ANZIAM J. 44(2003), 609-623

# INEQUALITIES FOR THE BETA FUNCTION OF *n* VARIABLES

# HORST ALZER<sup>1</sup>

(Received 9 April, 2001)

#### Abstract

We present various inequalities for Euler's beta function of n variables. One of our theorems states that the inequalities

$$a_n \leq \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i} - B(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq b_n$$
 (\*)

hold for all  $x_i \ge 1$   $(i = 1, ..., n; n \ge 3)$  with the best possible constants  $a_n = 0$  and  $b_n = 1 - 1/(n - 1)!$ . This extends a recently published result of Dragomir *et al.*, who investigated (\*) for the special case n = 2.

# 1. Introduction

The classical beta function, which is also known as Euler's integral of the first kind, is defined for positive real numbers x and y by

$$B(x, y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt.$$
 (1.1)

The beta function plays a central role in the theory of special functions and also has applications in other fields, such as mathematical physics and probability theory; see [4, 5, 8]. An extension of (1.1) to *n* variables is given by

$$B(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \int_{\Delta_{n-1}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i^{x_i-1}\right) \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i\right)^{x_n-1} dt_1 \cdots dt_{n-1}$$

 $(x_i > 0; i = 1, ..., n; n \ge 2)$ , where

$$\Delta_{n-1} = \left\{ (t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}) \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} | t_1 \ge 0, \ldots, t_{n-1} \ge 0, t_1 + \cdots + t_{n-1} \le 1 \right\}$$

© Australian Mathematical Society 2003, Serial-fee code 1446-1811/03

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Morsbacher Str. 10, 51545 Waldbröl, Germany; e-mail: alzerhorst@freenet.de.

denotes the standard simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . There exists a close connection between  $B(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  and the gamma function,

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt \quad (x > 0),$$

as the elegant identity

$$B(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\frac{\Gamma(x_1)\cdots\Gamma(x_n)}{\Gamma(x_1+\cdots+x_n)}$$

reveals. A collection of the most important properties of the beta function of two and more variables is given, for instance, in [4, 8].

Various inequalities for B(x, y) and  $B_p(x, y) = \int_0^p t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} dt$  appear in the literature (see [12, 13, 15, 16, 19]), whereas inequalities for the beta function of three or more variables are difficult to find. The following interesting inequality for B(x, y) was published in 2000 by Dragomir *et al.* [9]:

$$0 \le 1/(xy) - B(x, y) \le 1/4 \quad (x, y \ge 1).$$
(1.2)

The lower bound 0 is sharp, but the upper bound 1/4 can be improved. In [3] it is shown that the second inequality of (1.2) is valid with the best possible constant 0.08731.... It is natural to look for an extension of (1.2) to more than two variables. In this paper we determine the best possible constants  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  such that the double-inequality (\*) holds for all  $x_i \ge 1$  ( $i = 1, ..., n; n \ge 3$ ). Furthermore, we establish several new inequalities for  $B(x_1, ..., x_n)$ , which are valid for all  $n \ge 2$ . In Section 3 we provide sharp constants  $\alpha_n(c)$  and  $\beta_n(c)$  in

$$\alpha_n(c) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-1/2+x_i}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^{-1/2+\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}} \leq B(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \leq \beta_n(c) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-1/2+x_i}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^{-1/2+\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}},$$

where  $x_i \ge c > 0$  (i = 1, ..., n). Moreover, we determine the best possible upper and lower bounds for the ratio  $B(\mu x_1, \mu x_2, ..., \mu x_n)/B(\nu x_1, \nu x_2, ..., \nu x_n)$ , depending only on  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  and n, and we establish that the inequalities

$$B((x_1+x_2)/2,...,(x_n+y_n)/2) \le \sqrt{B(x_1,...,x_n)B(y_1,...,y_n)}$$

and

$$B(x_1 + y_1, \ldots, x_n + y_n) < \frac{1}{2^n} (B(x_1, \ldots, x_n) + B(y_1, \ldots, y_n))$$

are valid for all  $x_i > 0$  (i = 1, ..., n). In order to prove our results we need some lemmas, which we present in the next section.

### 2. Lemmas

First, we collect a few basic properties of the gamma function and its logarithmic derivative  $\psi = \Gamma' / \Gamma$ , which is known as the psi or digamma function.

LEMMA 2.1. Let a > 0,  $b \ge 0$  and x > 0 be real numbers and let  $n \ge 1$  be an integer. Then we have

$$\Gamma(ax+b) \sim \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-ax} (ax)^{ax+b-1/2} \quad (x \to \infty), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\log \Gamma(x) \sim (x - 1/2) \log x - x + \frac{1}{2} \log (2\pi) + \frac{1}{12x} - \cdots \quad (x \to \infty), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\Gamma(2x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} 4^x \Gamma(x) \Gamma(x+1/2),$$
(2.3)

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} x^{b-a} \frac{\Gamma(x+a)}{\Gamma(x+b)} = 1,$$
(2.4)

$$\psi(x+1) = \psi(x) + 1/x, \qquad (2.5)$$

$$\psi(x) \sim \log x - \frac{1}{2x} - \frac{1}{12x^2} + \frac{1}{120x^4} - \cdots \quad (x \to \infty),$$
 (2.6)

$$\psi^{(n)}(x) = (-1)^{n+1} \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} \frac{t^n}{1 - e^{-t}} dt = (-1)^{n+1} n! \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(x+k)^{n+1}},$$
 (2.7)

$$\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} < \psi'(x) < \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} + \frac{1}{6x^3}.$$
(2.8)

The formulas (2.1)–(2.7) can be found in [1], while (2.8) and corresponding rational bounds for  $\psi^{(n)}$  with  $n \ge 2$  are given in [2, 10]. The following two lemmas present inequalities for the psi function.

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $t \ge 3$  be a real number and let  $a = 1 - 1/\Gamma(t)$ . Then we have for all real numbers  $x \ge 1$ :

$$0 < ax^{t-1} + (ax^t - 1)\psi(tx).$$
(2.9)

PROOF. We denote the expression on the right-hand side of (2.9) by f(x). Differentiation gives

$$xf'(x) = g(x) + a(t-1)x^{t-1} + atx^{t+1}\psi'(tx), \qquad (2.10)$$

where  $g(x) = atx'\psi(tx) - tx\psi'(tx)$ . Since  $\psi$  is positive on  $(1.461..., \infty), x \ge 1$ and  $a \ge 1/2$  imply  $g(x)/(tx) \ge \psi(tx)/2 - \psi'(tx)$ . Since  $\psi$  and  $-\psi'$  are strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ , we obtain

$$\frac{g(x)}{tx} \ge \frac{1}{2}\psi(3) - \psi'(3) = 0.066\dots$$
(2.11)

From (2.10) and (2.11) we conclude that f'(x) > 0 for  $x \ge 1$ . Hence we have

$$f(x) \ge f(1) = a + (a-1)\psi(t) = \frac{h(t)}{\Gamma(t)},$$
 (2.12)

where  $h(t) = \Gamma(t) - \psi(t) - 1$ . Differentiation yields  $h'(t) = \Gamma'(t) - \psi'(t)$  and  $h''(t) = \Gamma''(t) - \psi''(t)$ . Since  $\Gamma''$  and  $-\psi''$  are positive on  $(0, \infty)$ , we obtain for  $t \ge 3$ :  $h'(t) \ge h'(3) = 1.450 \dots$  and  $h(t) \ge h(3) = 0.077 \dots$ , so that (2.12) implies that f is positive on  $[1, \infty)$ .

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $n \ge 3$  be an integer and let  $a = 1 - 1/\Gamma(n)$ . Then we have for all real numbers  $x_i \ge 1$  (i = 1, ..., n):

$$0 < \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right) \left[a \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i - 1\right] + a\left(\max_{1 \le i \le n} x_i\right)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i.$$

**PROOF.** We may assume that  $x_1 \ge \cdots \ge x_n \ge 1$ . Let

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)\left[a\prod_{i=1}^n x_i-1\right]+a\prod_{i=2}^n x_i$$

and  $f_q(x) = f(x, ..., x, x_{q+1}, ..., x_n)$ , where x > 0 and  $q \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$ . We prove that  $f_q$  is increasing on  $[x_{q+1}, \infty)$ . Let  $x \ge x_{q+1}$  and  $y = qx + \sum_{i=q+1}^n x_i \ge n$ . Differentiation gives

$$\frac{1}{q}f'_{q}(x) = \psi'(y) \left[ ax^{q} \prod_{i=q+1}^{n} x_{i} - 1 \right] + \psi(y)ax^{q-1} \prod_{i=q+1}^{n} x_{i} + a(1 - 1/q)x^{q-2} \prod_{i=q+1}^{n} x_{i}.$$

Since  $x^q \prod_{i=q+1}^n x_i \ge 1$ , a > 0,  $\psi(y) > 0$ , and  $\psi'(y) > 0$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{q}f'_{q}(x) \ge (a-1)\psi'(y) + a\psi(y) = g(y), \text{ say}$$

The functions  $(a-1)\psi'$  and  $a\psi$  are strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ , so that we get

$$\Gamma(n)g(y) \ge \Gamma(n)g(n) = \psi(n)[\Gamma(n) - 1] - \psi'(n) = h(n), \quad \text{say.}$$

Since  $h'(n) = \psi'(n)[\Gamma(n) - 1] + \psi(n)\Gamma'(n) - \psi''(n) > 0$  for  $n \ge 3$ , we obtain

$$h(n) \geq h(3) = 0.527 \dots$$

This implies that  $f'_q(x) > 0$  for  $x \ge x_{q+1}$ . Thus we get

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = f_1(x_1) \ge f_1(x_2) = f_2(x_2) \ge f_2(x_3) \ge \cdots \ge f_{n-1}(x_n)$$
$$= ax_n^{n-1} + (ax_n^n - 1)\psi(nx_n).$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 we conclude that  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) > 0$ .

Further, we need the following monotonicity theorem.

LEMMA 2.4. Let a > 1 be a real number. The function

$$\phi_a(x) = a(x - 1/2)\log x - (ax - 1/2)\log(ax) - a\log\Gamma(x) + \log\Gamma(ax) \quad (2.13)$$

is strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{x\to\infty} \phi_a(x) = -\frac{1}{2}(a-1)\log(2\pi)$ .

**PROOF.** Let x > 0. Differentiation gives

$$x\phi'_{a}(x) = -\frac{a-1}{2} - ax\log a - ax\psi(x) + ax\psi(ax) = p_{a}(x), \quad \text{say.} \quad (2.14)$$

Further, we get

$$\frac{1}{a}p'_{a}(x) = -\log a - \psi(x) + \psi(ax) - x\psi'(x) + ax\psi'(ax)$$
(2.15)

and

$$\frac{x}{a}p_{a}''(x) = q(ax) - q(x), \qquad (2.16)$$

where  $q(x) = 2x\psi'(x) + x^2\psi''(x)$ . Next, we prove that q is strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ . We obtain

$$\frac{1}{x^2}q'(x) = \frac{2}{x^2}\psi'(x) + \frac{4}{x}\psi''(x) + \psi'''(x).$$

Using the integral formulas (2.7) and

$$\frac{1}{x^n} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} t^{n-1} dt \quad (x > 0; n = 1, 2, ...),$$

and the convolution theorem for Laplace transforms, we get

$$\frac{1}{x^2}q'(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} \Lambda(t) \, dt,$$
(2.17)

where

$$\Lambda(t) = 2t \int_0^t \frac{s}{1 - e^{-s}} \, ds - 6 \int_0^t \frac{s^2}{1 - e^{-s}} \, ds + \frac{t^3}{1 - e^{-t}}.$$

Let t > 0. Then we obtain

$$\Lambda'(t) = 2 \int_0^t \frac{s}{1 - e^{-s}} \, ds - \left(\frac{t}{1 - e^{-t}}\right)^2 \left[1 + e^{-t}(t - 1)\right]$$

[5]

614

and

$$\frac{(1-e^{-t})^3}{t^2}e^{2t}\Lambda''(t) = 2 + t + (t-2)e^t = \sum_{k=3}^{\infty}\frac{k-2}{k!}t^k > 0$$

Since  $\Lambda(0) = \Lambda'(0) = 0$ , we get  $\Lambda(t) > 0$  for t > 0. From (2.17) we conclude that q is strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ , so that (2.16) implies  $p''_a(x) > 0$  for x > 0. Using the asymptotic expansion (2.6) and the limit relation  $\lim_{x\to\infty} x\psi'(x) = 1$ , we conclude from (2.14) and (2.15) that  $\lim_{x\to\infty} p_a(x) = \lim_{x\to\infty} p'_a(x) = 0$ . Thus  $p_a$  is positive on  $(0, \infty)$ . From (2.14) we obtain that  $\phi_a$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ .

The asymptotic formula (2.2) implies  $\lim_{x\to\infty} \phi_a(x) = -\frac{1}{2}(a-1)\log(2\pi)$ .

# 3. Main results

We are now in a position to prove the inequalities for the beta function that we announced in Section 1. Our first theorem provides a generalisation of the double-inequality (1.2).

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $n \ge 3$  be an integer. Then we have for all real numbers  $x_i \ge 1$ (i = 1, ..., n):

$$0 < \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i} - B(x_1, \dots, x_n) \le 1 - \frac{1}{(n-1)!}.$$
 (3.1)

Both bounds are best possible.

**PROOF.** The first inequality of (3.1) is equivalent to

$$0 < \log \Gamma(x_1 + \dots + x_n) - \sum_{i=1}^n \log \Gamma(x_i + 1).$$
 (3.2)

To prove (3.2) we may assume that  $x_1 \ge \cdots \ge x_n \ge 1$ . We denote the right-hand side of (3.2) by  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ . Further, let  $q \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}, x \ge x_{q+1}$ , and

$$f_q(x) = f(x, ..., x, x_{q+1}, ..., x_n) = \log \Gamma\left(qx + \sum_{i=q+1}^n x_i\right) - q \log \Gamma(x+1) - \sum_{i=q+1}^n \log \Gamma(x_i+1).$$

Since  $\psi$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{q}f'_{q}(x) = \psi\left(qx + \sum_{i=q+1}^{n} x_{i}\right) - \psi(x+1) > 0,$$

#### Inequalities for the beta function of n variables

so that  $f_q$  is strictly increasing on  $[x_{q+1}, \infty)$ . This implies

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_1(x_1) \ge f_1(x_2) = f_2(x_2) \ge f_2(x_3) \ge \dots \ge f_{n-1}(x_n)$$
  
= log  $\Gamma(nx_n) - n \log \Gamma(x_n + 1).$  (3.3)

Let  $g(x) = \log \Gamma(nx) - n \log \Gamma(x+1)$ . Then we get for  $x \ge 1$ :

$$g'(x)/n = \psi(nx) - \psi(x+1) > 0$$

and

$$g(x) \ge g(1) = \log \Gamma(n) \ge \log \Gamma(3) = \log 2. \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.3) and (3.4) we conclude that (3.2) is valid.

Using the asymptotic formula (2.1) we obtain

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} (1/x^n - B(x,\ldots,x)) = \lim_{x\to\infty} (1/x^n - (\Gamma(x))^n / \Gamma(nx)) = 0,$$

which implies that in (3.1) the lower bound 0 cannot be replaced by a larger constant.

Let a = 1 - 1/(n - 1)!. To prove the right-hand side of (3.1) we have to show that

$$0 \leq \Gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right) \left[a \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i - 1\right] + \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma(x_i + 1) = u(x_1, \ldots, x_n), \quad \text{say.}$$

Let  $q \in \{1, ..., n-1\}, x_1 \ge \cdots \ge x_n \ge 1$ , and

$$u_q(x) = u(x, ..., x, x_{q+1}, ..., x_n)$$
  
=  $\Gamma\left(qx + \sum_{i=q+1}^n x_i\right) \left[ax^q \prod_{i=q+1}^n x_i - 1\right] + (\Gamma(x+1))^q \prod_{i=q+1}^n \Gamma(x_i+1).$ 

We set  $y = qx + \sum_{i=q+1}^{n} x_i$  and apply Lemma 2.3. Then we get for  $x \ge x_{q+1}$ :

$$(q\Gamma(y))^{-1}u'_{q}(x) = \psi(y) \left[ ax^{q} \prod_{i=q+1}^{n} x_{i} - 1 \right] + ax^{q-1} \prod_{i=q+1}^{n} x_{i} + (\Gamma(x+1))^{q} \psi(x+1) (\Gamma(y))^{-1} \prod_{i=q+1}^{n} \Gamma(x_{i}+1) > 0.$$

Hence  $u_q$  is strictly increasing on  $[x_{q+1}, \infty)$ . This implies

$$u(x_1, \dots, x_n) = u_1(x_1) \ge u_1(x_2) = u_2(x_2) \ge \dots \ge u_{n-1}(x_n)$$
  
=  $(ax_n^n - 1)\Gamma(nx_n) + (\Gamma(x_n + 1))^n.$  (3.5)

Let  $v(x) = (ax^n - 1)\Gamma(nx) + (\Gamma(x + 1))^n$ . Then we have

$$\frac{\psi'(x)}{n\Gamma(nx)} = ax^{n-1} + (ax^n - 1)\psi(nx) + \frac{(\Gamma(x+1))^n \psi(x+1)}{\Gamma(nx)}.$$

From Lemma 2.2 we conclude that v is strictly increasing on  $[1, \infty)$ . Thus

$$v(x) \ge v(1) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \ge 1,$$

so that (3.5) yields  $u(x_1, ..., x_n) \ge 0$ .

If  $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = 1$ , then the second inequality of (3.1) holds with equality. This implies that the upper bound 1 - 1/(n-1)! is sharp.

REMARK. The inequalities (3.1) are not valid for all positive real numbers  $x_i$  (i = 1, ..., n). More precisely: there do not exist constants  $c_1(n)$  and  $c_2(n)$  such that

$$c_1(n) \leq \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i} - B(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq c_2(n)$$
 (3.6)

holds for all  $x_i > 0$  (i = 1, ..., n;  $n \ge 2$ ). Indeed, if we set  $x_1 = \cdots = x_{n-1} = x > 0$  and  $x_n = y > 1$ , then the left-hand side of (3.6) yields

$$x^{n-1}yc_1(n) \leq 1 - \frac{(\Gamma(x+1))^{n-1}\Gamma(y+1)}{\Gamma((n-1)x+y)}$$

We let x tend to 0 and obtain the incorrect inequality  $0 \le 1 - \Gamma(y+1)/\Gamma(y) = 1 - y$ . And, if we set  $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = x > 0$ , then the right-hand side of (3.6) gives

$$\frac{1}{x^n}-\frac{(\Gamma(x))^n}{\Gamma(nx)}=\frac{\Gamma(nx+1)-nx(\Gamma(x+1))^n}{x^n\Gamma(nx+1)}\leq c_2(n).$$

This is false, since the term on the left-hand side tends to  $\infty$ , if we let x tend to 0.

The next theorem provides sharp upper and lower bounds for  $B(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ , which are valid in  $[c, \infty)^n$ , where c > 0 is a fixed real number.

THEOREM 3.2. Let c > 0 be a real number and let  $n \ge 2$  be an integer. Then we have for all real numbers  $x_i \ge c$  (i = 1, ..., n):

$$\alpha_{n}(c) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{-1/2 + x_{i}}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{-1/2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}} < B(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \le \beta_{n}(c) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{-1/2 + x_{i}}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{-1/2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}}, \quad (3.7)$$

with the best possible constants

$$\alpha_n(c) = (2\pi)^{(n-1)/2} \quad and \quad \beta_n(c) = n^{nc-1/2} c^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(\Gamma(c)^n}{\Gamma(nc)}.$$
 (3.8)

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446181100012979 Published online by Cambridge University Press

[8]

616

PROOF. Let x > 0 and  $x_i > 0$  (i = 1, ..., n) be real numbers and let  $q \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$ . We define

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - 1/2) \log x_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)$$
$$- \sum_{i=1}^n \log \Gamma(x_i) + \log \Gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)$$

and

$$f_{q}(x) = f(x, ..., x, x_{q+1}, ..., x_{n})$$
  
=  $q\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)\log x + \sum_{i=q+1}^{n} (x_{i} - 1/2)\log x_{i}$   
 $-\left(qx + \sum_{i=q+1}^{n} x_{i} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\log\left(qx + \sum_{i=q+1}^{n} x_{i}\right) - q\log\Gamma(x)$   
 $-\sum_{i=q+1}^{n}\log\Gamma(x_{i}) + \log\Gamma\left(qx + \sum_{i=q+1}^{n} x_{i}\right).$ 

Then we get  $f'_q(x)/q = g(x) - g(y)$ , where  $g(z) = \log z - 1/(2z) - \psi(z)$  and  $y = qx + \sum_{i=q+1}^n x_i$ . The left-hand side of (2.8) implies  $g'(z) = 1/z + 1/(2z^2) - \psi'(z) < 0$  for z > 0. Hence we conclude from y > x that g(y) < g(x). This implies that  $f_q$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ .

To prove the right-hand inequality of (3.7) with  $\beta_n(c)$  as defined in (3.8), we assume that  $x_1 \ge \cdots \ge x_n \ge c$ . Then we obtain

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_1(x_1) \ge f_1(x_2) = f_2(x_2) \ge f_2(x_3) \ge \dots \ge f_{n-1}(x_n)$$
  
=  $\phi_n(x_n),$  (3.9)

where  $\phi_n$  is defined in (2.13). From Lemma 2.4 we get

$$\phi_n(x_n) \ge \phi_n(c) = -\log \beta_n(c), \tag{3.10}$$

so that (3.9) and (3.10) lead to

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \ge -\log \beta_n(c), \qquad (3.11)$$

which is equivalent to the second inequality of (3.7). Moreover, since  $f_q$  and  $\phi_n$  are strictly monotonic, we conclude that the sign of equality holds in (3.11) if and only if  $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = c$ .

To prove the left-hand side of (3.7) with  $\alpha_n(c) = (2\pi)^{(n-1)/2}$  we suppose that  $c \le x_1 \le \cdots \le x_n$ . The monotonicity of  $f_q$  and Lemma 2.4 lead to

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = f_1(x_1) \le f_1(x_2) = f_2(x_2) \le f_2(x_3) \le \cdots \le f_{n-1}(x_n)$$

$$= \phi_n(x_n) < (-1/2)(n-1)\log(2\pi) = -\log\alpha_n(c),$$

which leads to the first inequality of (3.7) with  $\alpha_n(c) = (2\pi)^{(n-1)/2}$ .

Conversely, we assume that the left-hand inequality of (3.7) is valid for all  $x_i \ge c$ (i = 1, ..., n). Then we set  $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = x > 0$  and obtain  $\alpha_n(c) < e^{-\phi_n(x)}$ . Applying Lemma 2.4 we get  $\alpha_n(c) \le \lim_{x\to\infty} e^{-\phi_n(x)} = (2\pi)^{(n-1)/2}$ . Thus in (3.7) the factor  $\alpha_n(c) = (2\pi)^{(n-1)/2}$  cannot be replaced by a larger constant.

If a function f satisfies the inequality  $f(\delta x_1, \ldots, \delta x_n) \leq \delta f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  for all  $x_i > 0$   $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , then f is said to be starshaped on  $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ . Interesting properties of these functions can be found in [6, 7]. As an immediate consequence of the following theorem we obtain that the beta function is not starshaped on  $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ .

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be real numbers with  $\mu > \nu > 0$  and let  $n \ge 2$  be an integer. Then we have for all real numbers  $x_i > 0$  (i = 1, ..., n):

$$0 < \frac{B(\mu x_1, \mu x_2, \dots, \mu x_n)}{B(\nu x_1, \nu x_2, \dots, \nu x_n)} < \left(\frac{\nu}{\mu}\right)^{n-1}.$$
 (3.12)

Both bounds are best possible.

**PROOF.** To establish the second inequality of (3.12) it suffices to show that the function  $f(t) = t^{n-1}B(tx_1, \ldots, tx_n)$  is strictly decreasing on  $(0, \infty)$ . Let t > 0. Differentiation yields

$$\frac{t}{f(t)}f'(t) = n - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} tx_i\psi(tx_i) - \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} tx_i\right)\sum_{i=1}^{n} tx_i.$$
 (3.13)

We set  $y_i = tx_i > 0$  (i = 1, ..., n) and define

$$g(y_1,\ldots,y_n)=\psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right)\sum_{i=1}^n y_i-\sum_{i=1}^n y_i\psi(y_i).$$

In order to prove

$$g(y_1, \ldots, y_n) > n-1$$
 (3.14)

we assume that  $y_1 \ge \cdots \ge y_n > 0$ . Let  $q \in \{1, ..., n-1\}, y > 0$ , and

$$g_q(y) = g(y, ..., y, y_{q+1}, ..., y_n)$$
  
=  $\psi \left( qy + \sum_{i=q+1}^n y_i \right) \left( qy + \sum_{i=q+1}^n y_i \right) - qy \psi(y) - \sum_{i=q+1}^n y_i \psi(y_i).$ 

618

Then we get

$$g'_q(y)/q = h(z) - h(y),$$
 (3.15)

where

$$h(x) = \psi(x) + x\psi'(x)$$
 and  $z = qy + \sum_{i=q+1}^{n} y_i$ . (3.16)

Using the series representation (2.7) we obtain

$$h'(x) = 2\psi'(x) + x\psi''(x) = 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{(x+k)^3} > 0.$$

Since z > y, we get h(z) > h(y), so that (3.15) implies that  $g_q$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ . Hence we have

$$g(y_1, \dots, y_n) = g_1(y_1) \ge g_1(y_2) = g_2(y_2) \ge \dots \ge g_{n-1}(y_n)$$
  
=  $ny_n[\psi(ny_n) - \psi(y_n)].$  (3.17)

Let

$$\omega(y) = ny[\psi(ny) - \psi(y)]. \tag{3.18}$$

Then  $\omega'(y)/n = h(ny) - h(y)$ , where h is defined in (3.16). Since h is strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ , we obtain  $\omega'(y) > 0$  and

$$\omega(y) > \lim_{t \to 0} \omega(t) \quad (y > 0).$$
 (3.19)

The recurrence formula (2.5) implies

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \omega(t) = n - 1, \tag{3.20}$$

so that (3.17)–(3.20) lead to (3.14). From (3.13) and (3.14) we conclude that f is strictly decreasing on  $(0, \infty)$ .

If we set  $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = x > 0$ , then we have

$$\frac{B(\mu x_1,\ldots,\mu x_n)}{B(\nu x_1,\ldots,\nu x_n)} = \left(\frac{\Gamma(\mu x+1)}{\Gamma(\nu x+1)}\right)^n \frac{\Gamma(n\nu x+1)}{\Gamma(n\mu x+1)} \left(\frac{\nu}{\mu}\right)^{n-1}.$$

This implies

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{B(\mu x_1, \dots, \mu x_n)}{B(\nu x_1, \dots, \nu x_n)} = \left(\frac{\nu}{\mu}\right)^{n-1}.$$
(3.21)

[11]

And, if we put  $x_1 = x > 0$ ,  $x_2 = \cdots = x_n = 1$ , then we get

$$\frac{B(\mu x_1, \dots, \mu x_n)}{B(\nu x_1, \dots, \nu x_n)} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu x)}{\Gamma(\mu x + (n-1)\mu)} (\mu x)^{(n-1)\mu} \frac{\Gamma(\nu x + (n-1)\nu)}{\Gamma(\nu x)} (\nu x)^{(1-n)\nu} \times \left(\frac{\nu^{\nu} \Gamma(\mu)}{\mu^{\mu} \Gamma(\nu)}\right)^{n-1} x^{(n-1)(\nu-\mu)}.$$
(3.22)

From (2.4) and (3.22) we obtain

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{B(\mu x_1, \dots, \mu x_n)}{B(\nu x_1, \dots, \nu x_n)} = 0.$$
(3.23)

The limit relations (3.21) and (3.23) imply that the bounds given in (3.12) are best possible.

A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  is called midconvex (or Jensen-convex) if we have for all  $x_i, y_i > 0$  (i = 1, ..., n):

$$f((x_1+y_1)/2,\ldots,(x_n+y_n)/2) \leq \frac{1}{2}(f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)+f(y_1,\ldots,y_n)). \quad (3.24)$$

It is known that a continuous midconvex function is also convex; see [17]. We now prove that  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \log B(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  satisfies (3.24), which implies that the beta function is log-convex on  $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ . This extends a result given in [9], where a proof for the log-convexity of B(x, y) is given.

THEOREM 3.4. Let  $n \ge 2$  be an integer. Then we have for all real numbers with  $x_i > 0$  and  $y_i > 0$  (i = 1, ..., n):

$$0 < \frac{B((x_1 + y_1)/2, \dots, (x_n + y_n)/2)}{\sqrt{B(x_1, \dots, x_n)B(y_1, \dots, y_n)}} \le 1.$$
 (3.25)

Both bounds are best possible.

PROOF. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for integrals yields

$$(B(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2))^2 = \left( \int_0^1 t^{x_1 - 1/2} (1 - t)^{x_2 - 1/2} t^{y_1 - 1/2} (1 - t)^{y_2 - 1/2} dt \right)^2 \leq \int_0^1 t^{2x_1 - 1} (1 - t)^{2x_2 - 1} dt \int_0^1 t^{2y_1 - 1} (1 - t)^{2y_2 - 1} dt = B(2x_1, 2x_2) B(2y_1, 2y_2).$$
(3.26)

Using the representation

$$B(x_1, ..., x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} B\left(\sum_{j=1}^i x_j, x_{i+1}\right)$$

620

and (3.26) we obtain

$$(B(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n))^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[ B\left( \sum_{j=1}^i x_j + \sum_{j=1}^i y_j, x_{i+1} + y_{i+1} \right) \right]^2$$
  
$$\leq \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[ B\left( 2\sum_{j=1}^i x_j, 2x_{i+1} \right) B\left( 2\sum_{j=1}^i y_j, 2y_{i+1} \right) \right]$$
  
$$= B(2x_1, \dots, 2x_n) B(2y_1, \dots, 2y_n).$$

This proves the right-hand side of (3.25). If we set  $x_i = y_i = z > 0$  (i = 1, ..., n), then equality holds in the second inequality of (3.25). Further, we have

$$\lim_{x_1\to 0}\frac{\left(B((x_1+y_1)/2,\ldots,(x_n+y_n)/2)\right)^2}{B(x_1,\ldots,x_n)B(y_1,\ldots,y_n)}=0,$$

so that in (3.25) the lower bound 0 cannot be improved.

A function  $f : \mathbf{R}^n_+ \to \mathbf{R}$  is said to be subadditive if the inequality

$$f(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n) \le f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + f(y_1, \dots, y_n)$$
(3.27)

holds for all  $x_i, y_i > 0$  (i = 1, ..., n). Subadditive functions play a role in the theory of differential equations, in the theory of convex bodies, and also in the theory of semi-groups; see [18]. From the following theorem we conclude that for all real numbers c > 0 the function  $(x_1, ..., x_n) \mapsto (B(x_1, ..., x_n))^c$  is subadditive on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

THEOREM 3.5. Let c > 0 be a real number and let  $n \ge 2$  be an integer. Then we have for all real numbers  $x_i > 0$  and  $y_i > 0$  (i = 1, ..., n):

$$0 < \frac{(B(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n))^c}{(B(x_1, \dots, x_n))^c + (B(y_1, \dots, y_n))^c} < 2^{-c(n-1)-1}.$$
 (3.28)

Both bounds are best possible.

PROOF. To prove the second inequality of (3.28) we apply Theorem 3.4, the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality, and Theorem 3.3 (with  $\mu = 2, \nu = 1$ ). Then we get

$$(B(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n))^c \le [B(2x_1, \dots, 2x_n)B(2y_1, \dots, 2y_n)]^{c/2}$$
  
$$\le \frac{1}{2} [(B(2x_1, \dots, 2x_n))^c + (B(2y_1, \dots, 2y_n))^c]$$
  
$$< 2^{-c(n-1)-1} [(B(x_1, \dots, x_n))^c + (B(y_1, \dots, y_n))^c].$$

It remains to show that the bounds given in (3.28) are sharp. First, we set  $x_i = y_i = z > 0$  (i = 1, ..., n). The duplication formula (2.3) leads to, say,

$$\frac{(B(x_1+y_1,\ldots,x_n+y_n))^c}{(B(x_1,\ldots,x_n))^c+(B(y_1,\ldots,y_n))^c}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{c(n-1)}\left(\frac{(\Gamma(z+1/2))^n}{\Gamma(nz+1/2)}\right)^c=f(z).$$

Since  $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{z \to 0} f(z) = 2^{-c(n-1)-1}.$$
(3.29)

And using (2.1) we get

$$\lim_{z \to \infty} f(z) = 0. \tag{3.30}$$

From (3.29) and (3.30) we conclude that both bounds in (3.28) are best possible.

REMARK. A multiplicative analogue of the definition (3.27) is given by

$$f(x_1y_1,...,x_ny_n) \le f(x_1,...,x_n)f(y_1,...,y_n).$$
(3.31)

If f satisfies (3.31) for all  $x_i$ ,  $y_i > 0$  (i = 1, ..., n), then f is said to be submultiplicative on  $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ . These functions have applications in functional analysis and group theory; see [11, 14]. If (3.31) holds with " $\geq$ " instead of " $\leq$ ", then f is called supermultiplicative. Let  $n \geq 2$ . We set  $x_i = 1$   $(2 \leq i \leq n)$  and  $y_i = 1$   $(1 \leq i \leq n; i \neq 2)$ . Then we obtain, say,

$$\frac{B(x_1y_1,\ldots,x_ny_n)}{B(x_1,\ldots,x_n)B(y_1,\ldots,y_n)} = \frac{\Gamma(x_1+n-1)}{\Gamma(x_1+y_2+n-2)} x_1^{y_2-1} \Gamma(y_2+n-1) x_1^{1-y_2}$$
$$= \sigma(x_1).$$

Applying (2.4) we get: if  $y_2 > 1$ , then  $\lim_{x_1 \to \infty} \sigma(x_1) = 0$ ; and, if  $y_2 \in (0, 1)$ , then we have  $\lim_{x_1 \to \infty} \sigma(x_1) = \infty$ . This implies that  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto B(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  is neither submultiplicative nor supermultiplicative on  $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ .

### References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (eds.), Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs and mathematical tables (Dover, New York, 1965).
- [2] H. Alzer, "On some inequalities for the gamma and psi functions", Math. Comp. 66 (1997) 373-389.
- [3] H. Alzer, "Sharp inequalities for the beta function", Indag. Math. (N.S.) 12 (2001) 15-21.
- [4] G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanmurthy and M. K. Vuorinen, *Conformal invariants, inequalities, and quasiconformal maps* (Wiley, New York, 1997).

- [5] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, Special functions (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- [6] E. F. Beckenbach, "Superadditivity inequalities", Pacific J. Math. 14 (1964) 421-438.
- [7] A. M. Bruckner and E. Ostrow, "Some function classes related to the class of convex functions", *Pacific J. Math.* 12 (1962) 1203–1215.
- [8] B. C. Carlson, Special functions of applied mathematics (Academic Press, New York, 1977).
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, R. P. Agarwal and N. S. Barnett, "Inequalities for beta and gamma functions via some classical and new integral inequalities", *J. Inequal. Appl.* 5 (2000) 103-165.
- [10] L. Gordon, "A stochastic approach to the gamma function", Amer. Math. Monthly 101 (1994) 858-865.
- [11] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, Functional analysis and semi-groups, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ. 31, revised ed. (AMS, Providence, RI, 1957).
- [12] E. A. Karatsuba and M. Vuorinen, "On hypergeometric functions and generalizations of Legendre's relation", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 260 (2001) 623–640.
- [13] G. Lochs, "Abschätzung spezieller Werte der unvollständigen Betafunktion", Anz. Österreich. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Natur. Kl. 123 (1986) 59-63.
- [14] L. Maligranda, "Indices and interpolation", Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) 234 (1985) 1-54.
- [15] D. S. Mitrinović, Analytic inequalities (Springer, New York, 1970).
- [16] W. Raab, "Die Ungleichungen von Vietoris", Monatsh. Math. 98 (1984) 311-322.
- [17] A. W. Roberts and D. E. Varberg, Convex functions (Academic Press, New York, 1973).
- [18] R. A. Rosenbaum, "Sub-additive functions", Duke Math. J. 17 (1950) 227-247.
- [19] L. Vietoris, "Über gewisse die unvollständige Betafunktion betreffende Ungleichungen", Sitzungsber. Österreich. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Natur. Kl. 191 (1982) 85–92.