

PERIODIC SOLUTIONS AND GALERKIN APPROXIMATIONS TO THE AUTONOMOUS REACTION-DIFFUSION EQUATIONS

ARNOLD DIKANSKY

The assumption that a Galerkin equation of the reaction-diffusion system of high order has an asymptotically orbitally stable time-periodic solution implies that the full reaction-diffusion system has a nearby asymptotically orbitally stable time-periodic solution with asymptotic phase.

1. INTRODUCTION

In order to compute numerically solutions to the reaction-diffusion equation the first step is to replace the reaction-diffusion equation with a finite-dimensional Galerkin approximation. The Galerkin equations to the reaction-diffusion equation are finite dimensional systems which are obtained from the reaction-diffusion equation by projecting the equation on the linear space spanned by the first m eigenfunctions of the Laplacian with corresponding boundary conditions and by truncating the remaining parts of the solutions in nonlinear terms. It is very desirable to relate the behaviour of solutions of the initial-boundary value problem for the reaction-diffusion equation to the behaviour of solutions of a finite-dimensional Galerkin approximation.

For the Navier-Stokes equations Constantin, Foias and Temmam [3] showed that if a Galerkin equation of high order, defined in terms of the Stokes operator, has an asymptotically stable stationary solution, then there exists a nearby asymptotically stable stationary solution to the Navier-Stokes equation. Titi [11] gave explicit applicable estimates for conditions established in [3]. Kloeden [8], using Lyapunov's second method, obtained a result similar to that of Constantin, Foias and Temmam, considerably simplifying their proofs. Dikansky [4, 5] obtained a similar result for the reaction-diffusion equations using Lyapunov's second method as well as spectral properties of linear operators. Titi [12] obtained the existence of a stable time-periodic solution to the Navier-Stokes from the existence of a stable time-periodic solution to the Galerkin equation of sufficiently high order. In [9] Kloeden considered relations between the existence of attractors and their stability properties for the Navier-Stokes equation and its Galerkin approximation.

Received 8 September 1992

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/93 \$A2.00+0.00.

Our aim here is to show that the existence of an orbital stable time-periodic solution with an asymptotic phase to a Galerkin equation of sufficiently high order for the autonomous reaction-diffusion system implies the existence of a nearby orbital stable time-periodic solution with an asymptotic phase to the boundary value problem for the reaction-diffusion system. To prove this it is shown (similarly to [4]) that the projection of a solution on the subspace QL_2 , complimentary to the finite-dimensional space PL_2 spanned by the first m eigenfunctions of the operator $D\Delta$ with corresponding boundary conditions, is small if m is large enough. Therefore the projection of the reaction-diffusion equation on PL_2 can be considered as a perturbed Galerkin equation. That allows the well-developed technique for the perturbed differential equation to be used to prove the existence of the periodic solution when the unperturbed ordinary differential equation has a noncritical periodic solution (see [6, 10, 2]). Finally the statement on orbital stability is proved.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this article, the following notations will be used.

Let \mathbb{R}^n denote Euclidean n -space. For $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\|v\|$ be any norm in \mathbb{R}^n . For an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ define the norm of A , $\|A\|$ by $\|A\| = \sup_{\|v\|=1} \|Av\|$, where $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Consider a system of ordinary differential equations

$$(1) \quad \frac{dv}{dt} = F(v).$$

Let $p(t)$ be a periodic solution of (1) with period 2π . Let $z = v - p$ and let the matrix with columns $(\partial F/\partial v_i)(p(t))$ be denoted by $F'(p(t))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dz}{dt} &= F(z + p(t)) - F(p(t)) \\ &= F'(p(t)) + g(t, z), \end{aligned}$$

where, by theorem of the mean, $g(t, z) = o(\|z\|)$ for small $\|z\|$. If g is omitted from (2), we have the linear system

$$(3) \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = F'(p(t))y,$$

which is called the variational equation with respect to the solution $p(t)$. The variational equation determines the nature of the stability of the solution $p(t)$ of (1). According to the Floquet theorem there exists a nonsingular transformation of variables $w =$

$P(t)y$ which transforms the linear periodic equation (3) into an equation with constant coefficients

$$(4) \quad \frac{dw}{dt} = Bw.$$

A monodromy matrix of (3) is a nonsingular matrix C associated with a fundamental matrix solution $X(t)$ through the relation $X(t + 2\pi) = X(t)C$ ($X(t) = P(t)e^{Bt}$). The eigenvalues ρ of a monodromy matrix are called the characteristic multipliers of (3), and any λ such that $\rho = e^{\lambda 2\pi}$ are called characteristic exponents of (3).

If it is assumed that $p(t)$ is a periodic solution of (1), $p'(t) = F(p(t))$. On differentiating this equation, it follows that $p'(t)$ is a solution of the variational equation (3). Thus the characteristic exponent associated with a solution of the linear system (3) may be taken as zero. The solution $v = p(t)$ may be regarded as a closed curve with t as a parameter. If $n - 1$ characteristic exponents of (3) have negative real parts, then the closed orbit is asymptotically stable in the sense that any solution of (1) which comes near a point of the orbit tends to the orbit as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This is called *asymptotic orbital stability*.

There is a generalisation of the Floquet theory on parabolic partial differential equations (see, for example [7]).

Let Ω denote an open bounded set of \mathbb{R}^n with boundary Γ . For $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$ we consider the following reaction-diffusion system involving a vector function $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N)$

$$(5) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = D\Delta u + F(u).$$

Here $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_N)$, where each $d_j > 0$ is a constant and $F: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a C^2 -function.

Equation (5) is supplemented with an initial condition

$$(6) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega$$

and a boundary condition of either Dirichlet type or of Neumann type

$$(7) \quad Bu(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma, t \geq 0.$$

In the case of zero Dirichlet boundary conditions one imposes a compatibility condition $F(0) = 0$.

A solution of the initial-boundary value problem (5), (6), (7) will be denoted by $u(x, t; u_0)$.

As usual $L^\infty(\Omega)$ will denote the space of functions defined on Ω which are bounded almost everywhere with norm $|\cdot|_{L^\infty}$. Let $H = L_2(\Omega)$ be the space of square integrable functions defined on Ω with the norm $|\cdot|_H$.

\bar{P} will be used to denote the space of continuous, 2π -periodic functions from \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{R}^n with the norm: $\|g\|_0 = \sup_t \|g(t)\|$, and (u, v) is the usual inner product defined on vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Denote by A the linear positive self-adjoint operator on H given by $Au = -\Delta u$ supplemented by boundary conditions (7) with domain $D(A) = \{\phi \in W^{2,2}(\Omega), (7) \text{ holds}\}$. Then A is a sectorial operator and one can define the fractional powers A^α of A , $0 \leq \alpha$ and the space $X^\alpha = D(A^\alpha)$ with the graph norm $|\cdot|_{X^\alpha}$. If $n \leq 3$, $3/4 < \alpha < 1$, then $X^\alpha \subset L^\infty(\Omega)$ with continuous inclusion:

$$(8) \quad |v(\cdot)|_{L^\infty} \leq k |v(\cdot)|_{X^\alpha}, \quad v(x) \in X^\alpha.$$

Denote by $P(0, 2\pi; X^\alpha)$ the space of continuous, 2π -periodic in t functions $g(x, t)$ for each t belonging to X^α and equipped with the norm

$$|g|_{X^\alpha, 0} = \sup_t |g(\cdot, t)|_{X^\alpha}.$$

Since we are interested in the long-time behaviour of the solutions of (5) we modify the nonlinearity in equation (5) near ∞ . Assume further that the nonlinear term $F(u)$ satisfies the following condition:

There is a $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$(9) \quad F(u) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |u|_{L^\infty} \geq R_1.$$

Denote

$$(10) \quad N_1 = \sup\{|F(u)|\}, \quad N_2 = \sup\{|F'(u)|\}, \quad N_3 = \sup\{|F''(u)|\}.$$

One can then show that the initial-boundary problem for the reaction-diffusion equation (5), (6), (7) defines a local $C^{1,1}$ semigroup S_t on X^α defined by $S_t u_0 = u(t, x; u_0)$. For linear semigroups with generator L we shall use the exponential notation e^{Lt} . It is well known that the operator A is self-adjoint as an operator in $L_2(\Omega)$ and the spectrum of A consists of an infinite sequence of eigenvalues $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$. Because the matrix D is diagonal, each eigenvalue of A , λ_i , corresponds to N eigenvalues of the operator $D\Delta$ so there are N eigenvalues $\lambda_i^1(D), \lambda_i^2(D), \dots, \lambda_i^N(D)$ of the operator $D\Delta$ with corresponding eigenfunctions $\varphi_{i,D}^1(x), \varphi_{i,D}^2(x), \dots, \varphi_{i,D}^N(x)$.

$$\Lambda_{i,D} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{diag} (\lambda_i^1(D), \lambda_i^2(D), \dots, \lambda_i^N(D)),$$

$$\lambda_i(D) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min (\lambda_i^1(D), \lambda_i^2(D), \dots, \lambda_i^N(D)),$$

($i = 1, 2, \dots$). Fix an integer m and denote by P_m the projection in $L_2(\Omega)$ onto the space spanned by the first m eigenvectors of A , and we set $Q_m = I - P_m$. We recall that P_m and Q_m commute with $D\Delta$. If $u(x, t)$ is a solution of (5), (6), (7) we write $p = P_m u$, $q = Q_m u$, so that $u(x, t) = p(x, t) + q(x, t)$. Whenever it is possible we shall omit the index m . Let $X^\alpha = PX^\alpha \oplus QX^\alpha$. Projecting equation (5) with boundary condition (7) on the invariant subspaces PX^α and QX^α , it is found that p and q are solutions for $t \geq 0$ of the coupled system of equations

$$(11) \quad \frac{dp}{dt} = \Lambda_D p + PF(p + q), \quad p(0) = p_0 = P u_0,$$

$$(12) \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = D\Delta q + QF(p, q), \quad q(0) = q_0 = Q u_0.$$

Here $p(x, t) = (p^1(x, t), \dots, p^N(x, t))$, where $p^k(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i^k(t) \varphi_{i,D}^k(x)$, ($k = 1, 2, \dots, N$), and $p_i^k(t)$ satisfies the following system of equations

$$\frac{dp_i^k}{dt} = \Lambda_D p_i^k + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} F^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t) \varphi_{i,D}(x) + q(x, t) \right) \varphi_{i,D}^k(x) dx.$$

Using the variation of constant formula for (12) we rewrite equation (12) as

$$(13) \quad q(x, t) = e^{L t} q_0(x) + \int_0^t e^{L(t-s)} [QF(p + q)] ds.$$

Here $e^{L t}$ is the linear semigroup corresponding to the problem

$$(14) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = D\Delta v$$

in QX^α .

From [7, Theorem 1.5.4], the estimate

$$(15) \quad |e^{L t} u(\cdot)|_{X^\alpha} \leq C_1 e^{-\lambda_{m+1}(D)t} |u(\cdot)|_{X^\alpha}, \quad u(x, t) \in QX^\alpha, \quad t > 0,$$

follows.

The Galerkin approximation to the reaction-diffusion equation (5) leads to the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$(16) \quad \frac{du_m}{dt} = \Lambda_D u_m + P_m F(u_m),$$

$$(17) \quad u_m(0) = p_0 \equiv u_0.$$

It will be assumed that the Galerkin equation (16) possesses a periodic solution of period \bar{T} . To change the period \bar{T} to 2π we introduce the frequency $\bar{\omega}$ by setting $\bar{T} = 2\pi/\bar{\omega}$. After changing the independent variable t to $t/\bar{\omega}$, the Galerkin equation (16) becomes

$$(18) \quad \bar{\omega} \frac{du_m}{dt} = \Lambda_D u_m + PF(u_m).$$

3. MAIN RESULT

THEOREM. For every $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, there exists a natural number $M = M(\epsilon)$ such that if the Galerkin equation (18) for some $m \geq M$ has an asymptotically orbitally stable time-periodic solution $\bar{u}_m(t)$ with frequency $\bar{\omega}$, $\bar{u}_m(t + 2\pi) = \bar{u}_m(t)$, then

- (a) there exists a time-periodic solution $\bar{u}(x, t)$, with frequency ω of the boundary value problem for the reaction-diffusion equation (5), (7) such that

$$(19) \quad |\bar{u} - \bar{u}_m|_{X^{\alpha,0}} \leq C_2\epsilon, \quad |\omega - \bar{\omega}| \leq C_3\epsilon;$$

- (b) the solution $\bar{u}(x, t)$ is asymptotically orbitally stable with asymptotic phase, that is if

$$\min_t |u_0(x) - \bar{u}(x, t)|_{X^\alpha} < \rho, \quad \rho > 0,$$

then the solution through $u_0(x)$ exists for all $t > 0$ and, moreover, there exists $\theta^* > 0$ such that

$$(20) \quad |u(x, t; u_0) - \bar{u}(x, t - \theta^*)|_{X^\alpha} \leq \rho e^{-\gamma t}, \quad \gamma > 0, \quad t \geq 0.$$

PROOF: First consider the following linear periodic q -equation:

$$(21) \quad \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial t} = \Delta q_1 + f(x, t), \quad q_1(x, 0) = q_{1,0}(x),$$

where $f(x, t) \in P(0, 2\pi; X^\alpha)$, $|f|_{X^{\alpha,0}} \leq K$ and the constant K does not depend on m .

Using the variation of constants formula a solution of the initial-boundary problem (21) is represented as

$$(22) \quad q_1(x, t) = e^{Lt} q_{1,0}(x) + \int_0^t e^{L(t-s)} f(x, s) ds.$$

Since the solution $q_1(x, t)$ is periodic in t with period 2π ,

$$(23) \quad q_{1,0}(x) = e^{L2\pi} q_{1,0}(x) + \int_0^{2\pi} e^{L(2\pi-s)} f(x, s) ds.$$

Multiplying both sides of (23) by the inverse operator to the operator $[I - e^{L2\pi}]$ we find $q_{1,0}(x)$:

$$(24) \quad q_{1,0}(x) = [I - e^{L2\pi}]^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{L(2\pi-s)} f(x, s) ds.$$

Thus taking into consideration the estimate (15) one has from (24) the following estimate for $q_{1,0}(\mathbf{x})$:

$$(25) \quad |q_{1,0}(\cdot)|_{X^\alpha} \leq C_4 K_1 \zeta_1,$$

where
$$K_1 = \int_0^\infty s^{-\alpha} e^{-s} ds, \quad \zeta_1 = L(\lambda_{m+1}(D))^{-1},$$

and the constant C_4 does not depend on m .

From (25) taking M sufficiently large one obtains the following estimate for all $m \geq M$:

$$(26) \quad |q_{1,0}|_{X^\alpha} \leq \epsilon,$$

where ϵ is a sufficiently small number.

The second term in (22) is estimated similarly. Therefore we have the following estimate for $|q_1|_{X^{\alpha,0}}$:

$$(27) \quad |q_1|_{X^{\alpha,0}} \leq C_5 \epsilon.$$

Because we want to prove the existence of a periodic solution of system (11), (12) of (unknown) period T we introduce the (unknown) frequency ω by setting $T = 2\pi/\omega$. After changing the independent variable t to t/ω , system (11), (12) becomes

$$(28) \quad \omega \frac{dp}{dt} = \Lambda_D p + P F(p + q),$$

$$(29) \quad \omega \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = D \Delta q + Q F(p + q),$$

where now the existence of ω and a periodic solution of (28), (29), of fixed period 2π is to be proved.

Let $p(t) = \bar{u}_m(t) + (\bar{\omega}/\omega)\bar{p}(t)$, $\omega = \bar{\omega} + \beta$, in (28). Then if $\omega \neq 0$, \bar{p} , β satisfy the following equation:

$$(30) \quad \bar{\omega} \frac{d\bar{p}}{dt} = A(t, \bar{u}_m)\bar{p} + R(\bar{p}, q, t) - \beta \frac{d\bar{u}_m}{dt},$$

where one used the assumption that $\bar{\omega}$, $\bar{u}_m(t)$ satisfy equation (18), and the following notations:

$$(31) \quad A(t, \bar{u}_m)w = \Lambda_D w P[F_\nu(\bar{u}_m(x, t))w],$$

$$(32) \quad R(\bar{p}, q, t) = P F(p + q) - P F(\bar{u}_m) - P[F_\nu(\bar{u}_m)\bar{p}] + \frac{\bar{\omega}}{\omega} P[F_\nu(\bar{u}_m)p] - P[F_\nu(\bar{u}_m)q].$$

It will be proved that for some β^* , equation (28), (29) has a periodic solution $\bar{p}^*(t)$, $q^*(x, t)$ with $\bar{p}^*(t + 2\pi) = \bar{p}^*(t)$, $q^*(x, t + 2\pi) = q^*(x, t)$.

Define $N \subset \mathbb{R} \times \bar{P} \times P(0, 2\pi; X^\alpha)$, by

$$N = \{(\beta, \bar{p}, q) : |\beta| \leq \delta_1, \|\bar{p}(t)\|_0 \leq \delta_2, |q|_{X^{\alpha,0}} \leq \epsilon\},$$

where it will be required that $\delta_1 < \bar{\omega}$. Define a map T on the space $\mathbb{R} \times \bar{P} \times P(0, 2\pi; X^\alpha)$, $T(\beta, \bar{p}, q) = (\beta_1, \bar{p}_2, q_2)$ as follows:

Given $(\beta, \bar{p}, q) \in N$, let $g_1(\beta, \bar{p}, q) = R(\bar{p}, q, t)$. Take

$$(33) \quad \beta_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (g_1(\beta, \bar{p}, q)(s), v_0(s)) ds \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{d\bar{u}_m(s)}{ds}, v_0(s) \right) ds \right]^{-1},$$

where $v_0(s)$, $\|v_0\|_2 = 1$, denotes the unique periodic solution of the linear adjoint equation

$$(34) \quad \omega \frac{dv_0}{dt} = -A^*(t, \bar{u}_m)v_0.$$

Therefore $g_2(\beta, \bar{p}, q, \beta_1) = g_1(\beta, \bar{p}, q) - \beta_1 \frac{d\bar{p}}{ds}$

satisfies the orthogonality condition:

$$(35) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} (g_2(\beta, \bar{p}, q, \beta_1)(s), v_0(s)) ds = 0,$$

which guarantees the existence of a unique periodic solution of equation (36). Let p_2, q_2 denote a unique periodic solution of the following linear system:

$$(36) \quad \bar{\omega} \frac{dp_2}{dt} = Ap_2 + g_2(\beta, \bar{p}, q, \beta_1),$$

$$(37) \quad \bar{\omega} \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial t} = D\Delta q_2 + g_3(\beta, \bar{p}, \beta_1)$$

satisfying

$$(38) \quad \|p_2\|_0 \leq C_6 \|g_2\|_0,$$

$$(39) \quad |q_2|_{X^{\alpha,0}} \leq \epsilon.$$

Due to the assumption on the characteristic multipliers of the matrix $A(t, \bar{u}_m)$, the orthogonality condition (35) and estimate (27), such p_2, q_2 exist.

It is clear that $T(\beta, \bar{p}, q) = (\beta_1, p_2, q_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \bar{P} \times P(0, 2\pi; X^\alpha)$. Now it will be shown that $(\beta, \bar{p}, q) \in N$ implies that $|\beta_1| \leq \delta_1$, $\|p_2\|_0 \leq \delta_2$, $|q_2|_{X^{\alpha,0}} \leq \epsilon$. From the definition of g_1 one obtains

$$(40) \quad \|g_1(\beta, \bar{p}, q)\|_0 \leq \frac{C_7 \bar{\omega}^2}{(\bar{\omega} - \delta_1)^2} (\|\bar{p}\|_0)^2 + C_8 \epsilon + \frac{C_9}{\bar{\omega} - \delta_1} |\beta| \|\bar{p}\|_0,$$

where due to condition (9) the constants C do not depend on m . From (33) and the Schwartz inequality it follows that

$$(41) \quad |\beta_1| \leq C_{10} \|g_1(\beta, \bar{p}, q)\|_0.$$

Therefore from (38), (40) one obtains the following estimates:

$$(42) \quad |\beta_1| \leq \delta_1, \quad \|p_2\|_0 \leq \delta_2, \quad |q_2|_{X^\alpha, 0} \leq \epsilon.$$

Taking $M = M(\epsilon)$ large enough one has $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\epsilon)$ small enough and therefore the mapping \mathcal{T} maps N into itself.

Now because the map \mathcal{T} is a compact mapping of $\mathbb{R} \times \bar{P} \times P(0, 2\pi; X^\alpha)$ into itself the existence of the desired fixed point β^* , \bar{p}^* , q^* of equation (36), (37) follows from the Schauder theorem.

So the existence of a solution $\bar{u} = \bar{u}_m + \bar{p}^* + q^*$, $\omega = \bar{\omega} + \omega^*$ of equation (11), (12) with estimates (19) has been proved.

It is left to show that the solution $\bar{u}(x, t)$ is asymptotically orbitally stable with asymptotic phase. Changing the variables

$$u(x, t) = \bar{u}(x, t) + z(x, t)$$

applied to (5) yields

$$(43) \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = D\Delta z + F'(\bar{u})z + g(t, z),$$

where

$$(44) \quad g(t, 0) = 0, \quad |g(t, z_1) - g(t, z_2)| \leq k(\rho) |z_1 - z_2|_{X^\alpha},$$

if $|z_1|_{X^\alpha}, |z_2|_{X^\alpha} \leq \rho$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$. Consider the corresponding linearised equation

$$(45) \quad \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} = D\Delta u_1 + F'(\bar{u}(x, t))u_1.$$

Let $U(t)$ denote the Poincaré map, $U(t) = W(t+T, t)$, $W(t, s)$ being the evolution operator of (45) and T the period of the solution $\bar{u}(x, t)$. Note that because $\bar{u}(x, t)$ is the solution of the autonomous differential equation, $\{1\}$ is a spectral set of the spectrum $\sigma(U(t))$. By results of [7] we have the decomposition $X^\alpha = X_1(t) \oplus X_2(t)$, where $X_1(t) = \text{span}\{\bar{u}(x, t)\}$ and

$$(46) \quad \sigma(U(t))|_{X_1(t)} = \sigma = \{1\}, \quad \sigma(U(t))|_{X_2(t)} = \sigma(U(t)) - \sigma_1,$$

$X_1(t)$, $X_2(t)$ are invariant and if $t \geq s$ we have $W(t, s): X_1(s) = X_1(t)$, is one-to-one and onto.

Consider equation (45) on X_2 :

$$(47) \quad \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} = D\Delta u_2 + F'(\bar{u}(x, t))u_2.$$

Projecting equation (47) on the subspaces $P_m X_2, Q_m X_2$ one has the following system of equations

$$(48) \quad \frac{dp_2}{dt} = \Lambda_D p_2 + P[F'(\bar{u})(p_2(x, t) + q_2(x, t))], \quad p_2(0) = p_{2,0},$$

$$(49) \quad \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial t} = \Delta q_2 + Q[F'(\bar{u})(p_2(x, t) + q_2(x, t))], \quad q_2(0) = q_{2,0}.$$

Using the variation formula for equation (49) and estimate (15) one deduces the following estimate

$$(50) \quad |q_2(\cdot, t)|_{X^\alpha} \leq C_1 e^{-\lambda_{m+1}(D)t} |q_{2,0}(\cdot)|_{X^\alpha} + C_1 N_2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{m+1}(D)(t-s)} |(p_2(\cdot, s) + q_2(\cdot, s))|_H ds.$$

Defining for $0 < \sigma < \lambda_{m+1}(D)$

$$\bar{q}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{\sigma t} |q_2(\cdot, t)|_{X^\alpha},$$

from (21) for $\bar{q}(t)$ one deduces the estimate

$$(51) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{q}(t) &\leq C_1 e^{-(\lambda_{m+1}(D)-\sigma)t} \bar{q}(0) \\ &+ C_1 N_2 |\Omega|^{1/2} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-(\lambda_{m+1}(D)-\sigma)(t-s)} \bar{q}(s) ds \\ &+ k C_1 N_2 |\Omega|^{1/2} e^{\sigma t} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{m+1}(D)(t-s)} \widehat{p}_2(t) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{p}_2(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|p_2(s)\|$. Therefore from (51) for

$$\check{q}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \bar{q}(s)$$

one obtains for $0 \leq s \leq t$ that

$$(52) \quad \check{q}(t) \leq C_1 e^{(\lambda_{m+1}(D)-\sigma)t} \bar{q}(0) + \zeta_1 \check{q}(t) + \zeta_2 e^{\sigma t} \widehat{p}_2(t),$$

where
$$K_2 = \int_0^\infty s^{-\alpha} e^{-\left(-\frac{\sigma}{\lambda_{m+1}(D)}\right)s} ds,$$

$$\zeta_1 = k K_2 C_1 N_2 |\Omega|^{1/2} (\lambda_{m+1}(D))^{\alpha-1},$$

$$\zeta_2 = k K_1 C_1 N_2 |\Omega|^{1/2} (\lambda_{m+1}(D))^{\alpha-1}.$$

Inequality (52) implies that

$$(53) \quad \check{q}(t)(1 - \zeta_1) \leq C_1 \check{q}(0) + \zeta_2 e^{\sigma t} \widehat{p}_2(t).$$

Therefore

$$(54) \quad |q_2(\cdot, t)|_{X^\alpha} \leq \frac{C_1 e^{-\sigma t} |q_{2,0}(\cdot)|_{X^\alpha}}{1 - \zeta_1} + \frac{\zeta_2}{1 - \zeta_1} \widehat{p}_2(t)$$

if $\zeta_1 < 1$. So taking M large enough ζ_1 and ζ_2 will be small enough and from (54) one deduces the estimate

$$(55) \quad |q_2(\cdot, t)|_{X^\alpha} \leq C_{12} e^{-\sigma_1 t} |q_{2,0}(\cdot)|_{X^\alpha} + C_{13} \eta \widehat{p}_2(t),$$

where $\eta = O(\lambda_{m+1}^{-1+\alpha}(D))$ is sufficiently small when M is large enough.

We rewrite equation (48) as

$$(56) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dp_2}{dt} &= \Lambda_D p_2 + P[F'(\bar{u}_m)p_2] + P[(F'(\bar{u}) - F'(\bar{u}_m))p_2] + P[F'(\widehat{u})q_2], \\ p_2(0) &= p_{2,0}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $X(t)$ be the fundamental matrix solution of the linearised Galerkin equation:

$$(57) \quad \frac{du_{m,2}}{dt} = \Lambda_D u_{m,2} + P[F'(\bar{u}_m)u_{m,2}].$$

The Floquet representation for the linearised Galerkin operator implies $X(t) = Y(t)e^{Bt}$, where all eigenvalues of the constant matrix B have negative real parts, and $Y(t)$ is a periodic nonsingular matrix with $\|Y(t)\|, \|Y^{-1}(t)\| \leq C_{14}$. Due to (19) the constant C_{14} does not depend on m for all $m \geq M$. Furthermore, the transformation $p_2 = Y(t)\bar{p}_2$ applied to (56) yields

$$(58) \quad \frac{d\bar{p}_2}{dt} = B\bar{p}_2 + Y^{-1}(t)\{P[(F'(\bar{u}) - F'(\bar{u}_m))Y(t)\bar{p}_2] + P[F'(\bar{u})q_2]\}$$

with $\mathcal{RS}_p(B) \geq \gamma_1 > 0$.

Because of (19) one has

$$(59) \quad \|F'(\bar{u}) - F'(\bar{u}_m)\| \leq N_3 |\Omega|^{1/2} \epsilon.$$

Applying the variation of constants formula for the equation

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = Bv$$

to (58), and taking into consideration (55) one has

$$(60) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\bar{p}_2(t)\| \leq & C_{15}e^{-\gamma_1 t} \|\bar{p}_{1,0}\| + \epsilon C_{16} \int_0^t e^{-\gamma_1(t-s)} \|\bar{p}_2(s)\| ds \\ & + C_{17} \int_0^t e^{-\gamma_1(t-s)} |q_2(\cdot, s)|_{X^\alpha} ds. \end{aligned}$$

From standard considerations on small perturbations of the resolvent (see for example, the proof of Theorem 1.3.2 in [7]) it follows that the constant C_{15} (and therefore all other constants in (60)) does not depend on m , $m \geq M$. From (55) and (60) for

$$\omega(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} e^{\sigma_2 t} \|\bar{p}_2(t)\|, \quad (0 < \sigma_2 < \sigma_1 < \gamma_1).$$

one obtains the estimate

$$(61) \quad \omega(t) \leq C_{15}e^{-(\gamma_1 - \sigma_2)t} \omega(0) + \zeta_3 K_3 \omega(t) + C_{18}e^{-(\gamma_1 - \sigma_2)t} K_3 |q_{2,0}(\cdot)|_{X^\alpha},$$

with ζ_3 small enough when ϵ is small enough and M is large enough, and

$$K_3 = \int_0^\infty e^{-(\gamma_1 - \sigma_1)s} ds.$$

Because ζ_3 is small enough inequality (61) implies that

$$(62) \quad \|\bar{p}_2(t)\| \leq C_{19}e^{-\sigma_2 t} |u_2(\cdot, 0)|_{X^\alpha}.$$

Substituting (62) in (55) we get

$$(63) \quad |g_2(\cdot, t)|_{X^\alpha} \leq C_{20}e^{-\gamma_2 t} |u_2(\cdot, 0)|_{X^\alpha}.$$

Finally from (62) and (63) we have

$$(64) \quad |u_2(\cdot, t)|_{X^\alpha} \leq C_{21}e^{-\gamma_3 t} |u_2(\cdot, 0)|_{X^\alpha}, \quad \gamma_3 > 0.$$

Therefore we have the estimates for $v_2 \in X_2$

$$(65) \quad |W(t, s)v_2|_{X^\alpha} \leq C_{22}e^{-\gamma_3(t-s)} |v_2|_{X^\alpha}, \quad C_{22}(t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\gamma_3(t-s)} |v|_H,$$

where $\gamma_2 > 0$. From (65) it also follows that the Poincare map W has only one characteristic multiplier equal to 1 and all other characteristic multipliers have modulus less than 1 (characteristic exponents have negative real parts).

Also, for $v_1 \in X_1(s)$, there is C_{23} such that we have the estimate

$$(66) \quad |W(t, s)v_1|_{X^\alpha} \leq C_{23}e^{-\gamma_4(t-s)} \|v_1\|, \quad s \geq t,$$

with $\gamma_4 > 0$. This estimate follows from the finite dimensionality of $X_1(s)$.

We define the following map $z \rightarrow G(z)$ by

$$(67) \quad (Gz)(t) = W(t, 0)\alpha + \int_0^t W(t, s)E_2(s)g(s, z(s))ds - \int_t^\infty W(t, s)E_1(s)g(s, z(s))ds$$

where E_1, E_2 are the projections associated with $X_1(s), X_2(s)$.

Choosing $\rho > 0$ so small that

$$(68) \quad C_{24}k(\rho)\bar{E}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_4} + \int_0^\infty e^{-(\gamma_3-\gamma_4)s}s^{-\alpha}ds\right) \leq \epsilon_1,$$

with $\epsilon_1 > 0$ small enough, the map $z \rightarrow G(z)$ is a contraction on the Banach space of continuous maps $z: (0, \infty) \rightarrow X^\alpha$ equipped with the norm

$$|z|_{\alpha, \beta} = \sup_{t \geq 0} \{|z(t)|_{X^\alpha} e^{\beta t}\} \leq \rho,$$

provided $a \in X_2(0), |a|_{X^\alpha} \leq \rho/C_{24}$. Let $z^*(x, t; a)$ be the unique fixed point of the above contraction. Then clearly $u^*(x, t; a) = \bar{u}(x, t) + z^*(x, t; a)$ is a solution of the differential system (5). To prove estimate (20) it will be shown that all solutions near u^* are given in the above form. Following [1] the implicit function theorem will be a tool.

Given a solution of (5), (6) if the initial function $b(x)$ is sufficiently close to $u_0(x)$ then the solution with $u(T, b) = b, t > T$ exists on the interval $[0, 2T]$. We shall show the existence of $\theta^* > 0$ and $a^* \in X_2$, with $|\theta^*|$ and $|a^*|_{X^\alpha}$ small enough, such that

$$(69) \quad u(x, \theta^*; b) = u^*(x, 0; a^*), \quad t \geq \theta^*.$$

and therefore

$$|u(x, t; u_0) - \bar{u}(x, t - \theta^*)|_{X^\alpha} \leq \rho e^{-\gamma t}, \quad \gamma > 0.$$

Let

$$H(\theta, a; b) = u(x, \theta; b) - z^*(x, 0; a) + a - \bar{u}(x, t) - \theta \bar{u}'_t(x, 0).$$

Then (69) is equivalent to

$$(70) \quad a - \theta \bar{u}'_t(x, 0) = H(\theta, a; b).$$

Let

$$G(\theta, a; b) = a - \theta \bar{u}_t(x, 0) - H(\theta, a; b).$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial(a, \theta)} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u}'_t(x, 0) & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an isomorphism.

Applying the implicit function theorem yields the claimed statement. The theorem has been proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] N.D. Alikakos, 'An application of the invariance principle to reaction-diffusion equations', *J. Differential Equations* **33** (1979), 201–225.
- [2] L. Cesari, *Functional analysis and periodic solutions to nonlinear differential equations*, Vol. 1 (Interscience, New York, 1962).
- [3] P. Constantin, C. Foias and R. Temam, 'On the large time Galerkin approximation of the Navier-Stokes equations', *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **21** (1984), 615–634.
- [4] A. Dikansky, 'On the large time behavior of Galerkin approximations to the reaction-diffusion equations', *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* (Submitted)
- [5] A. Dikansky, 'Asymptotically stable stationary solutions of reaction-diffusion equations and their Galerkin approximations', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **47** (1993), 271–284.
- [6] J. Hale, *Ordinary differential equations* (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1969).
- [7] D. Henry, *Geometrical theory of semilinear parabolic equations*, Lecture Notes in Math. **840** (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1981).
- [8] P.E. Kloeden, 'Asymptotically stable solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations and its Galerkin approximations', in *Miniconference on Nonlinear Analysis (Canberra, 1984)*, Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ. **8** (Australian National University, Canberra), pp. 137–150.
- [9] P.E. Kloeden, 'Asymptotically stable attracting sets for the Navier-Stokes equations', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **34** (1986), 37–52.
- [10] A. Stokes, 'On the approximation of nonlinear oscillations', *J. Differential Equations* **12** (1972), 535–558.
- [11] E.S. Titi, 'On a criterion for location stable stationary solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations', *Nonlinear Anal.* **11** (1987), 1085–1102.
- [12] E.S. Titi, 'Critère numérique pour mettre en évidence des solutions periodiques des équations de Navier-Stokes', *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I* (1990).

Div of Mathematics and Computer Science
 St John's University
 300 Howard Ave
 Staten Island NY 10301
 United States of America