

ON MONTEL'S THEOREM

YOSHIRO KAWAKAMI

1. In this note we shall prove a theorem which is related to Montel's theorem [1] on bounded regular functions. Let E be a measurable set on the positive y -axis in the $z(=x+iy)$ -plane, $E(a, b)$ be its part contained in $0 \leq a \leq y \leq b$, and $|E(a, b)|$ be its measure. We define the lower density of E at $y=0$ by

$$\lambda = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{|E(0, r)|}{r}.$$

LEMMA. *Let E be a set of positive lower density λ at $y=0$. Then E contains a subset E_1 of the same lower density at $y=0$ such that $E_1 \cup \{0\}$ is a closed set.*

Proof. Let $r_n = 1/n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). There exists a closed subset $E_1(r_{n+1}, r_n)$ of $E(r_{n+1}, r_n)$, such that

$$|E_1(r_{n+1}, r_n)| \geq \delta_n |E(r_{n+1}, r_n)| \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

with $\delta_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. We put

$$E_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_1(r_{n+1}, r_n).$$

Then if $r_n < r \leq r_{n-1}$,

$$|E_1(0, r)| \geq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} |E_1(r_{i+1}, r_i)| \geq \delta_n |E(0, r_n)|,$$

so that

$$\frac{|E_1(0, r)|}{r} \geq \frac{|E_1(0, r_n)|}{r} \delta_n \geq \frac{|E(0, r_n)|}{r_n} \cdot \frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}} \delta_n,$$

whence

$$\lambda = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{|E(0, r)|}{r} \geq \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{|E_1(0, r)|}{r} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|E(0, r_n)|}{r_n} \geq \lambda.$$

Hence

Received December 7, 1955; revised April 12, 1956.

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{|E_1(0, r)|}{r} = \lambda.$$

2. We shall prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. *Let $f(z) = f(x + iy)$ be regular and bounded in $x > 0$, and continuous at a measurable set E of positive lower density λ at $y = 0$ on the positive y -axis. If $f(z) \rightarrow A$ when $z \rightarrow 0$ along E , then $f(z) \rightarrow A$ uniformly when $z \rightarrow 0$ in the domain $|y| \leq kx$, where k is any positive constant.*

Proof. By the lemma we assume that $E \cup \{0\}$ is a closed set. Without loss of generality we may assume that $|f(z)| \leq 1$ and $A = 0$. Let $D_\rho : |z| < \rho$, $x > 0$ be the half-disc. Let us denote by $u_\rho(z)$ the harmonic measure of $E(0, \rho) \cup \{0\}$ with respect to D_ρ .

If we take $0 < \rho < 1$ sufficiently small such that $|f(z)| \leq \varepsilon$ on $E(0, \rho)$, then, by the maximum principle, we have

$$\log |f(z)| \leq u_\rho(z) \cdot \log \varepsilon \quad \text{for } z \in D_\rho;$$

hence

$$|f(z)| \leq \varepsilon^{u_\rho(z)} \quad \text{for } z \in D_\rho. \quad (1)$$

As is well known,

$$u_\rho(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{E(0, \rho)} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} G(i\eta, z) d\eta,$$

where $G_\rho(w, z)$ ($w = \xi + i\eta$) is the Green's function of D_ρ with pole at $z = x + iy$. By a simple calculation we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial n} \right)_{\xi=0} = \frac{2x(\rho^2 - x^2 - y^2)(\rho^2 - \eta^2)}{\{x^2 + (y - \eta)^2\} \{(\rho - y\eta)^2 + x^2\eta^2\}}.$$

Hence

$$u_\rho(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\rho \frac{2x(\rho^2 - x^2 - y^2)(\rho^2 - \eta^2)}{\{x^2 + (y - \eta)^2\} \{(\rho - y\eta)^2 + x^2\eta^2\}} d\mu(\eta),$$

where

$$\mu(\eta) = \int_{E(0, \tau)} d\eta = |E(0, \eta)|.$$

If $|z| \leq \delta\rho$, $\eta \leq \delta\rho$, ($0 < \delta < 1$), then

$$(\rho^2 - x^2 - y^2)(\rho^2 - \eta^2) \geq \rho^4 C_1, \quad (\rho^2 - y\eta)^2 + x^2\eta^2 \leq \rho^4 C_2,$$

whence

$$u_p(z) \cong C_3 \int_0^{\delta\rho} \frac{x}{x^2 + (y - \eta)^2} d\mu(\eta),$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3 are constants, depending on δ only. Hence if $|y| \leq kx$, we have

$$u_p(z) \cong C_3 \int_0^{\delta\rho} \frac{x}{x^2 + (\eta + kx)^2} d\mu(\eta).$$

By the substitution $\eta = xt$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_p(z) &\cong \frac{C_3}{x} \int_0^{\delta\rho/x} \frac{1}{1 + (t + k)^2} d\mu(xt) \\ &= \frac{C_3}{x} \left[\frac{\mu(xt)}{1 + (t + k)^2} \right]_0^{\delta\rho/x} + \frac{2C_3}{x} \int_0^{\delta\rho/x} \frac{\mu(xt)(t + k)}{\{1 + (t + k)^2\}^2} dt \\ &\cong \frac{2C_3}{x} \int_0^1 \frac{\mu(xt)(t + k)}{\{1 + (t + k)^2\}^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu(xt) \cong \lambda'xt$ for some λ' such that $0 < \lambda' < \lambda$, we have

$$u_p(z) \cong 2C_3 \int_0^1 \frac{\lambda't(t + k)}{\{1 + (t + k)^2\}^2} dt = C,$$

where C is a constant depending on k, δ , and λ' only. Hence by (1)

$$|f(z)| \leq \varepsilon^C, \quad \text{if } |z| \leq \delta\rho \quad \text{and} \quad |y| \leq kx,$$

so that $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} f(z) = 0$ uniformly, when $z \rightarrow 0$ in the domain $|y| \leq kx$.

Remark. The writer has proved that our theorem holds when E satisfies the condition that λ_α is positive, where

$$\lambda_\alpha = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{\alpha-1} \int_r^1 \frac{d\mu(t)}{t^\alpha} \quad (\alpha \geq 2).$$

However, Professor Ohtsuka kindly informed him that this condition for any $\alpha > 1$ is equivalent to the condition that the lower density of E at $y = 0$ is positive.¹⁾

REFERENCE

[1] P. Montel, Sur les familles de fonctions analytiques qui admettent des valeurs exceptionnelles dans un domaine, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (3), 23 (1912), pp. 487-535.

Seikei University, Tokyo

¹⁾ See the paper after the next.