was lower with DOACs in eight SRs for hip arthroplasty and in five SRs for knee arthroplasty. The risk of major bleeding was similar between the treatments in all but two SRs. Substituting enoxaparin for DOACs led to a cost reduction of BRL490 (USD98) per patient, which could save BRL29,890 (USD6,038) per year.

Conclusions: Patients undergoing hip and knee arthroplasties are at high risk for the occurrence of VTE. Our overview of SRs showed that the efficacy and safety of DOACs are well recognized. DOACs reduce the risk of VTE, but to date patients in Brazil do not have access to these medicines through the SUS. By providing DOACs, hospitals could ensure adequate prophylaxis without increasing costs.

PD62 Judicialization Of Health In A Brazilian University Hospital: How Can We Reduce The Budget Impact?

Mayra Carvalho Ribeiro (mayracr@unicamp.br), Giovana Fernanda Santos Fidelis, Claudio Lopes, Carlos Roberto Silveira Correa, Lucieni de Oliveira Conterno, Flávia de Oliveira Motta Maia and José Barreto Campello Carvalheira

Introduction: Public hospitals in São Paulo can be held financially responsible for costs related to medications prescribed outside the recommendations of the Brazilian Unified Health System (SUS). The objective of this study was to describe these expenses in a public hospital and the measures implemented and evaluated to reduce this problem.

Methods: In January 2023, the Health Technology Assessment Center collected data on legal proceedings filed against a tertiary teaching hospital from January 2021 to November 2023. The data were obtained from monthly reports sent by the São Paulo State Department of Health (SES). The proceedings were categorized according to the type of technology and its availability in the SUS, costs, and the prescribing specialties. The indicators developed were used to plan improvement actions to guide care teams, negotiate with the SES, and resolve current legal proceedings.

Results: The cost of legal proceedings for 136 patients was BRL4,410,278 (USD890,965). Four medicines for six patients constituted 56 percent of the total cost. A group created an informative folder explaining how to access the National List of Essential Medicines, prescribe medicines from the high-cost program, and make administrative requests. Other related actions were the creation of a standardized process for requesting medicines, monthly assessment of judicialization data, clinical discussions with prescribers, and educational activities with residents. The interventions reduced average monthly costs from BRL177,268 (USD15,811) to BRL85,493 (USD17,271) in the last trimester.

Conclusions: Knowledge and measurement of judicialization costs allowed the hospital to implement improvements to help avoid new

legal proceedings and to understand the demands of medical specialties regarding situations not covered by SUS guidelines. The Health Technology Assessment Center's work with managers made it possible to identify opportunities for improving the education of professionals regarding the procedures and technologies available in the SUS.

PD64 Modeling Clinical And Economic Impact Of Integral, Transversal, And Multidisciplinary Management Of Aortic Stenosis In A Catalan Hospital

Carla Fernandez-Barcelo (carla.fernandez. barcelo@gmail.com), Bàrbara Vidal Hagemeijer, Ismail Abbas, Marc Trilla, Marta Sitges Carreño and Laura Sampietro-Colom

Introduction: A program for integral, transversal, and multidisciplinary management of aortic stenosis (MITMEVA) is being implemented at the Clinic Barcelona University Hospital (CBUH) to provide adequate treatment for patients with aortic stenosis (AS). Eleven actions at different care points were implemented (e.g., awareness raising for the general population, a single entry path for patient referral, prehabilitation and rehabilitation, and a risk-sharing agreement). Preliminary results are presented.

Methods: A before-and-after implementation study was conducted with 131 patients under MITMEVA and 131 matched (for treatment, New York Heart Association classification, sex, age, and referral place) historical controls. Data were collected on resources used and quality of life and to calculate several key performance indicators (KPIs) (e.g., knowledge improvement in citizens, time from diagnosis to treatment, and patient involvement and satisfaction) for each implemented action. A descriptive analysis of KPIs and a Markov model were performed to simulate clinical and economic outcomes for patient health states over time after the first year until the tenth year after intervention.

Results: The MITMEVA program increased quality-adjusted lifeyears by 1.78 (p=0.011) and reduced time from referral to first hospital visit by 24.7 percent (p=0.05), hospital complications by 19.7 percent (p=0.05), mean conventional ward stay from 12.8 to 8 days (p=0.01), and mean intensive care unit stay from 9.75 to 4.25 days, although the latter difference was not statistically significant (p=0.139). The mean cost per patient was reduced from EUR7,573.27 per patient to EUR6,024.61 per patient (p=0.01). The MITMEVA program was a dominant strategy. There was a 46 percent increase in correct AS symptom identification after delivering training on AS to the general population.

Conclusions: Integrated care approaches can potentially improve patient continuum of care if the strategies are deployed in a multidisciplinary and transversal way across healthcare actors. The MIT-MEVA program significantly improved clinical and economic outcomes and organization of care, benefiting patients and clinicians. Applying health technology assessment methods to such innovative projects can help prove the value of organizational innovations.

PD65 How Methods Innovation In Health Technology Assessment Missed The Opportunity To Include Health Equity In The Value Puzzle

Grammati Sarri (grammati.sarri@cytel.com), Amruta Radhakrishnan, Jeffrey Muir and Ipek Ozer Stillman

Introduction: Reconstructing the value puzzle in health technology assessment (HTA) of new technologies is an ongoing discussion among different stakeholders. Little progress has been made toward consistently and transparently incorporating additional value elements, such as health equity, or moving the focus beyond the traditional value elements of clinical benefit and economic cost associated with the introduction of new technologies.

Methods: The objective was to conduct a series of pragmatic reviews of recent HTA guidance documents, international organizations, and previous systematic reviews to answer the following research questions.

- Would increased familiarity with real-world evidence, advanced analytics, and expanded forms of economic modeling create the forum for HTA bodies to reconsider their processes and include health equity when assessing new products?
- Which methods innovations would facilitate changes in HTA methods and processes to consistently and transparently assess health equity value elements?

Results were documented and qualitatively synthesized by outlining missed opportunities and highlighting potential barriers to integrating equity-informed HTA processes.

Results: Our findings were grouped into three main parts: HTA guidance summaries, trends summarized by key organizations (HTAi, ISPOR, and others), and peer reviewed publications. HTA bodies have increasingly emphasized health equity concerns and the importance of standardizing methods to support health equity considerations but have not recommended explicit quantitative methods. Our database search found previous systematic literature reviews explicitly referring to methods of integrating real-world evidence into comparative effectiveness assessments, whereas modeling techniques such as distributional, augmented, or cost-effectiveness analyses, and multicriteria decision-making can integrate health equity effects for both patients and healthcare systems.

Conclusions: Our research showcases the gap between recognizing health equity as a missing element in HTA and incorporating

methods to implement such considerations into real-life decisionmaking. Greater familiarity with health equity methods may move the discussion from "whether" to "how" additional value elements such as health equity can inform decision-making.

PD66 Scalability Of Integral, Transversal, And Multidisciplinary Management Of Aortic Stenosis In Catalan Hospitals

Franka Van Scheepen, Carla Fernandez-Barcelo (carla.fernandez. barcelo@gmail.com), Bàrbara Vidal Hagemeijer, Ismail Abbas, Marta Sitges Carreño and Laura Sampietro-Colom

Introduction: A program for integral, transversal, and multidisciplinary management of aortic stenosis (MITMEVA) was implemented at the Clinic Barcelona University Hospital to provide adequate and personalized treatment for patients with aortic stenosis (AS). MIT-MEVA includes 11 actions in the AS care pathway. This study aimed to test the scalability of MITMEVA by gathering the perspectives of relevant stakeholders in two other Catalan hospitals.

Methods: A mixed method study design was used to collect and analyze the views of relevant stakeholders on the scalability of the MITMEVA project. Qualitative and quantitative methods were used to answer the research question. All participants were interviewed individually using a semi-structured interview guide. The interviews were transcribed and analyzed by performing a content analysis with Atlas.ti software. Quantitative data were gathered and analyzed by adjusting the Intervention Scalability Assessment Tool (ISAT).

Results: Nine participants were interviewed from two tertiary hospitals in Catalonia and eight ISAT questionnaires were completed. From the content analysis, 11 of the 15 themes identified related to actions implemented in the MITMEVA program. The results pointed toward a positive view of implementation of the MIT-MEVA program in general, showing a good scalability for all the ISAT domains. On a scale of zero to three, most domains were scored two or above.

Conclusions: The qualitative and quantitative results indicated that the MITMEVA program has potential for scaling up to other Catalan hospitals to improve the management of AS. Generally, stakeholders from the two participating hospitals were positive about the project. However, small adjustments will be needed to account for the culture and organizational characteristics of the hospitals when scaling up the MITMEVA program.