

## ON QUASI-ORTHODOX SEMIGROUPS WITH INVERSE TRANSVERSALS

by T. S. BLYTH and M. H. ALMEIDA SANTOS\*

(Received 20th September 1995)

An inverse transversal of a regular semigroup  $S$  is an inverse subsemigroup  $S^\circ$  that contains precisely one inverse of each element of  $S$ . Here we consider the case where  $S$  is quasi-orthodox. We give natural characterisations of such semigroups and consider various properties of congruences.

1991 *Mathematics subject classification*: 20M17.

An *inverse transversal* of a regular semigroup  $S$  is an inverse subsemigroup  $T$  with the property that  $|T \cap V(x)| = 1$  for every  $x \in S$ , where  $V(x)$  denotes the set of inverses of  $x \in S$ . In what follows we shall write the unique element of  $T \cap V(x)$  as  $x^\circ$ , and  $T$  as  $S^\circ = \{x^\circ; x \in S\}$ . Then in  $S^\circ$  we have  $(x^\circ)^{-1} = x^{\circ\circ}$ , so that  $x^\circ = x^{\circ\circ\circ}$  for every  $x \in S$ . Fundamental properties of the unary operation  $x \mapsto x^\circ$  in such a semigroup are

$$(\alpha) [4] (\forall x, y \in S) \quad (xy)^\circ = (x^\circ xy)^\circ x^\circ = y^\circ (xy y^\circ)^\circ = y^\circ (x^\circ xy y^\circ)^\circ x^\circ;$$

$$(\beta) [2] (\forall x, y \in S) \quad (xy^\circ)^\circ = y^{\circ\circ} x^\circ, \quad (x^\circ y)^\circ = y^\circ x^{\circ\circ};$$

$$(\gamma) [7] \quad I = \{e \in S; e = ee^\circ\} \text{ and } \Lambda = \{f \in S; f = f^\circ f\} \text{ are sub-bands of } S;$$

$$(\delta) [5] \quad S \text{ is orthodox if and only if } (\forall x, y \in S) \quad (xy)^\circ = y^\circ x^\circ.$$

The sub-bands  $I$  and  $\Lambda$ , which are respectively left regular and right regular, are such that  $I \cap \Lambda$  is the semilattice  $E(S^\circ)$  of idempotents of  $S^\circ$ . Together with the inverse subsemigroup  $S^\circ$ , they form the building bricks in the structure theorems of Saito [5].

In this paper we shall be concerned primarily with the case where  $S$  is quasi-orthodox. Yamada [8] has defined a semigroup  $S$  to be *quasi-orthodox* if there is an inverse semigroup  $\Gamma$  and a surjective morphism  $\varphi: S \rightarrow \Gamma$  such that, for every idempotent  $e \in \Gamma$ , the pre-image of  $e$  under  $\varphi$  is a completely simple subsemigroup of  $S$ . A regular semigroup  $S$  is quasi-orthodox if and only if the subsemigroup  $\langle E(S) \rangle$  is completely regular.

Saito [6] has proved that if  $S$  is regular with an inverse transversal  $S^\circ$  then the following statements are equivalent:

\* The second-named author gratefully acknowledges support from INVOTAN, and Research Grant PBIC/C/MAT/2141/96 from JNICT (Portugal).

- (1)  $S$  is quasi-orthodox;
- (2)  $(\forall x, y \in S) (xy)^\circ(xy)^{\circ\circ} = y^\circ x^\circ x^{\circ\circ} y^{\circ\circ}$ ;
- (3)  $(\forall x, y \in S) (xy)^{\circ\circ}(xy)^\circ = x^{\circ\circ} y^{\circ\circ} y^\circ x^\circ$ .

Since Green’s relations  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $S$  are given by

$$(x, y) \in \mathcal{L} \Leftrightarrow x^\circ x = y^\circ y, \quad (x, y) \in \mathcal{R} \Leftrightarrow x x^\circ = y y^\circ,$$

it follows immediately that

( $\epsilon$ )  $S$  is quasi-orthodox if and only if

$$(\forall x, y \in S) ((xy)^\circ, y^\circ x^\circ) \in \mathcal{H}.$$

In the same paper, Saito proved that if  $S$  is quasi-orthodox then  $S$  is orthodox if and only if  $S^\circ$  is weakly multiplicative, in the sense that  $(\Lambda I)^\circ \subseteq E(S^\circ)$ . Now if  $S$  is orthodox it follows by ( $\delta$ ) and ( $\epsilon$ ) that  $S$  is quasi-orthodox. Since  $i^\circ \in E(S^\circ)$  for every  $i \in I$  and  $l^\circ \in E(S^\circ)$  for every  $l \in \Lambda$ , it is clear that if  $S$  is orthodox then  $S^\circ$  is weakly multiplicative. Hence the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $S$  is orthodox;
- (2)  $S$  is quasi-orthodox and  $S^\circ$  is weakly multiplicative.

**Example 1.** Let  $S$  be the set of real singular  $2 \times 2$  matrices having a non-zero entry in the  $(1, 1)$ -position, and let  $M$  consist of  $S$  with the  $2 \times 2$  zero matrix adjoined. Then, as we have shown in [1],  $M$  is a regular semigroup and relative to the definitions

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & a^{-1}bc \end{bmatrix}^\circ = \begin{bmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^\circ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

the set

$$M^\circ = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; x \neq 0 \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

is an inverse transversal of  $M$ .

Consider the subset  $Q$  of  $M$  given by

$$Q = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & x \\ x & x \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ x & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; x \neq 0 \right\}.$$

It is readily seen that  $Q$  is a subsemigroup of  $M$ . Since  $Q$  is clearly closed under the operation  $A \mapsto A^\circ$  we have that  $Q$  is regular with

$$Q^\circ = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; x \neq 0 \right\}$$

an inverse (in fact, a group) transversal of  $Q$ . Since  $E(Q^\circ)$  is a singleton and since each of  $(AB)^\circ(AB)^{\circ\circ}$  and  $B^\circ A^\circ A^{\circ\circ} B^{\circ\circ}$  belong to  $E(Q^\circ)$ , it follows that  $(AB)^\circ(AB)^{\circ\circ} = B^\circ A^\circ A^{\circ\circ} B^{\circ\circ}$  and therefore  $Q$  is quasi-orthodox. That  $Q$  is not orthodox can be seen from the observation that  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  belong to  $E(Q)$  but

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \notin E(Q).$$

In what follows we shall require certain properties of congruences. For this purpose, we let  $\text{Con } S$  be the lattice of congruences on the semigroup  $S$ , and denote by  $\overline{\text{Con}} S$  the sublattice of  $^\circ$ -congruence, i.e., congruences  $\vartheta$  with the property that  $(x, y) \in \vartheta$  implies  $(x^\circ, y^\circ) \in \vartheta$ . In [2, Theorem 1] we have shown that if  $X \in \{I, S^\circ, \Lambda\}$  then  $\text{Con } X = \overline{\text{Con}} X$ .

Of particular interest are those congruences on  $X \in \{I, S^\circ, \Lambda\}$  that are *special* in the sense that they can be extended to  $^\circ$ -congruences on  $S$ . In [2, Theorem 8] we have shown that  $\iota \in \text{Con } I$  is special if and only if

$$(i, j) \in \iota \Rightarrow (\forall x \in S) \quad (xi(xi)^\circ, xj(xj)^\circ) \in \iota.$$

In what follows, for  $X \in \{I, S^\circ, \Lambda\}$  we shall denote Green's relations on  $X$  by  $\mathcal{L}_X$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_X$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_X$ . By a result of Hall [3] we have that  $\mathcal{L}_X, \mathcal{R}_X, \mathcal{H}_X$  are respectively the restrictions to  $X$  of  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}$  on  $S$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $S$  is a regular semigroup with an inverse transversal  $S^\circ$  then  $\mathcal{L}_1 \in \text{Con } I$  and*

$$(i, j) \in \mathcal{L}_1 \Leftrightarrow i^\circ = j^\circ.$$

Moreover,  $\mathcal{R}_1$  reduces to equality.

**Proof.** Since  $I$  is left regular,  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is a congruence and  $\mathcal{R}_1$  reduces to equality. Now, since  $I$  is orthodox with  $E(S^\circ)$  a semilattice transversal, we have

$$(i, j) \in \mathcal{L}_1 \Rightarrow i^\circ = (ij)^\circ = j^\circ i^\circ = i^\circ j^\circ = (ji)^\circ = j^\circ.$$

Conversely, let  $i, j \in I$  be such that  $i^\circ = j^\circ$ . Since  $i = ii^\circ$  and  $i^\circ i = i^\circ$  we have  $(i, i^\circ) \in \mathcal{L}_1$ . Consequently,  $i^\circ = j^\circ$  implies that  $(i, j) \in \mathcal{L}_1$ . □

**Corollary.**  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is special if and only if, for all  $i, j \in I$ ,

$$i^\circ = j^\circ \Rightarrow (\forall x \in S) \quad (xi)^{\circ\circ}(xi)^\circ = (xj)^{\circ\circ}(xj)^\circ. \quad \square$$

We shall denote by  $\mu$  the biggest idempotent-separating congruence on  $S^\circ$ . For every idempotent-separating congruence  $\pi$  on  $S^\circ$  we let  $\Theta_\pi$  be the relation defined on  $S$  by

$$(a, b) \in \Theta_\pi \Leftrightarrow (a^\circ, b^\circ) \in \pi.$$

**Theorem 2.** *If  $S$  is a regular semigroup with an inverse transversal  $S^\circ$  then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $S$  is quasi-orthodox;
- (2)  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is special;
- (3)  $\mathcal{R}_\wedge$  is special;
- (4)  $\Theta_\mu \in \overline{\text{Con } S}$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): If (1) holds then

$$(xi)^{\circ\circ}(xi)^\circ = x^{\circ\circ}i^{\circ\circ}i^\circ x^\circ = x^{\circ\circ}i^\circ x^\circ.$$

Then (2) follows by the Corollary to Theorem 1.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): For every  $y \in S$ , we have  $(yy^\circ)^\circ = y^{\circ\circ}y^\circ = (y^\circ y^\circ)^\circ$ . If (2) holds, then it follows by the Corollary to Theorem 1 that

$$(\forall x, y \in S) \quad (xyy^\circ)^{\circ\circ}(xyy^\circ)^\circ = (xy^{\circ\circ}y^\circ)^{\circ\circ}(xy^{\circ\circ}y^\circ)^\circ.$$

Now, on the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (xyy^\circ)^{\circ\circ}(xyy^\circ)^\circ &= (xyy^\circ)^{\circ\circ}(yy^\circ)^\circ(xy^{\circ\circ}(yy^\circ)^\circ)^\circ \\ &= (xyy^\circ)^{\circ\circ}y^{\circ\circ}y^\circ(xy^{\circ\circ}y^\circ)^\circ \\ &= (y^\circ(xy^{\circ\circ}y^\circ)^\circ)^\circ y^\circ(xy^{\circ\circ}y^\circ)^\circ \\ &= (xy)^{\circ\circ}(xy)^\circ; \end{aligned}$$

and, on the other hand,

$$(xy^{\circ\circ}y^\circ)^{\circ\circ}(xy^{\circ\circ}y^\circ)^\circ = x^{\circ\circ}y^{\circ\circ}y^\circ y^{\circ\circ}y^\circ x^\circ = x^{\circ\circ}y^{\circ\circ}y^\circ x^\circ.$$

Thus  $(xy)^{\circ\circ}(xy)^\circ = x^{\circ\circ}y^{\circ\circ}y^\circ x^\circ$  and so  $S$  is quasi-orthodox.

(1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3): This is similar.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Suppose that (1) holds and that  $(a, b) \in \Theta_\mu$ . Then for every  $e \in E(S^\circ)$  we have  $a^\circ ea^{\circ\circ} = b^\circ eb^{\circ\circ}$ . Since  $e = e^\circ = e^{\circ\circ}$  for every  $e \in E(S^\circ)$ , it follows that, for every  $x \in S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (ax)^\circ e(ax)^{\circ\circ} &= (ax)^\circ e^\circ e^{\circ\circ}(ax)^{\circ\circ} \\ &= (eax)^\circ (eax)^{\circ\circ} \\ &= x^\circ (ea)^\circ (ea)^{\circ\circ} x^{\circ\circ} && \text{by (1)} \\ &= x^\circ a^\circ ea^{\circ\circ} x^{\circ\circ} \\ &= x^\circ b^\circ eb^{\circ\circ} x^{\circ\circ} \\ &= (bx)^\circ e(bx)^{\circ\circ}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (xa)^\circ e(xa)^{\circ\circ} &= (exa)^\circ (exa)^{\circ\circ} \\
 &= a^\circ (ex)^\circ (ex)^{\circ\circ} a^{\circ\circ} && \text{by (1)} \\
 &= b^\circ (ex)^\circ (ex)^{\circ\circ} b^{\circ\circ} && \text{since } (ex)^\circ (ex)^{\circ\circ} \in E(S^\circ) \\
 &= (xb)^\circ e(xb)^{\circ\circ}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $\Theta_\mu \in \text{Con } S$ . Clearly, if  $(a, b) \in \Theta_\mu$  then  $(a^\circ, b^\circ) \in \Theta_\mu$ . Hence  $\Theta_\mu \in \overline{\text{Con}} S$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): If  $\Theta_\mu \in \overline{\text{Con}} S$  then, observing that  $(x, x^{\circ\circ}) \in \Theta_\mu$  for every  $x \in S$ , we have that  $(xy, x^{\circ\circ}y^{\circ\circ}) \in \Theta_\mu$  for all  $x, y \in S$  and therefore

$$(xy)^{\circ\circ} x^{\circ\circ} x^\circ (xy)^{\circ\circ} = (x^{\circ\circ} y^{\circ\circ})^\circ x^{\circ\circ} x^\circ (x^{\circ\circ} y^{\circ\circ})^{\circ\circ}.$$

Clearly, the right hand side reduces to  $y^\circ x^\circ x^{\circ\circ} y^{\circ\circ}$ . As for the left hand side, this can be written  $(x^\circ xy)^\circ x^\circ (xy)^{\circ\circ} = (xy)^\circ (xy)^{\circ\circ}$ . Hence we see that  $S$  is quasi-orthodox.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $S$  be a regular semigroup with an inverse transversal  $S^\circ$  and let  $\pi$  be an idempotent-separating congruence on  $S^\circ$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\Theta_\pi \in \overline{\text{Con}} S$ ;
- (2)  $(\forall x, y \in S) ((xy)^\circ, y^\circ x^\circ) \in \pi$ ;
- (3)  $(\forall i \in I) (\forall l \in \Lambda) ((li)^\circ, l^\circ i^\circ) \in \pi$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Clearly, for every  $x \in S$ , we have  $(x, x^{\circ\circ}) \in \Theta_\pi$  so if (1) holds we have  $(xy, x^{\circ\circ}y^{\circ\circ}) \in \Theta_\pi$  whence  $((xy)^\circ, y^\circ x^\circ) \in \pi$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): This is clear.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): If (3) holds, we observe that, for  $i, j \in I$  and  $l, m \in \Lambda$ ,

$$i^\circ = j^\circ, l^\circ = m^\circ \Rightarrow ((li)^\circ, (mj)^\circ) \in \pi.$$

Hence, if  $(x, y) \in \Theta_\pi$  we have  $(x^\circ x^{\circ\circ}, y^\circ y^{\circ\circ}) \in \pi$  and therefore, since  $\pi$  is idempotent-separating,  $x^\circ x^{\circ\circ} = y^\circ y^{\circ\circ}$ . Applying the above observation we deduce that, for every  $a \in S$ ,

$$((x^\circ xaa^\circ)^\circ, (y^\circ yaa^\circ)^\circ) \in \pi.$$

Since  $(x^\circ, y^\circ) \in \pi$  it follows that

$$((xa)^\circ, (ya)^\circ) = (a^\circ (x^\circ xaa^\circ)^\circ x^\circ, a^\circ (y^\circ yaa^\circ)^\circ y^\circ) \in \pi$$

and therefore  $(xa, ya) \in \Theta_\pi$ . Similarly, we can show that  $(ax, ay) \in \Theta_\pi$ , and therefore  $\Theta_\pi \in \text{Con } S$ . It now follows from the definition of  $\Theta_\pi$  that  $\Theta_\pi \in \overline{\text{Con } S}$ . □

**Corollary.** *S is quasi-orthodox if and only if  $\mu$  is such that*

$$(\forall x, y \in S) \quad ((xy)^\circ, y^\circ x^\circ) \in \mu.$$

**Proof.** This follows immediately by Theorem 2. □

In order to proceed, we require some general facts concerning  $^\circ$ -congruences. In [2] we have established the general form of such congruences. Specifically, we define a triple  $(\iota, \pi, \lambda) \in \text{Con } I \times \overline{\text{Con } S^\circ} \times \text{Con } \Lambda$  to be

(a) *balanced* if  $\iota|_{E(S^\circ)} = \pi|_{E(S^\circ)} = \lambda|_{E(S^\circ)}$ ;

(b) *linked* if for all  $i_1, i_2 \in I$ , all  $x_1, x_2 \in S^\circ$ , and all  $l_1, l_2 \in \Lambda$ ,

$$(i_1, i_2) \in \iota, (l_1, l_2) \in \lambda \Rightarrow \begin{cases} (l_1 i_1 (l_1 i_1)^\circ, l_2 i_2 (l_2 i_2)^\circ) \in \iota & [\alpha] \\ ((l_1 i_1)^\circ, (l_2 i_2)^\circ) \in \pi & [\beta] \\ ((l_1 i_1)^\circ l_1 i_1, (l_2 i_2)^\circ l_2 i_2) \in \lambda & [\gamma] \end{cases}$$

$$(i_1, i_2) \in \iota, (x_1, x_2) \in \pi \Rightarrow (x_1 i_1 x_1^\circ, x_2 i_2 x_2^\circ) \in \iota \quad [\delta]$$

$$(l_1, l_2) \in \lambda, (x_1, x_2) \in \pi \Rightarrow (x_1^\circ l_1 x_1, x_2^\circ l_2 x_2) \in \lambda \quad [\epsilon]$$

The set  $\text{BLT}(S)$  of balanced linked triples forms a lattice that is isomorphic to  $\overline{\text{Con } S}$ . Every  $\vartheta \in \overline{\text{Con } S}$  is of the form  $\Psi(\iota, \pi, \lambda)$  where  $(\iota, \pi, \lambda) \in \text{BLT}(S)$  and

$$(a, b) \in \Psi(\iota, \pi, \lambda) \Leftrightarrow (aa^\circ, bb^\circ) \in \iota, \quad (a^\circ, b^\circ) \in \pi, \quad (a^\circ a, b^\circ b) \in \lambda.$$

Concerning the special congruences on  $X \in \{I, S^\circ, \Lambda\}$  we have also established in [2] the following results:

(A) if  $\iota \in \text{Con } I$  is special then the biggest extension of  $\iota$  to a  $^\circ$ -congruence on  $S$  is the congruence  $\hat{\iota} \in \overline{\text{Con } S}$  given by

$$(a, b) \in \hat{\iota} \Leftrightarrow (\forall i \in I) \quad (ai(ai)^\circ, bi(bi)^\circ) \in \iota;$$

(B) a dual result if  $\lambda \in \text{Con } \Lambda$  is special;

(C)  $\pi \in \text{Con } S^\circ$  is special if and only if

$$(x, y) \in \pi \Rightarrow (\forall i \in I)(\forall l \in \Lambda) \quad ((lx\hat{i})^\circ, (ly\hat{i})^\circ) \in \pi.$$

In this case the biggest extension of  $\pi \in \text{Con } S^\circ$  to a  $^\circ$ -congruence on  $S$  is the congruence  $\hat{\pi} \in \overline{\text{Con } S}$  given by

$$(a, b) \in \hat{\pi} \Leftrightarrow (\forall i \in I)(\forall l \in \Lambda) \quad ((lai)^\circ, (lbi)^\circ) \in \pi.$$

In view of Theorem 2, when  $S$  is quasi-orthodox there are balanced linked triples of the form  $(\mathcal{L}_1, -, -)$  and  $(-, -, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$ . Since, by Theorem 1,  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  reduce to equality on  $E(S^\circ)$ , the middle components of any such triples must be idempotent-separating congruences on  $S^\circ$ . Conversely, any balanced linked triple of the form  $(\iota, \pi, \lambda)$  in which  $\pi$  is idempotent-separating is such that  $\iota \subseteq \mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ . In fact, since in such a triple  $\iota|_{E(S^\circ)}$  is equality we have, by Theorem 1,

$$(i_1, i_2) \in \iota \Rightarrow i_1^\circ = i_2^\circ \Rightarrow (i_1, i_2) \in \mathcal{L}_1,$$

so that  $\iota \subseteq \mathcal{L}_1$ , and similarly  $\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ .

In order to establish the existence of balanced linked triples of the form  $(\mathcal{L}_1, -, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$  or, equivalently, the existence of  $^\circ$ -congruences on  $S$  that simultaneously extend  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ , we require the following general result.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $S$  be a regular semigroup with an inverse transversal  $S^\circ$ . Given special congruences  $\iota \in \text{Con } I$  and  $\lambda \in \text{Con } \Lambda$ , there exists a balanced linked triple of the form  $(\iota, -, \lambda)$  if and only if  $\iota \subseteq \hat{\lambda}|_I$  and  $\lambda \subseteq \hat{\iota}|_\Lambda$ . In this case, the biggest such triple has middle component  $\hat{\iota}|_{S^\circ} \cap \hat{\lambda}|_{S^\circ}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\iota \in \text{Con } I$  and  $\lambda \in \text{Con } \Lambda$  are such that there is a balanced linked triple of the form  $(\iota, -, \lambda)$ . Observe that, by  $[\alpha]$ , if  $(l_1, l_2) \in \lambda$  then for every  $i \in I$ ,  $(l_1 i (l_1 i)^\circ, l_2 i (l_2 i)^\circ) \in \iota$  and therefore  $(l_1, l_2) \in \hat{\iota}|_\Lambda$ . Thus  $\lambda \subseteq \hat{\iota}|_\Lambda$ , and similarly  $\iota \subseteq \hat{\lambda}|_I$ .

Conversely,  $\hat{\iota}$  corresponds to the balanced linked triple  $(\iota, \hat{\iota}|_{S^\circ}, \hat{\iota}|_\Lambda)$ , and  $\hat{\lambda}$  corresponds to the balanced linked triple  $(\hat{\lambda}|_I, \hat{\lambda}|_{S^\circ}, \lambda)$ . Therefore, if the stated conditions hold,  $\hat{\iota} \cap \hat{\lambda}$  corresponds to the balanced linked triple  $(\iota, \hat{\iota}|_{S^\circ} \cap \hat{\lambda}|_{S^\circ}, \lambda)$ .

Finally, for any balanced linked triple  $(\iota, \pi, \lambda)$ , it follows by  $[\delta]$  that, for  $x_1, x_2 \in S^\circ$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, x_2) \in \pi &\Rightarrow (\forall i \in I) \quad (x_1 i (x_1 i)^\circ, x_2 i (x_2 i)^\circ) = (x_1 i x_1^\circ, x_2 i x_2^\circ) \in \iota \\ &\Rightarrow (x_1, x_2) \in \hat{\iota}|_{S^\circ} \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\pi \subseteq \hat{\iota}|_{S^\circ}$ . Similarly,  $\pi \subseteq \hat{\lambda}|_{S^\circ}$  and we conclude that the biggest balanced linked triple of the form  $(\iota, -, \lambda)$  has  $\hat{\iota}|_{S^\circ} \cap \hat{\lambda}|_{S^\circ}$  as its middle component. □

To see that, when  $S$  is quasi-orthodox, there exist balanced linked triples of the form  $(\mathcal{L}_1, -, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$  we may use Theorem 4 as follows. By Theorem 2 we can consider  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_1$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\Lambda$ . Now, by (A) and Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b) \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_1 &\Leftrightarrow (\forall i \in I) \quad (ai(ai)^\circ, bi(bi)^\circ) \in \mathcal{L}_1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\forall i \in I) \quad (ai)^\circ (ai)^\circ = (bi)^\circ (bi)^\circ \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\forall i \in I) \quad a^\circ i^\circ a^\circ = b^\circ i^\circ b^\circ, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$(a, b) \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\Lambda \Leftrightarrow (\forall l \in \Lambda) \quad a^\circ l^\circ a^\circ = b^\circ l^\circ b^\circ.$$

Since  $E(S^\circ) = \{i^\circ; i \in I\} = \{l^\circ; l \in \Lambda\}$ , we deduce from these expressions that

$$(a, b) \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_1 \Leftrightarrow (a, b) \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\Lambda.$$

It follows that  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_1 = \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\Lambda$ . Consequently  $\mathcal{L}_1 = \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_1|_I = \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\Lambda|_I$  and similarly  $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda = \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_1|_\Lambda$ . Thus, by Theorem 4, balanced linked triples of the form  $(\mathcal{L}_1, -, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$ , and hence  $^\circ$ -congruences on  $S$  that simultaneously extend  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ , exist.

We now determine precisely the nature of middle components in such triples.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-orthodox semigroup with an inverse transversal  $S^\circ$ . Then  $(\mathcal{L}_1, \pi, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda) \in \text{BLT}(S)$  if and only if  $\pi$  is idempotent-separating on  $S^\circ$  and  $\Theta_\pi \in \overline{\text{Con}} S$ .*

**Proof.**  $\Rightarrow$ : If  $(\mathcal{L}_1, \pi, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda) \in \text{BLT}(S)$  then, by the above observations,  $\pi$  is idempotent-separating and  $(\mathcal{L}_1, \pi, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$  is the biggest balanced linked triple of the form  $(-, \pi, -)$ . Consequently,  $\hat{\pi} = \Psi(\mathcal{L}_1, \pi, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \in \hat{\pi} &\Leftrightarrow x^\circ x^{\circ\circ} = y^\circ y^{\circ\circ}, (x^\circ, y^\circ) \in \pi, x^{\circ\circ} x^\circ = y^{\circ\circ} y^\circ \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x^\circ, y^\circ) \in \pi \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\hat{\pi} = \Theta_\pi$ . Hence  $\Theta_\pi \in \overline{\text{Con}} S$ .

$\Leftarrow$ : If  $\pi$  is idempotent-separating on  $S^\circ$  and  $\Theta_\pi \in \overline{\text{Con}} S$  then it is readily seen that  $\Theta_\pi|_I = \mathcal{L}_1$ ,  $\Theta_\pi|_{S^\circ} = \pi$ , and  $\Theta_\pi|_\Lambda = \mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ . Consequently  $\Theta_\pi = \Psi(\mathcal{L}_1, \pi, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$ . □

**Corollary.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-orthodox semigroup with an inverse transversal  $S^\circ$ . Then*

- (1) *the biggest idempotent-separating congruence  $\mu$  on  $S^\circ$  is special with  $(\mathcal{L}_1, \mu, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$  the biggest balanced linked triple of the form  $(\mathcal{L}_1, -, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$ ;*
- (2) *the biggest extension of  $\mu$  in  $\overline{\text{Con}} S$  is  $\Psi(\mathcal{L}_1, \mu, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda) = \Theta_\mu$ .*

**Proof.** This follows from Theorems 2, 4 and 5 on observing that  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_1|_{S^\circ} = \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\Lambda|_{S^\circ} = \mu$ . □

In what follows we shall denote by  $\zeta$  the  $^\circ$ -congruence on  $S$  generated by the set  $\{(a, a^{\circ\circ}); a \in S\}$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-orthodox semigroup with an inverse transversal  $S^\circ$ . Then the smallest balanced linked triple of the form  $(\mathcal{L}_1, -, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$  has middle component  $\zeta|_{S^\circ}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $T$  be the set of idempotent-separating congruences  $\pi$  on  $S^\circ$  such that  $\Theta_\pi \in \overline{\text{Con}} S$ . Then for every  $a \in S$  we have  $(a, a^{\circ\circ}) \in \bigcap_{\pi \in T} \Theta_\pi$ . It follows that  $\zeta \subseteq \bigcap_{\pi \in T} \Theta_\pi$ . For every  $\pi \in T$  we then have  $\zeta \subseteq \Theta_\pi$  and so  $\zeta|_{S^\circ} \subseteq \Theta_\pi|_{S^\circ} = \pi$ . Hence  $\zeta|_{S^\circ}$  is idempotent-separating. Now

$$(a, b) \in \zeta \Leftrightarrow (a^{\circ\circ}, b^{\circ\circ}) \in \zeta \Leftrightarrow (a^\circ, b^\circ) \in \zeta \Leftrightarrow (a, b) \in \Theta_{\zeta|_{S^\circ}}.$$



Consequently,  $\zeta = \Theta_{\zeta|_S}$  and therefore  $\zeta|_S \in T$ . It follows that  $\zeta|_S = \min T$  whence we obtain the result by Theorem 5. □

We can now describe the balanced linked triples of the form  $(\mathcal{L}_1, -, \mathcal{R}_\lambda)$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-orthodox semigroup with an inverse transversal  $S^\circ$ . Then  $(\mathcal{L}_1, \pi, \mathcal{R}_\lambda) \in \text{BLT}(S)$  if and only if  $\pi$  belongs to the interval  $[\zeta|_S, \mu]$  of  $\text{Con } S^\circ$ .*

**Proof.**  $\Rightarrow$ : If  $(\mathcal{L}_1, \pi, \mathcal{R}_\lambda) \in \text{BLT}(S)$  then, by Theorem 5,  $\pi$  is idempotent-separating, so  $\pi \subseteq \mu$ . By Theorem 6,  $\zeta|_S \subseteq \pi$ .

$\Leftarrow$ : If  $\pi \in [\zeta|_S, \mu]$  then  $\pi$  is necessarily idempotent-separating and therefore the triple  $(\mathcal{L}_1, \pi, \mathcal{R}_\lambda)$  is balanced. Now since, by the Corollary to Theorem 5,  $(\mathcal{L}_1, \mu, \mathcal{R}_\lambda) \in \text{BLT}(S)$  the triple  $(\mathcal{L}_1, \pi, \mathcal{R}_\lambda)$  satisfies the conditions  $[\alpha]$ ,  $[\gamma]$ ,  $[\delta]$ ,  $[\epsilon]$  the last two of which follow from the fact that  $\pi \subseteq \mu$ . Since  $(\mathcal{L}_1, \zeta|_S, \mathcal{R}_\lambda) \in \text{BLT}(S)$  and  $\zeta|_S \subseteq \pi$ , the triple  $(\mathcal{L}_1, \pi, \mathcal{R}_\lambda)$  also satisfies  $[\beta]$ . Hence  $(\mathcal{L}_1, \pi, \mathcal{R}_\lambda) \in \text{BLT}(S)$ . □

**Corollary 1.** *Every  $\pi \in [\zeta|_S, \mu]$  is special.* □

**Corollary 2.** *The  $^\circ$ -congruences on  $S$  that simultaneously extend  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\lambda$  are precisely those of the form  $\Psi(\mathcal{L}_1, \pi, \mathcal{R}_\lambda)$  where  $\pi \in [\zeta|_S, \mu]$ .* □

**Example 2.** Concerning the semigroup  $Q$  of Example 1, we can describe the congruence  $\zeta$  as follows. For every  $X \in Q$  let  $x_{11}$  be the entry in the (1, 1)-position. Define a relation  $\rho$  on  $Q$  by

$$(A, B) \in \rho \Leftrightarrow (\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}) \quad a_{11} = 2^n b_{11}.$$

It is easily seen that  $\rho$  is a  $^\circ$ -congruence on  $Q$  that identifies  $A$  and  $A^\circ$  for every  $A \in Q$ . Consequently,  $\zeta \subseteq \rho$ . Observe now that since the congruence  $\zeta$  identifies the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & x \\ x & x \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

we see, on pre-multiplying by  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , that  $\zeta$  identifies the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2x & 2x \\ 2x & 2x \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and, by recursion, identifies the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2^n x & 2^n x \\ 2^n x & 2^n x \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If, therefore,  $(A, B) \in \rho$  we have  $a_{11} = 2^n b_{11}$ , where we can assume that the integer  $n$  is non-negative, and consequently

$$A \stackrel{\zeta}{\cong} \begin{bmatrix} 2^n b_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\zeta}{\cong} \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\zeta}{\cong} B.$$

Hence  $\rho \subseteq \zeta$  and therefore  $\zeta = \rho$ .

We shall denote by  $\omega_S$  the relation of equality on  $S^\circ$ . As the following result shows, the  $^\circ$ -congruence  $\zeta$  can be used to provide a measure of the distinction between quasi-orthodox and orthodox.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-orthodox semigroup with an inverse transversal  $S^\circ$ . Then  $S$  is orthodox if and only if  $\zeta|_{S^\circ} = \omega_S$ .*

**Proof.**  $\Rightarrow$ : If  $S$  is orthodox then we have the identity  $(xy)^\circ = y^\circ x^\circ$  and so it follows by Theorem 3 that  $\omega_S \in T$ . Since  $\zeta|_{S^\circ} = \min T$  we deduce that  $\zeta|_{S^\circ} = \omega_S$ .

$\Leftarrow$ : If  $\zeta|_{S^\circ} = \omega_S$  then  $\Theta_{\omega_S} \in \overline{\text{Con}} S$  and Theorem 3 gives the identity  $(li)^\circ = l^\circ i^\circ$ . Thus  $(\Lambda I)^\circ \subseteq E(S^\circ)$  and so  $S^\circ$  is weakly multiplicative. Consequently,  $S$  is orthodox.  $\square$

**Corollary.** *If  $S$  is orthodox then  $(\mathcal{L}_1, \pi, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda) \in \text{BLT}(S)$  if and only if  $\pi$  is idempotent-separating.*

**Proof.** This follows by Corollary 1 of Theorem 7.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. T. S. BLYTH and M. H. ALMEIDA SANTOS, A simplistic approach to inverse transversals, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **39** (1996), 57–69.
2. T. S. BLYTH and M. H. ALMEIDA SANTOS, Congruences associated with inverse transversals, *Collectanea Mathematica*, memorial volume for Paul Dubreil, **46** (1995), 35–48.
3. T. E. HALL, Congruences and Green's relations on regular semigroups, *Glasgow Math. J.* **13** (1972), 167–175.
4. D. B. MCALISTER and R. MCFADDEN, Semigroups with inverse transversals as matrix semigroups, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **25** (1984), 455–474.
5. TATSUHIKO SAITO, Construction of regular semigroups with inverse transversals, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **32** (1989), 41–51.
6. TATSUHIKO SAITO, Quasi-orthodox semigroups with inverse transversals, *Semigroups Forum* **36** (1987), 47–54.
7. XILIN TANG, Regular semigroups with inverse transversals, preprint.
8. M. YAMADA, Structure of quasi-orthodox semigroups, *Mem. Fac. Sc., Shimane Univ.* **14** (1980), 1–18.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE  
UNIVERSITY OF ST ANDREWS  
SCOTLAND

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA  
F.C.T.  
UNIVERSIDADE NOVA DE LISBOA  
PORTUGAL