

## ON COMPACT GROUP EXTENSION OF BERNOULLI SHIFTS

YOUNGHO AHN

Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(H)$  be an irreducible unitary representation of a compact group  $G$  where  $\mathcal{U}(H)$  is a set of unitary operators of finite dimensional Hilbert space  $H$ . For the  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$ -Bernoulli shift, the solvability of  $\rho(\phi(x))g(Tx) = g(x)$  is investigated, where  $\phi(x)$  is a step function.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space and  $T$  a measure preserving transformation on  $X$ . A transformation  $T$  on  $X$  is called ergodic if the constant function is the only  $T$ -invariant function and it is called weakly mixing if the constant function is the only eigenfunction with respect to  $T$ . Let  $\mathbf{1}_E$  be the characteristic function of a set  $E$  and consider the behaviour of the sequence  $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}_E(T^k x)$  which equals the number of times that the points  $T^k x$  visit  $E$ . The Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem applied to the ergodic transformation  $T : x \mapsto \{Lx\}$  on  $[0, 1)$ , where  $L$  is positive integer and  $\{t\}$  is the fractional part of  $t$ , gives the classical Borel Theorem on normal numbers:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{(j-1)/L, j/L\}}(T^k x) = \frac{1}{L}$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq L$ . This implies that almost everywhere  $x$  is  $L$ -normal, that is, the relative frequency of the digit  $j$  in the  $L$ -adic expansion of  $x$  is  $1/L$ . See [11].

In this paper, we are interested in the uniform distribution of the sequence  $d_n \in \{0, \dots, M-1\}$  defined by

$$d_n(x) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}_E(T^k x) \pmod{M},$$

for  $T : x \mapsto \{Lx\}$  and more general transformations.

---

Received 17th June, 1999

The author would like to thank Professor Geon Ho Choe for his advice and warm encouragement over many years. The author was supported in part by GARC-SRC.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/00 \$A2.00+0.00.

DEFINITION 1: Let  $T$  be a transformation on  $[0, 1)$  defined by

$$T(x) = \frac{x - \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} p_k}{p_i} \quad \text{on} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} p_k, \sum_{k=0}^i p_k \right)$$

where  $p_0 = 0, p_i > 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq L$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^L p_k = 1$ . We call this transformation the  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$ -transformation.

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_L\}$  be a partition on  $[0, 1)$  with  $P_i = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} p_k, \sum_{k=0}^i p_k \right)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq L$ . Recall that the  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$ -transformation preserves Lebesgue measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, 1)$  and that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a generating partition on  $[0, 1)$  with respect to the  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$ -transformation. Hence almost every  $x \in [0, 1)$  has a symbolic representation  $[a_1, a_2, \dots]$  with respect to the  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$ -transformation and the partition  $\mathcal{P}$  where  $1 \leq a_i \leq L$ . When  $x$  is represented by  $[a_1, \dots, a_n]$  with a finite length, we call it a generalised  $L$ -adic number. Recall that a one-sided  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$ -Bernoulli shift, where  $\sum_{i=1}^L p_i = 1$  and  $p_i > 0$  is measure theoretically isomorphic to the  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$ -transformation on  $X = [0, 1)$  with Lebesgue measure  $\mu$  and the partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_L\}$ .

This type of problem was first studied by Veech. He considered the case when the transformations are given by irrational rotations on the unit circle and  $M = 2$ , and obtained results which showed that the length of the interval  $E$  and the rotational angle  $\theta$  are closely related. For example, he proved that when the irrational number  $\theta$  has bounded partial quotients in its continued fraction expansion, the sequence  $d_n$  is evenly distributed if the length of the interval is not an integral multiple of  $\theta$  modulo 1 [10].

In [1], Ahn, Choe and Lemányczyk consider the case of the  $(1/L, \dots, 1/L)$ -transformation on  $X = [0, 1)$  and  $M = 2$ , and show that the sequence  $\{d_n\}$  is evenly distributed if  $\exp(\pi i \mathbf{1}_E(x))$  has finite  $L$ -adic discontinuity points  $1/L \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq 1$ . Recently, Choe, Hamachi and Nakada [2] show that  $\{d_n\}$  is evenly distributed for more general sets and that the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -extension induced by  $\phi(x) = \exp(\pi i \mathbf{1}_B(x))$  where  $\mathbf{1}_B$  is the characteristic function of  $B$ , is ergodic. In this paper, we show that for all Bernoulli shifts the sequence  $\{d_n\}$  is uniformly distributed and that the compact group extension by  $\phi(x)$  is weakly mixing. When  $T$  is an irrational rotation, and  $\phi(x)$  is a step function, the spectral type has been investigated by some mathematicians [3, 4, 6]. In connection with Veech’s results, we also investigate the sequence  $\{d_n\}$  induced by intervals.

To investigate the sequence  $\{d_n(x)\}$ , we consider the behaviour of the sequence  $\exp((2\pi i/M)d_n(x))$  and check whether this sequence is uniformly distributed on the compact group  $G$  generated by  $\exp(2\pi i/M)$ . Weyl’s criterion on uniform distribution

says that the sequence  $\exp((2\pi i/M)d_n(x))$  is uniformly distributed if and only if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N \exp^k \left( \frac{2\pi i}{M} d_n(x) \right) = 0$$

for all  $1 \leq k \leq L - 1$ .

We investigate the problem from the viewpoint of spectral theory. Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a probability space and  $T$  an ergodic measure preserving transformation on  $X$ , which is not necessarily invertible. Let  $\phi(x)$  be the  $G$ -valued function defined by  $\phi(x) = \exp((2\pi i/M)\mathbf{1}_E(x))$ . Consider the skew product transformation  $T_\phi$  on  $X \times G$  defined by

$$T_\phi(x, g) = (Tx, \phi(x)g).$$

Then the problem is equivalent to checking whether  $T_\phi$  is ergodic or not.

## 2. COMPACT GROUP EXTENSION

Let  $G$  be a compact group with normalised right Haar measure  $\nu$ , and  $(X, \mu)$  a probability space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  an ergodic measure preserving transformation. Given a function  $\phi : X \rightarrow G$ , define a skew product transformation  $T_\phi : X \times G \rightarrow X \times G$  by  $(x, g) \mapsto (Tx, \phi(x) \cdot g)$ . Then  $T_\phi$  preserves the product measure  $\mu \times \nu$ . The ergodicity of  $T_\phi$  can be checked by the decomposition of  $L^2(X \times G)$ . The Peter-Weyl Theorem says that the matrix coefficients of the irreducible unitary representation form an orthogonal basis for  $L^2(G, \nu)$ . Take any irreducible unitary representation  $\rho$  and let  $(\rho_{ij})$  be its matrix representation. Then

$$\begin{aligned} U_{T_\phi}(\rho_{ij}(g)f(x)) &= \rho_{ij}(\phi(x) \cdot g)f(Tx) \\ &= \sum_k \rho_{ik}(g)\rho_{kj}(\phi(x))f(Tx). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the following  $U_{T_\phi}$ -invariant orthogonal decomposition:

$$L^2(X \times G) = \oplus L_\rho^2(X \times G)$$

where the subspace  $L_\rho^2(X \times G)$  is spanned by functions of the form  $\rho_{ij}(g)f(x)$ ,  $f \in L^2(X)$ . For  $\rho$  is equal to the two Hilbert spaces  $L_\rho^2(X \times G)$  and  $L_\rho^2(X)$  are identical. The following is a well-known fact.

**LEMMA 1.**

- (i) *The skew product transformation  $T_\phi : X \times G \rightarrow X \times G$  is not ergodic if and only if there exists an irreducible representation  $\rho \neq 1$  satisfying  $\rho(\phi(x))h(Tx) = h(x)$  for some nonzero  $h = (h_i)_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ ,  $h_i \in L^2(X)$  where  $d$  is the dimension of  $\rho$ .*

- (ii)  $T_\phi$  is not weakly mixing if and only if there exists an irreducible representation  $\rho \neq 1$  and some constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\lambda| = 1$ , satisfying  $\rho(\phi(x))h(Tx) = \lambda h(x)$ . Here,  $h = (h_i)_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ ,  $h_i \in L^2(X)$  is non zero and  $d$  is the dimension of  $\rho$ .

From now on, let  $H$  be a finite dimensional Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{U}(H)$  be a set of unitary operators on  $H$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $f(x)$  be a  $\mathcal{U}(H)$ -valued step function with finitely many points of discontinuity. For the  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$ -transformation  $T$ , if an  $H$ -valued function  $h(x)$  satisfies the equation  $f(x)h(Tx) = h(x)$ , then  $h(x)$  is also a step function with finitely many points of discontinuity.*

**PROOF:** Since  $f(x) \in \mathcal{U}(H)$  and  $T$  is an ergodic transformation, we may assume that  $\|h(x)\|_H = 1$  where  $\|\cdot\|_H$  is the Hilbert space norm.

For simplicity of proof we shall prove the theorem for the transformation defined by  $(p, q)$  where  $p \geq q$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a partition and  $\mathcal{P}_N = \bigvee_{k=0}^{N-1} T^{-k}\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $m$  be the cardinality of the set of discontinuities  $Y$  and  $Y_\epsilon$  be an  $\epsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $Y$ . Then there exists  $\epsilon_0$  such that for all  $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ ,  $\mu(Y_\epsilon) = 2m\epsilon$ . Now choose an integer  $N$  such that  $p^N < \epsilon_0$  and  $(2m \cdot p^{N+1})/(1 - p) < 1/2$ .

If  $I \in \mathcal{P}_N$  and if  $I \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ , then  $I \subset Y_\epsilon$  for  $\epsilon = p^N$ . Hence the totality of  $I \in \mathcal{P}_N$  with  $I \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  has measure at most  $2m \cdot p^N$ . By a similar argument, the totality of  $I \in \mathcal{P}_{N+j}$ ,  $j \geq 0$  such that  $I \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  has measure at most  $2m \cdot p^{N+j}$ .

Fix  $L > 0$  and consider the collection of  $I \in \mathcal{P}_{N+L}$  having the property that  $T^j I \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  for some  $0 \leq j \leq L - 1$ . Since  $T^j \in \mathcal{P}_{N+L-j}$  for these  $j$ , and  $T$  is measure preserving, these intervals have total measure at most

$$2m \cdot p^{N+L-1} + 2m \cdot p^{N+L-2} \dots 2m \cdot p^{N+1} \leq \frac{2m \cdot p^{N+1}}{1 - p} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let  $Q(N, L)$  be the sub collection of  $\mathcal{P}_{N+L}$  such that  $T^j I \cap Y = \emptyset$  for all  $0 \leq j \leq L - 1$ . Then for each  $I \in Q(N, L)$

$$f(x)f(Tx) \dots f(T^{L-1}x)$$

is constant, say  $\Lambda(I, L) \in \mathcal{U}(H)$ . Since  $h(x) = f(x)h(Tx)$ ,

$$h(x) = f(x)f(Tx) \dots f(T^{L-1}x)h(T^Lx).$$

Hence  $h(x) = \Lambda(I, L)h(T^Lx)$  holds almost everywhere on  $I$ . Letting  $T^L I = J \in \mathcal{P}_N$ , the map  $T^L : I \rightarrow J$  is bijective and it is easily shown that

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{\mu(I)} \int_I h(x) d\mu(x) = \Lambda(I, L) \left( \frac{1}{\mu(J)} \int_J h(y) d\mu(y) \right).$$

Since  $Q(N, L)$  measures at least  $1/2$ , the set of  $x$  which is interior to some  $I \in Q(N, L)$  for an infinitely number of  $L$  must also measure at least  $1/2$ . Fixing such an

$x$ , we have that (1) holds. We may assume that  $x$  is a Lebesgue point of  $h$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}_N$  is finite, it can be assumed that  $J$  is always the same on the right side of (1). By the Lebesgue density theorem [8], we can assume that the left side of (1) tends to  $h(x)$ . By the compactness of  $\mathcal{U}(H)$ , we may assume that  $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda(I, L) = \Lambda \in \mathcal{U}(H)$ . Hence

$$h(x) = \Lambda \left( \frac{1}{\mu(J)} \int_J h(y) d\mu(y) \right).$$

Since  $\|h(x)\|_H = 1$  almost everywhere, we may assume that  $\|h(x)\|_H = 1$ . Since  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{U}(H)$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\mu(J)} \int_J h(y) d\mu(y) \right\|_H = 1.$$

$\|h(x)\|_H = 1$  almost everywhere implies  $h$  is constant on  $J$ .

Since  $f(x)$  is a  $\mathcal{U}(H)$ -valued step function with finitely many discontinuities and  $T^N J = X$ ,  $h(x)$  is also step function with finitely many discontinuities. □

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(H)$  be a unitary representation of the compact group  $G$  by unitary operators on a Hilbert space  $H$ , different from the zero representation. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\rho$  is irreducible;
- (ii) for every nonzero vector  $h \in H$ , the closed linear subspace generated by  $\{\rho(g)h : g \in G\}$  is  $H$ ;
- (iii) the only bounded operators on  $H$  commuting with all  $\rho(g)$  ( $g \in G$ ) are of the form  $\alpha I$  where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $I$  is the identity operator.

PROOF: For the proof, see Hewitt and Ross's Book [5]. □

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact group,  $H$  be a finite dimensional Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{U}(H)$  be a set of unitary operators on  $H$ . Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(H)$  be a non trivial irreducible representation of  $G$ . Let  $T$  be the  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$ -transformation. Then  $\rho(\phi(x))h(Tx) = h(x)$  has no solution if  $\phi(x)$  is a step function with discontinuities at  $p_1 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n = 1$  and the range of  $\phi(x)$  is not contained in any closed proper subgroup of  $G$ .*

PROOF: Since  $\rho \neq 1$  is an irreducible representation of  $G$ , it is sufficient to prove that  $h(x)$  is constant by Lemma 3. Letting  $\rho(\phi(x)) = f(x)$ ,  $h(x)$  is a  $H$ -valued step function with finite discontinuity points by Lemma 2. Hence there exists  $0 < r < p_1$  such that  $h(x) = c$  on  $[0, r)$ . Hence  $f(x)h(x) = h(x)$  on  $[0, r)$ . Since  $f(x)$  is a unitary operator which is constant on  $[0, p_1)$ , the conclusion follows. □

REMARK 1. Let  $G$  be a compact group. If  $\phi(x)$  satisfies the condition of Theorem 1, then the skew product transformation is weakly mixing. Indeed if  $\rho(\phi(x))h(Tx) = \lambda h(x)$  where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $|\lambda| = 1$ , then by a similar argument to that of Lemma 2, we can

show that  $h(x)$  is also step function with finitely many points of discontinuity. By the irreducible property of  $\rho$  and Lemma 3, the conclusion follows.

Let  $(Y, \mathcal{C}, \mu)$  be a probability space,  $f \in L^1(Y, \mathcal{C}, \mu)$  and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}$  a sub  $\sigma$ -algebra. Put  $\nu(B) = \int_B f d\mu$  for  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . The Radon-Nikodym Theorem implies that there is a function  $h \in L^1(Y, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  such that  $\nu(B) = \int_B h d\mu$  for  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . We use the notation  $E(f | \mathcal{B})$  for  $h$ , and call it the *conditional expectation* of  $f$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $S$  be a transformation defined on  $Y$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be *exhaustive* that is,  $S^{-1}\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}$  and  $S^n\mathcal{B} \uparrow \mathcal{C}$ . The Martingale Theorem says that  $E(f | S^n\mathcal{B})$  converges to  $f$  almost everywhere and in  $L^1(Y, \mathcal{C}, \mu)$  for  $f \in L^1(Y, \mathcal{C}, \mu)$

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $S$  be a transformation on  $(Y, \mathcal{C}, \mu)$ , and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}$  be an exhaustive sub  $\sigma$ -algebra, and let  $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(H)$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable. If  $q : Y \rightarrow H$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -measurable solution to the equation  $\phi \cdot q = q \circ S$ , then  $q$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable.*

**PROOF:** We follow an idea of Parry [7]. Applying the conditional expectation operator  $E(\cdot | \mathcal{B})$  to the equation

$$(1) \quad \phi \cdot q = q \circ S$$

we have

$$\phi \cdot E(q | \mathcal{B}) = E(q \circ S | \mathcal{B})$$

or

$$(2) \quad \phi \cdot E(q | \mathcal{B}) = E(q | S\mathcal{B}) \circ S.$$

Multiplying (2) by the Hermitian conjugate of (1) we obtain

$$q^*(y) \cdot E(q | \mathcal{B})(y) = q^*(Sy) \cdot E(q | S\mathcal{B}) \circ S(y) \quad \text{almost everywhere}$$

where  $q^*$  is the conjugate of  $q$ .

Hence

$$\int_Y q^* \cdot E(q | \mathcal{B}) d\mu = \int_Y q^* \cdot E(q | S\mathcal{B}) d\mu.$$

By exactly the same argument, using  $S^n\mathcal{B}$  in place of  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have

$$\int_Y q^* \cdot E(q | S^n\mathcal{B}) d\mu = \int_Y q^* \cdot E(q | S^{n+1}\mathcal{B}) d\mu,$$

so that

$$\int_Y q^* \cdot E(q | \mathcal{B}) d\mu = \int_Y q^* \cdot E(q | S^n\mathcal{B}) d\mu.$$

Taking limits, and using the Martingale Theorem, we get

$$\int_Y q^* \cdot E(q | \mathcal{B}) d\mu = \int_Y \|q\|_H^2 d\mu,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_H$  is the Hilbert space norm. Thus  $E(q | \mathcal{B}) = q$  almost everywhere, and  $q$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable. □

REMARK 2. For the  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$ -transformation and  $\phi(x)$  which satisfies the condition of Theorem 1, consider the corresponding two-sided  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$ -Bernoulli transformation and the skew product transformation. Then by Lemma 4, and Remark 1, this skew-product is weakly mixing. Hence if  $G$  is metrisable, it is also Bernoulli by Rudolph's Theorem [9].

### 3. MOD $M$ NORMALITY OF BERNOULLI SHIFTS

To investigate the mod  $M$  normality of the  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$ -transformation, we consider the function  $\phi(x) = \exp((2\pi i/M)\mathbf{1}_E(x))$ . Recall that a function  $f(x)$  is called a *coboundary* if  $f(x)q(Tx) = q(x)$ ,  $|q(x)| = 1$  almost everywhere on  $X$ . In the following two Lemmas, we consider more general functions  $\phi(x)$  with finitely many discontinuity points. In the following, the unit circle in the complex plane is denoted by  $\mathbb{T}$ .

LEMMA 5. For the  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$ -transformation, if a  $\mathbb{T}$ -valued function  $\phi(x)$  is a step function with finitely many discontinuity points  $p_1 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n < 1$ , then  $\phi(x)$  is not a coboundary.

PROOF: Assume that  $\phi(x)h(Tx) = h(x)$ . Since  $\phi(x)$  is step function with finitely many discontinuity points,  $h(x)$  is also a step function with finitely many discontinuity points. Hence there exists  $0 < \tau \leq p_1$  such that  $h(x)$  is constant on  $[0, \tau)$ . Thus  $\phi(x)h(x) = h(x)$  on  $[0, \tau)$ . So  $h(x)$  is constant on  $[0, 1)$ . Hence the conclusion follows.  $\square$

EXAMPLE 1. For the  $(1/2, 1/2)$ -transformation, let  $I = [3/4, 1]$ ,  $F = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} (1/2^k)I$  and  $E = F \Delta T^{-1}F$ . Then  $\phi(x) = \exp(\pi i \mathbf{1}_E(x))$  is a coboundary even if the discontinuity points of  $\phi(x)$  are contained in  $[1/2, 1)$  where the cobounding function is  $h(x) = \exp(\pi i \mathbf{1}_F(x))$ .

Now let  $F = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (1/2^k)I$  and  $E = F \Delta T^{-1}F$ . Then  $\phi(x) = \exp(\pi i \mathbf{1}_E(x))$  is a coboundary even if there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that  $\phi(x) \neq 1$  on  $[\tau, 1)$ . But this phenomenon disappears when  $\phi(x)$  has finitely many discontinuity points. Hence we have the following Lemma.

LEMMA 6. Let  $\phi(x)$  be a  $\mathbb{T}$ -valued step function on  $X = [0, 1)$  with finitely many discontinuity points. If there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that  $\phi(x) \neq 1$  on  $[0, \tau)$  or  $[\tau, 1)$ , then  $\phi(x)$  is not a coboundary for the  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$ -transformation.

PROOF: Assume that  $\phi(x)h(Tx) = h(x)$ . As in the proof of Lemma 5, there exists  $0 < \tau < p_1$  such that  $h(x)$  is constant on  $[0, \tau)$ . Hence there exists  $t > 0$  such that  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[0, t)$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 1. For the  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$ -transformation, a complex-valued function  $\phi(x) = \exp((2\pi i/M)\mathbf{1}_{(a,b)}(x))$  is a coboundary if and only if  $L = 2, M = 2$  and  $(a, b) = (p_1^2, p_2 p_1 + p_1)$  or  $(a, b) = (p_1^3 / (1 - p_1 + p_1^2), (p_1^3 - 2p_1^2 + 2p_1) / (1 - p_1 + p_1^2))$ .

PROOF: We may assume that  $0 < a < b < 1$  by Lemma 6. Assume that  $\phi(x)h(Tx) = h(x)$ . Since  $\phi^L(x) = 1$ ,  $\phi^L(x)h^L(Tx) = h^L(x)$  is equivalent to  $h^L(Tx) = h^L(x)$ . Since  $T$  is ergodic,  $h^L(x)$  is constant. Hence we may assume that  $h^L(x) = 1$ . By this fact and by Lemma 3,  $h(x)$  can be expressed as

$$h(x) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k 1_{[a_k, a_{k+1}]}(x)\right)$$

where  $b_k$  is an integer and  $0 = a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n = 1$ . We already know that if  $f(x) = \lambda h(x)$ , then  $\phi(x)f(Tx) = f(x)$  also holds. Hence we may also assume that  $b_1 = 1$  and  $b_2 = 0$ .

Since  $h(x)$  has  $n - 2$  discontinuity points and  $h(Tx)$  has at least  $L(n - 2)$  discontinuity points,  $h(x)h(Tx)$  has at most  $(L - 1)(n - 2)$  discontinuity points. Since  $\phi(x)$  has two discontinuity points, we have

$$0 \leq n - 2 \leq \frac{2}{L - 1}.$$

Hence if  $L \geq 4$ , then  $\phi(x)$  can not be a coboundary. Thus the remaining case is  $L = 2, 3$ . If  $L = 2$ , then  $n = 3, 4$  and if  $L = 3$ , then  $n = 3$ .

In the following, we write by  $\beta = \exp(2\pi i/M)$  for convenience.

CASE I. Assume that  $L = 2$  and  $n = 3$ . In this case, we may assume that  $h(x) = \beta$  on  $[0, c)$  and  $h(x) = 1$  on  $[c, 1)$ .

If  $c \leq p_1$ , then  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[0, p_1c)$ ,  $\phi(x) = \beta$  on  $[p_1c, c)$ ,  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[c, p_1)$ ,  $\phi(x) = \bar{\beta}$  on  $[p_1, (1 - p_1)c + p_1)$  and  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[0, p_1c)$ . Hence  $\phi(x)h(Tx) \neq h(x)$ .

If  $c > p_1$ , then  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[0, p_1c)$ ,  $\phi(x) = \beta$  on  $[p_1c, p_1)$ ,  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[p_1, c)$ ,  $\phi(x) = \bar{\beta}$  on  $[c, (1 - p_1)c + p_1)$ , and  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[(1 - p_1)c + p_1, 1)$ . Hence  $\phi(x)h(Tx) \neq h(x)$ .

If  $c = p_1$ , then  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[0, p_1^2)$ ,  $\phi(x) = \beta$  on  $[p_1^2, p_1)$ ,  $\phi(x) = \bar{\beta}$  on  $[p_1, (1 - p_1)p_1 + p_1)$ , and  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[(1 - p_1)p_1 + p_1, 1)$ .

Therefore

$$\beta^2 = 1$$

and

$$(a, b) = (p_1^2, (1 - p_1)p_1 + p_1).$$

CASE II. Assume that  $L = 2$  and  $n = 4$ . In this case, we may assume that  $h(x) = \beta$  on  $[0, c)$ ,  $h(x) = 1$  on  $[c, d)$  and  $h(x) = \gamma$  on  $[d, 1)$  where  $\gamma \neq 1$ . Indeed, there exists  $s > p_1c$  and  $t < (1 - p_1)d + p_1$  such that  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[0, p_1c)$ ,  $\phi(x) = \beta$  on  $[p_1c, s)$ ,  $\phi(x) = \gamma$  on  $[t, (1 - p_1)d + p_1)$ , and  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[(1 - p_1)d + p_1, 1)$ . Hence  $\beta = \gamma$ .

If  $p_1d > c$ , then there exists  $t < (1 - p_1)d + p_1$  such that  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[0, p_1c)$ ,  $\phi(x) = \beta$  on  $[p_1c, c)$ ,  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[cp_1d, d)$ ,  $\phi(x) = \beta$  on  $[t, (1 - p_1)d + p_1)$  and  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[(1 - p_1)d + p_1, 1)$ . Hence  $p_1d \leq c$ .

If  $p_1d < c$ , then there exists  $t < (1 - p_1)d + p_1$  such that  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[0, p_1c)$ ,  $\phi(x) = \beta$  on  $[p_1c, p_1d)$ ,  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[p_1d, c)$ ,  $\phi(x) = \beta$  on  $[t, (1 - p_1)d + p_1)$  and  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[(1 - p_1)d + p_1, 1)$ .

Thus  $p_1d \leq c$  and by a similar argument, we can show that  $(1 - p_1)c + p = d$ . Therefore  $c = p_1^2/(1 - p_1 + p_1^2)$  and  $d = p_1/(1 - p_1 + p_1^2)$ . In this case,  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[0, p_1^3/(1 - p_1 + p_1^2))$ ,  $\phi(x) = \beta$  on  $[p_1^3/(1 - p_1 + p_1^2), p_1^2/(1 - p_1 + p_1^2))$ ,  $\phi(x) = \bar{\beta}$  on  $[p_1^2/(1 - p_1 + p_1^2), p_1/(1 - p_1 + p_1^2))$ ,  $\phi(x) = \beta$  on  $[p_1/(1 - p_1 + p_1^2), (p_1^3 - 2p_1^2 + 2p_1)/(1 - p_1 + p_1^2))$  and  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[(p_1^3 - 2p_1^2 + 2p_1)/(1 - p_1 + p_1^2), 1)$ .

Hence

$$\beta^2 = 1$$

and

$$(a, b) = \left( \frac{p_1^3}{1 - p_1 + p_1^2}, \frac{p_1^3 - 2p_1^2 + 2p_1}{1 - p_1 + p_1^2} \right).$$

CASE III. Assume that  $L = 3$  and  $n = 3$ . In this case, we may assume that  $h(x) = \beta$  on  $[0, c)$  and  $h(x) = 1$  on  $[c, 1)$ .

If  $c < p_1$ , then  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[0, p_1c)$ ,  $\phi(x) = \beta$  on  $[p_1c, c)$ ,  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[c, p_1)$ ,  $\phi(x) = \bar{\beta}$  on  $[p_1 + p_2, (1 - p_1 - p_2)c + p_1 + p_2)$  and  $\phi(x) = 1$  on  $[(1 - p_1 - p_2)c + p_1 + p_2, 1)$ . Hence  $\phi(x)h(Tx) \neq h(x)$ . The other case is also similarly verified.  $\square$

REMARK 3. By a similar argument to that of the above proof, we can show that for the  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$ -transformation,  $\phi(x) = \exp((2k\pi i)/M \mathbf{1}_{(a,b)}(x))$  is a coboundary if and only if  $L = 2$ ,  $(k/M) = 1/2$  and  $(a, b) = (p_1^2, p_2p_1 + p_1)$  or  $(a, b) = (p_1^3/(1 - p_1 + p_1^2), (p_1^3 - 2p_1^2 + 2p_1)/(1 - p_1 + p_1^2))$ .

REMARK 4. Let  $G$  be the subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}$  generated by  $\exp(2\pi i/M)$ ,  $\phi(x) = \exp((2\pi i)/M \mathbf{1}_E(x))$  be a  $G$ -valued function on  $X = [0, 1)$  and  $T_\phi$  be the skew product transformation on  $X \times G$  defined by  $T_\phi(x, g) = (Tx, \phi(x) \cdot g)$ . For the  $(p_1, \dots, p_L)$  transformation,  $T_\phi$  is weakly mixing if  $\phi(x)$  has discontinuities  $p_1 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n < 1$  or  $E$  is an interval and  $L \geq 3$ . Hence  $T_\phi$  is Bernoulli and mod  $M$  normality holds almost everywhere.

PROOF: Let  $U_{T_\phi}$  be an unitary operator on  $L^2(X \times G)$ . Recall that the dual group of  $G$  consists of the trivial homomorphism 1 and  $\gamma_k$  defined by  $\gamma_k(z) = z^k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq M - 1$ . Hence

$$L^2(X \times G) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{L-1} L^2(X) \cdot z^k$$

and each  $L^2(X) \cdot z^k$  is an invariant subspace of  $U_{T_\phi}$ . If  $f(x, z)$  is an eigen-function with

$$\text{eigenvalue } \lambda \text{ then } f(x, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} f_k(x) \cdot z^k \text{ and}$$

$$U_{T_\phi} f(x, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} \phi^k(x) f_k(Tx) \cdot z^k.$$

Since  $T$  is weakly mixing,  $f_0(x)$  is a constant function,  $\phi^k(x)f_k(Tx) = \lambda f_k(x)$  and  $\lambda^L = 1$  by the property of  $\phi(x)$ . Since  $\bar{\lambda}\phi^k(x)$  satisfies the conditions of Proposition 1 and Lemma 5, the conclusion follows.  $\square$

Now we consider the case of the  $(p_1, p_2)$ -transformation,  $\phi(x) = \exp(\pi i \mathbf{1}_E(x))$  and  $E$  being an interval. To check whether  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N \exp(\pi i d_n(x)) = 0$  or not, consider the skew product transformation  $T_\phi$  on  $[0, 1) \times \{-1, 1\}$  defined by  $T_\phi(x, z) = (Tx, \phi(x) \cdot z)$ . Then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^N \exp(\pi i d_n(x)) \cdot z = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^N U_{T_\phi} f(x, z)$$

where  $U_{T_\phi}$  is an isometry on  $L^2(X \times \{-1, 1\})$  induced by  $T_\phi$  and  $f(x, z) = z$ . Hence if  $T_\phi$  is ergodic, then  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^N \exp(\pi i d_n(x)) = 0$  by an application of the Birkhoff Ergodic theorem to  $f(x, z) = z$ . If  $T_\phi$  is not ergodic, then there exists  $q(x)$  such that  $q(x) = \exp(\pi i \mathbf{1}_F(x))$  for some measurable set  $F$  and  $\exp(\pi i \mathbf{1}_E(x)) = q(x)q(Tx)$ . Furthermore,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^N \exp(\pi i d_n(x)) = q(x) \int_{[0,1)} q(t) d\mu(t).$$

Hence

- (i) if  $(a, b) = (p_1^2, (1 - p_1)p_1 + p_1)$ , then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^N \exp(\pi i d_n(x)) = (2p_1 - 1) \exp(\pi i \mathbf{1}_{(c,d)}(x))$$

where  $(c, d) = (p_1, 1)$ .

- (ii) If  $(a, b) = (p_1^3/(1 - p_1 + p_1^2), (p_1^3 - 2p_1^2 + 2p_1)/(1 - p_1 + p_1^2))$ , then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^N \exp(\pi i d_n(x)) = \left( \frac{1 - 3p_1 + 3p_1^2}{1 - p_1 + p_1^2} \right) \exp(\pi i \mathbf{1}_{(c,d)}(x))$$

where  $(c, d) = (p_1^2/(1 - p_1 + p_1^2), p_1/(1 - p_1 + p_1^2))$ .

Now we consider some spectral properties of the skew product  $T_\phi(x)$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $T$  be an weakly mixing transformation on a probability space  $(X, \mu)$  and  $H_\lambda^k = \{h(x) \mid \phi^k(x)h(Tx) = \lambda h(x)\}$  where  $\phi(x)$  is a  $\mathbb{T}$ -valued function. Then the dimension of  $H_\lambda^k$  is 0 or 1. For each  $k$ , there exists at most one  $\lambda$  such that the dimension of  $H_\lambda^k$  is 1.*

**PROOF:** Assume that  $f(x), g(x) \in H_\lambda^k$ . Then  $\phi^k(x)f(Tx) = \lambda f(x)$  and  $\phi^k(x)g(Tx) = \lambda g(x)$ . Hence  $f(Tx)\overline{g(Tx)} = f(x)\overline{g(x)}$ . By the ergodicity of  $T$ ,  $f(x)\overline{g(x)} = C$  where  $C$  is constant. Thus the first assertion is proved.

Now we shall prove the second assertion. Assume that  $\phi^k(x)f(Tx) = \lambda f(x)$  and  $\phi^k(x)g(Tx) = \lambda'g(x)$ . Hence  $f(Tx)\overline{g(Tx)} = \lambda \cdot \lambda' f(x)\overline{g(x)}$ . By the mixing property of  $T$ ,  $f(x)g(x) = C$  where  $C$  is constant and  $\lambda \cdot \lambda' = 1$ . □

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $T$  be an ergodic transformation on  $X$ ,  $G$  the finite subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}$  generated by  $\exp(2\pi i/M)$  and  $\phi(x)$  be a  $G$ -valued function. Let  $T_\phi$  be the skew product transformation defined by  $T_\phi(x, g) = (Tx, \phi(x) \cdot g)$  on  $X \times G$ . If  $\phi^k(x)h(Tx) = h(x)$ , then there exists  $q(x)$  such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times G & \xrightarrow{T_\phi} & X \times G \\ Q \downarrow & & \downarrow Q \\ X \times G^k & \xrightarrow{S} & X \times G^k \end{array}$$

where  $Q(x, g) = (x, q(x)g^k)$  and  $S(x, g) = (Tx, g)$ . Hence  $T_\phi$  has at least  $r$  ergodic components where  $r$  is the cardinality of  $G^k$ .

**PROOF:** Since  $(\phi^k(x))^M (h(Tx))^M = (h(x))^M$  is equivalent to  $(h(Tx))^M = (h(x))^M$  and  $T$  is ergodic, we may assume that  $(h(x))^M = 1$ . Hence there exists a  $G$ -valued function  $q(x)$  such that  $\phi^k(x)q(Tx) = q(x)$ . For this  $q(x)$ , it is easy to see that the diagram commutes. □

**EXAMPLE 2.** Consider the  $(1/2, 1/2)$ -transformation and  $\phi(x) = \exp(\pi i \mathbf{1}_{[1/4, 3/4]}(x))$ . Let  $q(x) = \exp(\pi i \mathbf{1}_{[1/2, 1]}(x))$ . Since  $[1/4, 3/4] = [1/2, 1] \Delta T^{-1}[1/2, 1]$ ,  $\phi(x) = q(x)q(Tx)$ . Hence  $T_\phi$  has two ergodic components. Indeed, we can give many examples in which  $T_\phi$  has two ergodic components: For a given  $F$ , let  $E = F \Delta T^{-1}F$ ,  $\phi(x) = \exp(\pi i \mathbf{1}_E(x))$  and  $q(x) = \exp(\pi i \mathbf{1}_F(x))$ . Then  $T_\phi$  has two ergodic components,  $\{(x, q(x)) : x \in X\}$  and  $\{(x, -q(x)) : x \in X\}$ .

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Ahn and G.H. Choe, 'Spectral types of skewed Bernoulli shift', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 503-510.
- [2] G.H. Choe, T. Hamachi and H. Nakada, 'Skew product and mod 2 normal numbers', (preprint).
- [3] J.P. Conze, 'Remarques sur les transformations cylindriques et les equations fonctionnelles', *Séminaire de Probabilité I* (Univ. Rennes, Rennes, France 1976).
- [4] J.-M. Derrien, 'Critères d'ergodicité de cocycles en escalier. Exemples', *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **316** (1993), 73-76.
- [5] E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic analysis I, II* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1963, 1970).
- [6] K.D. Merrill, 'Cohomology of step functions under irrational rotations', *Israel J. Math.* **52** (1985), 320-340.
- [7] W. Parry, 'A Cocycle equation for shift', *Contemp. Math.* **135** (1992), 327-333.

- [8] W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987).
- [9] D.J. Rudolph, 'Classifying the isometric extensions of a Bernoulli shift', *J. Analyse Math.* **34** (1978), 36–60.
- [10] W.A. Veech, 'Strict ergodicity of uniform distribution and Kronecker-Weyl theorem mod 2', *Tran. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140** (1969), 1–33.
- [11] P. Walters, *An introduction to Ergodic theory* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1982).

Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology  
Taejon 305-701  
Korea  
e-mail: [ahn@euclid.kaist.ac.kr](mailto:ahn@euclid.kaist.ac.kr)