Using local scientific knowledge for transboundary conservation: distribution modelling for the taruka in South America

NICOLÁS FUENTES-ALLENDE^{*1,2,3}, CRISTINA MATA^{4,5}, JAVIER BARRIO^{1,6} PAULO CORTI^{1,2}, GABRIEL LLERENA⁷, VELIA MENDOZA⁸, ÁNGELA NUÑEZ^{1,9} RAMIRO OVEJERO^{10,11}, LUIS F. PACHECO^{1,12}, HERNÁN PASTORE^{1,13} JOSEF RECHBERGER¹⁴, HECTOR A. REGIDOR¹⁵, JHONSON VIZCARRA¹⁶ ESTEBAN ZÚÑIGA¹⁷ and BENITO A. GONZÁLEZ^{10,18}

Abstract Effective conservation management of threatened species with transboundary distributions is a challenge, requiring international coordination to ensure that countryspecific actions align with regional imperatives. Many international conservation efforts overlook species needing attention and fail to incorporate the field expertise of local researchers in regional-level assessments. Here we focus on the taruka *Hippocamelus antisensis*, a threatened and littlestudied deer of the Central Andes Ecoregion in South America. Since 2016, experts, managers and governmental authorities from all countries encompassing the species' range have gathered to collate information about its presence and coordinate efforts to conserve it. We constructed taruka distribution models using historical records and more recent sightings reported during the last 50 years.

¹IUCN Species Survival Commission Deer Specialist Group

²Laboratorio de Manejo y Conservación de Vida Silvestre, Instituto de

Conservación, Biodiversidad y Territorio, Facultad de Ciencias Forestales y Recursos Naturales, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile

³Fundación Sudamérica Diversa, Panguipulli, Chile

- ⁴Terrestrial Ecology Group (TEG-UAM), Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
- ⁵Centro de Investigación en Biodiversidad y Cambio Climático Global (CIBC-UAM), Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

⁶Centro de Ornitología y Biodiversidad, Lima, Peru

⁷Museo de Historia Natural de la Universidad Nacional de San Agustín de Arequipa, Arequipa, Peru

- ⁸Laboratorio de Zooarqueología, Universidad Mayor de San Andrés, La Paz, Bolivia
- ⁹Red Boliviana de Mastozoología, La Paz, Bolivia

¹⁰IUCN Species Survival Commission South American Camelid Specialist Group

¹¹Instituto de Biodiversidad Neotropical, CONICET-UNT, Cúpulas Horco Molle, Tucumán, Argentina

- ¹²Colección Boliviana de Fauna, Instituto de Ecología, Carrera de Biología, Facultad de Ciencias Puras y Naturales, La Paz, Bolivia
- ¹³Dirección Regional Patagonia Norte, Administración de Parques Nacionales, San Carlos de Bariloche, Argentina

¹⁴Centro de Estudios en Biología Teórica y Aplicada, Cota Cota, La Paz, Bolivia ¹⁵Facultad de Ciencias Naturales, National University of Salta, Salta, Argentina

¹⁶Administración Técnica Forestal y de Fauna Silvestre Moquegua-Tacna, Servicio Nacional Forestal y de Fauna Silvestre, Tacna, Peru

¹⁷Parque Nacional Lauca, Corporación Nacional Forestal, Arica, Chile ¹⁸Faculty of Forest Sciences and Nature Conservation, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile

Received 9 February 2024. Revision requested 4 April 2024. Accepted 1 October 2024.

Our findings revealed several critical insights: slope, normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) during the dry season, and daily and seasonal temperature variability are primary predictors of taruka distribution, and the largest part of its range is in Peru (54%), followed by Bolivia (30%), Argentina (10%) and Chile (6%). The species' core distribution is confined to the western and eastern limits of the Central Andes Ecoregion, bordered by the Sechura and Atacama Desert to the west and the Yungas Forest to the east. Protection is limited, with only 12 and 8% of the core and non-core areas, respectively, of the species' range under formal protection. We recommend safeguarding connectivity of the core distribution by establishing transboundary protected areas, improving taruka coexistence with local communities, strengthening and formalizing international collaboration amongst taruka experts and raising the species' appeal amongst policymakers and the public. In particular, we hope to encourage international collaboration between Southern Hemisphere specialists. This is important because these researchers have extensive field knowledge that could improve global conservation efforts, especially in regions that lack adequate conservation funding.

Keywords Distribution modelling, *Hippocamelus antisensis*, international collaboration, local knowledge, protected areas, South America, taruka, transboundary distribution

The supplementary material for this article is available at doi.org/10.1017/S0030605324001479

Introduction

Protecting species with transboundary distributions is a significant conservation challenge (Lim, 2016; Liu et al., 2020). Neighbouring countries often struggle to reach consensus regarding actions to address common conservation problems because of limited capacity or geopolitical and governance factors (Blair et al., 2022). Where biogeographical barriers coincide with political boundaries, a single country may contain the entire distribution of a threatened species. However, this is rarely the case for species with

This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0), which permits noncommercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original article is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained prior to any commercial use.

Oryx, Page 1 of 13 © The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Fauna & Flora International doi:10.1017/S0030605324001479 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605324001479 Published online by Cambridge University Press

^{*}Corresponding author, fuente.nicolas@gmail.com

broad ecological niches or core habitats that extend beyond political borders (Liu et al., 2020; Mason et al., 2020; Farhadinia et al., 2021). Where the ranges of threatened species cross international borders, effective conservation planning needs close coordination amongst managers, scientists and governmental authorities across all countries encompassing the species' range (Blair et al., 2022). Such cooperation is essential to ensure that country-level actions align with broader regional conservation needs (Liu et al., 2020; Mason et al., 2020).

International cooperation in planning and implementing transboundary conservation strategies makes a significant contribution to the global protection of threatened species and their habitats (Poufoun et al., 2016; Kauffman et al., 2021). Although such initiatives often focus on particular, usually charismatic, target species (e.g. forest elephants Loxodonta cyclotis in Central Africa, Poufoun et al., 2016; jaguars Panthera onca in the north-western Amazon, Mena et al., 2020), their actions usually prioritize protecting habitats, thus benefitting a multitude of other species sharing those ecosystems. Notably, these initiatives typically originate in and are carried out by transnational NGOs and conservationists from affluent countries that have the necessary funding to support international conservation endeavours (e.g. brown bears Ursus arctos in Europe, Mattsson et al., 2019; rivers in Russia, China and Mongolia, Simonov et al., 2019). Initiatives led by experts from developing countries, where significant conservation threats often concentrate, are scarce (Titley et al., 2021).

Here we focus on the taruka Hippocamelus antisensis, a threatened deer species occurring in the Central Andes Ecoregion in South America. Taruka are confined to highaltitude ravines and gullies in Peru, Bolivia, northern Chile and north-western Argentina (Barrio, 2013). This deer is poorly known within the scientific community, with research and conservation efforts primarily focused on local populations, lacking regional coordination amongst specialists across range countries (Barrio, 2004; Rechberger et al., 2014; Fuentes-Allende et al., 2016). International conservation of this species is hampered by a number of factors. The taruka is not widely known amongst the public, and there is little awareness of the need for its protection. Countries have not allocated funding for its conservation, and there is no established trading market that needs regulation (CITES, 2023). In addition, no information is available on the migratory or seasonal movements of the taruka (CMS, 1979). Although the IUCN Red List categorizes the taruka as Vulnerable globally (Barrio et al., 2017), at the country level it is listed as Endangered in Argentina (Guerra & Pastore, 2019), Bolivia (MMAyA, 2009) and Chile (RCE, 2007), but as Vulnerable in Peru (Barrio, 2018). Since 2016, collaborative efforts involving managers, researchers and governmental authorities from the four countries have explored ways to enhance taruka

conservation throughout its distribution range. Here we present the outcomes of this first international endeavour aimed at the taruka, in which we modelled its current distribution range using sightings from the four range countries, compared model predictions with the technical and scientific knowledge of local researchers, wildlife managers and conservationists, assessed the proportion of the species' distribution that is protected and discussed the threats and challenges that its conservation faces. We particularly highlight the importance of incorporating local knowledge from communities, researchers and conservationists in regional evaluations. Our primary aim was to identify areas with suitable conditions for the species, to guide stakeholders on where to focus monitoring and conservation efforts. We hope to motivate researchers and managers from the Southern Hemisphere to actively engage in international collaborations and contribute to the scientific information that underpins global conservation efforts.

Study area

The study area includes the Central Andes Ecoregion across the four taruka range countries (5-30°S, 65-80°W; Griffith et al., 1998; Barrio, 2013; Fig. 1a). We considered the minimum elevation at which the taruka is likely to occur to be 1,800 m. Although the species has been observed at lower altitudes, such records are rare (Barrio, 2013; Mata et al., 2019). The study area encompasses the Central High Andes/Puna (Peru, Bolivia, Chile, Argentina), the Altiplano (Peru, Bolivia), the Yungas (Peru, Bolivia, Argentina) and the Sechura/Atacama deserts (Peru, Chile; Griffith et al., 1998). The vegetation in these areas is characterized by montane grasslands, and xeric and montane shrublands (Olson et al., 2001). The seasonal precipitation regime features a long dry season, usually during April-November, followed by a short rainy season during December-March. According to the Köppen climatic classification the study area falls within the Arid, the Temperate, and the Polar climates (Peel et al., 2007).

Methods

Incorporating knowledge from taruka researchers

We obtained information and local knowledge from researchers, managers, environmental authorities and conservationists from the four taruka range countries through formal and informal meetings, workshops and unstructured personal interviews, during 2016–2023 (Newing, 2011). The need to model the species' distribution in South America was first identified in 2016, during assessment of its conservation status for the IUCN Red List (Barrio et al., 2017). In 2018, Bolivian collaborators organized a



FIG. 1 Study area in South America, showing (a) historical and more recent (1972–2022) records of the taruka *Hippocamelus antisensis* and (b) the overlapped predictions of its distribution according to the MERRA–BIO models. Stars indicate the areas where collaborators disagreed with model predictions. (Readers of the printed journal are referred to the online article for a colour version of this figure.)

symposium on taruka conservation in South America (IV Latin American Congress of Mammalogy, 10–13 July, La Paz, Bolivia). Experts from the four range countries met and defined the short-, medium- and long-term goals for protecting this species in the region, and the steps needed to achieve international collaboration. Subsequently, we held periodic virtual meetings in which we shared taruka sightings and field information from our personal records and the available literature (including both peer reviewed and grey literature), discussed model construction, checked the quality of preliminary and final model predictions and discussed the current and future challenges facing taruka conservation in the region.

Building species distribution models

We used *MaxEnt* 3.3.3.*k* (Phillips et al., 2006), following the methodology outlined in Mata et al. (2019), to construct species distribution models for the taruka. We based these models on taruka sightings and environmental variables and developed them at a spatial resolution of 1 km² (Fourcade et al., 2014). We collated 1,280 taruka locations, comprising 33 historical and archaeological reports and 1,247 sightings reported within the last 50 years (1972–2022), with 63% of these from the last 10 years (Fig. 1a). We used data from the last 50 years because this period was used previously to assess local extinctions in regional and country-level assessments (Akçakaya et al., 2017) and because there are few taruka records overall, so each record is valuable to the modelling process. We sourced

records from the literature, volunteer contributions and unpublished data from the authors (Supplementary Material 1, Supplementary Table 1). Archaeological records relate to pre-Columbian sites (> 500 years old) visited by author VM, stone paintings and petroglyphs. Historical records were obtained from literature covering the period 1800–1970. To mitigate spatial autocorrelation and pseudo-replication (Wellenreuther et al., 2012), and to reduce oversampling biases in a particular area (Fourcade et al., 2014; Riquelme et al., 2018), we restricted the data to one presence record per 1 km² grid cell, which resulted in a total of 624 effective points for building species distribution models.

We initially selected 25 environmental variables, represented as raster layers of $1,856 \times 3,201$ grid cells (Table 1; Supplementary Material 1, Supplementary Table 2) to build species distribution models. Given the inconsistency of climatic measurements in the Central Andes Ecoregion (Morales-Barbero & Vega-Álvarez, 2019), we separately and concurrently built species distribution models using two distinct bioclimatic datasets: WorldClim (BIOclim models; Fick & Hijmans, 2017) and MERRAclim (MERRAclim models; Vega et al., 2017). Both datasets encompass the same 19 bioclimatic variables and exhibit a similar spatial resolution. After excluding the variables with high autocorrelation from the MERRAclim and BIOclim models (R > 0.7, Elith et al., 2006; Table 1) we selected the same set of 12 variables to construct each model.

We followed the protocol of Mata et al. (2019) to select the best MERRAclim and BIOclim species distribution models (Supplementary Material 1). We then categorized TABLE 1 Environmental variables considered to be potential predictors of the distribution of the taruka *Hippocamelus antisensis* in South America (Fig. 1). Asterisks indicate variables that we selected for constructing the distribution model after excluding those with a correlation R > 0.7.

Variable (by type)	Unit				
Topographical					
Mean altitude*	m				
Standard deviation of altitude*	m				
Mean slope*	0				
Location					
Distance to settlements*	m				
Climatic (MERRAclim & BIOclim)					
Annual mean temperature (BIO1)*	°C				
Mean diurnal range (BIO2)*	°C				
Isothermality (BIO3)*	%				
Temperature seasonality (BIO4)*	°C				
Maximum temperature of warmest month (BIO5)	°C				
Minimum temperature of coldest month (BIO6)	°C				
Temperature annual range (BIO7)*	°C				
Mean temperature of wettest quarter (BIO8)	°C				
Mean temperature of driest quarter (BIO9)	°C				
Mean temperature of warmest quarter (BIO10)	°C				
Mean temperature of coldest quarter (BIO11)	°C				
Annual precipitation (BIO12)*	mm				
Precipitation of wettest month (BIO13)	mm				
Precipitation of driest month (BIO14)	mm				
Precipitation seasonality (BIO15)	%				
Precipitation of wettest quarter (BIO16)	mm				
Precipitation of driest quarter (BIO17)	mm				
Precipitation of warmest quarter (BIO18)	mm				
Precipitation of coldest quarter (BIO19)					
Vegetation ¹					
NDVI August (mean 2003–2019; NDVIdry)*					
NDVI February (mean 2004–2021; NDVIrain)*					

¹NDVI, normalized difference vegetation index.

the predictions of the selected MERRAclim and BIOclim models into two classes: the core distribution, using the mean value of the probability of species occurrence across all grid cells as a threshold (average predicted probability approach; Liu et al., 2005), and the non-core distribution, applying the maximum value of the sensitivity-specificity sum as a threshold (sensitivity-specificity sum maximization approach; Liu et al., 2013). We used the average predicted probability approach for defining the core distribution because it imposes more stringent criteria compared to maximizing the sensitivity-specificity sum. After selecting the best BIOclim and MERRAclim models, we assessed prediction quality visually, comparing the models with the locations of historical and archaeological records, and requested feedback from taruka specialists regarding these predictions at global and country-level scales.

Finally, we assessed prediction similarity between the MERRAclim and BIOclim models using the niche overlap assessment provided by ENMTools (Schoener's statistic for niche overlap *D*; Hellinger's similarity statistic *I*; Warren et al., 2010). Indicators range from 0 (models have completely discordant predictions) to 1 (models produce identical predictions). Depending on their similarity, we examined whether it was appropriate to overlap both predictions and expand the estimated taruka distribution to include all areas that were predicted to be part of the species' range by at least one of the models (MERRA–BIO prediction). We used this conservative approach to avoid omitting any relevant areas that were included in only one of the models. We restricted the extent of the core distribution in the overlapped MERRA–BIO prediction to areas classified as such by at least one of the models.

Area classification

We classified the overlapped distribution based on its type (core vs non-core) and protection status (protected vs unprotected). To assess the extent to which the distribution range benefits from conservation efforts (Fig. 1a), we used the World Database of Protected Areas (Protected Planet, 2024). We considered a distribution area to be protected when it overlapped with areas with a dedicated annual budget for conservation management, such as national parks, national reserves and private reserves. In contrast, we categorized as unprotected those areas overlapping with unprotected regions or marked for conservation but lacking the necessary infrastructure and budget (e.g. Ramsar sites, UNESCO Biosphere Reserves). This resulted in four categories of projected taruka distribution: protected core distribution, protected non-core distribution, unprotected core distribution and unprotected non-core distribution. We performed all spatial analyses using QGIS 3.4.6 (QGIS Development Team, 2019) and ArcGIS 10.7 (Esri, USA).

Results

Taruka distribution model

The mean values for the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUCmean) of five models generated through cross-validation exhibited strong overall fits for MERRAclim (AUCmean = $0.879 \pm SD \ 0.012$) and BIOclim (AUCmean = $0.873 \pm SD \ 0.013$; Supplementary Fig. 1). The variables with the greatest contributions to the MERRAclim model were annual precipitation (Bio12; 42%), slope (17%), mean altitude (11%) and isothermality (Bio3; 8%; Tables 2 & 3; Fig. 2a–d). For the BIOclim model, the variables with the greatest contributions were slope (21%), normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) during the dry season (August; 18%), temperature seasonality (Bio4; 17%) and mean altitude (9%; Table 2; Fig. 2e–h).

5

TABLE 2 Per cent contributions and relative predictive powers of the environmental variables (see Table 1 for descriptions) assessed to determine their effects on the distribution of the taruka (Fig. 2). We calculated training gains for a single variable if we used it solely for the modelling procedure and additionally for the model with the remaining variables after dropping the focus variable. Values are means of five jackknife replicates of regularized training gain. Asterisks highlight the most relevant variables.

			Jackknife test of training gain				
	Contribution (%)		Variable alone		Without the variable		
Variable (by type)	MERRA	BIO	MERRA	BIO	MERRA	BIO	
Topographical							
Mean altitude	10.986*	9.215*	0.097	0.100	1.009	0.973*	
Standard deviation of altitude	0.693	1.044	0.141	0.154	1.079	1.010	
Mean slope	17.007*	21.231*	0.198	0.213*	1.037	0.970*	
Location							
Distance to settlements	1.643	4.691	0.104	0.124	1.073	0.996	
Climatic							
BIO1	5.036	2.102	0.107	0.068	1.044	1.000	
BIO2	0.937	4.266	0.265	0.092	1.079	0.997	
BIO3	8.423*	5.675	0.250	0.183	1.057	0.990	
BIO4	5.513	16.835*	0.248	0.210*	1.072	0.933*	
BIO7	0.455	4.758	0.258	0.202	1.084	1.007	
BIO12	42.292*	7.992	0.465*	0.230*	1.010	0.994	
Vegetation							
NDVIdry	2.284	3.768	0.183	0.196	1.058	0.945*	
NDVIrain	4.732	18.424*	0.275	0.293*	1.071	0.976*	

TABLE 3 Spatial extent of the taruka distribution in South America, with values rounded to the nearest km² and shown for total region and for each range country. The pG and pC values refer to the proportion of a specific category with regards to the global distribution and the distribution within each specific country, respectively.

	Total region		Peru		Bolivia		Chile		Argentina	
	Area (km ²)	pG	Area (km ²)	pG/pC						
Total distribution	319,307	1.00	172,722	0.54/1.00	96,915	0.30/1.00	18,294	0.06/1.00	31,376	0.10/1.00
Core	79,783	0.25	41,648	0.13/0.24	20,221	0.06/0.21	6,716	0.02/0.37	11,198	0.04/0.36
Non-core	239,524	0.75	131,074	0.41/0.76	76,694	0.24/0.79	11,578	0.04/0.63	20,178	0.06/0.64
Protected	27,863	0.09	10,941	0.03/0.06	9,015	0.03/0.09	3,123	0.01/0.17	4,784	0.01/0.15
Unprotected	291,444	0.91	161,780	0.51/0.94	87,900	0.28/0.91	15,171	0.05/0.83	26,592	0.08/0.85
Protected core	9,717	0.03	3,906	0.01/0.02	3,396	0.01/0.04	1,250	0.00/0.07	1,164	0.00/0.04
Protected non-core	18,146	0.06	7,035	0.02/0.04	5,619	0.02/0.06	1,873	0.01/0.10	3,619	0.01/0.12
Unprotected core	70,066	0.22	37,742	0.12/0.22	16,825	0.05/0.17	5,467	0.02/0.30	10,033	0.03/0.32
Unprotected non-core	221,378	0.69	124,039	0.39/0.72	71,076	0.22/0.73	9,705	0.03/0.53	16,559	0.05/0.53

According to the variables with the greatest contributions, the probability of taruka presence is highest in areas with a mean slope of 25 ° (Fig. 2b,f), a mean NDVI during the dry season of 0.4–0.6 (Fig. 2e) and low daily and seasonal temperature variability (Fig. 2d,h). Although we also identified elevation and annual precipitation as variables with significant contributions, these model predictions did not agree with the knowledge of local specialists gained through field observations. The elevation with the maximum predicted presence probability was 6,500 m according to MERRAclim (Fig. 2c) and 2,600 m according to BIOclim (Fig. 2g), which agrees with records from Chile and Argentina. According to Peruvian and Bolivian collaborators, however, there are no taruka records above 6,000 m. With respect to annual precipitation, the MERRAclim model predicted maximum presence probability in areas with 180–300 mm of precipitation per year (Fig. 2a), but Bolivian collaborators stated that within their country, taruka are usually sighted in areas with precipitation close to 1,000 mm per year. Despite the disagreement of Peruvian and Chilean collaborators with the distribution categorizations in two specific areas within their countries (the highlands of Rio Abiseo National Park in Peru at 7.7°S, and the surroundings of Huatacondo in Chile at 20.9°S),

Oryx, Page 5 of 13 © The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Fauna & Flora International doi:10.1017/S0030605324001479



FIG. 2 Most contributing variables (Table 2) in the (a–d) MERRAclim and (e–h) BIOclim species distribution models (SDMs) for the taruka in South America: (a) annual precipitation (BIO12), (b,f) mean slope, (c,g) mean altitude, (d) isothermality (BIO3), (e) mean normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) during the dry season and (h) temperature seasonality (BIO4). Grey and black lines are single and averaged predictions, respectively, after five-fold cross-validation. See Table 1 for variable descriptions.

the visual inspections of predicted distributions, historicalarchaeological records and collaborators concurred with the global predictions of the taruka distribution range and indicated that the MERRAclim and BIOclim models yield similar results (Supplementary Fig. 1). We further confirmed this high level of agreement in predictions through niche overlap assessments using ENMTools (D = 0.935; I = 0.996), supporting our conservative approach of overlapping both predictions.

Regional distribution

The overlapped prediction covers an extensive area of $319,307 \text{ km}^2$ (Fig. 1b), stretching from northern Peru (8°S, 76.9–78.6°W; Fig. 3a) to north-western Argentina (30°S, 66.7–69.1°W; Fig. 3d). Approximately a quarter of the predicted area corresponds to the core distribution (MERRA-BIO prediction = 25%, 79,783 km²; Fig. 1b). This core distribution is primarily limited to two continuous strips: one located at the western boundary of the Central Andes Ecoregion and the other at its eastern limit. Conversely, the non-core distribution is spread across this Ecoregion north of 18.2°S, and is restricted to the eastern portion in areas south of this latitude.

The western strip of the core distribution borders the Atacama Desert to the west and the High Andean Plateau to the east, extending from northern Peru (near Cabana, Department of Ancash, 8.3°S) to northern Chile (near Macaya, Tarapacá District, 20.2°S; Fig. 3c). The eastern strip

of the core distribution is bounded by the High Andean Plateau to the west and the Yungas Forest to the east, covering an area from central Peru (near Cerro de Pasco, Department of Pasco; 10.4°S) to north-western Argentina (near Chilecito, La Rioja Province, 29.3°S). The western and eastern strips are completely isolated from each other throughout the distribution range, only being close to each other in the northern part (near Lima, Peru, 11.5°S).

Only 9% (c. 27,8632 km²) of the global taruka distribution falls within protected areas. This covers 12% of the projected core distribution (c. 9,717 km²) and 8% of the projected non-core distribution (c. 18,146 km²). The protected distribution area can be categorized into 31 units, scattered across central-western South America. Of these, eight units are located along the western strip of the core distribution, 14 units along the eastern strip of the core distribution and nine units are within the non-core distribution. There is no single transboundary protected area for the taruka that ensures international conservation within the core distribution.

Further details on the taruka distribution range within Peru, Bolivia, Chile and Argentina is available in Supplementary Material 2.

Discussion

This is the first instance in which researchers, conservation managers and governmental authorities from various South American countries have convened and shared their

7





insights to model the distribution of the taruka. Although on a few occasions local researchers in South America have collaborated in international studies and conservation initiatives that aimed to protect threatened species, such endeavours have typically centred around more charismatic species such as the jaguar (Mena et al., 2020), Andean cat Leopardus jacobita (Marino et al., 2011) and huemul deer Hippocamelus bisulcus (Marín et al., 2013; Riquelme et al., 2018). We advocate for greater representation of local researchers and experienced managers in international initiatives (dos Santos et al., 2020). Their insights from field observations offer invaluable information, facilitating the design of more effective guidelines for studying and protecting threatened and lesser-known species at a regional scale (Lopez-Arevalo et al., 2011). Furthermore, we emphasize the need for enhanced international conservation strategies targeting less charismatic umbrella species such as the taruka, as protecting these species can benefit entire ecological communities (Runge et al., 2019).

As demonstrated here, data and field observations from local collaborators can enrich regional assessments of species distribution ranges (Lopez-Arevalo et al., 2011). Such participation can help to improve the quality of model predictions because local experts contribute additional records to these regional evaluations. Furthermore, their technical and scientific knowledge can highlight model predictions that may be incorrect at the local scale, and increase representation of poorly prospected areas. In our study, for example, there was no agreement regarding the elevation with the maximum probability of taruka presence in the region. Despite the MERRAclim and BIOclim models predicting maximum presence probability at 6,500 and 2,600 m, respectively, local taruka specialists reported that the elevation of maximum presence probability is different for each country. Bolivian collaborators

Oryx, Page 7 of 13 © The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Fauna & Flora International doi:10.1017/S0030605324001479

agreed with the prediction of the distribution range for their country, but they also noted that the value of annual precipitation at which presence probability is predicted to be highest based on this regional assessment does not match the reported information for Bolivia (Nuñez, 2008). Similarly, Chilean and Peruvian collaborators agreed with the spatial extent of the predicted distribution for their countries, but both groups disagreed with the classification of the type of distribution for the southernmost population in Chile and northernmost population in Peru (Barrio, 2004; Fuentes-Allende et al., 2024). Contrary to the proposed classification in this regional assessment, specialists considered those areas as core rather than non-core distribution. In both cases, the regional assessment was improved by the local experience and field knowledge of taruka experts.

According to model predictions and collaborator experience from the field, slope could be one of the most relevant variables predicting taruka distribution. Steeply sloped areas with low daily and seasonal temperature variation and high NDVI during the dry season are commonly associated with gullies, ravines and other rugged areas in arid environments (Bindajam et al., 2020). The topographical heterogeneity within gullies and ravines can create shaded conditions with stable local temperatures and humidity levels, distinct from other topographical structures within arid environments (Bindajam et al., 2020). Furthermore, these features in the study area are often linked with watercourses, which affect local environmental humidity.

These specific environmental conditions may also influence NDVI values during the dry season and maximize biomass production of vegetation that is palatable and nutritious for large herbivores (Parker et al., 2009). Given the favourable conditions within gullies, ravines and similarly rugged terrain, we propose that taruka actively select such areas. Such habitat selection has been indirectly suggested previously through micro-histological studies of the taruka's diet (Nuñez, 2008; Gazzolo & Barrio, 2016; Castellaro et al., 2024), which reported a preference for particularly nutritious plant species over other, more abundant yet less nutritious, vegetation found in the same environment. The taruka is a medium-sized deer with a relatively small rumen, requiring it to feed mainly on high-quality vegetation to meet its nutritional needs (Müller et al., 2013). Additionally, its body morphology, which resembles that of a domestic goat (Pacheco et al., 2019), suggests it may be well adapted to rugged areas, with an ability to climb and traverse steep slopes, and less suited to sprinting across open landscapes (D. Jara, pers. comm., 2022). Future research using GPS collars could examine the movements of individual taruka at a finer spatial scale and assess their habitat use, confirming whether or not they prefer sloped areas in rugged terrain.

Threats to taruka conservation

According to model predictions and historical data, the distribution range of the taruka in Chile appears to have contracted over time. The model predicted non-core distribution in the coastal mountains of Paposo (25°00'S, 70°27'W; Antofagasta District, Chile) and in the Andean mountains between Copiapo (27°21'S, 70°19'W) and Vallenar (28°34'S, 70°45'W; Atacama District, Chile), areas that are located 450 and 700 km south, respectively, of the southernmost reported records for the species in this country during the last 50 years. These model predictions align with historical records from Paposo reported in the early 19th century (Mellet, 1908) and palaeontological records from Vallenar (Casamiquela, 1968). However, there have been no reported sightings in these areas for at least 200 years, suggesting that the species may be locally extinct there. Our models indicate that these sites still offer favourable climatic, vegetational and topographical conditions for the taruka, and although we cannot definitively ascertain the drivers of these local extinctions, interactions with local communities and mining activities could be contributing factors (Mellet, 1908).

The fragmentation of the taruka's core distribution throughout the region raises concern for its conservation. Our models depict this distribution as confined to two disconnected strips: one located at the eastern limit of the Central Andes Ecoregion and the other at the western boundary. Moreover, substantial range fragmentation exists within these strips, for example, in the northern part of the western strip (central Peru) and the central part of the eastern strip (southern Bolivia). Range fragmentation hampers species mobility, isolates local populations (Prugh et al., 2008; Kauffman et al., 2021), fosters inbreeding and genetic diversity loss, and renders individuals more vulnerable to diseases and unexpected environmental events in the short term and to local extinction in the medium to long term (Lino et al., 2019).

Our predictions indicate that the fragmentation of the taruka distribution in South America is influenced by climate, vegetation and topography, aligning with similar regional-scale studies (e.g. bird communities in Spain, Seoane et al., 2004; northern quoll *Dasyurus hallucatus* in northern Australia, Moore et al., 2019). Although proximity to human settlements was not a significant factor in our regional study, previous country-level assessments have demonstrated its importance in determining taruka presence (in Chile, Mata et al., 2019).

The impact of anthropogenic climate change on the fragmentation of the core distribution should not be underestimated. Climate change is shortening rainy seasons in arid environments, resulting in prolonged seasonal droughts (Trenberth, 2011), as well as motivating land-use change for agricultural production in areas where taruka feed (Á. Nuñez & N. Fuentes-Allende, pers. obs., 2023). Longer periods of precipitation scarcity can drive local desertification (Huang et al., 2020), leading to diminished habitat quality and size. It is crucial for managers to monitor the impacts of these changes on seasonality, focusing on indicators such as changes in nutritional condition (Fuentes-Allende et al., 2023) and survival rates (Parker et al., 2009).

Considering the fragmentation of the core distribution and the lack of comprehensive information on taruka genetic diversity, molecular studies are needed across the species' range. Determining genetic diversity could aid managers and environmental authorities in identifying populations at higher risk of local extinction (Frankham, 2010). This becomes especially important when considering that today there are still open questions regarding these topics, such as how closely connected different populations are across its range, and whether there are any subspecies (Gutiérrez et al., 2017).

An urgent conservation concern is the limited coverage of protected areas within the taruka distribution, with only 9% of its total predicted distribution under protection (and only 3% being protected core habitat). In unprotected areas, the species is exposed to anthropogenic threats such as poaching, predation by domestic and feral dogs, fencing and disruption of natural feeding areas, overgrazing by livestock, disease transmission from domestic animals, burning of native vegetation for agricultural expansion, urban encroachment, mining expansion, road traffic and river channelling (Barrio et al., 2017; authors, pers. obs., 2023).

Challenges facing taruka conservation

To counteract the identified threats, we recommend securing connectivity within the taruka's core distribution, fostering coexistence with local communities, formalizing international collaboration amongst taruka specialists and increasing the species' appeal amongst policymakers and the public.

We identified fragmentation of its distribution range as the main threat to taruka conservation. To ensure connectivity amongst populations, it is necessary to create and maintain protected areas within the core distribution throughout the region. However, establishing protected areas for the taruka faces challenges, especially limited funding for their creation and management (Thornton & Branch, 2019), a high human population density within the taruka distribution (Barrio, 2013; Rechberger et al., 2014), agricultural development encroaching upon feeding areas (Fuentes-Allende et al., 2016) and opposition from local communities (Knight et al., 2011). We recommend increased budgets for improving the infrastructure and management of existing protected areas, and creation of new protected areas outside human-populated areas to safeguard against future development, such as mining and urban expansion (Mata et al., 2019). Protecting taruka habitat is especially important considering that climate change is amplifying human–wildlife conflicts worldwide (Abrahms et al., 2023).

Transboundary protected areas are crucial for ensuring population connectivity across political borders (Thornton et al., 2020). However, their creation and management require joint planning and coordination between neighbouring countries (Lim, 2016; Thornton & Branch, 2019; Liu et al., 2020), which is difficult when there is no consensus on how to address common conservation problems (Blair et al., 2022). According to our regional assessment, transboundary efforts should focus on ensuring connectivity throughout the western and eastern strips of the taruka core distribution. Existing national protected areas near country borders could serve as a foundation for creating transboundary protected areas in these crucial regions: Vilacota Maure Regional Conservation Area (Peru) and Lauca National Park (Chile) in the western strip at the Peruvian-Chilean border, Lago Titicaca Ramsar site (Peru) and Madidi National Park/Apolobamba Integrated Management Natural Area (Bolivia) in the eastern strip at the Peruvian-Bolivian border, and Cordillera de Sama Biological Reserve (Bolivia) and Las Yungas Biosphere Reserve (Argentina) in the eastern strip at the Bolivian-Argentinian border.

Implementing transdisciplinary programmes is vital to foster coexistence with local communities in rural and suburban landscapes. To be effective in ensuring both the protection of taruka and the well-being of local residents, such interventions need to be co-designed with active participation of local authorities, researchers, wildlife managers and local communities (Knight et al., 2011; König et al., 2020). Engaging local communities can transform their perceptions, from viewing wild animals as problematic to recognizing wildlife as an asset with the potential to enhance people's well-being (Killion et al., 2021; Carmanchahi et al., 2022). There are examples of successful human-wildlife coexistence programmes in rural and suburban settings in South America; e.g. livestock ranching coexisting with ecotourism and wildlife conservation in Venezuela and Brazil (Hoogesteijn & Hoogesteijn, 2010) and with the sustainable management of guanacos in Patagonia (Carmanchahi et al., 2022).

International collaboration amongst specialists, managers and environmental authorities from the four taruka range countries needs to be strengthened to improve the species' protection in the region. Successful conservation depends on the various actors being coordinated, pursuing a common goal and agreeing on a single regional strategy (Kark et al., 2015; Blair et al., 2022). The implementation of a regional plan allows conservationists to focus on identifying and addressing broad-scale threats (Kark et al., 2015), increases the efficiency of conservation efforts and helps countries to allocate their limited resources effectively. Formalizing the international collaboration for protecting the taruka in South America could strengthen transboundary conservation efforts, but this is something yet to be agreed amongst the collaborators. If consensus can be achieved, a formal arrangement could take the form of a Task Force Group created under the IUCN Species Survival Commission Deer Specialist Group, or an independent and autonomous group (e.g. similar to the Andean Cat Alliance, Lucherini et al., 2012, or Darwin's Frog Alliance, Azat et al., 2020).

We also consider it important to increase the taruka's appeal amongst policymakers and the general public. International conservation initiatives often do not prioritize species or environments that demand the most urgent attention but instead focus on charismatic ecosystems (e.g. the Benguela Ecosystem, Kirkman et al., 2019; the Great Limpopo Transfrontier Conservation Area, Ntuli et al., 2019; the Amur River basin, Simonov et al., 2019; marine areas in East Africa, Tuda et al., 2019) and species (e.g. Amur leopards Panthera pardus orientalis, Vitkalova et al., 2018; large mammals in various regions, Liu et al., 2020; Asian leopards, Farhadinia et al., 2021) that capture the interest of the general public. One way to raise awareness of and generate interest in taruka conservation initiatives would be to shift the focus from the taruka as an individual species to a community of mammals that includes more charismatic species such as the Andean cat (Lagos et al., 2017) and/or the Andean bear Tremarctos ornatus (Velez-Liendo et al., 2013). Although such an approach would focus less directly on the taruka, it could help to protect its habitat (Poufoun et al., 2016; Mena et al., 2020). Additionally, awareness-raising campaigns could also increase the taruka's appeal by disseminating information about the species to the public and highlighting its uniqueness to the Central Andes landscapes and the role it plays in the region's ecosystem (Roll et al., 2016).

Finally, equally important as the actions proposed above is the expansion of scientific research, both locally and regionally, on the ecology of the taruka and its interactions with people. Robust data are needed to support national and regional conservation strategies, explore areas of predicted taruka distribution where the presence of the species remains unconfirmed, and evaluate possible conservation threats. We recommend intensively prospecting the areas of Paposo and Vallenar in Chile, as well as other areas with historical records, to assess whether the taruka persists in these locations. Our group of international collaborators is planning further studies to assess the effects of anthropogenic factors such as human population and livestock density on the taruka distribution range.

Conclusions

International collaboration is necessary for the successful conservation of species with transboundary distributions. However, this is only possible if the relevant stakeholders collaborate, share information and delineate a single conservation strategy for the entire region. Our experience shows that regional assessments can be improved by incorporating the observations and knowledge of local specialists, which can highlight and address issues that may otherwise be overlooked in such large-scale evaluations. We found that the fragmentation of the taruka core distribution is the main threat facing the species' conservation, and that this could be alleviated through creating protected areas in isolated regions and promoting taruka coexistence with local communities in human-modified environments.

Author contributions Study conception: NF-A; data collection: all authors; analysis: NF-A, CM; writing: all authors.

Acknowledgements NF-A is funded by the Chilean National Agency for Research and Development (ANID) in the framework of the programme FONDECYT Postdoctorado 3220370 ETAPA 2023, and by The Rufford Foundation through a Rufford Small Grant for Nature Conservation. NF-A's fieldwork in Chile is logistically supported by ENGIE Chile. CM is supported by the Comunidad de Madrid through the REMEDINAL TE-CM Research Network (P2018/EMT4338). We also thank M. Fisher, H.U. Wittmer and an anonymous reviewer for their critique of the text. This research is part of the surveys carried out by the Fundación Sudamérica Diversa and the IUCN Species Survival Commission Deer Specialist Group to improve taruka conservation in the Central Andes Ecoregion in South America.

Conflicts of interest None.

Ethical standards This research abided by the *Oryx* guidelines on ethical standards. We obtained the relevant permits to analyse and publish taruka location data, and all collaborators are nationals of the study countries. There was no animal capture or disturbance, nor habitat modification, in the course of this observational study.

Data availability Data are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

References

- ABRAHMS, B., CARTER, N.H., CLARK-WOLF, T.J., GAYNOR, K.M., JOHANSSON, E., MCINTURFF, A. et al. (2023) Climate change as a global amplifier of human-wildlife conflict. *Nature Climate Change*, 13, 224–234.
- AKÇAKAYA, H.R., KEITH, D.A., BURGMAN, M., BUTCHART, S.H.M., HOFFMANN, M., REGAN, H.M. et al. (2017) Inferring extinctions III: a cost-benefit framework for listing extinct species. *Biological Conservation*, 214, 336–342.
- AZAT, C., VALENZUELA-SÁNCHEZ, A., DELGADO, S., CUNNINGHAM, A.A., ALVARADO-RYBAK, M., BOURKE, J. et al. (2020) A flagship for austral temperate forest conservation: an action plan for Darwin's frogs brings key stakeholders together. *Oryx*, 55, 356–363.
- BARRIO, J. (2004) Possible cattle influence on the population of two deer species at the highlands of Rio Abiseo National Park, Peru. *DSG Newsletter*, 19, 6–9.
- BARRIO, J. (2013) *Hippocamelus antisensis* (Artiodactyla: Cervidae). *Mammalian Species*, 45, 49–59.
- BARRIO, J. (2018) Hippocamelus antisensis, d'Orbigny 1834. In Libro Rojo de la Fauna Silvestre Amenazada del Perú, pp. 374–375. Servicio Forestal y de Fauna Silvestre, Lima, Peru.

BARRIO, J., NUÑEZ, A., PACHECO, L., REGIDOR, H.A. & FUENTES-ALLENDE, N. (2017) *Hippocamelus antisensis*. In *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* 2017. dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN. UK.2017-2.RLTS.T10053A22158621.en.

BINDAJAM, A.A., MALLICK, J., ALQADHI, S., SINGH, C.K. & HANG, H.T. (2020) Impacts of vegetation and topography on land surface temperature variability over the semi-arid mountain cities of Saudi Arabia. *Atmosphere*, 11, 762.

BLAIR, M.E., LE, M.D. & XU, M. (2022) Species distribution modeling to inform transboundary species conservation and management under climate change: promise and pitfalls. *Frontiers of Biogeography*, 14, e54662.

CARMANCHAHI, P., LICHTENSTEIN, G., GREGORIO, P.F., PANEBIANCO, A., MAROZZI, A., LEGGIERI, L. et al. (2022) Guanaco sustainable management as a conservation and rural development strategy. In *Guanacos and People in Patagonia* (eds P. Carmanchahi & G. Lichtenstein), pp. 147–190. Natural and Social Sciences of Patagonia. Springer, Cham, Switzerland.

CASAMIQUELA, R. (1968) Catalogación crítica de algunos vertebrados fósiles chilenos. I. Los Ciervos. La presencia de Antifer (= *Blastocerus*?) en el Pleistoceno Superior. *Revista Universitaria*, 53, 101–106.

CASTELLARO, G., ORELLANA, C., ESCANILLA, J., FUENTES-ALLENDE, N. & GONZÁLEZ, B.A. (2024) Preliminary report on diet estimation of taruka (*Hippocamelus antisensis* d'Orbigny, 1834) in an agricultural area of the Andean foothills of the Tarapacá Region, Chile. *Animals*, 14, 1814.

CITES (2023) *Appendices I, II and III.* cites.org/eng/app/appendices. php [accessed November 2024].

CMS (1979) Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals. CMS Secretariat, Bonn, Germany. cms.int [accessed November 2024].

DOS SANTOS, J.W., CORREIA, R.A., MALHADO, A.C.M., CAMPOS-SILVA, J.V., TELES, D., JEPSON, P. & LADLE, R.J. (2020) Drivers of taxonomic bias in conservation research: a global analysis of terrestrial mammals. *Animal Conservation*, 23, 679–688.

ELITH, J., GRAHAM, C.H., ANDERSON, R.P., DUDIK, M., FERRIER, S., GUISAN, A. et al. (2006) Novel methods improve prediction of species' distributions from occurrence data. *Ecography*, 29, 129–151.

FARHADINIA, M.S., ROSTRO-GARCÍA, S., FENG, L., KAMLER, J.F., SPALTON, A., SHEVTSOVA, E. et al. (2021) Big cats in borderlands: challenges and implications for transboundary conservation of Asian leopards. *Oryx*, 55, 452–460.

FICK, S.E. & HIJMANS, R.J. (2017) WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*, 37, 4302–4315.

FOURCADE, Y., ENGLER, J.O., RÖDDER, D. & SECONDI, J. (2014) Mapping species distributions with MAXENT using a geographically biased sample of presence data: a performance assessment of methods for correcting sampling bias. *PLOS One*, *9*, *997122*.

FRANKHAM, R. (2010) Challenges and opportunities of genetic approaches to biological conservation. *Biological Conservation*, 143, 1919–1927.

FUENTES-ALLENDE, N., VIELMA, A., PAULSEN, K., ARREDONDO, C., CORTI, P., ESTADES, C.F. & GONZÁLEZ, B.A. (2016) Is human disturbance causing differential preference of agricultural landscapes by taruka and feral donkeys in high Andean deserts during the dry season? *Journal of Arid Environments*, 135, 115–119.

FUENTES-ALLENDE, N., STEPHENS, P.A., MACTAVISH, L.M., MACTAVISH, D. & WILLIS, S.G. (2023) Remote monitoring of short-term body mass variation in savanna ungulates. *Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation*, 9, 707–723.

FUENTES-ALLENDE, N., CASTRO, L., HORTA-PIZARRO, I., LASSAGA, V., PASTORE, H., SQUELLA, C. et al. (2024) Recent records, threats, and efforts to protect the Southernmost populations of Taruka (Hippocamelus antisensis) in Chile and Argentina. *IUCN Deer Specialist Group Newsletter*, 35, 34–42.

GAZZOLO, C. & BARRIO, J. (2016) Feeding ecology of taruca (*Hippocamelus antisensis*) populations during the rainy and dry seasons in central Peru. *International Journal of Zoology*, 2016, 806472.

GRIFFITH, G.E., OMERNIK, J.M. & AZEVEDO, S.H. (1998) *Ecological Classification of the Western Hemisphere*. US Geological Survey, Reston, USA.

GUERRA, I.C. & PASTORE, H. (2019) *Hippocamelus antisensis. 2019 Categorization of Argentine Mammals According to their Risk of Extinction. Red List of Argentine Mammals.* Ministry of the Environment and Sustainable Development, Government of Argentina, Casa Rosada, Argentina, and Argentine Society for the Study of Mammals, Mendoza, Argentina. cma.sarem.org.ar [accessed November 2024]. [In Spanish]

GUTIÉRREZ, E.E., HELGEN, K.M., MCDONOUGH, M.M., BAUER, F., HAWKINS, M.T.R., ESCOBEDO-MORALES, L.A. et al. (2017) A gene-tree test of the traditional taxonomy of American deer: the importance of voucher specimens, geographic data, and dense sampling. *ZooKeys*, 697, 87–131.

HOOGESTEIJN, A. & HOOGESTEIJN, R. (2010) Cattle ranching and biodiversity conservation as allies in South America's flooded savannas. *Great Plains Research*, 20, 37–50.

HUANG, J., ZHANG, G., ZHANG, Y., GUAN, X., WEI, Y. & GUO, R. (2020) Global desertification vulnerability to climate change and human activities. *Land Degradation & Development*, 31, 1380–1391.

KARK, S., TULLOCH, A., GORDON, A., MAZOR, T., BUNNEFELD, N. & LEVIN, N. (2015) Cross-boundary collaboration: key to the conservation puzzle. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 12, 12–24.

KAUFFMAN, M.J., GAGNACCI, F., CHAMAILLÉ-JAMMES, S., HEBBLEWHITE, M., HOPCRAFT, J.G.C., MERKLE, J.A. et al. (2021) Mapping out a future for ungulate migrations. *Science*, 372, 566–569.

KILLION, A.K., RAMIREZ, J.M. & CARTER, N.H. (2021) Human adaptation strategies are key to cobenefits in human–wildlife systems. *Conservation Letters*, 14, e12769.

KIRKMAN, S.P., HOLNESS, S., HARRIS, L.R., SINK, K.J., LOMBARD, A.T., KAINGE, P. et al. (2019) Using systematic conservation planning to support marine spatial planning and achieve marine protection targets in the transboundary Benguela ecosystem. Ocean and Coastal Management, 168, 117–129.

KNIGHT, A.T., GRANTHAM, H.S., SMITH, R.J., MCGREGOR, G.K., POSSINGHAM, H.P. & COWLING, R.M. (2011) Land managers' willingness-to-sell defines conservation opportunity for protected area expansion. *Biological Conservation*, 144, 2623–2630.

KÖNIG, H.J., KIFFNER, C., KRAMER-SCHADT, S., FÜRST, C., KEULING, O. & FORD, A.T. (2020) Human–wildlife coexistence in a changing world. *Conservation Biology*, 34, 786–794.

LAGOS, N., FUENTES-ALLENDE, N., SEPÚLVEDA, C., GAMBOA, F., HERNÁNDEZ, J. & GONZÁLEZ, B.A. (2017) New record for taruka (*Hippocamelus antisensis*) in the Chilean puna after 40 years: are we looking for the deer in the right places? *DSG Newsletter*, 29, 16–22.

LIM, M. (2016) Governance criteria for effective transboundary biodiversity conservation. International environmental agreements: politics. *Law and Economics*, 16, 797–813.

LINO, A., FONSECA, C., ROJAS, D., FISCHER, E. & RAMOS PEREIRA, M.J. (2019) A meta-analysis of the effects of habitat loss and fragmentation on genetic diversity in mammals. *Mammalian Biology*, 94, 69–76.

LIU, C., BERRY, P.M., DAWSON, T.P. & PEARSON, R. (2005) Selecting thresholds of occurrence in the prediction of species distributions. *Ecography*, 28, 385–393.

Oryx, Page 11 of 13 © The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Fauna & Flora International doi:10.1017/S0030605324001479

LIU, C., WHITE, M., NEWELL, G. & PEARSON, R. (2013) Selecting thresholds for the prediction of species occurrence with presence-only data. *Journal of Biogeography*, 40, 778–789.

LIU, J., YONG, D.L., CHOI, C.Y. & GIBSON, L. (2020) Transboundary frontiers: an emerging priority for biodiversity conservation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 35, 679–690.

LOPEZ-AREVALO, H.F., GALLINA, S., LANDGRAVE, R., MARTINEZ-MEYER, E. & MUÑOZ-VILLERS, L.E. (2011) Local knowledge and species distribution models' contribution towards mammalian conservation. *Biological Conservation*, 144, 1451–1463.

LUCHERINI, M., PALACIOS, R., VILLALBA, L. & IVERSON, E. (2012) A new strategic plan for the conservation of the Andean cat. *Oryx*, 46, 13–17.

MARINO, J., BENNETT, M., COSSIOS, D., IRIARTE, A., LUCHERINI, M., PLISCOFF, P. et al. (2011) Bioclimatic constraints to Andean cat distribution: a modelling application for rare species. *Diversity and Distributions*, 17, 311–322.

MARIN, J.C., VARAS, V., VILA, A.R., LOPEZ, R., OROZCO-TERWENGEL, P. & CORTI, P. (2013) Refugia in Patagonian fjords and the eastern Andes during the last glacial maximum revealed by huemul (*Hippocamelus bisulcus*) phylogeographical patterns and genetic diversity. *Journal of Biogeography*, 40, 2285–2298.

MASON, N., WARD, M., WATSON, J.E.M., VENTER, O. & RUNTING, R.K. (2020) Global opportunities and challenges for transboundary conservation. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 4, 694–701.

MATA, C., FUENTES-ALLENDE, N., MALO, J., VIELMA, A. & GONZÁLEZ, B.A. (2019) The mismatch between location of protected areas and suitable habitat for the vulnerable taruka *Hippocamelus antisensis*. Oryx, 53, 752–756.

MATTSSON, B.J., ARIH, A., HEURICH, M., SANTI, S., ŠTEMBERK, J. & VACIK, H. (2019) Evaluating a collaborative decision-analytic approach to inform conservation decision-making in transboundary regions. *Land Use Policy*, 83, 282–296.

MELLET, J. (1908) *Viajes por el Interior de la América Meridional 1808–1820*. Del Pacífico, Santiago de Chile, Chile.

MENA, J.L., YAGUI, H., TEJEDA, V., CABRERA, J.,
PACHECO-ESQUIVEL, J., RIVERO, J. & PASTOR, P. (2020)
Abundance of jaguars and occupancy of medium- and large-sized vertebrates in a transboundary conservation landscape in the northwestern Amazon. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 23, e01079.

MMAYA (2009) Libro Rojo de la Fauna Silvestre de Vertebrados de Bolivia. Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Agua, La Paz, Bolivia.

MOORE, H.A., DUNLOP, J.A., VALENTINE, L.E., WOINARSKI, J.C.Z., RITCHIE, E.G., WATSON, D.M. & NIMMO, D.G. (2019) Topographic ruggedness and rainfall mediate geographic range contraction of a threatened marsupial predator. *Diversity and Distributions*, 25, 1818–1831.

MORALES-BARBERO, J. & VEGA-ÁLVAREZ, J. (2019) Data from: Input matters matter: bioclimatic consistency to map more reliable species distribution models. Dryad Digital Repository. doi.org/10.5061/ dryad.6kv7k29.

MÜLLER, D.W.H., CODRON, D., MELORO, C., MUNN, A., SCHWARM, A., HUMMEL, J. & CLAUSS, M. (2013) Assessing the Jarman–Bell principle: scaling of intake, digestibility, retention time and gut fill with body mass in mammalian herbivores. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A*, 164, 129–140.

NEWING, H. (2011) Conducting Research in Conservation: Social Science Methods and Practice. Routledge, Abingdon, UK.

NTULI, H., JAGERS, S.C., LINELL, A., SJÖSTEDT, M. & MUCHAPONDWA, E. (2019) Factors influencing local communities' perceptions towards conservation of transboundary wildlife resources: the case of the Great Limpopo Trans-frontier Conservation Area. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 28, 2977–3003. NUNEZ, A. (2008) *Estado de conservación de la taruka* (Hippocamelus antisensis) *en Bolivia*. MSc thesis. Universidad Nacional Experimental de los Llanos Occidentales Ezequiel Zamora, Barinas, Venezuela.

OLSON, D.M., DINERSTEIN, E., WIKRAMANAYAKE, E.D., BURGESS, N.D., POWELL, G.V.N., UNDERWOOD, E.C. et al. (2001) Terrestrial ecoregions of the world: a new map of life in earth. *BioScience*, 51, 933–938.

PACHECO, J.I., ZAPATA, C. & ARIAS, J.C. (2019) Osteological description of the taruca (*Hippocamelus antisensis*, D'Orbigny, 1834): I. Appendicular skeleton. *Revista de Investigaciones Veterinarias del Perú*, 30, 549–557.

PARKER, K.L., BARBOZA, P.S. & GILLINGHAM, M.P. (2009) Nutrition integrates environmental responses of ungulates. *Functional Ecology*, 23, 57–69.

PEEL, M.C., FINLAYSON, B.L. & MCMAHON, T.A. (2007) Updated world map of the Koppen–Geiger climate classification. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 11, 1633–1644.

PHILLIPS, S.J., ANDERSON, R.P. & SCHAPIRE, R.E. (2006) Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. *Ecological Modelling*, 190, 231–259.

POUFOUN, J.N., ABILDTRUP, J., SONWA, D.J. & DELACOTE, P. (2016) The value of endangered forest elephants to local communities in a transboundary conservation landscape. *Ecological Economics*, 126, 70–86.

PROTECTED PLANET (2024) World Database on Protected Areas. protectedplanet.net/en [accessed 9 February 2024].

PRUGH, L.R., HODGES, K.E., SINCLAIR, A.R.E. & BRASHARES, J.S. (2008) Effect of habitat area and isolation on fragmented animal populations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 105, 20770–20775.

QGIS DEVELOPMENT TEAM (2019) QGIS Geographic Information System. qgis.osgeo.org [accessed November 2024].

RCE (2007) Hippocamelus antisensis (Molina (1782)) DS 151 MINSEGPRES 2007 (1er Proceso RCE). Inventario Nacional de Especies de Chile, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, Gobierno de Chile, Chile.

RECHBERGER, J., PACHECO, L.F., NUNEZ, A., ROLDÁN, A.I., MARTÍNEZ, O. & MENDIETA, G. (2014) The recovery of a population of the vulnerable taruka *Hippocamelus antisensis* near La Paz, Bolivia: opportunities for conservation and education. *Oryx*, 48, 445–450.

RIQUELME, C., ESTAY, S.A., LOPEZ, R., PASTORE, H., SOTO-GAMBOA, M. & CORTI, P. (2018) Protected areas' effectiveness under climate change: a latitudinal distribution projection of an endangered mountain ungulate along the Andes range. *PeerJ*, 6, e5222.

ROLL, U., MITTERMEIER, J.C., DIAZ, G.I., NOVOSOLOV, M., FELDMAN, A., ITESCU, Y. et al. (2016) Using Wikipedia page views to explore the cultural importance of global reptiles. *Biological Conservation*, 204, 42–50.

RUNGE, C.A., WITHEY, J.C., NAUGLE, D.E., FARGIONE, J.E., HELMSTEDT, K.J., LARSEN, A.E. et al. (2019) Single species conservation as an umbrella for management of landscape threats. *PLOS One*, 14, e0209619.

SEOANE, J., BUSTAMANTE, J. & DÍAZ-DELGADO, R. (2004) Competing roles for landscape, vegetation, topography and climate in predictive models of bird distribution. *Ecological Modelling*, 171, 209–222.

SIMONOV, E.A., NIKITINA, O.I. & EGIDAREV, E.G. (2019) Freshwater ecosystems versus hydropower development: environmental assessments and conservation measures in the transboundary Amur River basin. *Water*, 11, 1570.

THORNTON, D.H. & BRANCH, L.C. (2019) Transboundary mammals in the Americas: asymmetries in protection challenge climate change resilience. *Diversity and Distributions*, 25, 674–683.

Oryx, Page 12 of 13 © The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Fauna & Flora International doi:10.1017/S0030605324001479

- THORNTON, D.H., BRANCH, L. & MURRYA, D. (2020) Distribution and connectivity of protected areas in the Americas facilitates transboundary conservation. *Ecological Applications*, 30, e02027.
- TITLEY, M.A., BUTCHART, S.H.M., JOVES, V.R., WHITTINGHAM, M.J. & WILLIS, S.G. (2021) Global inequities and political borders challenge nature conservation under climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 118, e2011204118.
- TRENBERTH, K.E. (2011) Changes in precipitation with climate change. *Climate Research*, 47, 123–138.
- TUDA, A.O., KARK, S. & NEWTON, A. (2019) Exploring the prospects for adaptive governance in marine transboundary conservation in East Africa. *Marine Policy*, 104, 75–84.
- VEGA, G.C., PERTIERRA, L.R. & OLALLA-TÁRRAGA, M.A. (2017) MERRAclim, a high-resolution global dataset of remotely sensed bioclimatic variables for ecological modelling. *Scientific Data*, 4, 170078.

- VELEZ-LIENDO, X., STRUBBE, D. & MATTHYSEN, E. (2013)
 Effects of variable selection on modelling habitat and potential distribution of the Andean bear in Bolivia. Ursus (International Association for Bear Research and Management), 24, 127–138.
- VITKALOVA, A.V., FENG, L., RYBIN, A.N., GERBER, B.D., MIQUELLE, D.G., WANG, T. et al. (2018) Transboundary cooperation improves endangered species monitoring and conservation actions: a case study of the global population of Amur leopards. *Conservation Letters*, 11, e12574.
- WARREN, D.L., GLOR, R.E. & TURELLI, M. (2010) ENMTools: a toolbox for comparative studies of environmental niche models. *Ecography*, 33, 607–611.
- WELLENREUTHER, M., LARSON, K.W. & SVENSSON, E.I. (2012) Climatic niche divergence or conservatism? Environmental niches and range limits in ecologically similar damselflies. *Ecology*, 93, 1353–1366.