423, 425,
Article 17 CFR, 316	426
	т-~

Salarana Maria III 0	1. 0 0
Schramm, Moritz, xii, 8, 214–15, 262, 426, 427	remedies, 380–89
in footnote, 6	action for damages, 381–82
Schrems, Maximilian, 215, 223	administrative review, 384–88, 390
secondary law, 96, 99, 102, 191, 198, 199, 289,	possible way forward (three issues),
420	388–89
secondary legislation, 47, 63, 101, 102, 117,	preliminary reference procedure, 382–84
234, 238, 242, 311, 342	unavailability of action for annulment, 381
concretisation of CFR (greater chance to	risks for EU executive overreach, 371
hold EU liable), 46	'unclear legal status', 288
in footnote, 341	soft-law documents, 277, 285
secondary measures, 15, 17	soft-law guidance, 277, 310, 368, 420
security threats, 394, 397	soft-law instruments, 277, 292, 294, 306, 307
Senden, Linda, 367, 368	software, 248, 249, 250, 251, 398
separation of powers, 128, 145	solange (German, 'as long as'), 164
shield, 271, 273	Solum, Lawrence B.: definition of effective
in footnote, 271	participation (in footnote), 67
Single Supervisory Mechanism (SSM), 314 small claims, 254, 258	Spain, 160, 239 stakeholders, 252, 255, 258, 263
Snowden, Edward, 215	standards of proof, 65, 69
social movements, 210, 211	beyond reasonable doubt, 69
social rights, 156	in footnote, 65
challenges to EU-induced austerity, 167–70	preponderance of evidence, 69
soft law, 6, 9, 50, 276, 277, 287	standing, 333. See also locus standi
admissibility requirements, 388, 389	heavy burden of proof, 77–82
author and addressee, 369	strict rules under Article 263(4) TFEU (in
challenges to access to justice, 366-90	footnote), 83
conclusion, 389–90	state liability: system of evidence, 88
constitutional relevance, 371	statement of objections (SO), 292
definition (Senden), 367	Stefan, Marco (in footnote), 337
ex ante participation of private actors, 287	Strasbourg: shorthand for 'ECtHR' (qv), 185
features, 367	strategic litigation, 8, 209-26
in footnote, 314	creative use of remedies system, 210, 221-25
functions, 368-70	calling upon non-judicial institutions,
implementation (different ways), 374	222-24
interferences with fundamental rights	informal involvement, 224-25
(practice), 375–80	petition to European Court of Auditors,
area of freedom, security and justice	221-22
(AFSJ), 377–79	definition, 209
digital sphere, 379–80	EU as system with closed legal opportunity
economic coordination and Euro crisis, 377	structures, 210, 211–12
EU's response to Russia's invasion of	lessons (informing future action), 211,
Ukraine, 378–79	225–26
EUAA, 377–78	successful mobilisation before CJEU
interferences with fundamental rights	(examples), 210, 213–21
(theory), 370–75	direct actions, 216–19
judicial remedies, 390	mobilising preliminary reference
'no watertight system of remedies', 423	procedure, 213–16
non-binding nature, 366, 382, 388	third-party interventions, 219–21
not easily amenable to judicial review, 306	structural issues, 151, 152, 153
possibility to question through preliminary	structure and internal practice: literature
reference procedure, 288	survey (in footnote), 126

subsidiarity, 17, 234, 243	Article 067, 234
substantive expertise, 137, 147, 148, 151	Article 075, 17
substantive law, 95	Article 078, 262
in footnote, 189	Article 078(2)(d), 262
sufficiently serious breach, 47, 49-53, 61, 62,	Article 081, 234
93, 325, 382	Article $081(2)(g)$, in footnote, 234
CJEU's approach in fundamental rights	Article 087(2)(a): in footnote, 413
cases, 53–55	Article 101, 289, 290
decisive test, 89	in footnote, 317
non-contractual liability cases, 88	Article 102, 71, 289, 290
sword, 271, 273	Article 114, 262, 410
in footnote, 271	in footnote, 234
111 100tHote, 2/1	Article 126(7), 375
Taobao platform (Alibaba) 250	Article 215, 17
Taobao platform (Alibaba), 250	Article 226, 294
TEC: Articles 288(1) and 288(3), in footnote,	
88	Article 227, 222
technology as opportunity, 428	Article 228, 263, 266
TEEC	in footnote, 330
Article 173 (currently Article 263 TFEU,	Article 228(1), 132
qv), 78, 79	Article 245, 297
Article 220, 232	Article 256(1), 43
Terpan, Fabien, 367	Article 257, 134
territoriality, 357, 364	Article 258, 70
testing of remedies systems, 391-421, 423	Article 263, 2, 16, 24, 32, 50, 62, 64, 78, 82,
access to justice, 271-310	83, 86, 98, 101, 104, 117, 189, 190,
AI, 391–421	277, 282, 319–22, 327, 333, 334,
composite procedures, 345–65	338, 341, 343, 344, 362, 370, 376,
EU factual conduct, 311–44	381, 386, 387, 388, 389. See also
EU law enforcement authorities, 271-310	action for annulment
soft law, 366–90	admissibility requirements, 335, 370
TEU	in footnote, 328
Article 02, 2, 16, 34, 399	narrow interpretation 'remains contested',
Article 03, 72	99
Article 03(2), 234	Article 263(4), 3, 77, 81, 82, 83, 84, 94, 192
Article $o_4(3)$, 282	in footnote, 84, 189
Article o6, 16	Article 265, 64, 80, 81, 82, 86, 218, 322. See
Article 06(1), 188	also action for failure to act
Article o6(3), 186	Article 265(3), 192
Article 17(8) (power of EP to dismiss	Article 267, 16, 117, 119, 190, 193, 323–24,
Commission), 294	341, 357, 382
Article 19, 16, 86	drafting 'minimalistic', 98
Article 19(1), 384	passim, 100–4
Article 24, 199	
	primary purpose, 213 Article 267(3), 83, 94
Article 40, 201	Article 268, 3, 192
Article 40(1), 199 Title V, 200	
*	Article 268 juncto 340, 324
Title V, chapter 2, 18	action for damages (in footnote), 319
TFEU A CACA	Article 270, 192
Article 016, 262	Article 272, 192
Article 019, 200, 262	Article 275, 18, 24, 199, 200, 201
Article 026, 262	Article 277, 190, 319, 327

Article 278: in footnote, 328	UN Guidelines on Violations of International
Article 279: in footnote, 328	Humanitarian Law
Article 285, 295	definition of 'compensation' versus
Article 286, 295	'satisfaction', 39
Article 287, 295	definition of 'full reparation', 39
Article 288: in footnote, 312, 313, 314	UN Security Council, 30
Article 298(1): 'open, efficient and	UNCITRAL, 251, 253
independent administration', 330	undertakings, 92, 290, 292, 295
Article 340, 3, 61, 64, 87, 88, 89, 90, 93,	in footnote, 317
192, 216, 218, 324–27, 344	Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts
'high restrictive thresholds regarding EU	Directive (1998), 242
liability conditions', 341	UNHCR, 220, 224
Article 340(2), 43, 47	United Kingdom, xxxi, 73, 160, 193, 213, 220,
Article 340(3): in footnote, 88	254
Article 344, 237	United States, 92, 215, 248
the Court. See CJEU	constitution, 161
	federal rules of evidence, 65
the Treaties, 4, 5, 16, 17, 18, 19, 65, 243, 324,	unlawful conduct, 47, 48, 50, 56, 57, 87, 88,
328, 340, 426	
third parties, 20, 51, 152, 213, 215, 224, 226,	91, 92, 93, 194 as condition for EU fundamental rights
253, 296, 298, 305, 320, 321, 333,	
339	liability, 47–55
strategic litigation, 219–21	user interface, 264
Timmermans, Christiaan, 183	USA (in footnote), 264
in footnote, 186	1: 1: C 1 C 1 C
trade unions, 168, 169, 174, 187	validity. See also reformulation
transparency, 144, 231, 256, 338, 404, 409	van den Brink, Ton, 368
OLAF, 302	van der Pas, Kris, xii, 8, 171, 209–26, 425, 429
Treaties of Rome (1957), 1	Vanhala, Lisa, 212
Treaties on which EU based. See 'the Treaties'	Verfassungsbeschwerde, 3
Treaty Establishing European Economic	vertical composite procedures. See composite
Community. See TEEC	procedures
Treaty of Amsterdam, 233	Villiger, Mark Eugen:in footnote, 371
Treaty of Lisbon, 3, 76, 83, 84, 100, 101, 172,	Visa Information System (VIS), 394, 395
175, 234, 384, 385	Visa Requirement Regulation (2018), 379
entry into force (1 December 2009), 109	von der Boegart, Sina, 152
in footnote, 189	
Treaty of Maastricht, 159, 172, 174, 233	Waelbroeck, D.: in footnote, 106
Treaty on European Union. See TEU	Weiler, Joseph H.H., 15, 16
Treaty on Functioning of EU. See TFEU	Werkmeister, Christophe (in footnote), 84
tripartite government, 128-30	Wessel, Ramses, 201, 203
trust, 72, 247, 248, 252, 264	WHO, 396
Turkey, 218, 405	Wildemeersch, Jonathan, 75, 76
, , , ,	Wróblewski, Jerzy, 65
Uitelkaar.nl platform (2017–): in footnote,	wrongful act, 376, 405
248	definition, 89
Ukraine, 237	WTO, 92
EU soft law, 378–79	• /
ultima ratio, 72	Xanthoulis, Napoleon: in footnote, 313
ultra vires control, 159	, 1
UN Charter, 30	Yefremova, Veronika, xii, 8, 227–44, 428
- · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,,, ,