*Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **90** (2014), 521–**524** doi:10.1017/S0004972714000318

## A NOTE ON SPACES WITH A RANK 3-DIAGONAL

# WEI-FENG XUAN<sup>™</sup> and WEI-XUE SHI

(Received 5 March 2014; accepted 4 April 2014; first published online 19 May 2014)

#### Abstract

We prove that if X is a space satisfying the discrete countable chain condition with a rank 3-diagonal then the cardinality of X is at most c.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 54D20; secondary 54E35. *Keywords and phrases*: cardinality, DCCC, rank 3-diagonal.

## 1. Introduction

Diagonal properties are useful in estimating the cardinality of a space. For example, Ginsburg and Woods [4] proved that the cardinality of a space with countable extent and a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal is at most c. Therefore, if X is Lindelöf and has a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal then  $|X| \leq c$ . However, the cardinality of a regular space with countable Souslin number and a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal need not have an upper bound [6, 7]. Buzyakova [2] proved that if a space X with countable Souslin number has a regular  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal then the cardinality of X does not exceed c.

Rank 3-diagonal is one type of diagonal property. In this paper, we prove that if X is a DCCC space (defined below) with a rank 3-diagonal then the cardinality of X is at most c.

## 2. Notation and terminology

All spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff unless otherwise stated.

The cardinality of a set X is denoted by |X|, and  $[X]^2$  will denote the set of twoelement subsets of X. We write  $\omega$  for the first infinite cardinal and c for the cardinality of the continuum.

**DEFINITION 2.1** [8]. We say that a space X satisfies the discrete countable chain condition (or that X is DCCC) if every discrete family of nonempty open subsets of X is countable.

The authors are supported by NSFC project 11271178.

<sup>© 2014</sup> Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 0004-9727/2014 \$16.00

If *A* is a subset of *X* and  $\mathcal{U}$  is a family of subsets of *X*, then  $St(A, \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ . We also put  $St^0(A, \mathcal{U}) = A$  and, for a nonnegative integer *n*,  $St^{n+1}(A, \mathcal{U}) = St(St^n(A, \mathcal{U}), \mathcal{U})$ . If  $A = \{x\}$  for some  $x \in X$ , then we write  $St^n(x, \mathcal{U})$  instead of  $St^n(\{x\}, \mathcal{U})$ .

**DEFINITION 2.2** [1]. A diagonal sequence of rank k on a space X, where  $k \in \omega$ , is a countable family  $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega\}$  of open coverings of X such that  $\{x\} = \bigcap \{\operatorname{St}^k(x, \mathcal{U}_n) : n \in \omega\}$  for each  $x \in X$ .

**DEFINITION 2.3** [1]. A space *X* has a rank *k*-diagonal, where  $k \in \omega$ , if there is a diagonal sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega\}$  on *X* of rank *k*.

Therefore, a space *X* has a rank 3-diagonal if there exists a diagonal sequence on *X* of rank three, that is, there is a countable family  $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega\}$  of open covers of *X* such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\} = \bigcap \{ St^3(x, \mathcal{U}_n) : n \in \omega \}$ .

All notation and terminology not explained here is given in [3].

### 3. Results

We will use the following countable version of a set-theoretic theorem due to Erdős and Radó.

**LEMMA** 3.1 [5, Theorem 2.3]. Let X be a set with |X| > c and suppose that  $[X]^2 = \bigcup \{P_n : n \in \omega\}$ . Then there exist  $n_0 < \omega$  and a subset S of X with  $|S| > \omega$  such that  $[S]^2 \subseteq P_{n_0}$ .

**LEMMA** 3.2. Let X be a space with a rank 3-diagonal. If |X| > c, then there exists an uncountable closed discrete subset of X which has a disjoint open expansion.

**PROOF.** Since *X* has a rank 3-diagonal, there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_m : m \in \omega\}$  of open covers of *X* such that  $\{x\} = \bigcap \{\operatorname{St}^3(x, \mathcal{U}_m) : m \in \omega\}$  for every  $x \in X$ . We may assume that  $\operatorname{St}^3(x, \mathcal{U}_{m+1}) \subseteq \operatorname{St}^3(x, \mathcal{U}_m)$  for any  $m \in \omega$ . For  $n \in \omega$ , let

$$P_n = \{\{x, y\} \in [X]^2 : n = \min\{m \in \omega : x \notin \operatorname{St}^3(y, \mathcal{U}_m)\}\}.$$

Thus,  $[X]^2 = \bigcup \{P_n : n \in \omega\}$ . Then, by Lemma 3.1, there exists a subset *S* of *X* with  $|S| > \omega$  and  $[S]^2 \subseteq P_{n_0}$  for some  $n_0 \in \omega$ .

Now we show that S is closed and discrete and it has a disjoint open expansion.

*Fact 1.* It is evident that  $\{St(x, \mathcal{U}_{n_0}) : x \in S\}$  is an uncountable pairwise-disjoint family of nonempty open sets of *X*.

*Fact 2. S* is closed and discrete. If not, let  $x \in X$  and suppose that *x* is an accumulation point of *S*. Since *X* is  $T_1$ , each neighbourhood of *x* meets infinitely many members of *S*. Therefore, there exist distinct points *y* and *z* in  $S \cap \text{St}(x, \mathcal{U}_{n_0})$ . Thus,  $y, z \in \text{St}(x, \mathcal{U}_{n_0})$ ; by symmetry,  $x \in \text{St}(y, \mathcal{U}_{n_0})$  and  $x \in \text{St}(z, \mathcal{U}_{n_0})$ , which implies that  $x \in \text{St}^2(y, \mathcal{U}_{n_0}) \subseteq \text{St}^3(y, \mathcal{U}_{n_0})$ . This is a contradiction. Thus, *S* has no accumulation points in *X*; equivalently, *S* is a closed and discrete subset of *X*. This completes the proof.

523

**THEOREM** 3.3. If X is a DCCC space and has a rank 3-diagonal, then the cardinality of X does not exceed c.

**PROOF.** Assume the contrary. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that  $\{St(x, \mathcal{U}_{n_0}) : x \in S\}$  is an uncountable pairwise-disjoint family of nonempty open sets of *X*. It must have a cluster point  $y \in X$ , since *X* is DCCC. We show that  $y \in St^2(S, \mathcal{U}_{n_0})$  by proving the following statement.

Claim.  $\overline{\operatorname{St}(S, \mathcal{U}_{n_0})} \subset \operatorname{St}^2(S, \mathcal{U}_{n_0}).$ 

To prove the claim, pick any  $y \in \overline{\text{St}(S, \mathcal{U}_{n_0})}$ . Clearly,  $\text{St}(y, \mathcal{U}_{n_0}) \cap \text{St}(S, \mathcal{U}_{n_0}) \neq \emptyset$ . By symmetry,  $y \in \text{St}^2(S, \mathcal{U}_{n_0})$ . This proves the claim.

Now we assume that  $y \in \operatorname{St}^2(x_0, \mathcal{U}_{n_0})$  for some  $x_0 \in S$ . It is clear that  $\operatorname{St}^2(x_0, \mathcal{U}_{n_0}) \cap$ St $(x, \mathcal{U}_{n_0}) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $x \in S \setminus \{x_0\}$ , since otherwise  $x \in \operatorname{St}^3(x_0, \mathcal{U}_{n_0})$ . This shows that y is not a cluster point of  $\{\operatorname{St}(x, \mathcal{U}_{n_0}) : x \in S\}$ . This is a contradiction and proves that  $|X| \leq c$ .

If we drop the condition 'DCCC' in Theorem 3.3, the conclusion is no longer true, as can be seen in the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.4. Let *D* be a discrete space with  $|D| = 2^{c}$ . Clearly, it has a rank 3-diagonal and it is not DCCC.

We say that a space *X* has the countable chain condition (CCC) if any disjoint family of open sets in *X* is countable; a space *X* is star countable if whenever  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of *X*, there is a countable subset *A* of *X* such that  $St(A, \mathcal{U}) = X$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** 

- (1) A CCC space X is DCCC.
- (2) A star countable space X is DCCC.

**PROOF.** (1) is immediate from the definitions. To prove (2), assume that *X* has an uncountable discrete family  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha < \Lambda\}$  of nonempty open sets of *X*. For each  $\alpha < \Lambda$ , pick a point  $x_{\alpha} \in U_{\alpha}$ . Let  $F = \{x_{\alpha} : \alpha < \Lambda\}$ . Clearly, *F* is an uncountable closed discrete subspace of *X*. Then  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha < \Lambda\} \cup \{X \setminus F\}$  is an open cover of *X* for which there is no countable subset  $A \subseteq X$  such that  $St(A, \mathcal{U}) = X$ , which is a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

With the aid of the above observations, Theorem 3.3 would be compared to a recent result of [9]: if a space X with a rank 2-diagonal either has the countable chain condition or is star countable, then the cardinality of X is at most c. We finish this paper with the following question.

QUESTION 3.6. Is the cardinality of a DCCC space with a rank 2-diagonal at most c?

#### References

- A. V. Arhangel'skii and R. Z. Buzyakova, 'The rank of the diagonal and submetrizability', *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* 47 (2006), 585–597.
- [2] R. Z. Buzyakova, 'Cardinalities of ccc-spaces with regular  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonals', *Topology Appl.* **153** (2006), 1696–1698.
- [3] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, 6 (Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989).
- [4] J. Ginsburg and R. G. Woods, 'A cardinal inequality for topological spaces involving closed discrete sets', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **64** (1977), 357–360.
- [5] K. Kunen and J. Vaughan, Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1984).
- [6] D. B. Shakhmatov, 'No upper bound for cardinalities of Tychonoff CCC spaces with a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal exists', *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **25** (1984), 731–746.
- [7] V. V. Uspenskij, 'A large  $F_{\sigma}$ -discrete Frechet space having the Souslin property', *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **25** (1984), 257–260.
- [8] M. R. Wiscamb, 'The discrete countable chain condition', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (1969), 608–612.
- [9] W. F. Xuan and W. X. Shi, 'A note on spaces with rank 2-diagonal', Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., to appear; doi:10.1017/S0004972713001184.

WEI-FENG XUAN, Department of Mathematics, Nanjing Audit University, Nanjing 210093, China e-mail: wfxuan@nau.edu.cn

WEI-XUE SHI, Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China e-mail: wxshi@nju.edu.cn