Mergers and Non-Thermal Processes in Clusters

Craig L. Sarazin

Department of Astronomy, University of Virginia, P. O. Box 3818, Charlottesville, VA 22903-0818 USA

Abstract. Clusters of galaxies generally form by the gravitational merger of smaller clusters and groups. Mergers drive shocks in the intra-cluster gas which heat the intra-cluster gas. Mergers disrupt cluster cooling cores. Mergers produce large, temporary increases in the X-ray luminosities and temperatures of cluster; such merger boost may bias estimates of cosmological parameters from clusters. Chandra observations of the X-ray signatures of mergers, particularly "cold fronts," will be discussed. X-ray observations of shocks can be used to determine the kinematics of the merger. As a result of particle acceleration in shocks and turbulent acceleration following mergers, clusters of galaxies should contain very large populations of relativistic electrons and ions. Observations and models for the radio, extreme ultraviolet, hard X-ray, and gamma-ray emission from non-thermal particles accelerated in these shocks are described.

1. Introduction

Major cluster mergers are the most energetic events in the Universe since the Big Bang. In these mergers, the subclusters collide at velocities of ~2000 km/s, releasing gravitational binding energies of as much as $\gtrsim 10^{64}$ erg. Figure 1a shows the Chandra image of the merging cluster Abell 85, which has two subclusters merging with the main cluster (Kempner, Sarazin, & Ricker 2002). The relative motions in mergers are moderately supersonic, and shocks are driven into the intracluster medium. In major mergers, these hydrodynamical shocks dissipate energies of ~ 3×10^{63} erg; such shocks are the major heating source for the X-ray emitting intracluster medium. Merger shocks heat and compress the X-ray emitting intracluster gas, and increase its entropy. We also expect that particle acceleration by these shocks will produce nonthermal electrons and ions, and these can produce synchrotron radio, inverse Compton (IC) EUV and hard X-ray, and gamma-ray emission.

2. Thermal Effects of Mergers

Mergers heat and compress the intracluster medium. Shocks associated with mergers also increase the entropy of the gas. Mergers can help to mix the intracluster gas, possibly removing abundance gradients. Mergers appear to disrupt the cooling cores found in many clusters; there is an anticorrelation between cooling core clusters and clusters with evidence for strong ongoing mergers (e.g., Buote & Tsai 1996). The specific mechanism by which cooling cores are disrupted is not completely understood at this time (e.g., Ricker & Sarazin 2001).



Figure 1. (a) The Chandra X-ray image of the merging cluster Abell 85 (Kempner et al. 2002). Two subclusters to the south and southwest are merging with the main cluster. (b) The X-ray emission-averaged temperature in a pair of equal mass clusters undergoing a merger (Ricker & Sarazin 2001; Randall et al. 2002)

The heating and compression associated with mergers can produce a large, temporary increase in the X-ray luminosity (up to a factor of ~10) and the X-ray temperature (up to a factor of ~3) of the merging clusters (Figure 1b; Ricker & Sarazin 2001; Randall, Sarazin, & Ricker 2002). Very luminous hot clusters are very rare objects in the Universe. Although major mergers are also rare events, merger boosts can cause mergers to strongly affect the statistics of the most luminous, hottest clusters. Simulations predict that many of the most luminous, hottest clusters are actually merging systems, with lower total masses than would be inferred from their X-ray luminosities and temperatures (Randall et al. 2002). Since the most massive clusters give the greatest leverage in determining Ω_M and σ_8 , these values can be biased by merger boosts.

One of the most dramatic results on clusters of galaxies to come from the Chandra X-ray observatory was the discovery of sharp surface brightness discontinuities in the images of merging clusters (Figure 1a). These were first seen in Abell 2142 (Markevitch et al. 2000) and Abell 3667 (Vikhlinin, Markevitch, & Murray 2001b). Initially, one might have suspected these features were merger shocks, but X-ray spectral measurements showed that the dense, X-ray bright "post-shock" gas was cooler, had lower entropy, and was at the same pressure as the lower density "pre-shock" gas. This would be impossible for a shock. Instead, these "cold fronts" are apparently contact discontinuities between gas which was in the cool core of one of the merging subclusters and surrounding shocked intracluster gas (Vikhlinin et al. 2001b). The cool cores are plowing rapidly through the shocked cluster gas, and ram pressure sweeps back the gas at the front edge of the cold front. In a few cases (e.g., 1E0657–56; Markevitch et al. 2004), bow shocks are seen ahead of the cold fronts.

Cold fronts and merger shocks provide a number of classical hydrodynamical diagnostics which can be used to determine the kinematics of the merger (Vikhlinin et al. 2001b; Sarazin 2002). Most of these diagnostics give the merger Mach number \mathcal{M} . The standard Rankine-Hugoniot shock jump conditions can



Figure 2. (a) The lifetimes of relativistic electrons in a typical cluster as a function of their Lorentz factor γ (Sarazin 1999a). (b) The gamma-ray emission spectrum from a model for relativistic particles in the Coma cluster (Sarazin 1999b). Emission from electrons (Brems.) and ions (due to π^{o} decays) are shown separately.

be applied to merger shocks; for example, the pressure discontinuity is

$$\frac{P_2}{P_1} = \frac{2\gamma}{\gamma+1}\mathcal{M}^2 - \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1},\tag{1}$$

where P_1 and P_2 are the pre- and post-shock pressure, and $\gamma = 5/3$ is the adiabatic index of the gas. For bow shocks in front of cold front, the shock may be conical at the Mach angle, $\theta_m = \csc^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_1)$. The ratio of the pressure at the stagnation point in front of a cold front to the pressure well ahead of it is given by

$$\frac{P_{\rm st}}{P_1} = \begin{cases} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_- 1}{2} \mathcal{M}^2\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}}, & \mathcal{M} \le 1, \\ \mathcal{M}^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}} \left(\gamma - \frac{\gamma-1}{2\mathcal{M}^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}, & \mathcal{M} > 1. \end{cases}$$
(2)

If the motion of the cold front is supersonic, the stand-off distance between the cold front and the bow shock varies inversely with \mathcal{M} . For major mergers, these kinematic diagnostics generally indicate that mergers are mildly transonic $\mathcal{M} \approx 2$, corresponding to merger velocities of ~2000 km/s.

Mergers also provide a useful environment for testing the role of various physical processes in clusters. For example, the very steep temperature gradients at cold fronts imply that thermal conduction is suppressed by a large factor (~10²; Ettori & Fabian 2000; Vikhlinin, Markevitch, & Murray 2001a), presumably by magnetic fields. The smooth front surfaces of some cold fronts suggest that Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities are being suppressed, also probably by magnetic fields. Recently, Markevitch et al. (2004) have used the relative distributions of dark matter, galaxies, and gas in the dramatic merging cluster 1E0657–56 (Figure 1b) to argue that the collision cross-section per unit mass of the dark matter must be low, $\sigma/m \lesssim 1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$, which excludes most of the self-interacting dark matter models invoked to explain the mass profiles of galaxies.

Sarazin

294



Figure 3. (a) The energy spectrum of relativistic electrons in a model for a merging cluster (Sarazin 1999a). The large population at $\gamma \sim 300$ are due to many previous mergers, while the tail to high energies is due to the current merger. (b) The IC spectrum produced by the model in (a). For reference, the dashed line is thermal bremsstrahlung at a typical cluster luminosity.

3. Non-Thermal Effects of Mergers

High speed astrophysical shocks in diffuse gas generally lead to significant acceleration of relativistic electrons. For example, typical supernova remnants have blast wave shock velocities of a few thousand km/s, which are comparable to the speeds in merger shocks. (However, the Mach numbers in merger shocks are much lower.) The ubiquity of radio emission from Galactic supernova remnants implies that at least a few percent of the shock energy goes into accelerating relativistic electrons, with more probably going into ions. If these numbers are applied to strong merger shocks in clusters, one would expect that relativistic electrons with a total energy of $E_{\rm rel,e} \sim 10^{62}$ erg would be accelerated, with even more energy in the relativistic ions. Thus, merging clusters should have huge populations of relativistic particles.

Clusters should also retain some of these particles for very long times. The cosmic rays gyrate around magnetic field lines, which are frozen-in to the gas, which is held in by the strong gravitational fields of clusters. Because clusters are large, the timescales for diffusion are generally longer than the Hubble time. The low gas and radiation densities in the intracluster medium imply that losses by relativistic ions are very slow, and those by relativistic electrons are fairly slow. Figure 2a shows the loss timescale for electrons under typical cluster conditions; electrons with Lorentz factors $\gamma \approx 300$ and energies of ≈ 150 MeV have lifetimes which approach the Hubble time, as long as cluster magnetic fields are not too large ($B \leq 3 \mu$ G). As a result, clusters should contain two populations of primary relativistic electrons (Figure 3a): those at $\gamma \sim 300$ which have been produced by mergers over the lifetime of the clusters; and a tail to higher energies produced by any current merger.

The lower energy electrons will mainly be visible in the EUV/soft X-ray range (Figure 3b). Such emission has been seen in clusters, although its origin is uncertain and may well be thermal, as Jonathan Mittaz described in this session. More energetic electrons, with energies of many GeV, produce hard X-ray IC emission and radio synchrotron emission. Diffuse radio sources, not associated with radio galaxies, have been observed for many years in merging clusters. Centrally located, unpolarized, regular sources are called "radio halos", while peripheral, irregular, polarized sources are called "radio relics". Recent Chandra observations seem to show a direct connection between radio halos and merger shocks in clusters (Markevitch & Vikhlinin 2001). The same higher energy electrons will produce hard X-ray IC emission. Recently, such emission appears to have been detected with BeppoSAX and RXTE, although the detections are relatively weak and controversial [see Rossetti & Molendi (2003) and the poster by Fusco-Femiano et al. in this session].

I believe one of the most exciting possibilities for the future is the detection of clusters in hard gamma-ray radiation (Figure 2b). Essentially, all models for the nonthermal populations in clusters predict that they should be very luminous gamma-ray sources, particularly at photon energies of ~100 MeV (Sarazin 1999b; Blasi & Gabici 2003). The emission at these energies is partly due to electrons with energies of 150 MeV, which should be ubiquitous in clusters. One nice feature of this spectral region is that emission is produced both by relativistic electrons (bremsstrahlung and IC) and relativistic ions (through the production of π° particles which decay into gamma-ray photons; see Figure 2b). Thus, one can determine both population is clusters. Models suggest that GLAST and AGILE will detect ~40 nearby clusters.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration through Chandra Award Numbers GO1-2123X, GO2-3159X, and GO3-4160X, and *XMM/Newton* Award Numbers NAG5-10075 and NAG5-13088.

References

Blasi, P., & Gabici, S. 2003, preprint (astro-ph/0305251) Buote, D. A., & Tsai, J. C. 1996, ApJ, 458, 27 Ettori, S., & Fabian, A. C. 2000, MNRAS, 317, L57 Kempner, J., Sarazin, C. L., & Ricker, P. R. 2002, ApJ, 579, 236 Markevitch, M., et al., 2000, ApJ, 541, 542 Markevitch, M., et al., 2004, ApJ, 606, 819 Markevitch, M., & Vikhlinin, A. 2001, ApJ, 563, 95 Randall, S. W., Sarazin, C. L., & Ricker, P. M. 2002, ApJ, 577, 579 Ricker, P. M., & Sarazin, C. L. 2001, ApJ, 561, 621 Rossetti, M., & Molendi, S. 2003, preprint Sarazin, C. L. 1999a, ApJ, 520, 529 Sarazin, C. L. 1999b, in Diffuse Thermal and Relativistic Plasma in Galaxy Clusters, ed. H. Böhringer, L. Feretti, & P. Schuecker (Garching: MPE Rep. 271), 185 Sarazin, C. L. 2002, in Merging Processes in Clusters of Galaxies, ed. L. Feretti, I. M. Gioia, & G. Giovannini (Dordrecht: Kluwer), 1 Vikhlinin, A., Markevitch, M., & Murray, S. M. 2001a, ApJ, 549, L47 Vikhlinin, A., Markevitch, M., & Murray, S. M. 2001b, ApJ, 551, 160