

#### cambridge.org/par

## **Research Article**

Cite this article: Mans BJ, Chitimia-Dobler L, Pienaar R, de Castro M, Khan M, Almutairi MM, Alouffi A, Ali A (2024). Mitochondrial genome and nuclear ribosomal RNA analysis place *Alveonasus lahorensis* within the Argasinae and suggest that the genus *Alveonasus* is paraphyletic. *Parasitology* **151**, 908–917. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182024000441

Received: 21 February 2024 Revised: 5 March 2024 Accepted: 2 April 2024 First published online: 8 April 2024

#### **Keywords:**

Argasidae; Argasinae; *Alveonasus lahorensis*; molecular systematics; Ornithodorinae

#### Corresponding author:

Ben J. Mans; Email: mansb@arc.agric.za;

Abid Ali;

Email: uop\_ali@yahoo.com

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



# Mitochondrial genome and nuclear ribosomal RNA analysis place *Alveonasus lahorensis* within the Argasinae and suggest that the genus *Alveonasus* is paraphyletic

Ben J. Mans<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Lidia Chitimia-Dobler<sup>4,5</sup>, Ronel Pienaar<sup>1,3</sup>, Minique de Castro<sup>6</sup>, Mehran Khan<sup>7</sup>, Mashal M. Almutairi<sup>8</sup>, Abdulaziz Alouffi<sup>9</sup> and Abid Ali<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Epidemiology, Parasites and Vectors, Agricultural Research Council-Onderstepoort Veterinary Research, Onderstepoort 0110, South Africa; <sup>2</sup>Department of Life and Consumer Sciences, University of South Africa, Florida 1709, South Africa; <sup>3</sup>Department of Zoology and Entomology, University of the Free State, Bloemfontein 9301, South Africa; <sup>4</sup>Department of Virology and Rickettsiology, Bundeswehr Institute of Microbiology, Munich, Germany; <sup>5</sup>Department of Infection and Pandemic Research, Fraunhofer Institute of Immunology, Infection and Pandemic Research, Penzberg, Germany; <sup>6</sup>The Biotechnology Platform, Agricultural Research Council-Biotechnology Platform, Onderstepoort 0110, South Africa; <sup>7</sup>Department of Zoology, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, Mardan 23200, Pakistan; <sup>8</sup>Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia and <sup>9</sup>King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology, Riyadh 12354, Saudi Arabia

#### Abstract

Two major families exist in ticks, the Argasidae and Ixodidae. The Argasidae comprise 2 subfamilies, Argasinae and Ornithodorinae. The placement into subfamilies illuminate differences in morphological and molecular systematics and is important since it provides insight into evolutionary divergence within this family. It also identifies fundamental gaps in our understanding of argasid evolution that provide directions for future research. Molecular systematics based on mitochondrial genomics and 18S/28S ribosomal RNA confirmed the placement of various genera and subgenera into the Argasinae: Argas (including Argas and Persicargas), Navis, Ogadenus, Otobius lagophilus, Proknekalia, Secretargas and the Ornithodorinae: Alectorobius, Antricola (including Antricola and Parantricola), Carios, Chiropterargas, Nothoaspis, Ornithodoros (including Microargas, Ornamentum, Ornithodoros sensu strictu, Pavlovskyella), Otobius sensu strictu, Reticulinasus and Subparmatus. The position of Alveonasus remains controversial since traditional taxonomy placed it in the Ornithodorinae, while cladistic and limited molecular analysis placed it in the Argasinae. The current study aimed to resolve the systematic position of Alveonasus using mitochondrial genomic and 18S/28S ribosomal RNA systematics by sequencing the type species Alveonasus lahorensis from Pakistan. In addition, the mitochondrial genomes for Argas reflexus and Alectorobius kelleyi are reported from Germany and the USA, respectively. The systematic data unambiguously place Alveonasus in the Argasinae and also suggest that *Alveonasus* may be another paraphyletic genus.

# Introduction

Ticks (Ixodida) are comprised of 3 extant families, Argasidae (soft ticks), Ixodidae (hard ticks) and Nuttalliellidae and 2 extinct families, Deinocrotonidae and Khimairidae (Mans, 2023). The Argasidae is divided into the Argasinae and Ornithodorinae subfamilies (Mans, 2023). The Ornithodorinae currently comprise the genera Alectorobius, Antricola (including Parantricola), Carios, Chiropterargas, Nothoaspis, Ornithodoros (including Microargas, Ornamentum, Ornithodoros and Pavlovskyella), Otobius, Reticulinasus and Subparmatus (Mans et al., 2021; Mans, 2023). The Argasinae currently comprise the genera Alveonasus, Argas (including Argas and Persicargas), Navis, Ogadenus, Proknekalia and Secretargas (Mans et al., 2021; Mans, 2023). Otobius lagophilus Cooley and Kohls, 1940 has recently been shown to group in the Argasinae even though Otobius sensu stricto group within the Ornithodorinae (Kneubehl et al., 2022). Understanding the relationships between the families and the relationships between genera within the families is important for an understanding of tick evolution (Mans et al., 2016; Mans, 2023). Generic relationships in the Argasidae in particular is to a large extent unresolved, while many genera seem to be paraphyletic or polyphyletic, leading to the possibility that argasid genera may increase in the future. A number of genera and species have been shown to group outside of their traditional placement in the argasid subfamilies, notably Proknekalia and Otobius lagophilus in the Argasinae and Carios and Chiropterargas in the Ornithodorinae (Mans et al., 2019, 2021; Kneubehl et al., 2022). Placement of argasid genera and species within the subfamilies is therefore important and has not been confirmed for the genera Alveonasus or Microargas. The genus Alveonasus is of particular interest since the major systematic schools have differed regarding its affinity to the various subfamilies (Pospelova-Shtrom, 1969; Keirans et al., 1977; Klompen and Oliver, 1993).

The genus Alveonasus (Schulze, 1941) is characterized by a non-mammilated body integument with numerous depressions around which wrinkled ridges radiate to give a madreporean sculpturing effect (Clifford et al., 1964). The type species is Alveonasus lahorensis (Neumann, 1908) (Schulze, 1941). The genus is composed of 8 species and is mainly found in the Afrotropic and Palearctic regions, although Alveonasus cooleyi (McIvor, 1941) derives from the Nearctic (Table 1). Alveonasus lahorensis is unique in the Argasidae in that it is a 2-host tick species with larvae feeding and detaching as engorged third-instar nymphs that then moult to adults (Hoogstraal, 1985). Hosts include cattle, sheep, camels and wild ungulates. Its geographic distribution covers a wide range of the Palearctic region including Central Asia (southern former USSR), East Asia (China and Tibet), South Asia (Kashmir and Pakistan), Southwest Asia (Iran to Saudi Arabia) and Southeast Europe (Turkey, Bulgaria, Yugoslavia and Greece) (Hoogstraal, 1985).

Alveonasus was placed within the Ornithodorinae by the Russian (Pospelova-Shtrom, 1946, 1969), American (Clifford et al., 1964; Hoogstraal, 1985; Guglielmone et al., 2010) and French (Camicas and Morel, 1977; Camicas et al., 1998) schools, mostly based on the fact that its body margin is rounded and lack a sutural groove. However, cladistic analysis based on 83 biological and morphological characters placed Alveonasus in the Argasinae (Klompen and Oliver, 1993). Phylogenetic analysis of the nuclear 18S ribosomal RNA gene (Black et al., 1997; Mans et al., 2019, 2021) and the mitochondrial 12S and 16S ribosomal RNA genes (Zhao et al., 2018), also placed Alveonasus within the Argasinae. Based on these considerations, Alveonasus was placed within the Argasinae even though analysis of its full mitochondrial genome was not presented (Mans et al., 2019, 2021). The current study sequenced the mitochondrial genome and fulllength 18S and 28S ribosomal RNA of A. lahorensis, the type species of this genus from Pakistan. The results support the placement of Alveonasus within the Argasinae based on both nuclear and mitochondrial gene analysis. In addition, we report the mitochondrial genomes of the type species for Argas: the pigeon tick,

**Table 1.** Current species placed in the genus *Alveonasus* and their geographic distribution. Specific type localities are also indicated.

Species	Geographic distribution	Inclusion in Alveonasus
Alveonasus acinus (Whittick, 1938)	Somalia (Type locality: Bulleh Tir)	Pospelova-Shtrom (1953)
Alveonasus buettikeri (Vial and Camicas, 2009)	Oman (Type locality: Jabal Qamr)	Vial and Camicas (2009)
Alveonasus canestrinii (Birula, 1895)	Iran, Turkmenistan (Type locality: Tehran, Iran)	Grebenyuk (1951)
Alveonasus cooleyi (McIvor, 1941)	USA (Type locality: Rox, Nevada)	Pospelova-Shtrom (1953)
Alveonasus delanoei (Roubaud and Colas-Belcour, 1931)	Egypt, Morocco (Type locality: Morocco)	Pospelova-Shtrom (1953)
Alveonasus eboris (Theiler, 1959)	South Africa (Type locality: Skeerpoort, South Africa)	Theiler (1959)
Alveonasus foleyi (Parrot, 1928)	Algeria, Chad, Egypt, Libya, Niger, Morocco, Tunisia (Type locality: Algeria)	Pospelova-Shtrom (1953)
Alveonasus lahorensis (Neumann, 1908) TYPE	Palearctic (Type locality: Lahore, Pakistan)	Schulze (1941)

Argas reflexus Fabricius, 1794 from Germany and the bat tick, Alectorobius kelleyi (Cooley and Kohls, 1941) from the USA.

#### Materials and methods

#### Ticks and datasets

The ticks analyzed in the current study include *A. lahorensis* collected from sheep in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan (collected and identified by Abid Ali in 2022) and *A. reflexus*, historical collection and identification by Hans Dautel in Berlin, Germany. In both cases, voucher specimens have been deposited in the Gertrud Theiler National Tick Collection (Agricultural Research Council – Onderstepoort Veterinary Research). The data for *A. kelleyi* was obtained from the NCBI SRA database (SRR23908069) (Occi *et al.*, 2023). Tick nomenclature is used according to the proposals by Mans *et al.* (2019) and Mans *et al.* (2021).

Next-generation sequencing, assembly and mitochondrial genome annotation

Genomic DNA was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen), processed using the MGIEasy Universal DNA Library Prep kit (MGI, Shenzhen, China) and sequenced on the MGI DNBSEQ-G400 sequencing instrument using the PE150 (paired-end 2×150 bp) format (Agricultural Research Council-Biotechnology Platform, South Africa). Paired-end sequence data were quality trimmed (0.001 quality limit) and MGI adapters were removed using CLC Genomics Workbench v.20.1 software (Qiagen). Standard assembly parameters (mismatch cost-2, insertion cost-3, deletion cost-3, length fraction-0.9, similarity-0.9, minimum contig length-200 and automatic bubble size) were used and assembly was performed using a word size of 49 in CLC Genomics Workbench v.20.1 software (Qiagen). Contigs were identified as mitochondrial, 18S or 28S rRNA using BLASTN analysis (Altschul et al., 1990). Final contigs were obtained by mapping data back to the contigs using CLC Genomics Workbench v.20.1 (mismatch cost-2, insertion cost-3, deletion cost-3, length fraction-0.5 and similarity-0.9), to obtain consensus sequences and final coverage values. The mitochondrial genome was annotated using the MITOS and ARWEN servers to identify tRNA genes (Laslett and Canbäck, 2008; Bernt et al., 2013). Protein-coding genes were identified using the Expasy Translation Server (https://web.expasy.org/translate/) and BLASTP analysis (Altschul et al., 1990).

#### 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis

Sequences of *A. lahorensis*, *A. reflexus* and *A. kelleyi* were used to download the top 100 most closely related sequences from GenBank using BLASTN analysis (Altschul *et al.*, 1990). Sequences from the BLASTN analysis were combined to produce a non-redundant dataset with sequences that represent unique species. This yielded a final dataset of 107 sequences that was aligned using MAFFT taking rRNA secondary structure into account (Q-INS-i) (1PAM/k=2 scoring matrix) (Katoh and Standley, 2013). Maximum likelihood analysis was performed using IQ-Tree2 v 2.2.0 (Minh *et al.*, 2020) with an alignment size of 336 bp. The most optimal substitution model used was GTR+F+I+G4. Nodal support was estimated using ultrafast bootstrap (n=10 000) and the 50% consensus tree was reported.

## Cytochrome oxidase I phylogenetic analysis

Sequences of A. lahorensis, A. reflexus and A. kelleyi were used to download the top 100 most closely related sequences from

GenBank using BLASTN analysis (Altschul *et al.*, 1990). Sequences from the BLASTN analysis were combined to produce a non-redundant dataset with sequences that represented unique species. This yielded a final dataset of 107 sequences that was aligned using MAFFT taking rRNA secondary structure into account (Q-INS-i) (1PAM/k = 2 scoring matrix) (Katoh and Standley, 2013). A neighbour-joining analysis was performed in Mega 5 (Tamura *et al.*, 2011), using the amino acid matrix derived from the nucleotide sequence with the Jones–Taylor–Thornton amino acid substitution model with uniform rates across sites. Nodal support was estimated using 10 000 bootstraps.

# Mitochondrial genome phylogenetic analysis

Translated protein sequences for the ATP synthase 6 (ATP6), ATP synthase 8 (ATP8), cytochrome oxidase I (COX1), cytochrome oxidase II (COX2), cytochrome oxidase III (COX3), cytochrome b (Cytb), NADH dehydrogenase subunit 1 (ND1), NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2 (ND2), NADH dehydrogenase subunit 3 (ND3), NADH dehydrogenase subunit 4 (ND4), NADH dehydrogenase subunit 4L (ND4L), NADH dehydrogenase subunit 5 (ND5) and NADH dehydrogenase subunit 6 (ND6) genes were used for phylogenetic analysis (Mans et al., 2012). Multiple sequence alignments for each protein were performed separately using MAFFT with iterative alignment (FFT-NS-i) and the BLOSUM62 amino acid scoring matrix (Katoh and Standley, 2013). Maximum likelihood analysis was performed in IQ-Tree2 IQ-Tree2 v 2.2.0 (Minh et al., 2020). An optimal substitution model was calculated for each protein partition: ATP6 (mtMet + F + R5), ATP8 (mtVer + F + G4), COX1 (mtART + F + G4)I + R5), CYTB (mtMet + I + G4), NAD1 (mtZOA + F + I + I + IR5), NAD2 (mtMAM + F + I + I + R6), NAD3 (mtMet + I + G4), NAD4 (mtMet + F + I + I + R5), NAD4L (mtMet + F + G4), NAD5 (mtMet + F + I + I + R6) and NAD6 (mtVer + F + G4). Absent protein genes were treated as missing data. An edgeproportional partition model with proportional branch lengths (-spp) was used to allow each partition its own specific rate to accommodate different evolutionary rates between partitions. Nodal support was estimated using ultrafast bootstrap (n = 1000 000) and the 50% consensus tree was reported.

#### 18S-28S Ribosomal RNA phylogenetic analysis

The 18S and 28S rRNA genes from the Ixodida were downloaded from GenBank and only included in the analysis where both sequences were available for a species. Sequences were cleaned up to include a single representative for each species, except for A. lahorensis where the 18S rRNA gene from Black et al. (1997) was also included. The 18S and 28S rRNA genes were aligned separately with MAFFT taking rRNA secondary structure into account (Q-INS-i) (1PAM/k = 2 scoring matrix) (Katoh and Standley, 2013). GBLOCKS was used to remove columns with less than 50% coverage (Castresana, 2000) resulting in alignments of 1014 bp for the 18S and 540 bp for the 28S rRNA genes. Maximum likelihood analysis was performed using IQ-Tree2 IQ-Tree2 v 2.2.0 (Minh et al., 2020). The most optimal substitution model (18S: TIM3e + I + I + R2; 28S: TPM3 + I + I + R2) for each alignment was automatically selected. Absent genes were treated as missing data. An edge-proportional partition model with proportional branch lengths (-spp) was used, to allow different rate parameters for each partition to accommodate different evolutionary rates between partitions. Nodal support was estimated using ultrafast bootstrap (n = 1000000) and the 50% consensus tree was reported. For Bayesian analysis, alignments were concatenated to produce a matrix with 1831 positions.

#### Results

# Mitochondrial gene structure

The mitochondrial genomes of *A. lahorensis* (PP072240-coverage 273; PP072241-coverage 784), *A. reflexus* (PP072242 – coverage 832) and *A. kelleyi* (SRR23908069 – coverage 167) all possess the standard mitochondrial gene structure and number of genes observed in argasid species (Shao *et al.*, 2004; Mans *et al.*, 2012;, 2019, 2021; Burger *et al.*, 2014; Kneubehl *et al.*, 2022) that include the 16S and 12S ribosomal RNA genes, the 13 protein coding genes and the 22 tRNA genes (Fig. 1).

## 16S Ribosomal gene analysis


A large number of 16S rRNA sequences were previously deposited in GenBank for A. lahorensis, but none from the type locality (Pakistan). To confirm the relationship of A. lahorensis from Pakistan to published sequences, a BLASTN analysis was performed to determine all closely related sequences, followed by a multiple sequence alignment of all sequences annotated as A. lahorensis. All sequences in GenBank (n = 41) derive from the Tarim basin in China (Zhao et al., 2018), and showed 96.15-99.78% (median 99.35%) sequence identity over a 461 bp region with those from Pakistan. For A. kelleyi no 16S rRNA data has yet been deposited in GenBank, while A. reflexus shows 99-100% sequence identity to 4 sequences in Genbank that derive from Germany (ON366980), Spain (MW289075, MW289076) and Poland (AF001401). Phylogenetic analysis showed that A. lahorensis grouped within a monophyletic clade within the Argasinae (Fig. 2). Within this clade A. lahorensis from Pakistan grouped within other sequences from China. Argas refelxus grouped within the genus Argas with a number of sequences annotated as A. reflexus, while A. kelleyi grouped within the Alectorobius genus (Fig. 2).

## Cytochrome oxidase I gene analysis

Similar to the 16S rRNA gene, a number of A. lahorensis COI genes have been deposited in GenBank. A BLASTN analysis was performed to determine all closely related sequences, followed by a multiple sequence alignment of all sequences annotated as A. lahorensis. The sequences in GenBank (n = 8) derive from the Tarim basin in China (unpublished) and Iran (Hosseini-Chegeni et al., 2019), and showed 99.08-99.54% sequence identity over a  $\sim$ 650 bp region. There are no COI genes available for A. reflexus, while A. kelleyi retrieved 15 hits annotated as A. kelleyi, all from the USA, with sequence identities that ranged from 96.20% to 100%. The COI gene for A. canestrinii is also available in GenBank (MH673048) and the hypothesis that Alveonasus is a monophyletic lineage was tested by performing a phylogenetic analysis of the Argasidae (Fig. 3). All A. lahorensis sequences grouped within a well-supported monophyletic clade within the Argasinae. The tree also included *A. canestrinii*, but this sequence did not group in a monophyletic clade with A. lahorensis, also previously observed (Hosseini-Chegeni et al., 2019), suggesting that Alveonasus may be paraphyletic. Alectorobius kelleyi grouped within Alectorobius in a well-supported clade with other A. kelleyi sequences, while A. reflexus grouped in a clade with other Argas spp.

## Mitochondrial genome analysis

Phylogenetic analysis using the 13 protein coding genes indicates that *A. lahorensis* group with good support within the Argasinae in a clade formed by *Alveonasus*, *Navis*, *Ogadenus*, *Otobius lagophilus*, *Proknekalia* and *Secretargas* (Fig. 4). *Argas reflexus* group within the *Argas* clade, while *A. kelleyi* group within the *Alectorobius* clade.



**Fig. 1.** Mitochondrial genome arrangement for *Alveonasus lahorensis*, *Argas reflexus* and *Alectorobius kelleyi*. Genes on the outside are on the forward strand whereas genes on the inside are on the reverse or complementary strand. Protein-encoding genes are in dark grey, ribosomal genes in light grey whereas transfer RNA genes are in white boxes. Also indicated are mitochondrial genome sizes.

## 18S-28S rRNA gene analysis


To confirm that the sequences group within the various subfamilies as indicated for mitogenome analysis, an analysis of the nuclear 18S and 28S ribosomal RNA genes was also performed (Fig. 5). Alveonasus lahorensis grouped in the Argasinae with good support. The sequences from Pakistan grouped as sister group to an A. lahorensis sequence from Afghanistan (L76354). The sequences from Pakistan showed 100% sequence identity, however, pairwise comparison showed only 95% sequence identity to A. lahorensis (Afghanistan). This translates to 42 differences that include 4 gapped positions and would suggest different species (Mans et al., 2015). Given the wide geographic distribution of this species, the possibility exist that it is composed of a species complex. Wider geographic sampling is needed to investigate this possibility. Argas reflexus grouped within a clade with other Argas members, while A. kelleyi grouped within a clade formed by members of the Alectorobius, Antricola, Reticulinasus and Subparmatus genera.

#### **Discussion**

Relationship of Alveonasus to other argasid genera

Alveonasus was considered a connecting intermediate lineage between Argas and Ornithodoros (Clifford et al., 1964). The latter

study included Proknekalia peringueyi (Bedford and Hewitt, 1925) and Proknekalia peusi (Schulze, 1943) in Alveonasus based on their wrinkled integument and absence of the preanal and tranverse anal groove in adults and a large dorsal plate and respiratory apparatus in larvae. Proknekalia was raised to its own genus (Keirans et al., 1977) as supported by the placement of Proknekalia in its own clade in the Argasinae by mitochondrial and 18S-28S rRNA analysis (Mans et al., 2019; Mans et al., 2021; Current study). Both Alveonasus and Proknekalia were considered special branches within the genus Ornithodoros and attempts to relate these lineages to Argas was considered to be based on biological artefacts (Keirans et al., 1977). However, the current study shows that both mitochondrial and nuclear genetic data support an association within the Argasinae. Together with the cladistic data from Klompen and Oliver (1993), the evidence that these genera belong within the Argasinae is convincing. It is of interest that Alveonasus clusters within a clade formed by Ogadenus, Otobius lagophilus, Navis, Proknekalia and Secretargas. Hoogstraal (1985) placed Alveonasus within the Ornithodorinae, but did recognize a resemblance between Alveonasus, Ogadenus and Secretargas. Pospelova-Shtrom (1969) also placed Alveonasus (including Proknekalia and Ogadenus) and Otobius in the Otobiini tribe, although this tribe was placed within the Ornithodorinae. There was therefore recognition of relationships between these



**Fig. 2.** Phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rRNA gene. Indicated are selected members of various genera and subgenera as well as those sequences available in GenBank for *Argas reflexus*, *Alveonasus lahorensis* and *Alectorobius kelleyi*. The current specimens are indicated in bold and GenBank accession numbers in brackets. Bootstrap values are indicated.

genera, perhaps obscured by the conviction that *Alveonasus* had to belong to the Ornithodorinae (Keirans *et al.*, 1977). Cladistic analysis placed *Alveonasus*, *Ogadenus*, *Proknekalia* and *Secretargas* into a larger clade of related lineages termed the *Alveonasus* group (Klompen, 1992).

Given that O. lagophilus also group within the Argasinae, it is evident that there is an evolutionary relationship with other Argasinae genera. Conversely, the surprising finding that O. megnini group within the Ornithodorinae needs to be addressed. The placement of O. lagophilus within Otobius seemed fairly straightforward. Adults from both species presents a panduriform shape and adults do not feed (Clifford et al., 1964; Herring and Beck, 1965). Nymphs present posterodorsal spines, although these differ in number and size between O. megnini and O. lagophilus, with the latter's spines more slender (Herring and Beck, 1965). Conversely, larvae from O. lagophilus lack eyes while O. megnini possess 2 pairs of eyes (Herring and Beck, 1965). Otobius lagophilus parasitize rabbits exclusively and is found on the face, while O. megnini parasitizes a range of domestic animals and is usually found in the ears. Otobius lagophilus also completes 1 larval and 1 nymphal moult, while O. megnini completes 2 nymphal moults (Herring and Beck, 1965). There is therefore significant differences that may have indicated that these belong to different genera. Even so, both species lack Pd1 palpal setae, a characteristic used to differentiate Argasinae and Ornithodorinae, the former possessing palpal setae Pd1 (Klompen, 1992; Klompen and Oliver, 1993). In the case of O. lagophilus these setae would have been lost. Loss of morphological characters within lineages may be more probable than gain of a character via independent convergent evolution (homoplasy). Some Argasinae may therefore lack Pd1 palpal setae and this character may not be stable for all members, especially since its functional significance is not apparent for the lineage.

## Paraphyly of the genus Alveonasus: larger implications

It may be expected that members from the same genus and geographic region should share a recent common ancestor and therefore group as sister clades. However, even though A. canestrinii and A. lahorensis occur in the same region (Hosseini-Chegeni et al., 2019), they do not group as sister clades or even as a monophyletic clade to the exclusion of other genera (Fig. 3). Cladistic analysis of larval characters also failed to provide support for a monophyletic Alveonasus when A. canestrinii, A. eboris and A. lahorensis was compared (Klompen, 1992). Additional support for paraphyly may be found in the unique biology of A. lahorensis which is the only argasid species to display 2-host behaviour with the larvae and nymphs feeding on the same host for up to 3-6 weeks before the engorged third-instar nymph drops and moults to an adult (Hoogstraal, 1985). This suggests that Alveonasus may be comprised of different independent lineages (i.e. genera). In fact, given the wide geographic distribution observed for members of Alveonasus, it may be expected that Alveonasus may likely be comprised of a large number of independent lineages, probably linked to their diverse geographic distribution (Table 1). Indeed, a similar phenomenon is seen for the subgenus Pavlovskyella, where different geographic lineages group as unique clades in the Ornithodorinae (Mans et al., 2019). It also implies that the eponymous feature of Alveonasus, the madreporian sculpturing of the integument (Clifford et al., 1964), evolved independently or derive from similar, but independent developmental pathways (Mans, 2023). If an ancestral developmental pathway for the Alveonasus sensu Klompen (1992) group was present, perhaps characterized by polygonal depressions surrounded by ridges,




**Fig. 3.** Phylogenetic analysis of the cytochrome oxidase I gene. Indicated are selected members of various genera and subgenera as well as those sequences available in GenBank for *Argas reflexus*, *Alveonasus lahorensis* and *Alectorobius kelleyi*. The current specimens are indicated in bold and GenBank accession numbers in brackets. The tree was rooted using *Ixodes anatis*. Bootstrap values above 70% are indicated with black dots and above 90% with white dots.

formed by integumental folds from the centre of these depressions (Klompen and Oliver, 1993), it resulted in numerous integumental variations, some madreporean and others more accentuated by the ridges. Depending on how the integumental

development plan unfolds in each species, the specific patterns observed may not be stable enough for genus level classification. Given the recent surprising placement of *O. lagophilus* in the


Given the recent surprising placement of *O. lagophilus* in the Argasinae (Kneubehl *et al.*, 2022), the placement of other



**Fig. 4.** Phylogenetic analysis of the 13 mitochondrial protein coding genes. Indicated are species with their mitochondrial genome accession numbers. The current specimens are indicated in bold. Also indicated are the genera or subgenera of various clades. The tree was rooted using *lxodes vespertilionis*. Bootstrap values above 90% are indicated with black dots.

members of *Alveonasus* in the Argasinae (and in *Alveonasus*) should be empirically confirmed. While it is likely that all members would eventually group within a larger clade formed by

Alveonasus, Navis, Ogadenus, Proknekalia, Secretargas and O. lagophilus (the Alveonasus group sensu Klompen, 1992), this cannot be taken for granted. A concerted effort to place the



**Fig. 5.** Phylogenetic analysis of the 18S-28S ribosomal RNA genes. Indicated are species with their 18S and 28S rRNA accession numbers in brackets. The current specimens are indicated in bold. The tree was rooted using *Ixodes ricinus*. Bootstrap values above 90% are indicated with black dots.

remaining *Alveonasus* species will be important, since it is likely that the unexpected grouping of the remaining lineages may profoundly impact our understanding of the evolution of this group.

## Conclusion

The current study showed that the type species for *Alveonasus* group within the Argasinae and not the Ornithodorinae as

suggested by the American (Clifford et al., 1964; Hoogstraal, 1985; Guglielmone et al., 2010), Russian (Pospelova-Shtrom, 1946, 1969) and French (Camicas and Morel, 1977; Camicas et al., 1998) schools. Inclusion of Alveonasus in the Argasinae has been indicated by previous molecular studies using nuclear 18S rRNA and mitochondrial 16S rRNA (Black et al., 1997; Zhao et al., 2018). However, the inclusion of Alveonasus in the Argasinae was not specifically discussed nor proposed in these studies, nor recognized by the tick community (except for Mans

et al., 2019 and Mans et al., 2021). The current study is therefore the first to provide evidence that unambiguously places Alveonasus in the Argasinae and recognize its placement in this subfamily, after the proposal by Klompen and Oliver (1993), that Alveonasus should be placed in the Argasinae based on cladistic analysis. This is also one of the last major genera for which mitochondrial genome data has been reported. The only formally recognized genus or lineage for which mitochondrial genome data has not yet been reported is the subgenus Microargas (monotypic species Ornithodoros transversus Banks (1902)). It is becoming clear that many more evolutionary independent lineages may exist in the Argasidae that may warrant generic status that include members from Alectorobius, Pavlovskyella, Otobius and now Alveonasus (Mans, 2023).

**Data availability statement.** All data in the study is available in the public databases as listed by their accession numbers.

**Acknowledgements.** All data in the study is available in the public databases as listed by their accession numbers.

**Author contributions.** BJM: Study design, data analysis, writing and revision; LCD: writing and revision, RP: data analysis, writing and revision; MdC: data analysis, writing and revision; MK: sample collection, writing and revision; MMA: sample collection, writing and revision; AA: sample collection, writing and revision; AA: Study design, data analysis, writing and revision.

**Financial support.** This study was supported by the National Research Foundation of South Africa (Grant Number: 137966). The researchers supporting project number (RSP2024R494), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Competing interests. The authors declare there are no conflicts of interest.

Ethical standards. Not applicable

#### References

- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW and Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 215, 403–410.
- Bernt M, Donath A, Jühling F, Externbrink F, Florentz C, Fritzsch G, Pütz J, Middendorf M and Stadler PF (2013) MITOS: improved de novo metazoan mitochondrial genome annotation. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **69**, 313–319.
- Black WC 4th, Klompen JSH and Keirans JE (1997) Phylogenetic relationships among tick subfamilies (Ixodida: Ixodidae: Argasidae) based on the 18S nuclear rDNA gene. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 7, 129–144.
- Burger TD, Shao R, Labruna MB and Barker SC (2014) Molecular phylogeny of soft ticks (Ixodida: Argasidae) inferred from mitochondrial genome and nuclear rRNA sequences. *Ticks and Tick Borne Diseases* 5, 195–207.
- Camicas JL and Morel P-C (1977) Position systématique et classification des tiques (Acarida: Ixodida). Acarologia 18, 410–420.
- Camicas J-L, Hervy JP, Adam F and Morel P-C (1998) The Ticks of the World. Nomenclature, Described Stages, Hosts, Distribution (Acarida: Ixodida). Paris: Orstom.
- Castresana J (2000) Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Molecular Biology and Evolution 17, 540–552
- Clifford CM, Kohls GM and Sonenshine DE (1964) The systematics of the subfamily Ornithodorinae (Acarina: Argasidae). I. The genera and subgenera. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 57, 429–437.
- Grebenyuk RV (1951) Contribution to the knowledge of the species of the superfamily Ixodoidea in Kirgizia. Preliminary report. Trudy Biologicheskii institut. Kirgizskii filial Akademiia nauk SSSR Frunze 4, 119–123.
- Guglielmone AA, Robbins RG, Apanaskevich DA, Petney TN, Estrada-Peña A, Horak IG, Shao R and Barker SC (2010) The Argasidae, Ixodidae and Nuttalliellidae (Acari: Ixodida) of the world: a list of valid species names. *Zootaxa* **2528**, 1–28.
- Herring CS and Beck DE (1965) Observations on the biology, anatomy, and morphology of Otobius lagophilus Cooley and Kohls. Brigham Young University Science Bulletin, Biological Series 6, 2.

Hoogstraal H (1985) Argasid and nuttalliellid ticks as parasites and vectors. Advances in Parasitology 24, 135–238.

- Hosseini-Chegeni A, Tavakoli M, Koshki H and Khedri J (2019) A new record of Ornithodoros (Pavlovskyella) verrucosus (Acari: Argasidae) from a porcupine burrow in western Iran. Systematic and Applied Acarology 24, 771–781.
- **Katoh K and Standley DM** (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**, 772–780.
- Keirans JE, Hoogstraal H and Clifford CM (1977) Ornithodoros (Proknekalia) vansomereni, new subgenus and new species (Acarina: Ixodoidea: Argasidae), a swallow nest parasite in Kenya. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 70, 221–228.
- Klompen JHS (1992) Comparative morphology of argasid larvae (Acari: Ixodida: Argasidae, with notes on phylogenetic relationships. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 85, 541–560.
- **Klompen JSH and Oliver JH** (1993) Systematic relationships in the soft ticks (Acari: Ixodida: Argasidae). *Systematic Entomology* **18**, 313–331.
- Kneubehl AR, Muñoz-Leal S, Filatov S, de Klerk DG, Pienaar R, Lohmeyer KH, Bermúdez SE, Suriyamongkol T, Mali I, Kanduma E, Latif AA, Sarih M, Bouattour A, de León AAP, Teel PD, Labruna MB, Mans BJ and Lopez JE (2022) Amplification and sequencing of entire tick mitochondrial genomes for a phylogenomic analysis. Scientific Reports 12, 19310.
- Laslett D and Canbäck B (2008) ARWEN: a program to detect tRNA genes in metazoan mitochondrial nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 24, 172–175.
- Mans BJ (2023) Paradigms in tick evolution. Trends in Parasitology 39, 475–486.
  Mans BJ, de Klerk D, Pienaar R, de Castro MH and Latif AA (2012) The mitochondrial genomes of Nuttalliella namaqua (Ixodoidea: Nuttalliellidae) and Argas africolumbae (Ixodoidae: Argasidae): estimation of divergence dates for the major tick lineages and reconstruction of ancestral blood-feeding characters. PLoS One 7, e49461.
- Mans BJ, de Klerk D, Pienaar R, de Castro MH and Latif AA (2015) Next-generation sequencing as means to retrieve tick systematic markers, with the focus on *Nuttalliella namaqua* (Ixodoidea: Nuttalliellidae). *Ticks and Tick Borne Diseases* 6, 450–462.
- Mans BJ, de Castro MH, Pienaar R, de Klerk D, Gaven P, Genu S and Latif AA (2016) Ancestral reconstruction of tick lineages. Ticks and Tick Borne Diseases 7, 509–535.
- Mans BJ, Featherston J, Kvas M, Pillay KA, de Klerk DG, Pienaar R, de Castro MH, Schwan TG, Lopez JE, Teel P, Pérez de León AA, Sonenshine DE, Egekwu NI, Bakkes DK, Heyne H, Kanduma EG, Nyangiwe N, Bouattour A and Latif AA (2019) Argasid and ixodid systematics: implications for soft tick evolution and systematics, with a new argasid species list. Ticks and Tick Borne Diseases 10, 219–240.
- Mans BJ, Kelava S, Pienaar R, Featherston J, de Castro MH, Quetglas J, Reeves WK, Durden LA, Miller MM, Laverty TM, Shao R, Takano A, Kawabata H, Moustafa MAM, Nakao R, Matsuno K, Greay TL, Evasco KL, Barker D and Barker SC (2021) Nuclear (18S-28S rRNA) and mitochondrial genome markers of Carios (Carios) vespertilionis (Argasidae) support Carios Latreille, 1796 as a lineage embedded in the Ornithodorinae: re-classification of the Carios sensu Klompen and Oliver (1993) clade into its respective sub genera. Ticks and Tick Borne Diseases 12, 101688.
- McIvor BC (1941) A new species of Ornithodoros tick from Nevada (Acarina: Ixodoidea). The Journal of Parasitology 27, 435–436.
- Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A and Lanfear R (2020) IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. *Molecular Biology* and Evolution 37, 1530–1534.
- Occi JL, Price DC, Hall M, Campbell V, Stronsick S, Sullivan CF, Pesapane R, Gonzalez J, Toledo A and Fonseca DM (2023) *Rickettsia* and relapsing fever *Borrelia* in *Alectorobius kelleyi* (Ixodida: Argasidae) from peri domestic bats in the northeastern United States. *Ticks and Tick Borne Diseases* 14, 102157.
- Pospelova-Shtrom MV (1946) On the Argasidae system (with description of two new subfamilies, three new tribes and one new genus). Medical Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases 15, 47–58.
- Pospelova-Shtrom MV (1953) Ornithodorini Ticks and the Epidemiological Significance (In Russian). Akademii Medîtsinskikh Nauk SSSR, Moscow. 236 pp.
- Pospelova-Shtrom MV (1969) On the system of classification of ticks of the family Argasidae Can., 1890. *Acarologia* 11, 1–22.

Schulze P (1941) Das geruchsorgan der zecken. Untersuchungen uber die abwandlungeneines sinneorgans und seine stammesgeschichtliche bedeutung. Zeitschrift für Morphologie und Ökologie der Tiere 37, 491–564.

- Shao R, Aoki Y, Mitani H, Tabuchi N, Barker SC and Fukunaga M (2004)
  The mitochondrial genomes of soft ticks have an arrangement of genes that has remained unchanged for over 400 million years. *Insect Molecular Biology* 13, 219–224.
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M and Kumar S (2011) MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 28, 2731–2739.
- **Theiler G** (1959) Ticks in the South African zoological survey collection part XI *Ornithodoros eboris* n. sp. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research* **28**. 145–153.
- Vial L and Camicas J-L (2009) Description of a new soft tick species of the genus Ornithodoros Koch, 1844 (Acari: Argasidae) from Oman. Fauna of Arabia 24, 135–143.
- Zhao L, Lin XM, Li F, Li KR, He B, Zhang LY, Pan JJ, Wang QR, Gao JM, Johnson N, Yuan XF, Lv JZ, Wu SQ and Liu YH (2018) A survey of argasid ticks and tick-associated pathogens in the Peripheral Oases around Tarim Basin and the first record of *Argas japonicus* in Xinjiang, China. *PLoS One* 13, e0208615.