

A COUNTEREXAMPLE TO A CONTINUED FRACTION CONJECTURE

IAN SHORT

*Department of Mathematics, Logic House, National University of Ireland, Maynooth,
Maynooth, Co. Kildare, Ireland (ian.short@nuim.ie)*

(Received 25 April 2005)

Abstract It is known that if $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, -\frac{1}{4}]$ and $a_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the infinite continued fraction with coefficients a_1, a_2, \dots converges. A conjecture has been recorded by Jacobsen *et al.*, taken from the unorganized portions of Ramanujan's notebooks, that if $a \in (-\infty, -\frac{1}{4})$ and $a_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the continued fraction diverges. Counterexamples to this conjecture for each value of a in $(-\infty, -\frac{1}{4})$ are provided. Such counterexamples have already been constructed by Glutsyuk, but the examples given here are significantly shorter and simpler.

Keywords: continued fractions; Möbius transformations; iteration; dynamics

2000 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 40A15; 30B70

1. Introduction

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let a_n be a non-zero complex number and let t_n be the Möbius transformation $t_n(z) = a_n/(1+z)$; then the continued fraction

$$\mathbf{K}(a_n | 1) = \frac{a_1}{1 + \frac{a_2}{1 + \frac{a_3}{1 + \dots}}} \quad (1.1)$$

is considered to converge if the sequence with the n th term equal to the n -fold composition $t_1 \cdots t_n(0)$ converges within the extended complex plane \mathbb{C}_∞ . We identify the continued fraction (1.1) with the sequence t_1, t_2, \dots of Möbius transformations. A problem derived from the private notebooks of Ramanujan is posed in [4, p. 38], which asks whether, for a given complex number $a \neq -\frac{1}{4}$ and a sequence a_1, a_2, \dots that converges to a , the continued fraction $\mathbf{K}(a_n | 1)$ diverges if and only if $a \in (-\infty, -\frac{1}{4})$. In this paper it is demonstrated that $\mathbf{K}(a_n | 1)$ may or may not converge if $a \in (-\infty, -\frac{1}{4})$, thereby proving the conjecture to be false. Glutsyuk has already provided such examples in [3], but the methods here are significantly shorter and simpler. Our conclusions are summarized in a theorem, whose proof is postponed until §3.

Theorem 1.1. *If $a \in (-\infty, -\frac{1}{4})$, then there are sequences a_n of real numbers that converge to a for which $\mathbf{K}(a_n | 1)$ converges and there are sequences a_n of real numbers that converge to a for which $\mathbf{K}(a_n | 1)$ diverges.*

2. Iteration of a single Möbius transformation

To understand the dynamics of the sequence $t_1 \cdots t_n$, where $t_n(z) = a_n/(1+z)$ and $a_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, one must first understand the dynamics of the sequence formed through iterating the Möbius map $t(z) = a/(1+z)$. The theory of iteration of a single Möbius transformation is well known (see, for example, [1] or [5]) and it is independent of continued fractions. We elaborate briefly on this theory.

The conjugacy type of a given Möbius transformation $f(z) = (Az+B)/(Cz+D)$ may be determined from the conjugation-invariant quantity $T(f) = (A+D)^2/(AD-BC)$: if $T(f) \in [0, 4)$, then f is *elliptic*; if $T(f) = 4$, then f is *parabolic*; otherwise f is *loxodromic*. Therefore, t is elliptic if $a \in (-\infty, -\frac{1}{4})$, parabolic if $a = -\frac{1}{4}$, and loxodromic otherwise.

If t is loxodromic and $a_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from the general theory (see [2] or [6]) that $\mathbf{K}(a_n | 1)$ converges. If t is parabolic and $a_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathbf{K}(a_n | 1)$ may converge or it may diverge, and it is easy to construct examples of both circumstances. This leaves the situation of Theorem 1.1, when t is elliptic. Elliptic maps are by definition conjugate to Möbius maps of the form $z \mapsto e^{i\theta}z$, where $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$, hence $t^n(0)$ diverges (since 0 is not a fixed point of t), that is, $\mathbf{K}(a | 1)$ diverges. Thus, for one part of Theorem 1.1 we may choose a_n to be the constant sequence a, a, \dots . The other part of Theorem 1.1 is proved in §3.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We need a preliminary lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *The subset of $(-\infty, -\frac{1}{4})$ consisting of those numbers $a \in (-\infty, -\frac{1}{4})$ for which $t(z) = a/(1+z)$ is a map of finite order is a dense subset of $(-\infty, -\frac{1}{4})$.*

Proof. Let t be conjugate to $g(z) = e^{i\theta}z$, $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$; then

$$-1/a = T(t) = T(g) = 4 \cos^2 \frac{1}{2}\theta. \quad (3.1)$$

The maps t and g are of finite order if and only if θ is a rational multiple of π , and rational multiples of π are dense in $(0, 2\pi)$. The result is assured by continuity of the correspondence (3.1). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We construct a sequence a_n that converges to $a \in (-\infty, -\frac{1}{4})$ for which $\mathbf{K}(a_n | 1)$ converges. By Lemma 3.1, we may choose a sequence $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ in $(-\infty, -\frac{1}{4})$ that converges to a for which each map $s_n(z) = \alpha_n/(1+z)$ is of finite order. Let $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots$ be a sequence in $(0, 1)$ that converges to 0 for which $\sum \epsilon_n$ diverges. Define $t_n(z) = (1 - \epsilon_n)\alpha_n/(1+z)$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. One may easily verify that

$$t_n s_n^{-2} t_n(z) = z + \epsilon_n. \quad (3.2)$$

Since s_n is of finite order, the two equal quantities in (3.2) are also equal to the m -fold composition $t_n s_n \cdots s_n t_n(z)$, where $m = \text{order}(s_n)$.

For each n , choose an integer N_n such that $N_n \epsilon_n$ is greater than the maximum element from the finite set

$$\{|t_{n+1} s_{n+1}^q(0)| : 0 \leq q \leq \text{order}(s_{n+1}) - 2, t_{n+1} s_{n+1}^q(0) \neq \infty\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Let ϕ_n represent the string of maps $t_n, s_n, \dots, s_n, t_n$, in which s_n occurs $\text{order}(s_n) - 2$ times. The continued fraction corresponding to the sequence of Möbius maps

$$\phi_1, \dots, \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_2, \dots \tag{3.4}$$

is the example we require, where the string ϕ_n occurs in the continued fraction N_n times. To see that (3.4) provides an example of the required form, notice that the coefficients a_n arise from the maps s_n or t_n , thus certainly $a_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It remains to demonstrate that the continued fraction converges (to ∞). This is true as

$$(t_1 s_1^{-2} t_1)^{N_1} \cdots (t_n s_n^{-2} t_n)^{N_n}(z) = z + \sum_{i=1}^n N_i \epsilon_i,$$

by (3.2), hence

$$\begin{aligned} (t_1 s_1^{-2} t_1)^{N_1} \cdots (t_n s_n^{-2} t_n)^{N_n} (t_{n+1} s_{n+1}^{-2} t_{n+1})^p t_{n+1} s_{n+1}^q(0) \\ = t_{n+1} s_{n+1}^q(0) + p \epsilon_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n N_i \epsilon_i \\ > \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} N_i \epsilon_i, \end{aligned}$$

by (3.3), where $0 \leq p < N_{n+1}$ and $0 \leq q \leq \text{order}(s_{n+1}) - 2$. Therefore, the continued fraction converges to ∞ . □

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by Science Foundation Ireland Grant no. 05/RFP/MAT003.

References

1. A. F. BEARDON, *The geometry of discrete groups* (Springer, 1983).
2. A. F. BEARDON, Continued fractions, discrete groups and complex dynamics, *Computat. Meth. Funct. Theory* **1** (2001), 535–594.
3. A. A. GLUTSYUK, On convergence of generalized continued fractions and Ramanujan’s conjecture, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I* **341** (2005), 427–432.
4. L. JACOBSEN, R. L. LAMPHERE, G. E. ANDREWS AND B. C. BERNDT, *The continued fractions found in the unorganized portions of Ramanujan’s notebooks*, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, Volume 99, No. 477 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992).
5. G. JONES AND D. SINGERMAN, *Complex functions: an algebraic and geometric viewpoint* (Cambridge University Press, 1987).
6. M. MANDELL AND A. MAGNUS, On convergence of sequences of linear fractional transformations, *Math. Z.* **115**(1) (1970), 11–17.