

The following curious example illustrates this fact.

Suppose we have a regular heptagon, a regular hexagon, a regular pentagon and four equilateral triangles, all the sides being of equal length, and we wish to make a polyhedron from them, each solid angle being a trihedral angle.

The number of faces  $F=7$ ; the number of edges

$$E = \frac{1}{2}(7 + 6 + 5 + 4 \cdot 3) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 30 = 15,$$

the number of vertices  $V = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 30 = 10$ .

Hence  $F + V = 17 = E + 2$ , and Euler's condition is fulfilled.

But it is obviously impossible to construct such a surface, as there are only 6 other faces to fit to the 7 sides of the heptagon.

H. V. MALLISON.

#### 1074. Note on approximations.

This note provides an alternative to a section of Mr Inman's article, "What is Wrong with the Teaching of Approximations?" (*Gazette*, XVI, December 1932, p. 306).

In the case of products, say  $(A \pm h)(B \pm k)$ , I suggest the following straightforward method.

Maximum limit	$AB + Ak + Bh + hk$
Minimum limit	$AB - Ak - Bh + hk$
Difference	$2Ak + 2Bh$

If all the measurements were precisely accurate the true product would be

$$AB \pm hk \pm Ak \pm Bh.$$

Now as  $h$  and  $k$  are fractional,  $hk$  is less than either  $h$  or  $k$ , so  $hk$  must be omitted, and we are left with  $AB \pm Ak \pm Bh$ .

If  $h=k$ , the product is  $AB \pm h(A+B)$ .

In example (1), p. 309,  $A=2.68$  and  $B=4.12$ ,

$$h = .005, \text{ and so } h(A+B) = .005(6.8) = .034.$$

As only two places of decimals are here allowable, the correct answer is  $11.04 \pm .03$  sq in.

*Subtraction* requires a little thought. Take for example

$$\begin{array}{r} 41.3 \pm .05 \\ 11.2 \pm .05 \\ \hline 30.1 \pm .1 \end{array}$$

If the variations are taken of the same sign, the result will be  $30.1$ , but if of contrary sign the result will be either  $30$  or  $30.2$ .

A. S. PERCIVAL.

ERNEST WILLIAM HOBSON

October 27, 1856 — April 18, 1933