

SMOOTH FAMILIES OF FIBRATIONS AND
ANALYTIC SELECTIONS OF POLYNOMIAL HULLS

MIRAN ČERNE

Constructed are strictly increasing smooth families $\Sigma^t \subseteq \partial D \times \mathbf{C}^2$, $t \in [0, 1]$, of fibrations over the unit circle with strongly pseudoconvex fibers all diffeomorphic to the ball $\overline{B^4}$ such that there is no analytic selection of the polynomial hull of Σ^0 and which end at the product fibration $\Sigma^1 = \partial D \times \overline{B^4}$. In particular these examples show that the continuity method for describing the polynomial hull of a fibration over ∂D fails even if the complex geometry of the fibers is relatively simple.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{P}_n be the algebra of holomorphic polynomials in n complex variables and let $X \subseteq \mathbf{C}^n$ be a compact subset of the complex space \mathbf{C}^n . The polynomial hull \widehat{X} of X is defined as

$$\widehat{X} := \{z_0 \in \mathbf{C}^n; |p(z_0)| \leq \sup_{z \in X} |p(z)|, p \in \mathcal{P}_n\}.$$

Let $D \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ be the unit disc in the complex plane \mathbf{C} and let ∂D be its boundary, the unit circle in \mathbf{C} . An H^∞ analytic disc with boundary in X is an H^∞ mapping $h : D \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ such that

$$h(\xi) \in X \text{ almost everywhere } dm(\xi),$$

where $dm(\xi)$ stands for the Lebesgue measure on ∂D . By the maximum principle it follows immediately that if h is an H^∞ analytic disc with boundary in X , then the whole disc $h(D)$ lies in the polynomial hull \widehat{X} of X , that is, $h(D) \subseteq \widehat{X}$. It is a classical result by Stolzenberg, [10], that it is not always the case that the set $\widehat{X} \setminus X$ can be given as the union of the H^∞ analytic discs with boundaries in X . Later Wermer, [11], refined Stolzenberg's example and constructed a fibration over the unit circle ∂D with fibers in \mathbf{C} with the same property.

Received 20th September, 1994

I would like to thank my thesis advisor prof. Franc Forstnerič for many stimulating discussions, helpful suggestions and constant encouragement. This work was supported in part by a grant from the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/95 \$A2.00+0.00.

On the other hand there is a series of papers [1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9] on the polynomial hull of a fibration

$$X := \bigcup_{\xi \in \partial D} \{\xi\} \times X_\xi \subseteq \partial D \times \mathbb{C}^n$$

over ∂D , which show that in the case the geometry of the fibers X_ξ , $\xi \in \partial D$, is tame, that is, arbitrary dimension n and all fibers are geometrically convex [1, 4, 7, 9] or $n = 1$ and the fibers are only connected and simply connected [5, 8], one can describe the polynomial hull of X as the union of the graphs $\{(z, h(z)); z \in D\}$ of the H^∞ analytic discs h in \mathbb{C}^n for which

$$h(\xi) \in X_\xi \text{ almost everywhere } dm(\xi).$$

A disc h of this kind is called an *analytic selection* of the polynomial hull of X . An example by Helton and Merino, [6], shows that the condition on the fibers to be only connected and simply connected is not enough for the same result to hold for $n \geq 2$. Namely, they found an example of a fibration X over ∂D with connected and simply connected fibers in \mathbb{C}^2 , whose polynomial hull \hat{X} is nontrivial, but there is no graph of an H^∞ analytic disc whose boundary lies in X .

All proofs of the above positive results for $n \geq 2$ are essentially based on a very clever use of the Hahn-Banach theorem and are, therefore, linear (convex) in their nature. One could hope that exploiting the complex geometry of the fibers X_ξ , $\xi \in \partial D$, one could still get some positive results on the description of the polynomial hull of X as Forstnerič did in [5] in the case of one dimensional fibers. See also [8]. In this paper we give two examples, inspired by the example by Helton and Merino, [6], which show that the so called continuity method for describing the polynomial hull of a fibration over ∂D , which was so successfully used by Forstnerič for $n = 1$, [5], fails even in the case the complex geometry of the fibers is simple. See also [2].

THEOREM 1.1. *There exists a smooth family of fibrations*

$$\Sigma^t := \bigcup_{\xi \in \partial D} \{\xi\} \times \Sigma_\xi^t, \quad (t \in [0, 1])$$

in $\partial D \times \mathbb{C}^2$ with the following properties:

1. for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and for all $\xi \in \partial D$ the interiors Ω_ξ^t of the fibers Σ_ξ^t are strongly pseudoconvex domains in \mathbb{C}^2 with smooth boundaries, all diffeomorphic to the ball and such that $\overline{\Omega_\xi^t} = \Sigma_\xi^t$,
2. all fibers of the fibration Σ^1 are Euclidean balls in \mathbb{C}^2 ,
3. the family is strictly increasing in the sense that for all $\xi \in \partial D$ and for all pairs $t, \tau \in [0, 1]$, $t < \tau$, the inclusion

$$\Sigma_\xi^t \subseteq \Omega_\xi^\tau$$

holds,

4. the fibration Σ^0 has the property that its polynomial hull is nontrivial, but there is no H^∞ analytic selection of the fibration Σ^0 .

THEOREM 1.2. *There exists a smooth family of fibrations*

$$\Sigma^t := \bigcup_{\xi \in \partial D} \{\xi\} \times \Sigma_\xi^t, \quad (t \in [0, 1])$$

in $\partial D \times \mathbb{C}^2$ with the properties (1), (2) and (3) of Theorem 1 and with the additional properties:

4. for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and for every $\xi \in \partial D$ there is a fixed small open ball B_o included in the interior Ω_ξ^t of all fibers Σ_ξ^t ,
5. there is a point z_o in the polynomial hull of Σ^0 , $z_o \notin \Sigma^0$, through which there is no graph of an H^∞ analytic selection of Σ^0 .

2. BLOWING UP AN ARC

In this section we prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.1.

Let γ be a smooth arc in $\mathbb{R}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$. Then there exists a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function $\tilde{\rho}$ on \mathbb{C}^2 such that

- (a) $\gamma = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2; \tilde{\rho}(z) = 0\} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2; \nabla \tilde{\rho}(z) = 0\}$ and
- (b) there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $c \geq C$ the level set $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^2; \tilde{\rho}(z) = c\}$ is an Euclidean 3-sphere.

PROOF: Let f be any smooth nonnegative function on \mathbb{R}^2 such that

- (a) the zero set of f and the zero set of the gradient ∇f are both equal to γ and
- (b) there exists an $r_o > 0$ such that $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$ for $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \geq r_o^2$.

Here the coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$ are x_1, x_2 and the coordinates in \mathbb{C}^2 are $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$ and $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$. For $\lambda > 0$ we define

$$\rho_\lambda(z_1, z_2) = f(x_1, x_2) + \lambda(y_1^2 + y_2^2).$$

Then

- (1) the zero set of ρ_λ and the zero set of $\nabla \rho_\lambda$ are both equal to the arc γ and
- (2) the Levi form of the function ρ_λ is

$$L(\rho_\lambda) := \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} f_{z_1 z_1} + 2\lambda & f_{z_1 z_2} \\ f_{z_1 z_2} & f_{z_2 z_2} + 2\lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

where the notation $f_{x_i x_j}$ stands for the second partial derivative of the function f with respect to x_i and x_j , $i, j = 1, 2$.

Condition (b) on the function f ensures that if λ is large enough, the function ρ_λ is strictly plurisubharmonic on \mathbb{C}^2 . We fix such a λ and denote the function ρ_λ by ρ .

Let $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function whose support is contained in the interval $[-1, (r_o + 2)^2]$ and which equals 1 on the closed interval $[0, (r_o + 1)^2]$. Also, let g be a smooth nonnegative function on \mathbb{R} such that

- (1) $g(x) = 0$ for $x \leq r_o^2$,
- (2) $g'(x) > 0$ and $g''(x) \geq 0$ for $x > r_o^2$,
- (3) $\rho(z)\chi'(|z|^2) + g'(|z|^2) \geq 0$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we define

$$\tilde{\rho}_\varepsilon(z) = \varepsilon\chi(|z|^2)\rho(z) + g(|z|^2) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}^2).$$

If ε is small enough, the function $\tilde{\rho}$ is strictly plurisubharmonic on \mathbb{C}^2 and its zero set is the arc γ . We fix such an ε and denote the corresponding function by $\tilde{\rho}$. Thus the proposition will be proved once we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *The zero set of the gradient $\nabla\tilde{\rho}$ is the arc γ .*

PROOF: Let $z^o = (x_1^o + iy_1^o, x_2^o + iy_2^o)$ be a point where the gradient $\nabla\tilde{\rho}$ is zero. We consider the following three cases:

1. Case $|z^o| < r_o$. Then $\tilde{\rho} = \varepsilon\rho$ in a neighbourhood of the point z^o and thus $z^o \in \gamma$.

2. Case $|z^o| > r_o + 2$. Then $\tilde{\rho}(z) = g(|z|^2)$ in a neighbourhood of the point z^o . Since $g'(x) > 0$ for $x > r_o^2$, we get a contradiction.

3. Case $r_o \leq |z^o| \leq r_o + 2$. The y components of the gradient $\nabla\tilde{\rho}$, that is, the derivatives of $\tilde{\rho}$ with respect to y_1 and y_2 at the point z are equal to

$$\frac{\partial\tilde{\rho}}{\partial y_j}(z) = 2\left(\lambda\varepsilon\chi(|z|^2) + \varepsilon\rho(z)\chi'(|z|^2) + g'(|z|^2)\right)y_j \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

Therefore, if $\nabla\tilde{\rho}(z^o) = 0$, one concludes that since

$$(1) \quad \lambda\varepsilon\chi(|z|^2) + \varepsilon\rho(z)\chi'(|z|^2) + g'(|z|^2) > \varepsilon\left(\rho(z)\chi'(|z|^2) + g'(|z|^2)\right) \geq 0$$

on \mathbb{C}^2 , it follows

$$y_1^o = y_2^o = 0.$$

Our initial assumption (b) on the function f and the fact that $|z^o| \geq r_o$ imply

$$f_{x_1}(x_1^o, x_2^o) = 2x_1^o \quad \text{and} \quad f_{x_2}(x_1^o, x_2^o) = 2x_2^o.$$

The x components, that is, the derivatives with respect to x_1 and x_2 variables, of the equation $\nabla\tilde{\rho}(z^o) = 0$, together with (1) give

$$x_1^o = x_2^o = 0 .$$

Hence also the assumption $r_o \leq |z^o| \leq r_o + 2$ leads to a contradiction and the lemma, thus also the proposition, is proved. \square

A more geometric interpretation of the above proposition is that for every simple arc γ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$ there exists a smooth family of strictly pseudoconvex domains

$$\Omega_t := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2; \tilde{\rho}(z) < t\} \quad (t \in (0, \infty)) ,$$

in \mathbb{C}^2 with smooth boundary which starts at γ , is strictly increasing in the sense that for each pair of parameters $t < \tau$ the domain Ω_t is compactly included in the domain Ω_τ and which ends at some large Euclidean ball. Observe also that since the gradient $\nabla\tilde{\rho}$ is nonzero except on γ all the domains $\Omega_t, t \in (0, \infty)$, are topological cells.

REMARK 2.1. If one is given a smooth family of simple arcs $\gamma_\xi, \xi \in \partial D$, in $\mathbb{R}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$, then one can choose a smooth family of smooth functions $f_\xi, \xi \in \partial D$, satisfying conditions (a) and (b) for each $\xi \in \partial D$. Since the set of parameters is compact, the functions χ and g and the constants λ and ε can be chosen uniformly, that is, independent of the parameter $\xi \in \partial D$, and the corresponding strictly plurisubharmonic functions $\tilde{\rho}_\xi(z)$ vary smoothly in both variables ξ and z .

REMARK 2.2. The above construction can be applied to any arc γ in \mathbb{C}^2 for which there exists a holomorphic automorphism Φ of \mathbb{C}^2 such that $\Phi(\gamma) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$.

3. FIRST FAMILY OF FIBRATIONS

We consider now the following family of arcs in $\mathbb{R}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$. Let γ_1 be the semicircle in \mathbb{R}^2 given by the equation

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1 , \quad x_2 \geq 0 .$$

For $\xi \in \partial D$ we denote by R_ξ the map

$$R_\xi : \mathbb{C}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$$

defined by

$$R_\xi(z_1, z_2) := (\xi z_1, z_2) .$$

Observe that R_ξ is a linear isomorphism of \mathbb{C}^2 . For $\xi \in \partial D$ such that $0 \leq \arg(\xi) \leq \pi/2$ or $(3\pi)/2 \leq \arg(\xi) \leq 2\pi$ let

$$\gamma_\xi := \gamma_1$$

and for the parameters $\xi \in \partial D$ such that $\pi/2 < \arg(\xi) < (3\pi)/2$ we smoothly perturb the initial arc γ_1 to get arcs γ_ξ which do not pass through the point $(0, 1)$ but they still pass through the points $(1, 0)$ and $(-1, 0)$. For instance, for $\xi = e^{i\theta}$ one may take γ_ξ to be defined by the equation

$$(1 - \varrho(s))^2 x_1^2 + x_2^2 = (1 - \varrho(s))^2, \quad x_2 \geq 0,$$

where $\varrho : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is any smooth function whose support is the interval $[\pi/2, (3\pi)/2]$. We define

$$\tilde{\gamma}_\xi := R_{\sqrt{\xi}}(\gamma_\xi).$$

Here by $\sqrt{\xi}$ we mean the principal branch of the square root, that is, $\sqrt{-1} = i$. Since we have $\gamma_\xi = \gamma_1$ in a neighbourhood of $\xi = 1$ and since the arc γ_1 is symmetric with respect to the x_2 -axis, the family of arcs $\tilde{\gamma}_\xi$, $\xi \in \partial D$, is smooth. Using our initial construction for an arc $\gamma \subseteq \mathbf{R}^2$ and Remarks 2.1 and 2.2, one gets a smooth family of fibrations Σ^t , $t > 0$, in $\partial D \times \mathbf{C}^2$ such that for each t the interiors of all fibers are strongly pseudoconvex domains with smooth boundaries and for t large enough all fibers of Σ^t are Euclidean balls centred at the point $(0, 0)$ with the fixed radius \sqrt{t} . Also, for every pair $t, \tau \in (0, \infty)$, $t < \tau$, all fibers of the fibration Σ^t are included in the interiors of the corresponding fibers of Σ^τ .

REMARK 3.1. Observe that by a theorem of Docquier and Grauert [3] the above properties of the family of fibrations Σ^t , $t > 0$, assure that the fibers of Σ^t remain polynomially convex for each parameter $t > 0$.

To finish our example we first observe that since

$$(\sqrt{\xi}, 0), (-\sqrt{\xi}, 0) \in \tilde{\gamma}_\xi \quad (\xi \in \partial D),$$

the polynomial hull of Σ^t contains the point $(0, 0, 0)$ for all $t > 0$. Finally we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *For $t > 0$ small enough there is no graph of an H^∞ analytic mapping $F : D \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^2$ with boundary in the fibration $\Sigma^t \subseteq \partial D \times \mathbf{C}^2$.*

PROOF: We prove the lemma for

$$\Sigma^0 := \bigcup_{\xi \in \partial D} \{\xi\} \times \tilde{\gamma}_\xi.$$

Once this is proved the normal family argument finishes the proof of the lemma. Namely, assume that there is a sequence $t_n \downarrow 0$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, such that for all n there exists an H^∞ analytic selection F_n for $\Sigma^n := \Sigma^{t_n}$. By the normal family argument there exists a

subsequence of $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, still denoted by F_n , which normally converges to an H^∞ function F_0 . Then for every holomorphic polynomial p in three variables and every $z \in D$ we have

$$|p(z, F_0(z))| = \lim_n |p(z, F_n(z))| \leq \lim_n \sup_{z \in \Sigma^n} |p(x)| = \sup_{z \in \Sigma^0} |p(x)| .$$

The inequality follows because the discs F_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are analytic selections for the fibrations Σ^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and the last equality is true since the family of fibrations Σ^t , $t \geq 0$, is continuous in Hausdorff topology of compact sets in \mathbb{C}^2 . Therefore the graph $\{(z, F_0(z)); z \in D\}$ is contained in the polynomial hull of Σ^0 and so F_0 is an analytic selection of Σ^0 . Here we used the fact that all fibers of the fibration Σ^0 are polynomially convex in \mathbb{C}^2 .

Let us assume now that there is an analytic mapping

$$(f, g) : D \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$$

such that

$$(f(\xi), g(\xi)) \in \tilde{\gamma}_\xi \quad (\text{almost everywhere } \xi \in \partial D) .$$

Therefore the imaginary part of the function g almost everywhere on ∂D equals to 0 and thus g is a constant function, that is, there is a real number $a \in [0, 1]$ such that $g(\xi) = a$ for every $\xi \in \partial D$. Since the arcs $\tilde{\gamma}_\xi$ for $\pi/2 < \arg(\xi) < (3\pi)/2$ do not pass through the point $(0, 1)$ the constant a has to be less than 1. But then for all $\xi \in \partial D$ we have

$$\left((1/\sqrt{\xi})f(\xi), a \right) \in \gamma_\xi$$

and so

$$f(\xi)^2 = (1 - a^2)\xi \quad \text{almost everywhere } dm(\xi) ,$$

which leads to a contradiction. □

4. SECOND FAMILY OF FIBRATIONS

Let $\gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$ be the arc

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1, \quad x_2 \geq 0$$

as before. Let $X_1 := \gamma$ and let

$$X_\xi := R_{\sqrt{\xi}} X_1 .$$

Since again

$$\left(\sqrt{\xi}, 0 \right), \left(-\sqrt{\xi}, 0 \right) \in X_\xi \quad (\xi \in \partial D) ,$$

it is obvious that the polynomial hull of

$$X := \bigcup_{\xi \in \partial D} \{\xi\} \times X_\xi$$

contains the point $(0, 0, 0)$.

LEMMA 4.1. *There is no H^∞ analytic selection $F : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ of X which passes through the point $(0, 0)$.*

PROOF: Let us assume that there is an analytic disc $F = (f, g)$ whose graph has boundary almost everywhere contained in X and is such that $F(0) = (0, 0)$. This implies, as in the previous section, that

$$g(\xi) = 0 \quad (\xi \in \overline{D}).$$

Thus

$$f^2(\xi) = \xi \quad (\text{almost everywhere } \xi \in \partial D),$$

a contradiction. □

Since all fibers X_ξ , $\xi \in \partial D$, of X contain the point $(0, 1)$, all fibers of the fibrations Σ^t , $t > 0$, constructed similarly as the first family of fibrations, have the point $(0, 1)$ in its interior. Finally, repeating the argument from the previous section shows that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that there is no analytic selection for the fibration Σ^{t_0} which passes through the point $(0, 0)$. Details are omitted.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Alexander and J. Wermer, 'Polynomial hulls with convex fibers', *Math. Ann.* **271** (1985), 99–109.
- [2] M. Černe, *Analytic discs with boundaries in a generating CR-manifold*, Ph.D. Thesis (Madison, 1994).
- [3] F. Docquier and H. Grauert, 'Levisches Problem and Runger Satz für Teilgebiete Steinscher Mannigfaltigkeiten', *Math. Ann.* **140** (1960), 94–123.
- [4] F. Forstnerič, 'Polynomially convex hulls with piecewise smooth boundaries', *Math. Ann.* **276** (1986), 97–104.
- [5] F. Forstnerič, 'Polynomial hulls of sets fibered over the circle', *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **37** (1988), 869–889.
- [6] J.W. Helton and O. Merino, 'A fibered polynomial hull without an analytic selection', *Michigan Math. J.* **41** (1994), 285–287.
- [7] Z. Slodkowski, 'Polynomial hulls with convex sections and interpolating spaces', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **96** (1986), 255–260.
- [8] Z. Slodkowski, 'Polynomial hulls in \mathbb{C}^2 and quasicircles', *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **16** (1989), 367–391.

- [9] Z. Slodkowski, 'Polynomial hulls with convex fibers and complex geodesics', *J. Funct. Anal.* **94** (1990), 156–176.
- [10] G. Stolzenberg, 'A hull with no analytic structure', *J. Math. Mech.* **12** (1963), 103–111.
- [11] J. Wermer, 'Polynomial convex hulls and analyticity', *Ark. Mat.* **20** (1982), 129–13.

University of Ljubljana, IMFM
Jadranska 19
61 111 Ljubljana
Slovenia